

Table of Contents

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

“OIBDA” is not a financial measure calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) in the United States. We define OIBDA as operating income before depreciation and amortization. OIBDA has inherent limitations as discussed below.

OIBDA is one of the primary measures used by management to evaluate our performance and to forecast future results. We believe OIBDA is useful for investors because it enables them to assess our performance in a manner similar to the methods used by management, and provides a measure that can be used to analyze value and compare the companies in the cable industry. A limitation of OIBDA, however, is that it excludes depreciation and amortization, which represents the periodic costs of certain capitalized tangible and intangible assets used in generating revenues in our business. Management uses a separate process to budget, measure and evaluate capital expenditures. In addition, OIBDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies, which may have different depreciation and amortization policies.

OIBDA should not be regarded as an alternative to operating income or net income as an indicator of operating performance, or to the statement of cash flows as a measure of liquidity, nor should it be considered in isolation or as a substitute for financial measures prepared in accordance with GAAP. We believe that operating income is the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure to OIBDA.

In our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, we presented OIBDA as adjusted for non-cash share-based compensation, or “Adjusted OIBDA.” We no longer record non-cash share-based compensation, and believe OIBDA is the most appropriate measure to evaluate our performance and forecast future results. See Notes 2, 8 and 10 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

The table below sets forth our consolidated statements of operations and OIBDA for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands and percentage changes that are not meaningful are marked NM):

	Year Ended December 31,		\$ Change	% Change
	2012	2011		
Revenues	\$681,683	\$675,556	\$ 6,127	0.9%
Costs and expenses:				
Service costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	295,067	293,940	1,127	0.4%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	114,992	114,300	692	0.6%
Management fee expense	11,885	11,896	(11)	(0.1%)
Depreciation and amortization	<u>115,324</u>	<u>117,352</u>	<u>(2,028)</u>	<u>(1.7%)</u>
Operating income	144,415	138,068	6,347	4.6%
Interest expense, net	(95,868)	(97,681)	1,813	(1.9%)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(6,468)	—	(6,468)	NM
Gain (loss) on derivatives, net	5,083	(15,178)	20,261	NM
Gain on sale of cable systems, net	4,920	—	4,920	NM
Investment income from affiliate	18,000	18,000	—	NM
Other expense, net	<u>(1,591)</u>	<u>(1,913)</u>	<u>322</u>	<u>(16.8%)</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 68,491</u>	<u>\$ 41,296</u>	<u>\$27,195</u>	<u>65.9%</u>
OIBDA	<u>\$259,739</u>	<u>\$255,420</u>	<u>\$ 4,319</u>	<u>1.7%</u>

Table of Contents

The table below represents a reconciliation of OIBDA to operating income, which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		\$ Change	% Change
	2012	2011		
OIBDA	\$ 259,739	\$ 255,420	\$ 4,319	1.7%
Depreciation and amortization	(115,324)	(117,352)	2,028	(1.7%)
Operating income	<u>\$ 144,415</u>	<u>\$ 138,068</u>	<u>\$ 6,347</u>	<u>4.6%</u>

Revenues

The tables below set forth revenue and selected subscriber, customer and average monthly revenue statistics as of, and for the years ended, December 31, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands, except per unit data):

	Year Ended December 31,		\$ Change	% Change
	2012	2011		
Video	\$ 359,804	\$ 377,946	\$(18,142)	(4.8%)
HSD	187,473	172,587	14,886	8.6%
Phone	60,724	60,968	(244)	(0.4%)
Business services	56,990	48,573	8,417	17.3%
Advertising	16,692	15,482	1,210	7.8%
Total	<u>\$ 681,683</u>	<u>\$ 675,556</u>	<u>\$ 6,127</u>	<u>0.9%</u>

	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2012	2011		
Video customers	442,000	473,000	(31,000)	(6.6%)
HSD customers	410,000	383,000	27,000	7.0%
Phone customers	166,000	159,000	7,000	4.4%
Primary service units (PSUs)	1,018,000	1,015,000	3,000	0.3%
Average total monthly revenue per video customer ⁽¹⁾	\$ 124.17	\$ 112.26	\$ 11.91	10.6%
Average total monthly revenue per PSU ⁽²⁾	\$ 55.88	\$ 54.11	\$ 1.78	3.3%

(1) Represents average total monthly revenues for the year divided by average video customers for the year.

(2) Represents average total monthly revenues for the year divided by average PSUs for the year.

Revenues increased 0.9%, primarily due to greater contributions from HSD and, to a lesser extent, business services revenues, mostly offset by lower video and, to a lesser extent, phone revenues. Average total monthly revenue per video customer increased 10.6% to \$124.17, and average total monthly revenue per PSU increased 3.3% to \$55.88.

Video revenues declined 4.8%, mainly due to residential video customer losses, which were partly offset by higher unit pricing. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we lost 28,600 video customers, excluding the net effect of an acquisition and a disposition, compared to a loss of 57,000 video customers in the prior year. As of December 31, 2012, we served 442,000 video customers, or 34.0% of our estimated homes passed.

HSD revenues grew 8.6%, largely as a result of higher unit pricing and, to a lesser extent, a greater residential HSD customer base. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we gained 27,800 HSD customers, excluding the net effect of an acquisition and a disposition, compared to an increase of 4,000 HSD customers in the prior year. As of December 31, 2012, we served 410,000 HSD customers, or 31.5% of our estimated homes passed.

Phone revenues declined 0.4%, largely as a result of lower revenues provided by additional services and essentially flat recurring monthly revenues. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we gained 6,400 phone customers, excluding the effect of an acquisition, compared to an increase of 2,000 in the prior year. As of December 31, 2012, we served 166,000 phone customers, or 12.8% of our estimated homes passed.

