PUBLIC

Landowner Contact Summary

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

PIN Number(s)	05-17-400-002, 05-20-200-001, 05-20-200-002
Landowner Name(s)	[redacted]
ComEd Project ID(s)	P_KA_050, P_KA_051, P_KA_052
Date Summary Prepared	September 28, 2015

Landowner Contact Summary

ComEd, on its own and/or through its authorized agent, Atwell, LLC ("Atwell"), has attempted to contact or been in contact with the above named property owners ("Owners") 40 times for the purposes of attempting to negotiate in good faith an easement upon or across the Owners' property to construct and operate the Grand Prairie Gateway Project ("Project"). The primary points of contact of whom Atwell attempted to negotiate with was Mr. [redacted], a trustee for the [redacted] Family Declaration of Trust and Mr. [redacted], Mr. [redacted] grandson and Real Estate Agent/Broker. The Owners have retained Mr. [redacted] as their representing counsel. As summarized herein and detailed in the contact log for the Owners, despite multiple attempts to contact and/or negotiate with the Owners, ComEd has been unable to reach an agreement with the Owners.

ComEd mailed via Certified Mail the required Part 300 letter to the Owners on November 10, 2014. The Part 300 letter was accepted by the Owners on November 17, 2014. On April 8, 2015, the Illinois Commerce Commission ("ICC") ruled on rehearing, confirming the route approved on October 22, 2014. Following the ICC ruling, ComEd was able to meet with Mr. [redacted] to extend its offer of compensation for the requested easement on April 23, 2015. During this meeting, Mr. [redacted] was presented with the Project Fact Sheet, diagrams of the intended representative transmission structures for the Project, a Preliminary Market Value Appraisal Restricted Report ("Restricted Report") specific to the Owners property, a Compensation Summary Form, a map showing where the proposed easement would be located on the Owners property, a Right of Entry Agreement and a Proposed Easement Agreement. He identified that he would like details on how ComEd would construct over the two creeks on his parcels. The following table summarizes ComEd's original offer of compensation, excluding crop damages.

Fair Market Value (as identified within the Restricted Report)	Original Offer of Compensation
$[redacted]	$[redacted]

ComEd's original offer of compensation for the requested easement increased the appraised easement value by 30%, providing for a market adjustment. ComEd's original offer of compensation also included an additional 20% above the appraised easement value as an "early signing incentive" in the event that the easement was agreed to before July 31, 2015.

On April 24, 2015, Atwell met with Mr. [redacted] to complete the property questionnaire. Atwell called Mr. [redacted] on April 28, 2015 to confirm ComEd would use matting to build temporary bridges for construction over the two creeks on his parcels.

Following three attempts at contact, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted], Mr. [redacted]' grandson and Real Estate Agent/Broker, on May 13, 2015. They indicated that they met with their attorney and would be conducting their own appraisal as it pertains to the impact on the remainder. Mr. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted] indicated they would call on May 29, 2015 to set up an appointment with Atwell. Atwell had a conference call with Mr. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted] on May 29, 2015. Mr. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted] expressed concern over the type of structures being proposed. They were also

Landowner Contact Summary PUBLIC

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

concerned that the Project would bisect Mr. [redacted] three parcels. The parties agreed Mr. [redacted] would send Atwell a list of questions.

On June 15, 2015, Atwell replied to Mr. [redacted]'s email, which was received by Atwell on the same day. Mr. [redacted] had requested an alternate route, additional information regarding the proposed structures, and the purpose of the administrative payment. Mr. [redacted] replied with a second set of questions on June 18, 2015, which Atwell replied to on June 19, 2015. These questions pertained to Mr. [redacted]'s alternate route, temporary and permanent access, future poles, pole placement, crop damages, and the Project timeline.

Atwell met with Mr. [redacted] and Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] on June 23, 2015. The questions and answers within the preceding email exchanges were reviewed. Mr. [redacted] and Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] requested that ComEd review their alternate route again. They also indicated they do not agree with ComEd's valuation of the impact to the remainder and presented Atwell with a request for a higher crop damages value.

On July 30, 2015, following eight emails, Atwell explained ComEd's response to the Owners' proposed alternate route, stating it is not a viable option as it would be much more costly than the comparable portion of the route that had already been approved by the ICC.

