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CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Pursuant to the provisions of the Illinois Open Meetings Act, I now convene a regularly scheduled Bench Session of the Illinois Commerce Commission. With me in Chicago are Commissioners O'Connell-Diaz, Colgan and Acting Commissioner McCabe. I'm Chairman Scott.

We have a quorum.

I would like to thank everyone for waiting. It says "us," but it's me that's the cause of the delay. I got caught in air traffic after the tornado hit Dallas yesterday, so I maneuvered around and finally got a flight that finally got me back here to Chicago. So I'm glad to be with you, but I really appreciate everybody waiting us out and I apologize for the delay in us getting started.

We also have Commissioner Ford available by phone. Per the Commission's rules, we will take a vote to allow participation by phone.

Is there a motion to allow Commissioner Ford to participate by phone?

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: So moved.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.

All in favor say "aye."

(Chorus of ayes.)

Any opposed?

(No response.)

The vote is 4-0 to allow Commissioner Ford to participate in today's meeting by phone.

Hello, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER FORD: Hello. How are you all?

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Good. How are you?

COMMISSIONER FORD: Great.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Before moving into the agenda, according to Section 1700.10 of Title II of the Administrative Code, this is the time we allow members of the public to address the Commission.

Members of the public wishing to address the Commission must notify the Chief Clerk's Office at least 24 hours prior to Commission meetings.

According to the Chief Clerk's Office, we have no requests to speak at today's Bench Session.

Moving on to the Public Utility Agenda. We
will begin today with the approval of minutes from prior Commission meetings. Up first are our minutes from our March 6th Policy Committee Meeting. I understand amendments have been forwarded.

Is there a motion to amend the minutes?

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: So moved.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.

All in favor say "aye."

(Chorus of ayes.)

Any opposed?

(No response.)

The vote is 5-0 and the amendments are adopted.

Is there a motion to approve the March 6th minutes as amended?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor say "aye."
(Chorus of ayes.)

Any opposed?

(No response.)

The vote is 5-0 and the March 6th Policy Committee Meetings as amended are approved.

Up next are minutes from our March 7th Bench Session.

Is there a motion to approve the minutes?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.

All in favor say "aye."

(Chorus of ayes.)

Any opposed?

(No response.)

The vote is 5-0 and the March 7th Bench Session minutes are approved.

Turning to the Electric portion of today's agenda, Item E-1 concerns a filing by Ameren to establish its Government Aggregation Services.
Tariff for the provision of municipal aggregation.

Staff recommends not suspending the filing.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Is there a motion to not suspend the filing?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.

All in favor say "aye."

(Chorus of ayes.)

Any opposed?

(No response.)

The vote is 5-0 and the filing will not be suspended. We will use this 5-0 vote for the remainder of the Public Utility Agenda unless otherwise noted.

Item E-2 is Docket No. 11-0218. This is Prospect Resources' petition for confidential and/or proprietary treatment of its annual report.

ALJ Benn recommends entry of an Order granting the
requested relief for a period of two years.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered.

Item E-3 is Docket No. 11-0721. This is ComEd's formula rate filing under Section 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act. We've got a couple of things up for consideration today. Up first is the entry of a Resuspension Order extending the investigation of the proposed tariffs to May 31st, 2012.

Is there any discussion on the Resuspension Order?

(No response.)

Any objections to entering the Resuspension Order?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Resuspension Order is entered.

Next up is a Petition for
Interlocutory Review filed by ComEd regarding an evidentiary ruling made by the ALJ in the matter and over which ComEd seeks partial reversal.

Is there any discussion?

ACTING COMMISSIONER McCabe: I'm going to abstain from this petition.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, Commissioner.

Are there any objections to denying the Petition for Interlocutory Review?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Petition for Interlocutory Review is denied by a 4-0 vote.

Item E-4 is Docket No. 11-0434. This is an investigation of ComEd's rate GAP concerning protocols for admissible aggregation. ALJ Baker recommends entry of an Order which, among other things, address certain issues of statutory construction of this matter and thus makes tariff modifications.

Is there any discussion?

ACTING COMMISSIONER McCabe: I would abstain from this one also.
CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, Commissioner.

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered by a 4-0 vote.

JUDGE WALLACE: Mr Chairman?

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Yes.

JUDGE WALLACE: We were unable to hear everything. Maybe the mic is not on.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Can you not hear me or just the other Commissioners?

JUDGE WALLACE: The other Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. Thank you.