Table of Contents

Business services revenues rose 17.3%, primarily due to an increase in commercial HSD and phone customers and, to a lesser extent, greater revenues from our enterprise networks business.

Advertising revenues grew 7.8%, principally due to strong political revenues during a national election year and increased automotive advertising.

Costs and Expenses

Service costs increased 0.4%, primarily due to higher employee and field operating costs, largely offset by lower phone service costs and, to a lesser extent, decreased utility expenses. Employee costs increased 7.9%, principally due to higher staffing levels and unfavorable employee benefit adjustments. Field operating costs grew 14.5%, largely as a result of a greater use of outside contractors and, to a lesser extent, higher fiber lease payments. Phone service costs dropped 22.3%, substantially due to cost savings resulting from our transition from a third-party provider to an internal phone service platform. Utilities costs fell 8.1%, largely as a result of decreased electricity expenses. Service costs as a percentage of revenues were 43.3% and 43.5% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were 0.6% higher, mainly due to higher marketing and, to a lesser extent, employee expenses, largely offset by reductions in bad debt expense and taxes and fees. Marketing costs rose 18.3%, primarily due to greater spending on internet advertising, printed mail and costs related to our rebranding campaign. Employee costs increased 2.4%, principally due to increased marketing and customer service staffing levels and unfavorable employee benefit adjustments. Bad debt expense fell 12.3%, principally due to a lower number of written off accounts. Taxes and fees decreased 9.1%, mainly due to a decline in franchise fees and, to a lesser extent, property taxes. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 16.9% for each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Management fee expense declined 0.1%, reflecting marginally lower fees charged by MCC. Management fee expense as a percentage of revenues was 1.7% and 1.8% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization decreased 1.7%, largely as a result of certain assets becoming fully reserved, offset in part by the depreciation of investments in shorter-lived customer premise equipment and our internal phone service platform.

OIBDA

OIBDA increased 1.7%, primarily due to greater revenues, offset in part by higher service costs and selling, general and administrative expenses.

Operating Income

Operating income grew 4.6% due to the growth in OIBDA and, to a lesser extent, lower depreciation and amortization.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net, decreased 1.9%, primarily due to lower average outstanding indebtedness.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt

Loss on early extinguishment of debt totaled \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This amount represents the write-off of certain deferred financing costs associated with prior financings that were repaid during the period.

Gain (loss) on Derivatives, Net

As of December 31, 2012, we had interest rate exchange agreements (which we refer to as "interest rate swaps") with an aggregate notional amount of \$900.0 million, of which \$200.0 million are forward-starting interest rate swaps. These interest rate swaps have not been designated as hedges for accounting purposes, and the changes in their mark-to-market values are derived primarily from changes in market interest rates and the decrease in their time to maturity. As a result of changes to the mark-to-market valuation of our interest rate swaps, based upon information provided by our counterparties, we recorded a net gain on derivatives of \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to a net loss on derivatives of \$15.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Gain on Sale of Cable Systems, Net

We recorded a gain on sale of cable systems, net, of \$4.9 million in our statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Investment Income from Affiliate

Investment income from affiliate was \$18.0 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. This amount represents the investment income on our \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband.

Table of Contents***Other Expense, Net***

Other expense, net, was \$1.6 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, other expense, net, consisted of \$1.3 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.3 million of other fees. During the year ended December 31, 2011, other expense, net, consisted of \$1.7 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.2 million of other fees.

Net Income

As a result of the factors described above, we recognized net income of \$68.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$41.3 million in the prior year.

Table of Contents**Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010**

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we identified and corrected errors in the manner in which we recorded fixed assets and the related depreciation expense on fixed assets purchased by MCC on behalf of our operating subsidiaries. Such capital expenditures and associated depreciation were recorded at MCC, whereas they were related to, and should have been incurred by, our operating subsidiaries. Accordingly, we revised previously reported results for all affected periods. Refer to Note 2 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about the financial statement impact of this revision. The discussion and analysis included herein includes statements based on the revised financial results for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The tables below set forth our unaudited consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands and percentage changes that are not meaningful are marked NM):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>		
Revenues	\$675,556	\$651,326	\$24,230	3.7%
Costs and expenses:				
Service costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	293,940	291,946	1,994	0.7%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	114,300	109,752	4,548	4.1%
Management fee expense	11,896	12,123	(227)	(1.9%)
Depreciation and amortization	<u>117,352</u>	<u>109,509</u>	<u>7,843</u>	<u>7.2%</u>
Operating income	138,068	127,996	10,072	7.9%
Interest expense, net	(97,681)	(91,824)	(5,857)	6.4%
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	(1,234)	1,234	NM
Loss on derivatives, net	(15,178)	(18,214)	3,036	(16.7%)
Investment income from affiliate	18,000	18,000	—	NM
Other expense, net	<u>(1,913)</u>	<u>(2,777)</u>	<u>864</u>	<u>(31.1%)</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 41,296</u>	<u>\$ 31,947</u>	<u>\$ 9,349</u>	<u>29.3%</u>
OIBDA	<u>\$255,420</u>	<u>\$237,505</u>	<u>\$17,915</u>	<u>7.5%</u>

The following represents a reconciliation of OIBDA to operating income, which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure (dollars in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>		<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>		
OIBDA	\$ 255,420	\$ 237,505	\$17,915	7.5%
Depreciation and amortization	<u>(117,352)</u>	<u>(109,509)</u>	<u>(7,843)</u>	<u>7.2%</u>
Operating income	<u>\$ 138,068</u>	<u>\$ 127,996</u>	<u>\$10,072</u>	<u>7.9%</u>