On August 19, 2015, ComEd sent Mr. [redacted] a letter informing him that the 20% incentive is now expired and that its offer of compensation would be less this 20%.

On August 28, 2015, following four previous email exchanges, Atwell emailed Mr. [redacted] to inquire as to whether or not the Owners had retained counsel and to request a compensation figure they would like ComEd to consider.

On September 1, 2015, Mr. [redacted] indicated that he would present ComEd with a counteroffer. Mr. [redacted] provided two counteroffers on September 4, 2015. One option totaled $[redacted] and the second option, which included a re-route, totaled $[redacted]. No appraisal was presented to ComEd to substantiate these counteroffers. ComEd responded to those offers on September 10, 2015. ComEd's response pointed out that the [redacted]' September 4, 2015 counteroffer was not based upon any market data, and was therefore rejected.

As of September 28, 2015, ComEd has not been able to reach an agreement with Mr. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted].

PUBLIC

Landowner Contact Summary

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

PIN Number(s)	19-04-100-004
Landowner Name(s)	[redacted]
ComEd Project ID(s)	P_OG_050
Date Summary Prepared	September 28, 2015

Landowner Contact Summary

ComEd, on its own and/or through its authorized agent, Atwell, LLC ("Atwell"), has attempted to contact or been in contact with the above named property Owner ("Owner") 43 times for the purposes of attempting to negotiate in good faith an easement upon or across the Owner's property to construct and operate the Grand Prairie Gateway Project ("Project"). The primary point of contact of whom Atwell attempted to negotiate with was Mr. [redacted]. The law firm of [redacted] is representing the Owner. As summarized herein and detailed in the contact log for the Owner, despite multiple attempts to contact and/or negotiate with the Owner, ComEd has been unable to reach an agreement.

ComEd mailed via Certified Mail the required Part 300 letter to the Owner on November 10, 2014. The Part 300 letter was accepted by the Owner on November 12, 2014. Following three attempts, Atwell was able to meet with Mr. [redacted] on December 6, 2014. At this time, Atwell asked to set up a formal meeting to discuss the Project. Mr. [redacted] declined to set up a meeting at that time, and said he would think about it and may or may not reach out to Atwell for another meeting. Mr. [redacted] noted that his main concern was the location of the line on his property.

Following two more attempts at contact, Atwell spoke with Mrs. [redacted] on January 9, 2015. Mrs. [redacted] stated that she and her husband, [redacted] would be the contact for the property. Atwell explained to Mrs. [redacted] what documents were previously provided for their other property, P_OG_046. Atwell indicated they would provide the same documents for this parcel, P_OG_050. Atwell was able to meet with Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] on January 10, 2015 and extended ComEd's offer of compensation for the requested easement. During this meeting, Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] were presented with the Project Fact Sheet, diagrams of the intended representative transmission structures for the Project, a Preliminary Market Value Appraisal Restricted Report ("Restricted Report") specific to the [redacted] property, a Compensation Summary Form, a map showing where the proposed easement would be located on the [redacted] property, a Right of Entry Agreement, a Proposed Easement Agreement, a Part 300 letter and a property questionnaire. The following table summarizes ComEd's original offer of compensation, excluding crop damages.

Fair Market Value (as identified within the Restricted Report)	Original Offer of Compensation
$[redacted]	$[redacted]

ComEd's original offer of compensation for the requested easement increased the appraised easement value by 30%, providing for a market adjustment. ComEd's original offer of compensation also included an additional 20% above the appraised easement value as an "early signing incentive" in the event that the easement was agreed to and executed before April 15, 2015.

The negotiations that followed primarily focused on Mr. [redacted]'s other parcel P_OG_046, which is also effected by ComEd's Grand Prairie Gateway Project. Following 33 attempts at contact, on August 10, 2015, Mr. [redacted] signed an Option Agreement for parcel P_OG_046. However, P_OG_050 is still being negotiated through [redacted].

Landowner Contact Summary PUBLIC

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

ComEd's legal team was also asked by [redacted] to provide an updated compensation form for P_OG_050. The updated compensation form was updated based on the most recent restricted appraisal report completed on July 17, 2015.

