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CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Good morning. Are we ready to proceed in Springfield?

COMMISSIONER OLIVA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Pursuant to the Open Meetings Act, I call the October 24th, 2017, Special Open Meeting to order. Commissioner del Valle is with me in Chicago. Commissioner Edwards and Oliva are in Springfield. We have a quorum. Commissioner Rosales seeks to participate by phone.

Commissioner, are you with us?

COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: I move to allow Commissioner Rosales to participate by phone.

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER OLIVA: Second.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: All those in favor, say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Opposed, say nay.

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: The ayes have it, and
Commissioner Rosales is granted permission to participate by phone.

We have no public comments, and will therefore move into our regular Public Utility Agenda.

Item E-1 concerns an amendment to Code Part 455.

Are there any objections to the Proposed Order Adopting the Rules?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is approved.

Item E-2 concerns Administrative Rules for Certification of Utility-Scale Wind and Solar Installers.

Are there any objections to the Proposed Order Adopting the Rules?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is approved.

Item E-3 concerns Administrative Rules for Certification of Energy Efficiency Installers.
Are there any objections to the Proposed Order Adopting the Rules?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is approved.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Item G-1 concerns North Shore's Reconciliation of revenues.

Are there any objections to approving the Proposed Order Approving the Reconciliation?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is approved.

Item G-2 concerns Peoples Gas's Reconciliation of Revenues.

Are there any objections to approving the Proposed Order Approving the Reconciliation?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is approved.

Moving on to Petitions for Rehearing, Item PR-1 concerns a Petition For Rehearing regarding Ameren's Energy Efficiency Plan, Docket No. 17-0311.

I would move that we deny the Motion
For Rehearing.

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Second.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Is there any discussion?

Commissioner del Valle?

COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As I explained in my dissent, I do not believe that Ameren met its burden of proof, and do not believe it is appropriate, based on the record in this case, to reduce Ameren's statutory savings goals. The petitioners make a strong case for rehearing and I will -- I will be voting to grant it.

In addition, yesterday, Ameren filed a response to these two petitions. This response illustrates problems with Ameren's compliance documents filed on October 11th, 2017.

For example, the Commission Order, conditionally approving Ameren's Plan, reads in relevant part, and I quote, The Commission is strongly supportive of the Plan's emphasis on serving low income customers in the Company's service
territory, and developing new economic and energy efficiency opportunities for diverse individuals and communities. Approval of the Plan, however, is conditioned on the incorporation of Staff's suggestions for certain reallocations, as identified by Staff witness Dr. Brightwell in his testimony, that could achieve another 10,500 to 14,500 megawatts of savings. In addition, the Company is directed to determine how it can serve the objectives and goals of the Act, while reducing the overall costs of the Income Qualified Programs through a different measure mix or incentive levels and lowering its costs per kilowatt hour, end of quote.

Ameren's response to the petitions misrepresents what the Final Order required of it, and demonstrates that Ameren's compliance filing does not meet these conditions for approval.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Any other discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: All those in favor of voting
to deny the Rehearing, say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Opposed, say nay.

COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Nay.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: The vote is 4 to 1, the ayes have it, and the Petition for Rehearing is denied.

Judge Kimbrel, do you any other matters to bring before the Commission this morning?

JUDGE KIMBREL: Mr. Chairman, just for clarification, there were two Petitions for Rehearing, the NRDC, CUB, EDF Petition and the AG's Petition. I just want to make clear that that vote reflects denial of both; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: That was the intent of my motion, that we deny all of the Petitions for Rehearing.

Why don't we just take a vote on that, just for the sake of clarity.

So we have AG and -- who was the other one?

JUDGE KIMBREL: NRDC, CUB, EDF is one and the AG filed the other Petition for a Rehearing.
CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: All right. So I'll make a motion that we deny the Petitions for Rehearing with respect to the other petitions.

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER OLIVA: Second.

COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Second.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Any discussion?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: All those in favor of denying those Petitions for Rehearing, say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Opposed, say nay.

COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Nay.

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: The vote is 4 to 1, and the Petitions for Rehearing are denied. Thank you for that clarification.

Commissioners, do you have any other business to bring before the Commission this morning?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none and without objection, the meeting stands adjourned.

Thank you.
(Whereupon the above matter was adjourned.)