

RatingsDirect®

Ameren Corp.

Primary Credit Analyst:

Barbara A Eiseman, New York (1) 212-438-7666; barbara.eiseman@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Gabe Grosberg, New York (1) 212-438-6043; gabe.grosberg@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Standard & Poor's Base-Case Scenario

Company Description

Business Risk

Financial Risk

Liquidity

Covenant Analysis

Other Modifiers


Ratings Score Snapshot

Recovery Analysis

Reconciliation

Related Criteria And Research

Ameren Corp.



Rationale

Business Risk: Excellent	Financial Risk: Significant
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fully rate-regulated lower-risk electric and gas utility businesses Slower-than-average economic growth within the company's service territory Higher operational risk of a single nuclear generating plant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of the medial volatility table, reflecting the regulated utility business model that includes the higher operating risk of regulated generation Core financial measures that are consistent with the middle of the range for the significant financial risk profile category Higher capital spending in 2014

Outlook: Stable

The rating outlook reflects our base case forecast level of adjusted funds from operations to debt of about 18% and debt to EBITDA of about 4x. Fundamental to our forecast is our expectation that the company will continue to manage its regulatory risk, enabling some of the regulated companies to earn their allowed return on equity. We also expect that the company will disproportionately invest in lower-risk rate-regulated electric transmission assets that will gradually strengthen the company's business risk profile.

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings if the company's ability to manage its regulatory risk weakens or if its financial performance unexpectedly deteriorates such that the core financial measures are consistently below the range for the significant financial risk profile category. This could occur if rate case outcomes are consistently weaker than expected, regulatory lag increases, or if there is a material increase to capital spending that is primarily funded with debt.

Upside scenario

We could raise the ratings if the company's business risk profile improves such that the regulatory lag consistently diminishes and that the economic growth in the company's service territory strengthens. We could also raise the ratings if the company's financial measures improve above our base forecast, such that the core financial ratios are consistently at the high end of the significant financial risk profile category. This could occur when the company completes its large capital projects while simultaneously effectively managing costs.

Standard & Poor's Base-Case Scenario

Assumptions	Key Metrics			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued weak economic growth that supports our expectation for flat regulatory sales growth Operating measures for safety, reliability, and generation reliability continue to gradually improve No material improvement assumed to the company's management of regulatory risk Company rate case filings are continually filed on a regular basis High capital spending 	2013A	2014E	2015E	
	FFO/debt (%)	23.7	17-21	17-21
	Debt/EBITDA (x)	3.5	3.5-4	3.5-4
	EBITDA interest coverage (x)	4.3	4-5	4-5
A—Actual. E—Estimated.				

Company Description

Ameren is a large U.S.-based diversified utility holding company. The company's regulated businesses distribute electricity and gas to about 3.3 million customers in Illinois and Missouri.

Business Risk: Excellent

We assess Ameren's business risk profile as "excellent", reflecting our "very low" industry risk assessment of the regulated utility industry and a "very low" country risk based on the company's sole focus on U.S. operations and markets. The company's size (more than 3.3 million electric and gas customers) and regulatory diversity that includes the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC), Missouri Public Service Commission, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) support its credit quality. Furthermore, the company's strategic decision to disproportionately invest in lower-risk electric transmission that the FERC regulates, which we view as one of the most credit-supportive jurisdictions, prospectively strengthens the company's business risk profile. The company has worked diligently with its stakeholders in Illinois to reduce regulatory lag and we expect the company will continue similar efforts in Missouri. We consider the service territory's continued weak economic growth, particularly Missouri, which has dampened overall sales growth, as a negative credit factor.