On August 19, 2015, ComEd sent Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] a letter informing them that the 20% incentive is now expired and that its offer of compensation would be less this 20%.

As of September 28, 2015, ComEd has not been able to reach an agreement with Mr. [redacted].

PUBLIC

Landowner Contact Summary

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

PIN Number(s)	12-32-400-016
Landowner Name(s)	[redacted]
ComEd Project ID(s)	P_OG_049
Date Summary Prepared	September 28, 2015

Landowner Contact Summary

ComEd, on its own and/or through its authorized agent, Atwell, LLC ("Atwell"), has attempted to contact or been in contact with the above named property Owner ("Owner") 32 times for the purposes of attempting to negotiate in good faith an easement upon or across the Owner's property to construct and operate the Grand Prairie Gateway Project ("Project"). The primary point of contact of whom Atwell attempted to negotiate with was Mr. [redacted]. As summarized herein and detailed in the contact log for the Owner, despite multiple attempts to contact and/or negotiate with the Owner, ComEd has been unable to reach an agreement.

ComEd mailed via Certified Mail the required Part 300 letter to the then current Owner at the time, [redacted], [redacted] and [redacted] all Successor Co-Trustees of Trust Number One dated May 27, 1994, on November 10, 2014. The Part 300 letter was accepted on November 13, 2014. Following two attempts at contact, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted] on December 2, 2014. Mr. [redacted] told Atwell that the parcel was under contract and pending sale and to contact the realtor Mr. [redacted].

On December 5, 2015 Atwell contacted Mr. [redacted] and he confirmed the pending sale of the property. Atwell explained to Mr. [redacted] that ComEd is attempting to negotiate an easement from the landowners. Mr. [redacted] said that he would talk with the current owners and the buyer, Mr. [redacted], and ask how they would like to proceed with the easement negotiations.

Following four attempts at contact, on January 15, 2015, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] indicated he would email Atwell updates regarding the sale of the property. Later that day Atwell attempted to reach Mr. [redacted] because an email was never received.

Following four attempts at contact, on January 29, 2015 Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted]. My. [redacted] indicated he was updating his buyer and seller information so negotiations could proceed. A meeting was set for January 30, 2015 at 3:00pm at Mr. [redacted]s office in Rochelle, IL.

On January 30, 2015 Atwell met with Mr. [redacted] to discuss the parcel. Mr. [redacted] said that the buyer and sellers have discussed the ComEd easement however; no decision has been made on how the ComEd easement would be negotiated. Atwell asked if Mr. [redacted] would set up a meeting between the parties. Mr. [redacted] indicated he would speak with the [redacted]s and respond to Atwell.

Following one attempt at contact, on February 5, 2015, Atwell met with Mr. [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] stated he had not spoken with the parties yet but he would follow up with them within the next two days. Atwell indicated they would reach out to both parties as well and follow up with Mr. [redacted] on the following week.

Following four attempts at contact, on February 24, 2015, Atwell met with Mr. [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] stated that he was having a difficult time contacting the sellers and had been unable to reach them. Atwell asked if there was an agreement regarding easement negotiations. Mr. [redacted] stated the parties were still negotiating who would receive the easement payment. Atwell told Mr. [redacted] that he would reach out to both the buyer and seller.

PUBLIC

Landowner Contact Summary

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

Following one attempted contact, on February 25, 2015 Atwell spoke with the buyer, Mr. [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] said he is scheduled to close on the parcel next week. He stated that he is utilizing [redacted] to negotiate easement agreement language and until that is accomplished he would not proceed with negotiations.

On February 26, 2015, Mr. [redacted] purchased parcel 12-32-400-016. On March 10, 2015, ComEd mailed Mr. [redacted] a letter notifying him that the 20% incentive expiration date was being extended from January 31, 2015 to April 15, 2015.

Following seven attempts at contact, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted] on March 11, 2015. Mr. [redacted] indicated he would not be signing the Right of Entry Agreement.

Following ten attempts at contact, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted], Mr. [redacted]s cousin on April 15, 2015, and Mr. [redacted] indicated he had not spoken with [redacted] and that they were likely going to be retaining counsel. Mr. [redacted] indicated he was concerned with the impact on the remainder for the property.