Table of Contents

Revenues

The tables below set forth revenue and selected subscriber, customer and average monthly revenue statistics for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands, except per unit data):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>		
Video	\$377,946	\$377,807	\$ 139	0.0%
HSD	172,587	157,406	15,181	9.6%
Phone	60,968	57,439	3,529	6.1%
Business services	48,573	41,708	6,865	16.5%
Advertising	15,482	16,966	(1,484)	(8.7%)
Total	<u>\$675,556</u>	<u>\$651,326</u>	<u>\$24,230</u>	<u>3.7%</u>

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>	<u>% Change</u>
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>		
Video customers	473,000	530,000	(57,000)	(10.8%)
HSD customers	383,000	379,000	4,000	1.1%
Phone customers	159,000	157,000	2,000	1.3%
Primary service units (PSUs)	1,015,000	1,066,000	(51,000)	(4.8%)
Average total monthly revenue per customer	\$ 112.26	\$ 100.70	\$ 11.56	11.5%
Average total monthly revenue per PSU	\$ 54.11	\$ 51.72	\$ 2.39	4.6%

Revenues increased 3.7%, primarily due to higher HSD and, to a lesser extent, business services and phone revenues.

Average total monthly revenue per video customer increased 11.5% to \$112.26, and average total monthly revenue per PSU increased 4.6% to \$54.11.

Video revenues were essentially flat, as higher unit pricing was mostly offset by residential video customer losses. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we lost 57,000 video customers, compared to a loss of 18,000 video customers in the prior year, as a result of aggressive marketing and promotional offers by our competitors, which included higher levels of discounted pricing. As of December 31, 2011, we served 473,000 video customers, or 36.5% of our estimated homes passed.

HSD revenues grew 9.6%, primarily due to higher unit pricing and a larger residential HSD customer base. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we gained 4,000 HSD customers, compared to an increase of 29,000 in the prior year. As of December 31, 2011, we served 383,000 HSD customers, or 29.6% of our estimated homes passed.

Phone revenues rose 6.1%, principally due to higher unit pricing and a larger residential phone customer base. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we gained 2,000 phone customers, compared to a gain of 22,000 phone customers in the prior year. As of December 31, 2011, we served 159,000 phone customers, or 12.3% of our estimated homes passed.

Business services revenues rose 16.5%, primarily due to greater revenues from our enterprise networks business, principally for cell tower backhaul, and an increase in commercial HSD and phone customers.

Advertising revenues fell 8.7%, largely as a result of an unfavorable comparison to the prior year, which had strong political revenues due to an election year.

Costs and Expenses

Service costs increased 0.7%, primarily due to higher field operating, programming and, to a lesser extent, employee operating costs, largely offset by lower phone service costs. Field operating costs rose 14.9%, largely as a result of higher vehicle fuel and repair, fiber lease, pole rental and electricity costs, offset in part by a lower usage of outside contractors. Programming expenses increased 1.7%, mainly due to higher contractual rates and fees charged by our programming vendors and, to a lesser extent, greater retransmission consent expenses, offset in part by a lower video customer base. Employee operating costs grew 6.4%, primarily due to greater employee compensation and an unfavorable shift in employee benefit expenses. Phone service costs fell 37.4%, substantially due to cost savings resulting from our transition to an internal phone service platform. Service costs as a percentage of revenues were 43.5% and 44.8% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Selling, general and administrative expenses were 4.1% higher, mainly due to higher marketing and, to a lesser extent, bad debt expense. Marketing expenses grew 9.3%, largely a result of greater staffing for our business services marketing and higher levels of contracted telemarketing and marketing research. Bad debt expense rose 8.7%, principally due to a higher average balance of written off accounts. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 16.9% for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Management fee expense declined 1.9%, reflecting lower fees charged by MCC. Management fee expense as a percentage of revenues was 1.8% and 1.9% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization increased 7.2%, largely a result of the depreciation of shorter-lived customer premise and headend equipment, and certain investments related to our internal phone service platform.

OIBDA

OIBDA grew 7.5%, primarily due to the increase in revenues and constrained service costs, offset in part by higher selling, general and administrative expenses.

Operating Income

Operating income increased 7.9%, as higher OIBDA was partly offset by an increase in depreciation and amortization.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net, was 6.4% higher, mainly due to greater average outstanding balances under our bank credit facility, offset in part by a lower weighted average cost of debt.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt

Loss on early extinguishment of debt, which represented the write-off of certain deferred financing costs associated with prior financings that were repaid during the period, totaled \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Loss on Derivatives, Net

As a result of changes to the mark-to-market valuation of our interest rate swaps, based on information provided by our counterparties, we recorded a net loss on derivatives of \$15.2 million and \$18.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Investment Income from Affiliate

Investment income from affiliate was \$18.0 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. This amount represents the investment income on our \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband.

Other Expense, Net

Other expense, net, was \$1.9 million and \$2.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2011, other expense, net, consisted of \$1.7 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.2 million of other fees. During the year ended December 31, 2010, other expense, net, consisted of \$2.2 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.6 million of other fees.

Net Income

As a result of the factors described above, we recognized net income of \$41.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$31.9 million in the prior year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our net cash flows provided by operating activities are primarily used to fund investments to enhance the capacity and reliability of our network and further expand our products and services, as well as for scheduled repayments of our indebtedness and periodic distributions to MCC. As of December 31, 2012, our near-term liquidity requirements included scheduled term loan amortization of \$9.0 million in each of the years ending December 31, 2013 and 2014, and \$67.2 million of outstanding revolving credit commitments, which expire on December 31, 2014.