Peer comparison

Table 1

Ameren Corp. -- Peer Comparison

Industry Sector: Combo

	Ameren Corp.	CMS Energy Corp.	DTE Energy Co.	Great Plains Energy Inc.	Xcel Energy Inc.
Rating as of June 26, 2014	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB/Positive/A-2	BBB+/Positive/A-2	BBB+/Stable/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2
--Average of past three fiscal years--					
(Mil. \$)					
Revenues	6,732.3	6,394.5	8,913.5	2,358.1	10,566.0
EBITDA	2,169.2	1,886.3	2,442.5	969.8	3,128.1
Funds from operations (FFO)	1,683.3	1,286.5	1,695.4	711.5	2,425.9
Net income from cont. operations	19.0	413.3	681.7	208.2	898.3
Cash flow from operations	1,724.6	1,359.5	2,070.8	665.5	2,422.9
Capital spending	1,262.3	1,212.6	1,709.0	584.4	2,678.6
Free operating cash flow	462.3	146.9	361.8	81.1	(255.6)
Discretionary cash flow	77.6	(98.4)	(65.0)	(53.6)	(758.1)
Cash and short-term investments	41.2	35.5	(16.6)	2.4	30.5
Debt	7,670.9	9,540.6	9,180.7	4,374.8	12,385.6
Equity	7,102.7	3,267.0	7,761.2	3,373.8	9,107.4
Adjusted ratios					
EBITDA margin (%)	32.2	29.5	27.4	41.1	29.6
Return on capital (%)	(2.3)	9.0	7.9	7.2	8.0
EBITDA interest coverage (x)	4.0	3.4	4.2	3.7	4.6
FFO cash int. cov. (X)	5.0	4.7	4.9	4.2	5.4
Debt/EBITDA (x)	3.5	5.1	3.8	4.5	4.0
FFO/debt (%)	21.9	13.5	18.5	16.3	19.6

Table 1

Ameren Corp. -- Peer Comparison (cont.)					
Cash flow from operations/debt (%)	22.5	14.3	22.6	15.2	19.6
Free operating cash flow/debt (%)	6.0	1.5	3.9	1.9	(2.1)
Discretionary cash flow/debt (%)	1.0	(1.0)	(0.7)	(1.2)	(6.1)

Financial Risk: Significant

S&P Base-Case Cash Flow And Capital Structure Scenario

- Sales growth is essentially flat, reflecting a combination of persistently slow economic growth in its service territory and ongoing customer conservation efforts.
- Annual capital spending that is greater than \$1.5 billion.
- Disproportionate capital investments in electric-regulated transmission.
- The company earns its allowed return on equity for assets regulated by the FERC.
- Operating measures for safety, reliability, and generation availability continue to gradually improve.

Financial summary

For Ameren, we use the medial volatility table, reflecting the company's lower-risk regulated utility business model that includes the higher operating risk of regulated generation.

We base our significant assessment of Ameren's financial risk profile on our expectation that financial measures will moderately weaken from current levels, reflecting the company increased capital spending. We expect annual capital spending to exceed \$1.5 billion over the next three years, reflecting higher investments in lower-risk regulated electric transmission. We also expect that the higher capital spending will be partially offset by ongoing rate case increases and the use of infrastructure riders that will allow some of Ameren's subsidiaries to earn their allowed return on equity. We expect that Ameren's core financial measures will be in the middle of the significant range of the medial volatility table.

Table 2

Ameren Corp. -- Financial Summary					
Industry Sector: Combo					
	--Fiscal year ended Dec. 31--				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Rating history	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB-/Stable/A-3	BBB-/Positive/A-3	BBB-/Stable/A-3	BBB-/Stable/A-3
(Mil. \$)					
Revenues	5,838.0	6,828.0	7,531.0	7,638.0	7,090.0
EBITDA	1,979.5	2,266.5	2,261.5	2,392.0	2,222.0
Funds from operations (FFO)	1,638.0	1,689.9	1,721.8	1,831.9	1,719.5
Net income from continuing operations	512.0	(974.0)	519.0	139.0	612.0
Cash flow from operations	1,637.0	1,655.9	1,880.8	1,820.9	1,978.5
Capital expenditures	1,424.0	1,301.0	1,062.0	1,121.0	1,784.0