Following three attempts to reach Mr. [redacted], on July 8, 2015, ComEd mailed to Mr. [redacted] ComEd's offer of compensation for the requested easement. This package included the Project Fact Sheet, diagrams of the intended representative transmission structures for the Project, a Preliminary Market Value Appraisal Restricted Report ("Restricted Report") specific to the [redacted] property, a Compensation Summary Form, a map showing where the proposed easement would be located on the [redacted] property, a Right of Entry Agreement, a Proposed Easement Agreement, and a copy of the Part 300 letter that was mailed to the previous Owner. The following table summarizes ComEd's original offer of compensation, excluding crop damages.

Fair Market Value (as identified within the Restricted Report)	Original Offer of Compensation
$[redacted]	$[redacted]

ComEd's original offer of compensation for the requested easement increased the appraised easement value by 30%, providing for a market adjustment. ComEd's original offer of compensation also included an additional 20% above the appraised easement value as an "early signing incentive" in the event that the easement was agreed to before July 31, 2015.

Atwell made two attempts at contact between July 2015 and August 2015. Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted] on August 25, 2015. He indicated that Mr. [redacted] had not decided how to proceed with negotiations.

On August 19, 2015, ComEd sent Mr. [redacted] a letter informing him that the 20% incentive is now expired and that its offer of compensation would be less this 20%.

Following one attempt at contact, Atwell received a call from Attorney [redacted] on September 14, 2015 which informed them she will be representing [redacted]. On September 15, 2015 Atwell received another call from Attorney [redacted] saying she would email [redacted]s counter offer on P_OG_049 and P_OG_059 to Atwell and ComEd. On September 16, 2015 Atwell received [redacted]s counter offer which included a demand for the easement at $[redacted]/acre and a demand for damage to the remainder at $[redacted]/for each acre outside of the easement area. Neither demand was supported by any market data. ComEd responded to that offer on September 28, 2015 addressing concerns related to relocation of the project, compensation, and terms of the easement.

As of September 28, 2015, ComEd has not been able to reach an agreement with Mr. [redacted].

PUBLIC

Landowner Contact Summary

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

PIN Number(s)	05-21-100-010, 05-21-100-006
Landowner Name(s)	[redacted]
ComEd Project ID(s)	P_KA_055, P_KA_056
Date Summary Prepared	September 28, 2015

Landowner Contact Summary

ComEd, on its own and/or through its authorized agent, Atwell, LLC ("Atwell"), has attempted to contact or been in contact with the above named property owners ("Owners") 32 times for the purposes of attempting to negotiate in good faith an easement upon or across the Owners' property to construct and operate the Grand Prairie Gateway Project ("Project"). The primary point of contact of whom Atwell attempted to negotiate with was Mr. [redacted]. The Owners have retained Mr. [redacted] as counsel. As summarized herein and detailed in the contact log for the Owners, despite multiple attempts to contact and/or negotiate with the Owners, ComEd has been unable to reach an agreement.

ComEd mailed via Certified Mail the required Part 300 letter to the Owners on November 10, 2014. The Part 300 letter was accepted by the Owners on November 12, 2014. On April 8, 2015, the Illinois Commerce Commission ("ICC") ruled on rehearing, confirming the route approved on October 22, 2014. Following the ICC ruling, ComEd was able to meet with Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] to extend its offer of compensation for the requested easement on April 22, 2015. During this conversation, Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] were presented with the Project Fact Sheet, diagrams of the intended representative transmission structures for the Project, a Preliminary Market Value Appraisal Restricted Report ("Restricted Report") specific to the Owners' property, a Compensation Summary Form, a map showing where the proposed easement would be located on the Owners' property, a Right of Entry Agreement and a Proposed Easement Agreement. During this meeting, Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] identified that their main concern was in regard to the property value. The following table summarizes ComEd's original offer of compensation, excluding crop damages.

Fair Market Value (as identified within the Restricted Report)	Original Offer of Compensation
$[redacted]	$[redacted]

ComEd's original offer of compensation for the requested easement increased the appraised easement value by 30%, providing for a market adjustment. ComEd's original offer of compensation also included an additional 20% above the appraised easement value as an "early signing incentive" in the event that the easement was agreed to before July 31, 2015.