As of December 31, 2012, our sources of liquidity included \$9.4 million of cash and \$148.5 million of unused and available commitments under our revolving credit commitments. We believe that cash generated by or available to us will meet our anticipated capital and liquidity needs for the next twelve months and the foreseeable future thereafter. See “— Capital

Structure” for a discussion of the expiration dates of our revolving credit commitments, term loans and senior notes.

Table of Contents

In the longer term, specifically 2015 and beyond, we do not expect to generate sufficient net cash flows from operations to fund our maturing term loans and senior notes. If we are unable to obtain sufficient future financing on similar terms as we currently experience, or at all, we may need to take other actions to conserve or raise capital that we would not take otherwise. However, we have accessed the debt markets for significant amounts of capital in the past, and expect to continue to be able to access these markets in the future as necessary.

Net Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$172.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to OIBDA of \$259.7 million and, to a much lesser extent, investment income from affiliate of \$18.0 million, offset in part by interest expense of \$95.9 million and, to a much lesser extent, a \$10.7 million net change in operating assets and liabilities. The net change in operating assets and liabilities was largely a result of an increase in accounts receivable, net, of \$10.1 million and, to a lesser extent, an increase in accounts receivable from affiliates of \$1.6 million and an increase in prepaid expenses and other assets of \$1.3 million, offset in part by an increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$2.3 million.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$160.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to OIBDA of \$255.4 million and, to a much lesser extent, investment income from affiliate of \$18.0 million, offset in part by interest expense of \$97.7 million and, to a much lesser extent, the net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$17.2 million. The net change in operating assets and liabilities was principally due to a net decline in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$19.5 million, offset in part by a decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets of \$2.4 million.

Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities

Capital expenditures continue to be our primary use of capital resources and the majority of our net cash flows used in investing activities.

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$98.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to \$105.0 million of capital expenditures and, to a much lesser extent, a \$3.4 million change in accrued property, plant and equipment and a \$1.2 million acquisition of a cable system, offset in part by \$10.7 million of proceeds from the sale of a cable system.

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$93.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to \$102.7 million of capital expenditures, offset in part by a \$8.9 million redemption of restricted cash and cash equivalents.

The \$2.3 million increase in capital expenditures from the year ended December 31, 2011 was largely due to greater spending on the expansion of our fiber network and customer premise equipment, offset in part by reduced outlays for investments in our phone and HSD service platforms.

Net Cash Flows Used in Financing Activities

Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$77.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the net repayment of \$311.0 million under the credit facility and, to a much lesser extent, capital distributions to our parent, MCC, of \$121.4 million and financing costs of \$5.0 million, offset in part by the \$250.0 million issuance of 7 1/4% Notes and capital contributions from our parent, MCC, of \$111.0 million.

Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$76.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to capital distributions to MCC of \$141.2 million, offset in part by net borrowings of \$64.0 million under the credit facility.

Financing Activities During 2012

On February 7, 2012, we issued 7 1/4% senior notes due February 2022 (the "7 1/4% Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million (the "financing"). After giving effect to financing costs, net proceeds of \$245.0 million, together with a draw down from our revolving credit commitments, were used to repay the entire outstanding amount under Term Loan D of the credit facility. See Note 6 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Capital Structure

As of December 31, 2012, our total indebtedness was \$1.522 billion, of which approximately 85% was at fixed interest rates or subject to interest rate protection. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we paid cash interest of \$86.0 million, net of capitalized interest.

Table of Contents

Bank Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2012, we maintained a \$1.080 billion credit facility, comprising \$854.8 million of term loans with maturities ranging from January 2015 to October 2017, and a \$225.2 million of revolving credit commitments, which are scheduled to expire on December 31, 2014 (or June 30, 2014 if Term Loan C under the credit facility has not been repaid or refinanced prior to that date). As of December 31, 2012, we had \$148.5 million of unused lines under our revolving credit commitments, all of which were available to be borrowed and used for general corporate purposes, after giving effect to \$67.2 million of outstanding loans and \$9.5 million of letters of credit issued thereunder to various parties as collateral.

The credit facility is collateralized by our ownership interests in our operating subsidiaries, and is guaranteed by us on a limited recourse basis to the extent of such ownership interests. As of December 31, 2012, the credit agreement governing the credit facility (the "credit agreement") required us to maintain a total leverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of no more than 5.0 to 1.0 and an interest coverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of no less than 2.0 to 1.0.

Interest Rate Exchange Agreements

We use interest exchange agreements (which we refer to as "interest rate swaps") in order to fix the variable portion of debt under the credit facility to reduce the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. As of December 31, 2012, we had current interest rate swaps with various banks pursuant to which the rate on \$700 million of floating rate debt was fixed at a rate of 3.0%. As of the same date, we also had \$200 million of forward starting interest rate swaps with a fixed rate of 3.0%.

Including the effects of our interest rate swaps, the average interest rates on outstanding debt under the credit facility as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 were 4.6% and 4.8%, respectively.

Senior Notes

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$600.0 million of outstanding senior notes, of which \$350.0 million and \$250.0 million mature in August 2019 and February 2022, respectively. Our senior notes are unsecured obligations, and the indentures governing our senior notes (the "indentures") limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness based upon a maximum debt to operating cash flow ratio (as defined in the indentures) of 8.5 to 1.0.

Covenant Compliance and Debt Ratings

For all periods through December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under the credit facility and senior note arrangements. We do not believe that we will have any difficulty complying with any of the applicable covenants in the near future.