Just to note for you in Springfield that Acting Commissioner McCabe abstained from the last item -- from Item E-4 and also from Item E-3.

JUDGE WALLACE: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you.

Item E-5 is Docket No. 11-0772. This is ComEd's filing under Section 16-108.5(f) and (f-5) of the Public Utilities Act regarding multi-year performance metrics. ALJ Dolan recommends entry of
an Order approving ComEd's metrics plan. I have some revisions on this matter addressing CUB and the City's proposals regarding additional metrics.

My revisions do not change the conclusion regarding additional metrics, but no blank or big picture coordination between the various docket opened as a result of last year's legislation. Thus the revisions suggest both consideration of some of the identified metrics as part of the upcoming ComEd/AMI deployment plan docket and also discuss a possible investigation after the conclusion of these docket to ensure full legalization of the consumer, environment, and societal benefits of our grade modernization programs and related investments. I want to thank and should note that these revisions reflect the very useful feedback and edits from Commissioner O'Connell and Commissioner McCabe as well.

Any discussion on the revisions?

(No response.)

I'll move to adopt the revisions.

Is there a second?
ACTING COMMISSIONER McCabe: Second.

Chairman Scott: All in favor say "aye."

(Chorus of ayes.)

Any opposed?

(No response.)

The vote is 5-0 and the revisions are adopted.

Are there any further discussion on this matter?

Commissioner Colgan: I have one question. I just wanted to be sure, Judge Dolan, if the Part 280 rules that are in effect will still be in effect related to disconnections?

Judge Dolan: Well, right now there's a rulemaking taking place. Judge Hilliard is handling it and is -- I don't know how that's going to come out. The way I looked at this, this is just approving a plan. The compliance is going to be either in the reconciliation docket or it's going to be addressed in the AMI docket or possibly, you know, in the Part 280.

But I was just trying to word it in a
way that said the Company is expected to comply with the rules that they are currently, and if they don't, the Commission won't take the appropriate action when the time comes.


CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, Judge.

Further discussion on the matter?

(No response.)

Is there a motion to enter the Order as amended?

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: So moved.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.

All in favor say "aye."

(Chorus of ayes.)

Any opposed?

(No response.)

The vote is 5-0 and the Order as amended is entered.

Item E-6 is Docket No. 11-0323. This
is Alusia Stuart's complaint against ComEd. ALJ Kimbrel recommends entry of an Order denying the complaint.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered.

Item E-7 is Docket No. 11-0614. This is Ken Patel's complaint against MidAmerican. ALJ Riley recommends entry of an Order dismissing the complaint due to a lack of jurisdiction over the matter.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered and the complaint is dismissed.

Items E-8 and E-9 can be taken together. These items are customer complaints against ComEd. In each case the parties have
apparently settled their differences and brought a Joint Motion to Dismiss, which the ALJ recommends we grant.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Joint Motions to Dismiss are granted.

Item E-10 is Docket No. 12-0105. This is Smart Energy's application for a Certificate of Service Authority to operate as an alternative retail electric supplier. We previously granted a certificate in this matter and before us today is an Amendatory Order which also grants single billing option authority to the company. ALJ Yoder recommends entry of this Amendatory Order.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Amendatory Order is
Item E-11 is Docket No. 12-0167. This is Public Power's application for a Certificate of Service Authority to operate as an alternative retail electric supplier. We will be holding this item for disposition in a future Commission proceeding and today, I believe, Commissioner Colgan has a few questions for ALJ Albers and would like an update on the matter.

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Is Judge Albers available?

JUDGE ALBERS: I am here.

COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Okay. I've asked for this to be held and I worked with Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz on this issue. We filed a Commissioner data request that came back that seemed to me that there were some parts that were missing in our requests. And I was wondering if you would be available to just give us an update on where this issue stands right now.

JUDGE ALBERS: I can try, sir.
I'm aware of a couple discrepancies within the response to the Commissioners' data request. One concerns a reference to some undocketed correspondence dated November 1st, that's referenced on the first page of the response. I do not see that correspondence anywhere in their response. And then later in their response to the Commissioner's DRs, there is a set of questions from the Connecticut Public Utility Agency seeking responses and there does not appear to be any answers to those included in Public Power's response to this Commission's DRs. So I would be happy to direct Public Power on behalf of the Commissioners to provide those two pieces of information and to provide anything else that the Commissioners might see fit.

COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Okay. That does address the issues that I found were lacking and I appreciate your offer to request for those documents to be submitted to us.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz.