Following one conversation with Mr. and Mrs. [redacted], Atwell met Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] on April 24, 2015 to complete the Property Questionnaire. During the meeting, the [redacted]s identified their concern as to how ComEd would cross the creek on their property.

On May 4, 2015, Atwell spoke with Ms. [redacted] who indicated that Mr. [redacted] would follow the same approach with the easement as Mr. [redacted]. Atwell also updated Ms. [redacted] on how ComEd would cross the creek on their property. On May 11, 2015, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] indicated that he would follow the same approach with the easement as Mr. [redacted].

On May 26, 2015, following one attempt at contact, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] identified his concern regarding the value of the impact to the remainder. After two conversations, Mr.

PUBLIC

Landowner Contact Summary

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

[redacted] attended one of his neighbor's meetings with Atwell on July 13, 2015. At this meeting, Mr. stated he was in agreement with the Watermann/Schramm proposed alternate route.

On August 3, 2015, after one attempt at contact, Atwell spoke with Mr. and Mrs. [redacted]. Atwell indicated that ComEd had reviewed the requested route adjustment but rejected it as it would be more costly than the route already approved by the ICC.

On August 19, 2015, ComEd sent Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] a letter informing them that the 20% incentive is now expired and that its offer of compensation would be less this 20%.

On August 25, 2015, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] indicated that he was agreeable to the offer of compensation that included his crop values, but he also wanted his attorney to review the easement language. Mr. [redacted] indicated that he would get back in touch with Atwell.

Atwell received a voicemail from Mr. [redacted] on August 31, 2015 requesting Atwell provide him a copy of the easement agreement. Atwell provided Mr. [redacted] with a copy of the Draft Easement Agreement for his review.

On September 5, 2015, Atwell met with the Mr. and Mrs. [redacted]. Mr. and Mrs. [redacted] presented a counteroffer.

On September 8, 2015, Atwell attempted to contact Mr. [redacted] to confirm he'd received the Draft Easement Agreement. On September 9, 2015, Atwell spoke with Mr. [redacted] who indicated he had spoken with his attorney and had requested that Mr. [redacted] quickly finish his review of the easement language. In addition, Atwell informed Mr. [redacted] that ComEd was still considering the Owners' counteroffer. ComEd concluded that further negotiations on compensation should not proceed until after ComEd evaluated any proposed changes to the easement terms.

On September 15, 2015, Mr. [redacted] sent Atwell and ComEd easement language requests regarding easement access, structures and underground facilities.

On September 24, 2015, ComEd sent Mr. [redacted] a red-line version of the easement addressing the issues the landowners had raised. ComEd informed Mr. [redacted] that as a part of ComEd's land acquisition efforts the [redacted]'s parcels would be included on the petition to file with the ICC. However, ComEd said they would still like to keep negotiating with the [redacted].

As of September 28, 2015, ComEd has not been able to reach an agreement with the Owners.

PUBLIC

Landowner Contact Summary

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

PIN Number(s)	05-21-200-001
Landowner Name(s)	[redacted]
ComEd Project ID(s)	P_KA_057
Date Summary Prepared	September 28, 2015

Landowner Contact Summary

ComEd, on its own and/or through its authorized agent, Atwell, LLC ("Atwell"), has attempted to contact or been in contact with the above named property owners ("Owners") 23 times for the purposes of attempting to negotiate in good faith an easement upon or across the Owners' property to construct and operate the Grand Prairie Gateway Project ("Project"). The primary point of contact of whom Atwell attempted to negotiate with was Ms. [redacted]. As summarized herein and detailed in the contact log for the Owners, despite multiple attempts to contact and/or negotiate with the Owners, ComEd has been unable to reach an agreement.

ComEd mailed via Certified Mail the required Part 300 letter to the Owners on November 10, 2014. The Part 300 letter was accepted by the Owners on November 12, 2014. On April 8, 2015, the Illinois Commerce Commission ("ICC") ruled on rehearing, confirming the route approved on October 22, 2014. Following the ICC ruling, after three attempts at contacting Ms. [redacted], Atwell spoke with Ms. [redacted] and a meeting was scheduled for July 13, 2015. Ms. [redacted] indicated that her main concern was the location of the proposed electric transmission line on her property.