Our future access to the debt markets and the terms and conditions we receive are influenced by our debt ratings. MCC's corporate credit rating is B1, with a stable outlook, by Moody's, and B+, with a positive outlook, by Standard and Poor's. Our senior unsecured credit rating is B3 by Moody's, with a stable outlook, and B-, with a positive outlook, by Standard and Poor's. We cannot assure you that Moody's and Standard and Poor's will maintain their ratings on MCC and us. A negative change to these credit ratings could result in higher interest rates on future debt issuance than we currently experience, or adversely impact our ability to raise additional funds.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and commercial commitments, and the effects they are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow, for the five years subsequent to December 31, 2012 and thereafter (dollars in thousands)*:

	Scheduled Debt Maturities	Operating Leases	Interest Expense ⁽¹⁾	Purchase Obligations ⁽²⁾	Total
2013	\$ 9,000	\$ 2,270	\$ 81,388	\$ 6,072	\$ 98,730
2014-2015	676,750	2,853	142,542	466	822,611
2016-2017	236,250	1,539	96,525	—	334,314
Thereafter	600,000	1,971	117,664	—	719,635
Total cash obligations	<u>\$1,522,000</u>	<u>\$ 8,633</u>	<u>\$438,119</u>	<u>\$ 6,538</u>	<u>\$1,975,290</u>

* Refer to Note 6 and Note 11 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of our long-term debt and a discussion of our operating leases and other commitments and contingencies, respectively.

Table of Contents

- (1) Interest payments on floating rate debt and interest rate swaps are estimated using amounts outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and the average interest rates applicable under such debt obligations. Interest expense amounts are net of amounts capitalized.
- (2) We have contracts with programmers who provide video programming services to our subscribers. Our contracts typically provide that we have an obligation to purchase video programming for our subscribers as long as we deliver cable services to such subscribers. We have no obligation to purchase these services if we are not providing cable services, except when we do not have the right to cancel the underlying contract or for contracts with a guaranteed minimum commitment. There are no programming service amounts included in our Purchase Obligations.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Periodically, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to doubtful accounts, long-lived assets, capitalized costs and accruals. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that the application of the critical accounting policies discussed below requires significant judgments and estimates on the part of management. For a summary of our accounting policies, see Note 2 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Property, Plant and Equipment

We capitalize the costs of new construction and replacement of our cable transmission and distribution facilities and new service installation in accordance with ASC No. 922 — *Entertainment — Cable Television*. Costs associated with subsequent installations of additional services not previously installed at a customer's dwelling are capitalized to the extent such costs are incremental and directly attributable to the installation of such additional services. Capitalized costs included all direct labor and materials as well as certain indirect costs. Capitalized costs are recorded as additions to property, plant and equipment and depreciated over the average life of the related assets. We use standard costing models, developed from actual historical costs and relevant operational data, to determine our capitalized amounts. These models include labor rates, overhead rates and standard time inputs to perform various installation and construction activities. The development of these standards involves significant judgment by management, especially in the development of standards for our newer, advanced products and services in which historical data is limited. Changes to the estimates or assumptions used in establishing these standards could be material. We perform periodic evaluations of the estimates used to determine the amount of costs that are capitalized. Any changes to these estimates, which may be significant, are applied in the period in which the evaluations were completed.

Valuation and Impairment Testing of Indefinite-lived Intangibles

As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$638.7 million of unamortized intangible assets, including franchise rights of \$614.8 million and goodwill of \$23.9 million on our consolidated balance sheets. These intangible assets represented approximately 42% of our total assets.

Our cable systems operate under non-exclusive cable franchises, or franchise rights, granted by state and local governmental authorities for varying lengths of time. We acquired these cable franchises through acquisitions of cable systems and were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. As of December 31, 2012, we held 852 franchises in areas located throughout the United States. The value of a franchise is derived from the economic benefits we receive from the right to solicit new subscribers and to market new products and services, such as digital video, HSD and phone, in a specific market territory. We concluded that our franchise rights have an indefinite useful life since, among other things, there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic or other factors limiting the period over which these franchise rights contribute to our revenues and cash flows. Goodwill is the excess of the acquisition cost of an acquired entity over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired. In accordance with ASC No. 350 — *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other* ("ASC 350"), we do not amortize franchise rights and goodwill. Instead, such assets are tested annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators arise.

We follow the provisions of ASC 350 to test our goodwill and franchise rights for impairment. We assess the fair values of each cable system cluster using discounted cash flow ("DCF") methodology, under which the fair value of cable franchise rights are determined in a direct manner. We employ the In-use Excess Earnings DCF methodology to calculate the fair values of our cable franchise rights, using unobservable inputs (Level 3). This assessment involves significant judgment, including certain assumptions and estimates that determine future cash flow expectations and other future benefits, which are consistent with the expectations of buyers and sellers of cable systems in determining fair value. These assumptions and estimates include discount rates, estimated growth rates, terminal growth rates, comparable company data, revenues per

customer, market penetration as a percentage of homes passed and operating margin. We also consider market transactions, market valuations, research analyst estimates and other valuations using multiples of operating income before depreciation and amortization to confirm the reasonableness of fair values determined by the DCF methodology. We employ the

Table of Contents

Greenfield model to corroborate the fair values of our cable franchise rights determined under the In-use Excess Earnings DCF methodology. Significant impairment in value resulting in impairment charges may result if the estimates and assumptions used in the fair value determination change in the future. Such impairments, if recognized, could potentially be material.