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Yes.

Judge Albers, thank you very much for,
number one, effectuating the Commissioners' data request, which is not something we do quite often, so to me it's kind of a big deal if the Commissioners are making a data request of a petitioner. So I thank you for making sure that that was sent out in due deliberate speed once the Commission had this on our agenda. I think you were very kind when you suggested that these were discrepancies in the documents that were filed in response to the Commissioners' data request. What I would call them is deficiencies. And as we look at this company, we are looking at whether they have the financial, managerial, and technical ability to provide the suggested service that they're seeking to be licensed for in our state. And by virtue of the fact that now we are on an Easter egg hunt for the information that we requested in the first Commissioners' data request and we -- it is not on our desk for us to be able to look at it, it does go, as least in my mind, to the managerial ability of this company to provide the proposed services in our state.

I think it's really important that the
management understands that when the Commissioners are looking at -- you know, we could very easily suggest that this is not a company that we would like to have in business in our state; but we're going the extra mile by asking them some questions relative to their activities in other states that affect the consumers. So I think it behooves them to respond quickly and completely to the next request that we now are giving them with regard to what the Commission is looking at.

And so I think we await that; but, again, I think we need to look at this in terms of their managerial ability and their attention to their case they have pending here. So we will, again, wait for an appropriate response to our initial request.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, Commissioner.

Further discussion?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Well, I agree with those comments. This Commission in solidly on record for wanting the creation of a competitive market in this state. And as that is starting to really start to
roll out in Illinois, it looks like there's been a lot going on and a lot more is being cued up. I think it's really important for us to make sure that when we allow players to come into this market, that we know who they are and we can expect that they're going to be good players in the market.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Further discussion?

(No response.)

Thank you, Judge. Thank you, Commissioners. And, again, that will be held for disposition at a future meeting.

Item E-12 is Docket No. 12-0184. This is Major Energy Electric Services' application for a Certificate of Service Authority to operate as an alternative retail electric supplier. ALJ Albers recommends entry of an Order granting the requested certificate.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Is there any objections to the Order?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered.
Item E-13 through E-18 can be taken together. These items are applications for licensure as an Agent, Broker, and Consultant under Section 16-115C of the Public Utilities Act. In each case ALJ Albers recommends entry of an Order granting the requested certificate.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Orders are entered.

Turning now to Natural Gas.

Item G-1 is Docket No. 10-0398. This concerns the ongoing audit of Just Energy related to the retail natural gas sales and other business practices. The Company has filed a Petition for Interlocutory Review contesting the ruling made by ALJ Sainsot allowing the Citizens Utility Board access to a copy of the audit report.

Is there a discussion on the petition?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I'd like to ask Judge Sainsot a couple of questions, if I could.
I notice that Just Energy has filed a compliance filing saying that it agrees to implement the audit report recommendations. However, their compliance filing does not include an agreement by Just Energy to comply with the audit's recommendation implementation time frames and designated priorities for that compliance.

And I also noticed that Staff filed a response to their compliance filing and made certain recommendations for keeping the current docket open. Staff's recommendations were several; but just to summarize a little bit, Just Energy either voluntarily agreed or the Commission should order Just Energy to comply with the audit recommendation implementation time frames and priorities. That Just Energy files a schedule of compliance dates including dates for progressive and concurrent implementation of all audit recommendations including interim final estimated dates of implementation. And the parties -- they suggest that the parties to the existing docket should be given an opportunity to respond to Just Energy's proposed plan of action and
the Commission or ALJ approve such a schedule and plan of action before the docket is closed.

So my question to you is that, what would the procedure be for keeping this docket open so that we can track Just Energy's compliance with the audit recommendations per Staff's recommendations?

JUDGE SAINSOT: Well, there are various courses that could be taken. Just to back up a little bit, just to give you a brief history, how we got here was that I -- when Just Energy filed a Proposed Order dismissing the case and compliance filing, I asked the parties -- which at that time were Staff and CUB, to respond to that. And it was at that point that CUB was denied access to the full audit report.

So we are kind of at a limbo until there's a ruling from the Commission as to how we're going to proceed for sure. But probably the easiest way is just to leave the docket open and have status hearings. I could just have status hearings regularly like I do in other cases. That's kind of a procedure that I'm comfortable with and then when
there's full compliance or there's periodic updates that the Commission would like, we can certainly advise you more fully. That's one way. Another way is you can close the docket out and have them report. I just think it's easier to just leave it open and proceed like you would in litigation in general.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay.

