

STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Illinois Bell Telephone Company :
d/b/a AT&T Illinois :
d/b/a AT&T Wholesale and :
XO Communications Services, LLC :
 : **15-0061**
Joint Petition for Approval of 27th :
Amendment to the Interconnection :
Agreement dated January 5, 2015, :
pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252. :

ORDER

By the Commission:

I. **PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

On January 23, 2015, pursuant to 83 Illinois Administrative Code Part 763, Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Illinois d/b/a AT&T Wholesale (“Illinois Bell”) and XO Communications Services, LLC (“XO”), filed a joint petition for approval of the 27th Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement dated January 5, 2015, under Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (47 U.S.C. §§ 151 et seq.) (“the Act”). The Amendment was submitted with the petition. A statement in support of the petition was filed along with verifications sworn to by Ruby Lomas on behalf of Illinois Bell and Gegi Leeger behalf of XO stating that the facts contained in the petition are true and correct to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief. On March 3, 2015, Staff filed its verified statement and the Administrative Law Judge entered it into the record. The Administrative Law Judge waived the hearing in this matter and marked the record “heard and taken”.

II. **SECTION 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT**

Section 252(a)(1) of the Act allows parties to enter into negotiated agreements regarding requests for interconnection services or network elements, as well as amendments to those agreements. Illinois Bell and XO have negotiated such an Amendment to their Agreement and submitted it for approval in this proceeding.

Section 252(e)(1) of the Act provides, in part, that “[a]ny interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation...shall be submitted for approval to the State Commission.” This Section further provides that a State Commission to which such an agreement is submitted “shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.” Section 252(e)(2) provides that the State Commission may only reject

the negotiated agreement if it finds that "the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or that "the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity."

Section 252(e)(4) provides that the agreement shall be deemed approved if the State Commission fails to act within 90 days after submission by the parties. This provision further states that "(n)o State court shall have jurisdiction to review the action of a State Commission in approving or rejecting an agreement under this section". Section 252(e)(5) provides for preemption by the Federal Communications Commission if a State Commission fails to carry out its responsibility, and Section 252(e)(6) provides that any party aggrieved by a State Commission's determination on a negotiated agreement may bring an action in the appropriate Federal District Court.

Section 252(h) requires a State Commission to make a copy of each agreement approved under subsection (3) "available for public inspection and copying within 10 days after the agreement or statement is approved." Section 252(i) requires a local exchange carrier to "make available any interconnection, service, or network element provided under an agreement approved under this section to which it is a party to any other requesting telecommunications carrier upon the same terms and conditions as those provided in the agreement."

III. THE AGREEMENT

The existing Agreement is amended by extending the term of the current Commission approved and ordered Performance Measures and Remedy Plan to December 31, 2016. All other terms and conditions of the underlying Agreement shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

IV. POSITIONS OF THE PARTIES

No party contended that the Amendment is discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Staff reviewed the Amendment in the context of the criteria contained in Section 252(e)(2)(A) of the Act and determined that it met the necessary requirements. Under this Section, the Commission may reject an agreement, or any portion thereof, adopted by negotiation under Subsection (a) only if it finds that (i) the agreement, or a portion thereof, discriminates against as telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (ii) the implementation of such an agreement, or a portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

Mr. Omoniyi stated that the Amendment meets the standards set forth in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. There are no contested issues in this docket. Staff recommended that the Commission approve the Amendment for the reasons set forth in the Verified Statement of Mr. Omoniyi. Staff also recommended that the Commission require Illinois Bell to file with the Office of the Chief Clerk, within five (5) days from the date upon which

the Amendment is approved, a verified statement that the approved Agreement is the same as the Amendment filed in this Docket with the Verified Petition.

V. FINDINGS AND ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

The Commission, having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion and finds that:

- (1) Illinois Bell and XO are telecommunications carriers as defined in Section 13-202 of the Public Utilities Act;
- (2) Illinois Bell and XO have entered into an Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement dated as of January 5, 2015, which has been submitted to the Commission for approval under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996;
- (3) the Commission has jurisdiction of the parties hereto and the subject matter hereof;
- (4) the recitals of fact and conclusions reached in the prefatory portion of this Order are supported by the record and are hereby adopted as findings of fact;
- (5) the Amendment between Illinois Bell and XO does not discriminate against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the Amendment;
- (6) in order to assure that the Amendment is in the public interest, Illinois Bell should implement the Amendment by filing a verified statement with the Chief Clerk of the Commission, within five (5) days of approval by the Commission, that the approved Amendment is the same as the Amendment filed in this docket with the verified petition. The Chief Clerk shall place the Amendment on the Commission's website under Interconnection Agreements;
- (7) Illinois Bell should also place replacement sheets in its tariffs at the following location: Ill.C.C. No. 16 Section 18;
- (8) the Amendment should be approved as hereinafter set forth;
- (9) approval of the Amendment does not have any precedential effect on any future negotiated agreements or Commission Orders.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Illinois Commerce Commission that the 27th Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement dated January 5, 2015, between Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Illinois d/b/a AT&T and XO Communications

Services, LLC is approved pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Illinois Bell shall comply with findings (6) and (7) of this Order within five days of the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is final; it is not subject to the Administrative Review Law.

By Order of the Commission this 22nd day of April, 2015.

(SIGNED) BRIEN SHEAHAN

CHAIRMAN