Based on the guidance outlined in ASC 350, we have determined that the unit of accounting, or reporting unit, for testing goodwill and franchise rights is Mediacom LLC. Comprising cable system clusters across several states Mediacom LLC is at the financial reporting level that is managed and reviewed by the corporate office (i.e., chief operating decision maker) including our determination as to how we allocate capital resources and utilize the assets. The reporting unit level also reflects the level at which the purchase method of accounting for our acquisitions was originally recorded.

In accordance with ASC 350, we are required to determine goodwill impairment using a two-step process. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit with our carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds our carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds our fair value, the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill, calculated using the residual method, with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss.

The impairment test for our franchise rights and other intangible assets not subject to amortization consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying value. If the carrying value of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss.

Since our adoption of ASC 350 in 2002, we have not recorded any impairments as a result of our impairment testing. We completed our most recent impairment test as of October 1, 2012, which reflected no impairment of our franchise rights, goodwill or other intangible assets.

For illustrative purposes, if there were a hypothetical decline of 20% in the fair values determined for cable franchise rights at our reporting unit, no impairment loss would result as of our impairment testing date of October 1, 2012. In addition, a hypothetical decline of up to 20% in the fair values determined for goodwill and other finite-lived intangible assets at our reporting unit would not result in any impairment loss as of October 1, 2012.

We could record impairments in the future if there are changes in the long-term fundamentals of our business, in general market conditions or in the regulatory landscape that could prevent us from recovering the carrying value of our long-lived intangible assets. The economic conditions affecting the U.S. economy and how that may impact the fundamentals of our business may have a negative impact on the fair values of the assets in our reporting unit.

In accordance with Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-28 — *When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)*, as of October 1, 2012, we have evaluated whether there are any adverse qualitative factors surrounding our Mediacom LLC reporting unit (which has a negative carrying value) indicating that a goodwill impairment may exist. We do not believe that it is "more likely than not" that a goodwill impairment exists. As such, we have not performed Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04 ("ASU 2011-04"), *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) — Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, which provides a converged framework for fair value measurements and related disclosures between generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 amends the fair value measurement and disclosure guidance in the following areas: (i) Highest-and-best use and the valuation-premise concepts for non-financial assets, (ii) application to financial assets and liabilities with offsetting positions in market or counterparty credit risk, (iii) premiums or discounts in fair value measurement, (iv) fair value measurements for amounts classified in equity; and (v) other disclosure requirements particularly involving Level 3 inputs. This guidance was effective for us as of January 1, 2012. We adopted the ASU on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 ("ASU 2011-05"), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. Under ASU 2011-05, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for

other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement of other comprehensive income should immediately follow the statement of net income and include the components of other comprehensive income and a total for other comprehensive income, along with a total for comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2011. We adopted ASU 2011-05 on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-05 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

Table of Contents

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08 (“ASU 2011-08”) *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*. Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test. Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. We adopted the ASU on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In July 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02 (“ASU 2012-02”) *Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*. ASU 2012-02 expands the guidance in ASU 2011-08 to include indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill. We adopted this ASU on December 1, 2012. ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

Inflation and Changing Prices

Our systems’ costs and expenses are subject to inflation and price fluctuations. Such changes in costs and expenses can generally be passed through to subscribers. Programming costs have historically increased at rates in excess of inflation and are expected to continue to do so. We believe that under the FCC’s existing cable rate regulations we may increase rates for cable services to more than cover any increases in programming. However, competitive conditions and other factors in the marketplace may limit our ability to increase our rates.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

In the normal course of business, we use interest rate exchange agreements (which we refer to as “interest rate swaps”) with counterparty banks to fix the interest rate on a portion of our variable interest rate debt. As of December 31, 2012, we had current interest rate swaps with various banks pursuant to which the interest rate on \$700 million of floating rate debt was fixed at a rate of 3.0%. These current interest rate swaps are scheduled to expire in the amounts of \$400 million and \$300 million during the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2015, respectively. We also had forward interest rate swaps with various banks pursuant to which the interest rate on \$200 million of floating rate debt was fixed at a rate of 3.0%, all of which commence during the year ended December 31, 2014. The fixed rates of the interest rate swaps are offset against the applicable London Interbank Offered Rate to determine the related interest expense.

Under the terms of the interest rate swaps, we are exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by our counterparties; however, we do not anticipate such nonperformance. As of December 31, 2012, based on the mark-to-market valuation, we would have paid approximately \$48.0 million, including accrued interest, if we terminated these interest rate swaps. Our interest rate exchange agreements and debt arrangements do not contain credit rating triggers that could affect our liquidity.

The table below provides the expected maturity and estimated fair value of our debt as of December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands).

	<u>Senior Notes</u>	<u>Bank Credit Facility</u>	<u>Total</u>
Expected Maturity:			
January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013	\$ —	\$ 9,000	\$ 9,000
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	—	76,250	76,250
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015	—	600,500	600,500
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016	—	2,500	2,500
January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017	—	233,750	233,750
Thereafter	600,000	—	600,000
Total	<u>\$ 600,000</u>	<u>\$ 922,000</u>	<u>\$1,522,000</u>
Fair Value	<u>\$ 659,969</u>	<u>\$ 925,356</u>	<u>\$1,585,325</u>
Weighted Average Interest Rate	<u>8.3%</u>	<u>4.6%</u>	<u>6.1%</u>

Table of Contents**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA****MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Contents**

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	51
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	52
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	53
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's Deficit for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	54
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	55
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	56
<u>Financial Statement Schedule: Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	70

Table of Contents**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Member of Mediacom LLC:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mediacom LLC and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, NY
March 7, 2013