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Well, I think that sounds good to me that -- I thought that their response, their compliance filing was totally inadequate. All they said is they would agree to do what the audit said to do. That is not sufficient. From my point of view, there are many, many things in that audit report that they're going to be asked to do; but I think that it would behoove us to make sure that there are time frames and schedules in place by which they are going to comply with that audit and that we make sure that those things happen.

So I thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Commissioner O'Connell Diaz.

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I just have one
question with regard to the interlocutory review part of this.

JUDGE SAINSOT: Sure.

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Did CUB execute the Protective Order -- agree to it?

JUDGE SAINSOT: Well, I issued the Protective Order and then Just Energy filed a Petition for Interlocutory Review. And then they filed something asking me, in effect, to stay that ruling and I did because then the whole issue is moot and that's not fair to Just Energy. So that's how that happened.

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: But there's a Protective Order that I read in -- I have the file here -- I read that there is a Protective Order that will bind CUB from, for instance, taking information from the audit report and publicizing it.

JUDGE SAINSOT: Right. And CUB signed off on it and then I issued it, but it's in limbo right now.

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: We have had instances where we have a party that will not agree to a Protective Order and -- especially in circumstances like this, there might be commercially
sensitive information or whatever; but CUB did agree to abide by that. So I'm really quite surprised at the Company's position here. It's pretty clear from the Order that this is their -- in the docket they signed the Protective Order. They have a right to that information. They might not like what's in there, but that's why are doing an audit.

JUDGE SAINSOT: And just so you know, it was CUB's idea. CUB moved for entry of a Protective Order in order to take a look at the information.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: I agree with the comments that Commissioner Colgan made, too. And then there's a number of instances, I think it's good to leave the docket open and have some periodic updates. I appreciate your suggestion there.

There are a number of places in that audit also where they talked about here are the things you need to do and then there are some other issues here. But without some specific recommendations about how to deal with some of those things, I think those are also good for us as a Commission to look at as well because there are some
things that aren't addressed with the specific recommendations that are in the audit.

Commissioner McCabe, did you wish to abstain on this one?

ACTING COMMISSIONER McCabe: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you.

Is there a motion to deny the Petition for Interlocutory Review?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I move to deny it.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any further discussion?

(No response.)

All in favor of the motion signify by saying "aye."

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Aye.

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Aye.

COMMISSIONER FORD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Aye.

Any opposed?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the petition is denied.
by a 4-0 vote.

Thank you, Judge.

Item G-2 is Docket No. 12-0120. This is Champion Energy's petition for a certificate to operate as an alternative gas supplier. ALJ Yoder recommends entry of an Order granting the requested certificate.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered.

Items G-3 and G-4 can be taken together. These items are petitions for confidential and/or proprietary treatment of the petitioner's reports. In each case the ALJ recommends entry of an Order granting the requested protective treatment.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Orders are entered.
Moving on to Telecommunications.

Item T-1 is Docket No. 12-0083. This is TeleMate's application for a Certificate of Prepaid Calling Service Provider Authority. ALJ Riley recommends entry of an Order granting the certificate.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered.

Item T-2 is Docket No. 12-0140. This is South Elgin's Emergency Telephone System Board's petition seeking authority to modify its 9-1-1 emergency response system. ALJ Haynes recommends entry of an Order granting the requested modifications.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered.
Item T-3 is Docket No. 11-0442. This is Frontier North and Frontier Communication of the Carolinas' Petition for confidential and/or proprietary treatment of its 2010 annual report. ALJ Baker recommends entry of an Order granting the requested protective treatment.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered.

Item T-4 is Docket No. 11-0626. This is a rulemaking proceeding for Title 83, Part 755 of the Administrative Code concerning telecommunications access for persons with disabilities. ALJ Riley recommends entry of an Order authorizing submission of second notice of the proposed amendments to JCAR.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Order is entered.
Items T-5 and T-6 can be taken together. These are petitions for the confidential and/or proprietary treatment of the petitioner's annual report. In each case the ALJ recommends entry of an Order granting the requested protective treatment.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Orders are entered.

We have one miscellaneous item up for consideration today.

Item M-1 concerns the appointment of representatives to the Underground Damage Prevention Advisory Committee. Staff recommends the adoption of a Resolution appointing Ted Anderson, Michael Reynolds, and Michael Parilac to the Committee.

Is there any discussion?

(No response.)