Table 2

Ameren Corp. -- Financial Summary (cont.)					
Free operating cash flow	213.0	354.9	818.8	699.9	194.5
Dividends paid	391.0	385.0	378.0	372.0	354.0
Discretionary cash flow	(178.0)	(30.1)	440.8	327.9	(159.5)
Debt	6,925.8	7,967.9	8,119.0	8,415.4	8,902.6
Preferred stock	71.0	71.0	71.0	71.0	97.5
Equity	6,615.0	6,696.0	7,997.0	7,813.0	7,962.5
Debt and equity	13,540.8	14,663.9	16,116.0	16,228.4	16,865.1
Adjusted ratios					
EBITDA margin (%)	33.9	33.2	30.0	31.3	31.3
EBITDA interest coverage (x)	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.7
FFO cash int. cov. (x)	5.4	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
Debt/EBITDA (x)	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5	4.0
FFO/debt (%)	23.7	21.2	21.2	21.8	19.3
Cash flow from operations/debt (%)	23.6	20.8	23.2	21.6	22.2
Free operating cash flow/debt (%)	3.1	4.5	10.1	8.3	2.2
Discretionary cash flow/debt (%)	(2.6)	(0.4)	5.4	3.9	(1.8)
Net Cash Flow / Capex (%)	87.6	100.3	126.5	130.2	76.5
Return on capital (%)	7.3	(20.1)	6.3	8.2	7.9
Return on common equity (%)	7.2	(14.3)	5.8	1.0	7.6
Common dividend payout ratio (un-adj.) (%)	77.0	(40.6)	73.4	280.9	59.6

N.M. - Not Meaningful.

Liquidity: Adequate

Ameren has "adequate" liquidity, in our view, and can more than cover its needs for the next 12 months, even if EBITDA declines by 10%. We expect the company's liquidity sources over the next 12 months will exceed its uses by more than 1.3x. Under our stress scenario, we do not expect that Ameren would require access to the capital markets during that period to meet its liquidity needs.

Principal Liquidity Sources	Principal Liquidity Uses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operating cash flow of more than \$1.4 billion. • Credit facility availability of about \$1.5 billion. • Minimal cash assumed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintenance capital spending of about \$1.2 billion. • Debt maturities of about \$500 million in 2014. • Dividend payments of about \$400 million.

Debt maturities

Table 3

Ameren Corp. Debt Maturities			
2014	2015	2016	2017
\$534 mil.	\$120 mil.	\$395 mil.	\$681 mil.

Covenant Analysis

As of March 31, 2014, the company had an adequate cushion as per the financial covenant (consolidated ratio of total debt to total capital of no more than 65%).

Other Modifiers

The ratings also reflect the application of a one-notch negative adjustment for our "comparable rating analysis." This reflects our expectations that the company's business risk profile will generally remain at the lower half of the excellent business risk profile category. Pressuring the company's business risk profile is the company's management of regulatory risk that, although improving, continues to result in regulatory lag in some of its businesses so that the company cannot consistently earn its allowed return on equity. In addition, the slow economic growth in the company's service territory is generally also weaker when compared with peers. We also view the company's operation of a single nuclear generating plant as a relatively higher-risk, increasing the company's operating risk compared with peers.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Corporate Credit Rating

BBB+/Stable/A-2

Business risk: Excellent

- **Country risk:** Very low
- **Industry risk:** Very low
- **Competitive position:** Strong

Financial risk: Significant

- **Cash flow/Leverage:** Significant

Anchor: a-

Modifiers

- **Diversification/Portfolio effect:** Neutral (no impact)
- **Capital structure:** Neutral (no impact)
- **Liquidity:** Adequate (no impact)
- **Financial policy:** Neutral (no impact)
- **Management and governance:** Satisfactory (no impact)
- **Comparable rating analysis:** Negative (-1 notch)

Stand-alone credit profile : bbb+

Recovery Analysis

We rate the senior unsecured debt at Ameren one notch lower than the corporate credit rating because of structural subordination. This results from priority obligations exceeding 20% of total assets.