Table of Contents

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in thousands)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 9,394	\$ 12,438
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$394 and \$740	45,714	35,648
Accounts receivable - affiliates	1,603	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,541	6,736
Total current assets	64,252	54,822
Preferred equity investment in affiliated company (Note 12)	150,000	150,000
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,399,778 and \$1,315,170	663,492	675,555
Franchise rights	614,745	616,807
Goodwill	23,911	24,046
Subscriber lists, net of accumulated amortization of \$118,266 and \$118,186	36	90
Other assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$8,565 and \$8,143	18,955	23,840
Total assets	\$1,535,391	\$1,545,160
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER'S DEFICIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 146,985	\$ 146,073
Deferred revenue	27,228	26,608
Current portion of long-term debt	9,000	12,000
Total current liabilities	183,213	184,681
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,513,000	1,571,000
Other non-current liabilities	31,376	39,050
Total liabilities	1,727,589	1,794,731
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
MEMBER'S DEFICIT		
Capital contributions	324,861	335,979
Accumulated deficit	(517,059)	(585,550)
Total member's deficit	(192,198)	(249,571)
Total liabilities and member's deficit	\$1,535,391	\$1,545,160

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Revenues	\$681,683	\$675,556	\$651,326
Costs and expenses:			
Service costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	295,067	293,940	291,946
Selling, general and administrative expenses	114,992	114,300	109,752
Management fee expense	11,885	11,896	12,123
Depreciation and amortization	<u>115,324</u>	<u>117,352</u>	<u>109,509</u>
Operating income	144,415	138,068	127,996
Interest expense, net	(95,868)	(97,681)	(91,824)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(6,468)	—	(1,234)
Gain (loss) on derivatives, net	5,083	(15,178)	(18,214)
Gain on sale of cable systems, net	4,920	—	—
Investment income from affiliate	18,000	18,000	18,000
Other expense, net	<u>(1,591)</u>	<u>(1,913)</u>	<u>(2,777)</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 68,491</u>	<u>\$ 41,296</u>	<u>\$ 31,947</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN MEMBER'S DEFICIT
(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>Capital Contributions</u>	<u>Accumulated Deficit</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 453,618	\$ (658,797)	\$(205,179)
Net income	—	31,947	31,947
Capital distributions to parent	(37,000)	—	(37,000)
Capital contributions from parent	60,000	—	60,000
Other contributions from parent	177	—	177
Other	—	4	4
Balance, December 31, 2010	<u>\$ 476,795</u>	<u>\$ (626,846)</u>	<u>\$(150,051)</u>
Net income	—	41,296	41,296
Capital distributions to parent	(141,150)	—	(141,150)
Capital contributions from parent	—	—	—
Other	334	—	334
Balance, December 31, 2011	<u>\$ 335,979</u>	<u>\$ (585,550)</u>	<u>\$(249,571)</u>
Net income	—	68,491	68,491
Capital distributions to parent	(121,400)	—	(121,400)
Capital contributions from parent	111,000	—	111,000
Other	(718)	—	(718)
Balance, December 31, 2012	<u>\$ 324,861</u>	<u>\$ (517,059)</u>	<u>\$(192,198)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 68,491	\$ 41,296	\$ 31,947
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	115,324	117,352	109,509
(Gain) loss on derivatives, net	(5,083)	15,178	18,214
Gain on sale of cable systems, net	(4,920)	—	—
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	6,468		1,234
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,308	3,903	3,392
Share-based compensation (Note 10)	—	236	585
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:			
Accounts receivable, net	(10,066)	(330)	2,087
Accounts receivable - affiliates	(1,603)	—	—
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,293)	2,418	(902)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	2,269	(19,453)	(67,009)
Deferred revenue	620	934	347
Other non-current liabilities	(641)	(732)	(1,004)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	<u>\$ 172,874</u>	<u>\$ 160,802</u>	<u>\$ 98,400</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Capital expenditures	\$(104,976)	\$(102,688)	\$ (98,301)
Change in accrued property, plant and equipment	(3,380)	—	—
Acquisition of cable systems	(1,186)	—	—
Proceeds from sale of cable systems, net	10,678	—	—
Redemption of (investment in) restricted cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	—	8,853	(8,853)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	<u>\$ (98,864)</u>	<u>\$ (93,835)</u>	<u>\$ (107,154)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
New borrowings	\$ 310,000	\$ 201,000	\$ 498,875
Repayment of bank debt	(621,000)	(137,000)	(489,875)
Issuance of senior notes	250,000	—	—
Capital distributions to parent (Note 7)	(121,400)	(141,150)	(37,000)
Capital contributions from parent (Note 7)	111,000	—	60,000
Financing costs	(5,008)	—	(6,918)
Other financing activities	(646)	607	(3,182)
Net cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>\$ (77,054)</u>	<u>\$ (76,543)</u>	<u>\$ 21,900</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(3,044)	(9,576)	13,146
CASH, beginning of period	12,438	22,014	8,868
CASH, end of period	<u>\$ 9,394</u>	<u>\$ 12,438</u>	<u>\$ 22,014</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for interest, net of amounts capitalized	<u>\$ 85,976</u>	<u>\$ 94,517</u>	<u>\$ 91,405</u>
NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS:			
Capital expenditures accrued during the period	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,987</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. ORGANIZATION**

Mediacom LLC (“Mediacom LLC” and collectively with its subsidiaries, “we,” “our” or “us”), a New York limited liability company wholly-owned by Mediacom Communications Corporation (“MCC”), is involved in the acquisition and operation of cable systems serving smaller cities and towns in the United States. Our principal operating subsidiaries conduct all of our consolidated operations and own substantially all of our consolidated assets. Our operating subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation, contingent or otherwise, to make funds available to us. As a limited liability company, we are not subject to income taxes.

