

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Illinois Commerce Commission	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
North Shore Gas Company	)	Docket No. 15-0549
The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company	)	
	)	
Reconciliation of Revenues Collected	)	
under Riders EOA with the actual costs	)	
associated with energy efficiency and	)	
on-bill financing programs	)	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY  
OF  
LYNN M. HOSTY

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. Lynn M. Hosty, 200 East Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 3 Q. Are you the same Lynn M. Hosty who submitted direct testimony in this
- 4 proceeding?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
- 7 A. I am responding on behalf of North Shore Gas Company (“North Shore”)
- 8 and The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company (“Peoples Gas”) to the direct
- 9 testimony of Illinois Commerce Commission (“Commission”) Staff witness
- 10 Richard Bridal.
- 11 Q. Do you have any updates to the Exhibits submitted in your Direct
- 12 Testimony?

13 A. Yes, the Company will be submitting Revised Exhibit 2.1NR and Exhibit  
14 2.2PR.

15 Q. In Mr. Bridal's testimony Ex. 1.0 lines 74-80, he refers to North Shore PY 3  
16 Factor O adjustments disposition, do you agree with this?

17 A. Yes, I agree but Mr. Bridal omitted from his testimony the mention of the  
18 Peoples Gas PY 3 Factor O's that will be included in the reconciliation  
19 adjustment calculations for the fifth program year (PY5) as well.

20 Q. Mr. Bridal's Schedule 1.01N & 1.04N (for North Shore) and Schedule  
21 1.03P, 1.04P & 1.05P (for Peoples Gas) show a summary of his recommended  
22 Factor O components for the Rider EOA, Energy Efficiency and On-Bill Financing  
23 Adjustment, reconciliation. Do you agree with the data on these schedules?

24 A. Yes, I agree with the calculation of the amounts but line 10, column (a) for  
25 all the above mentioned schedules need to be updated to read RA to be  
26 Recovered\ (Refunded) in Future Filing.

27 Q. Mr. Bridal's Schedule 1.02N & 1.03N (for North Shore) and Schedule  
28 1.01P & 1.02P (for Peoples Gas) show a summary of his recommended Factor O  
29 components for the Rider EOA, Energy Efficiency and On-Bill Financing  
30 Adjustment, reconciliation. Do you agree with the data on these schedules?

31 A. No, the above mentioned schedules have minor numeric and title errors  
32 that are addressed below.

33 • For all schedules line 10, column (a) needs to be updated to read  
34 RA to be Recovered\ (Refunded) in Future Filing.

- 35           • For Schedule 1.02N; line 4, column (b) the amount should be  
36           \$187,573, which will update column (e).
- 37           • For Schedule 1.03N; line 1, column (b) should be \$(937,692) which  
38           will update column (e). Line 4, column (b) should be \$2,104,246,  
39           which will update column (e). Line 9, column (a) should be labeled  
40           PY 3 – Commission Ordered Adjustment (O) to be (Refunded)  
41           in PY5.
- 42           • For Schedule 1.01P; line 4, column (e) the amount should be  
43           \$4,929,548, which will update lines 8 & 12.
- 44           • For Schedule 1.02P; line 3, column (e) the amount should be  
45           \$4,114,979 and line 12, column(e) should be \$745,079.

46 Q.     In Mr. Bridal's testimony Ex. 1.0 lines 178-180, he proposes  
47 implementation of Factor O Ordered Adjustments by the Commission in the Final  
48 Order, do you agree with this?

49 A.     No, the Companies propose inclusion of the Factor O adjustments in their  
50 next Reconciliation Adjustment filing following the Final Order, this methodology  
51 is consistent with past practice and direction provided by Commission Staff  
52 previously.

53 Q.     Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

54 A.     Yes, it does.