

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

BEFORE THE  
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF: )  
 )  
 ) Docket No. 13-0497  
 )  
COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY )

Reconciliation of revenues collected under Rider UF  
with uncollectable costs incurred.

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

MAY 28, 2014

Met, pursuant to adjournment at 11:10 a.m., before the  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE D. ETHAN KIMBREL,

APPEARANCES:

MS. BONITA A. PEARCE  
On behalf of the Illinois Commerce Commission,  
Financial Analysis Division;

EIMER STAHL, LLP, by  
MR. JONATHAN M. WIER  
On behalf of Commonwealth Edison Company.

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Pursuant to the  
2 authority of the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now  
3 call Docket 13-0497, Commonwealth Edison Company.

4 This is a reconciliation of revenues collected  
5 under Rider UF with uncollectible costs incurred.

6 Will the parties please identify themselves  
7 for the record, including their address and telephone  
8 number.

9 MS. PEARCE: Appearing for Staff, my name is  
10 Bonita A. Pearce. I'm an accountant in the accounting  
11 department of the Financial Analysis Division. My  
12 business address is 527 East Capitol Avenue,  
13 Springfield, Illinois 62701. My phone number is area  
14 codes (217) 785-5441.

15 MR. WIER: And on behalf of Commonwealth Edison  
16 Company, Jonathan Wier, W I E R. I'm with Eimer Stahl,  
17 LLP, 224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100, Chicago,  
18 Illinois 60604. My telephone number is (312) 660-7618.

19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Okay. Today we  
20 have scheduled an evidentiary hearing.

21 Com Ed, would you like to proceed?

22 MR. WIER: Certainly. Com Ed moves for the  
23 admission into evidence of the following exhibits: The  
24 first is Com Ed Exhibit 1.0, which is the annual report  
25 to the Illinois Commerce Commission concerning the

1 operation of Rider UF uncollectible factors, for the  
2 period beginning June 1st, 2012 and extending through  
3 May 31st, 2013. Com Ed Exhibit 1.0 was filed with the  
4 Commission on August 30th, 2013 via eDocket.

5 The second item is Com Ed Exhibit 2.0, which  
6 is the direct testimony of Gerald J. Kozel, K O Z E L.  
7 It includes supporting Exhibits 2.1 through 2.11. Com  
8 Ed Exhibit 2.0 and Exhibits 2.1 through 2.11 were filed  
9 with the Commission on August 30th, 2013 via eDocket.

10 The third item is Com Ed Exhibit 3.0, which is  
11 the direct testimony of Jennifer V. Montague, M O N T A  
12 A G U E. Com Ed Exhibit 3.0 was filed with the  
13 Commission on August 30th, 2013 via eDocket.

14 And, finally, Com Ed moves for the admission  
15 of Com Ed Exhibit 4.0 and Com Ed Exhibit 5.0, which are  
16 the affidavits of Gerald J. Kozel and Jennifer V.  
17 Montague respectively. Com Ed exhibits 4.0 and 5.0  
18 were filed on May 23rd, 2014 with the Commission on  
19 eDocket.

20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Staff, is there  
21 any objection to Com Ed Exhibits 1.0, 2.0 -- Could we  
22 go the record.

23 (A short break was had.)

24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Back on the  
25 record.

1           2.1 through 2.11, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0?

2           MS. PEARCE: We have no objection, your Honor.

3           ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Okay. That  
4 being the case, Com Ed Exhibits 1.0, 2.0, 2.1 through  
5 2.11, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0 are entered into the record  
6 without objection.

7           Staff, would you like to continue or proceed?

8           MS. PEARCE: Yes, your Honor. I move for  
9 admission into evidence of ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 and  
10 1.1. That would be the direct testimony of Bonita  
11 Pearce and the affidavit. Also, ICC Staff Exhibit 2.0  
12 and 2.1, the direct testimony and affidavit of Joan  
13 Howard, respectively. These were filed on the  
14 Commission's eDocket system on May 20th, 2014. And  
15 finally, I've moved to admit the errata to Staff  
16 Exhibit 1.0, the direct testimony of Bonita A. Pearce,  
17 which was filed on the Commission's eDocket system  
18 May 2nd, 2014.

19           ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Mr. Wier, do  
20 you have any objections to Staff Exhibits 1.0, 1.1,  
21 2.0, 2.1 and the errata to Staff Exhibit 1.0 being  
22 entered into the record?

23           MR. WIER: We have no objection.

24           ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Okay. That  
25 being the case, staff Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 2.0 and 2.1,

1 in addition to errata -- the errata to 1.0 are entered  
2 into the record without objection.

3 Is there anything further we'd like to  
4 discuss?

5 MR. WIER: Judge, we had discussed a schedule for  
6 a draft proposed Order and our proposal was that Com Ed  
7 would circulate a draft proposed Order to Staff for  
8 review by Monday, June 16th, 2014, with comments being  
9 provided back to Com Ed by Thursday, June 19th, 2014.  
10 And, finally, Com Ed would file the draft proposed  
11 Order on eDocket by Thursday, June 26th, 2014.

12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Staff, do you  
13 have any objection to Mr. Wier's proposed schedule?

14 MS. PEARCE: We have no objections, your Honor.

15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Okay. Is there  
16 anything further that we need to discuss?

17 MS. PEARCE: Nothing from Staff, your Honor.

18 MR. WIER: Nothing from Com Ed, your Honor.

19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KIMBREL: Okay. That  
20 being the case, I'll mark this matter heard and taken.

21 (Which were all the proceedings had  
22 in the above-entitled matter.)

23

24

25