

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION)	DOCKET NO.
On Its Own Motion)	02-0485
)	
Adoption of 83 Ill. Adm. Code 734,)	
implementing Section 13-713 of the)	
Public Utilities Act.)	

Springfield, Illinois
October 4, 2002

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 A.M.

BEFORE:

MR. DONALD L. WOODS, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. MARK KERBER
225 West Randolph Street
HQ 25D
Chicago, Illinois 60606

(Appearing on behalf of Ameritech
Illinois via teleconference)

MR. JOHN E. ROONEY
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal
233 South Wacker Drive
8000 Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606

(Appearing on behalf of Verizon North
Inc. And Verizon South Inc. via
teleconference)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, CSR License #084-001662

I N D E X

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

WITNESSES

DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

(None)

EXHIBITS

MARKED

ADMITTED

(None)

PROCEEDINGS

1
2 JUDGE WOODS: At this time we'll call Docket
3 02-0485, Illinois Commerce Commission On Its Own
4 Motion, adoption of 83 Illinois Administrative Code
5 734 implementing Section 13-713 of the Public
6 Utilities Act.

7 This cause comes on for hearing October 4,
8 2002, before Donald L. Woods, an Administrative Law
9 Judge appointed by the Illinois Commerce Commission
10 under the authority of the Public Utilities Act.
11 The cause was set today for a status hearing.

12 We do have two parties appearing by telephone.
13 At this time I would take those appearances, please,
14 beginning with Mr. Kerber.

15 MR. KERBER: This is Mark Kerber on behalf of
16 Ameritech Illinois.

17 MR. ROONEY: And John Rooney on behalf of
18 Verizon North Inc. and Verizon South Inc.

19 JUDGE WOODS: Any additional appearances? Let
20 the record reflect no response.

21 As noted previously, this is a rulemaking.
22 First notice was published I believe sometime in

1 August. This hearing was schedule at the conclusion
2 of the 45-day first hearing notice period. My
3 inclination would be to simply move this on to
4 second notice at this time. My review of the
5 e-Docket filing system indicates that no comments
6 have been filed regarding the rule.

7 I also believe that there are currently pending
8 some petitions to intervene in the event that
9 intervention is necessary. In a rulemaking, all
10 current pending petitions to intervene are granted.

11 Anything further, Mr. Kerber?

12 MR. KERBER: Nothing from me.

13 JUDGE WOODS: Mr. Rooney?

14 MR. ROONEY: Nothing here, judge.

15 JUDGE WOODS: Okay. We'll move this on to
16 second notice. It's doubtful that we'll schedule
17 another hearing, but I'll probably leave the docket
18 open on general continuance until JCAR gets done
19 looking at the rule, so we'll put this on general
20 continuance.

21 MR. KERBER: That's fine with Ameritech
22 Illinois.

1 MR. ROONEY: Same with Verizon.

2 (Whereupon the case was continued
3 generally.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22