Any objections to adopting this Resolution?
Hearing none, the Resolution is adopted.

We have one Petition for Rehearing today.

Item PR-1 is Docket No. 11-0436. This is Aqua Illinois' 2011 rate case. The Commission entered an Order in this matter on February 16th and before us today is a Petition for Rehearing filed by Viscofan. ALJ Jones recommends entry granting Viscofan's Petition for Rehearing.

Is there any discussion?

ACTING COMMISSIONER McCabe: Mr. Chairman, I abstain from this one.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you.

Are there any objections to granting Viscofan's Petition for Rehearing.

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Company's Petition for Rehearing is granted by a 4-0 vote.

We have two items of other business scheduled for today. Up first is a Resolution
supporting 8-1-1 and the Commission's ongoing "Call Before You Dig" efforts also done in honor of April 2012 the natural safe digging month. Commissioner Ford is our resident gas and pipeline expert.

Did you have something you would like to say about this resolution?

COMMISSIONER FORD: I'm more than happy about this Resolution. As a matter of fact, we're having a meeting on it, but I would like to -- is Darin Burk there -- just give us a brief --

JUDGE WALLACE: I think you get Bill Riley instead.

COMMISSIONER FORD: Okay. Bill Riley, our manager of enforcement -- I'm sorry.

MR. BILL RILEY: That's all right.

Basically what we're just trying to do is get the word out that as excavators get out and start digging more -- not that they really slowed down this year since there wasn't much snow -- but as they get out and homeowners as well, as they start doing those projects to make sure that they follow the law "call before you dig," wait 48 hours to get
the facilities marked, and dig carefully.

We want everyone to be safe on all
their projects and jobs that they're doing and
everybody to go home safe and sound.

COMMISSIONER FORD: Thank you, Bill.

And I think Chicago is the only one
that doesn't have it, 8-1-1.

MR. BILL RILEY: They have 8-1-1. Well, when
you call 8-1-1, within the City, you get DIGGER
instead of getting JULIE when you call from outside
the City.

COMMISSIONER FORD: Thank you.

MR. BILL RILEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you.

Is there further discussion on the
Resolution?

COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I just really
thank Commissioner Ford and everybody that worked on
this Resolution. This is such an important thing as
we head into our -- even though we've had warmer
weather, but I always think of the homeowners that
are out there that don't bother to call. And so what
I say to my neighbors is call 8-1-1 before you have to call 9-1-1 because you never know what you're going to hit and also it will cost you a lot of money. So it's important not just for professional folks out there, but for the Home Depot warriors to act in accordance with what the resolution states.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I would just like to say that I actually had the opportunity to utilize this service last year around this time. I was going to put a fence around my yard and I was going to work with my son and do it myself. So I called JULIE and I was impressed with how the whole -- you know, I got to see hands on how that worked. They showed up and they went around and put the little flags all over the yard. I wasn't happy with where some of the flags did show up, so much so that I actually hired a professional to do it because I wasn't going to be the person who showed up having to call 9-1-1. Then they used that service again and, bingo, it just happened, you know. Like within a day and a half, they were out there and all the flags were put down.
COMMISSIONER FORD: Smart move, John.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's an important public safety message that can't be stressed enough.

Any further discussion on the resolution?

(No response.)

Is there any objections to adopting the resolution?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Resolution is adopted and remember, call before you dig.

One final item concerns the approval of the price benchmark for Ameren's upcoming electric capacity procurement.

Is there any discussion on the price benchmark?

(No response.)

Is there any objections to approving the benchmark?

(No response.)

Hearing none, the Ameren's capacity benchmark is approved.
Lastly I would like to congratulate Commissioner Colgan on his designation as our MISO representative liaison. So, John, congratulations and we're going to be expecting frequent reports about all the activity there.

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: All right. I'll do my best.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Very good.

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: It's some big shoes to fill, though. Commissioner Elliott leaving -- he was my go-to guy when it came to regional transmission issues, so those are some big shoes to fill; but I will do my best.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: You will do fine. Thank you for picking that up. We really appreciate that.

Judge Wallace, any other matters to come before the Commission today?

JUDGE WALLACE: No. I just hope you make it home, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Now I get to fly to Milwaukee to pick up my car. The fun continues.

But thank you, again, everybody for
waiting us out today and we really appreciate
everybody doing that.

Hearing nothing else to come before
the Commission, the meeting stands adjourned.

Thanks, everyone.

(And those were all the
proceedings had.)