On July 13, 2015, Atwell met with Ms. [redacted], Mr. [redacted], her son, and Ms. [redacted], her daughter to extend an offer of compensation for the requested easement. During this meeting, the Owners were presented with the Project Fact Sheet, diagrams of the intended representative transmission structures for the Project, a Preliminary Market Value Appraisal Restricted Report ("Restricted Report") specific to the Owners' property, a Compensation Summary Form, a map showing where the proposed easement would be located on the Owners' property, a Right of Entry Agreement and a Proposed Easement Agreement. During this meeting, the Owners identified concerns regarding the location of the easement along the middle of the 120-acre parcel and the value of the impact on the remainder. The Owners proposed an alternate route for ComEd to consider.

The following table summarizes ComEd's original offer of compensation, excluding crop damages.

Fair Market Value (as identified within the Restricted Report)	Original Offer of Compensation
$[redacted]	$[redacted]

ComEd's original offer of compensation for the requested easement increased the appraised easement value by 30%, providing for a market adjustment. ComEd's original offer of compensation also included an additional 20% above the appraised easement value as an "early signing incentive" in the event that the easement was agreed to before July 31, 2015.

Relative to this alternate route, Ms. [redacted] indicated during the meeting on July 13, 2015 that she didn't believe there were restrictions on the Forest Preserve property south of the railroad tracks that would prevent the line from being located on this property, as was presented in the rehearing. The Owners explained that they would like to see the line on the 30-acre Forest Preserve parcel. Ms.

PUBLIC

Landowner Contact Summary

ComEd
An Exelon Company

GRAND PRAIRIE GATEWAY PROJECT

[redacted] stated that other affected property owners were all in agreement as to the line being moved to the corner of their neighbor's property, and then extending east to the railroad from there. Atwell explained that there were too many variables and that ICC has already made their decision on the rehearing. Ms. [redacted] indicated that she would send Atwell proof that there are no restrictions associated with locating the line on the Forest Preserve property and that she would have each affected property owner send an email agreeing to this proposal.

Following three attempts at contact, Atwell emailed Ms. [redacted] on July 31, 2015. Atwell explained in this email that ComEd had reviewed but rejected the proposed alternate route as it would be more costly than the route that had already been approved by the ICC.

On August 5, 2015, Atwell spoke with Ms. [redacted] who indicated she is adamant about moving the line to the north part of the property. Atwell asked Ms. [redacted] if she would consider presenting a counteroffer to ComEd. Ms. [redacted] responded that we consider this.

On August 18, 2015, Atwell had a similar discussion with Ms. [redacted]. This same day, Atwell received a voicemail from Mr. [redacted] whereby he stated that ComEd will not go through the middle of the property.

On August 19, 2015 ComEd sent Ms. [redacted] a letter informing her that the 20% incentive is now expired and that its offer of compensation would be less this 20%. On August 22, 2015, Mr. [redacted] again left a message indicating that ComEd would not be going through the middle of their farm. Atwell also spoke with Ms. [redacted] about the status of the easement and she indicated she would speak with her daughter.

Following two attempts at contact, Atwell spoke with Ms. [redacted] to check on the status of the negotiations on September 1, 2015. Ms. [redacted] indicated she needed to speak with her family. Mr. [redacted] called Atwell on September 1, 2015 after speaking with his mother and he indicated that he would be calling his attorney and that he believed the line would decrease the value of the property.

On September 8, 2015 Attorney [redacted] contacted ComEd to discuss certain terms of the easement agreement. Mr. [redacted] informed ComEd that he represented the landowners solely for the purpose of negotiating the terms of the easement and that the landowners would continue to deal with Atwell directly concerning compensation issues. Mr. [redacted] requested modifications to the easement language.

On September 17, ComEd sent a revised version of the easement to Mr. [redacted]. At the request of Mr. [redacted], on September 28, ComEd sent a red-line version of the easement showing all changes to the original easement agreement that were acceptable to ComEd.

As of September 28, 2015, ComEd has not been able to reach an agreement with the Owners.