Reconciliation

Table 4

Ameren Corp. Reconciliation

--Fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2013--

Ameren Corp. reported amounts (Mil. \$)

	Debt	Shareholders' equity	Revenues	EBITDA	Operating income	Interest expense	EBITDA	Cash flow from operations	Dividends paid	Capital spending
Reported amounts	6,406.0	6,686.0	5,838.0	1,890.0	1,184.0	398.0	1,890.0	1,636.0	394.0	1,424.0
Standard & Poor's adjustments	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Interest expense (reported)	--	--	--	--	--	--	(398.0)	--	--	--
Interest income (reported)	--	--	--	--	--	--	30.0	--	--	--
Current tax expense (reported)	--	--	--	--	--	--	99.0	--	--	--
Operating leases	85.6	--	--	22.5	9.5	9.5	13.0	13.0	--	--
Intermediate hybrids reported as equity	71.0	(71.0)	--	--	--	3.0	(3.0)	(3.0)	(3.0)	--
Postretirement benefit obligations/deferred compensation	299.7	--	--	(3.0)	(3.0)	36.2	(50.3)	20.7	--	--
Surplus cash	(22.5)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Share-based compensation expense	--	--	--	20.0	--	--	20.0	--	--	--
Asset retirement obligations	--	--	--	19.0	19.0	19.0	6.3	(11.7)	--	--
Non-operating income (expense)	--	--	--	--	43.0	--	--	--	--	--
US decommissioning fund contributions	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(18.0)	--	--
Debt - Accrued interest not included in reported debt	86.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
EBITDA - Other income/(expense)	--	--	--	31.0	31.0	--	31.0	--	--	--
D&A - Other	--	--	--	--	(31.0)	--	--	--	--	--
Total adjustments	519.8	(71.0)	0.0	89.5	68.5	67.6	(252.0)	1.0	(3.0)	0.0

Table 4

Ameren Corp. Reconciliation (cont.)										
Standard & Poor's adjusted amounts										
	Debt	Equity	Revenues	EBITDA	EBIT	Interest expense	Funds from operations	Cash flow from operations	Dividends paid	Capital spending
Adjusted	6,925.8	6,615.0	5,838.0	1,979.5	1,252.5	465.6	1,638.0	1,637.0	391.0	1,424.0

Related Criteria And Research

- Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors for Global Corporate Issuers, Jan. 2, 2014
- Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Corporate Methodology: Ratios and Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Key Credit Factors For The Regulated Utilities Industry, Nov. 19, 2013
- Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013 Collateral Coverage And Issue Notching Rules For '1+' And '1' Recovery Ratings On Senior Bonds Secured By Utility Real Property, Feb. 14, 2013
- Notching Of U.S. Investment-Grade Investor-Owned Utility Unsecured Debt Now Better Reflects Anticipated Absolute Recovery, Nov. 10, 2008

Business And Financial Risk Matrix

Business Risk Profile	Financial Risk Profile					
	Minimal	Modest	Intermediate	Significant	Aggressive	Highly leveraged
Excellent	aaa/aa+	aa	a+/a	a-	bbb	bbb-/bb+
Strong	aa/aa-	a+/a	a-/bbb+	bbb	bb+	bb
Satisfactory	a/a-	bbb+	bbb/bbb-	bbb-/bb+	bb	b+
Fair	bbb/bbb-	bbb-	bb+	bb	bb-	b
Weak	bb+	bb+	bb	bb-	b+	b/b-
Vulnerable	bb-	bb-	bb-/b+	b+	b	b-

Ratings Detail (As Of June 30, 2014)

Ameren Corp.

Corporate Credit Rating	BBB+/Stable/A-2
Senior Unsecured	BBB

Corporate Credit Ratings History

04-Dec-2013	BBB+/Stable/A-2
14-Mar-2013	BBB/Watch Pos/A-2
03-Apr-2012	BBB-/Stable/A-3
22-Nov-2011	BBB-/Positive/A-3

Ratings Detail (As Of June 30, 2014) (cont.)

Related Entities

Ameren Illinois Co.

Issuer Credit Rating	BBB+/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
<i>Local Currency</i>	A-2
Preferred Stock	BBB-
Senior Secured	A
Senior Secured	AA-/Stable
Senior Unsecured	BBB+

*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.