We rely on our parent, MCC, for various services such as corporate and administrative support. Our financial position, results of operations and cash flows could differ from those that would have resulted had we operated autonomously or as an entity independent of MCC. See Notes 7 and 8.

Mediacom Capital Corporation, a New York corporation wholly-owned by us, co-issued public debt securities, jointly and severally, with us. Mediacom Capital Corporation has no assets (other than a \$100 receivable from affiliate), operations, revenues or cash flows. Therefore, separate financial statements have not been presented for this entity.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES***Basis of Preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements***

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of us and our subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The accounting estimates that require management’s most difficult and subjective judgments include: assessment and valuation of intangibles, accounts receivable allowance, useful lives of property, plant and equipment and share-based compensation. Actual results could differ from those and other estimates.

Revision of Prior Period Financial Statements

In connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements as of, and for the year ended December 31, 2011, during the fourth quarter of 2011, we identified and corrected errors in the manner in which we recorded fixed assets and the related depreciation expense on fixed assets purchased by MCC on behalf of our operating subsidiaries. Such capital expenditures and associated depreciation were recorded at MCC, whereas they were related to, and should have been incurred by, our operating subsidiaries. In accordance with accounting guidance found in ASC 250-10 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99, *Materiality*), we assessed the materiality of the errors and concluded that the errors were not material to any of our previously-issued financial statements. In accordance with accounting guidance found in ASC 250-10 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*), we have revised all affected periods. These non-cash errors impacted our financial position, statement of operations and cash flows for the comparative periods presented.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the impact of the revision on our Consolidated Balance Sheets (dollars in thousands):

	<u>As of December 31, 2010</u>		
	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>	<u>As Revised</u>
Property, plant and equipment, gross	\$ 1,900,198	\$ (3,846)	\$ 1,896,352
Accumulated depreciation	(1,211,315)	3,177	(1,208,138)
Property, plant and equipment, net	688,883	(669)	688,214
Total assets	1,584,108	(669)	1,583,439
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	150,648	14,552	165,200
Total current liabilities	188,322	14,552	202,874
Total liabilities	1,718,938	14,552	1,733,490
Capital contributions	478,973	(2,178)	476,795
Accumulated deficit	(613,803)	(13,043)	(626,846)
Member's deficit	(134,830)	(15,221)	(150,051)

The following table presents the impact of the revision on our Consolidated Statements of Operations (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2010</u>		
	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>	<u>As Revised</u>
Depreciation expense	\$ 108,303	\$ 1,206	\$ 109,509
Operating income	129,202	(1,206)	127,996
Net income	33,153	(1,206)	31,947

The following table presents the impact of the revision on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2010</u>		
	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>	<u>As Revised</u>
Net income	\$ 33,153	\$ (1,206)	\$ 31,947
Depreciation expense	108,303	1,206	109,509
Changes in assets and liabilities	(70,453)	3,972	(66,481)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	94,428	3,972	98,400
Capital expenditures	(94,329)	(3,972)	(98,301)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(103,182)	(3,972)	(107,154)

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from video, HSD and phone services are recognized when the services are provided to our customers. Credit risk is managed by disconnecting services to customers who are deemed to be delinquent. Installation revenues are recognized as customer connections are completed because installation revenues are less than direct installation costs. Advertising sales are recognized in the period that the advertisements are exhibited. Under the terms of our franchise agreements, we are required to pay local franchising authorities up to 5% of our gross revenues derived from providing cable services. We normally pass these fees through to our customers. Franchise fees are reported in their respective revenue categories and included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

Franchise fees imposed by local governmental authorities are collected on a monthly basis from our customers and are periodically remitted to the local governmental authorities. Because franchise fees are our obligation, we present them on a gross basis with a corresponding operating expense. Franchise fees reported on a gross basis amounted to approximately \$11.6 million, \$12.6 million and \$11.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Restricted cash and cash equivalents

Restricted cash and cash equivalents represent funds pledged to insurance carriers as security under a master pledge and security agreement. Pledged funds are invested in short-term, highly liquid investments. We retained ownership of the pledged funds, and under the terms of the pledge and security agreement, we can withdraw any of the funds, with the restrictions removed from such funds, provided comparable substitute collateral is pledged to the insurance carriers. During 2010, we invested \$8.9 million in restricted cash and cash equivalents. As of December 31, 2011, we had redeemed the entire amount.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts represents our best estimate of probable losses in the accounts receivable balance. The allowance is based on the number of days outstanding, customer balances, recoveries, historical experience and other currently available information.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Our accounts receivable are comprised of amounts due from subscribers in varying regions throughout the United States. Concentration of credit risk with respect to these receivables is limited due to the large number of customers comprising our customer base and their geographic dispersion. We invest our cash with high quality financial institutions.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Additions to property, plant and equipment generally include material, labor and indirect costs. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the following useful lives:

Buildings	40 Years
Leasehold improvements	Life of respective lease
Cable systems and equipment and subscriber devices	5 to 20 years
Vehicles	5 years
Furniture, fixtures and office equipment	5 years

We capitalize improvements that extend asset lives and expense repairs and maintenance as incurred. At the time of retirements, write-offs, sales or other dispositions of property, the original cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts and the gains or losses are included in depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

We capitalize the costs associated with the construction of cable transmission and distribution facilities, new customer installations and indirect costs associated with our telephony product. Costs include direct labor and material, as well as certain indirect costs including capitalized interest. We perform periodic evaluations of the estimates used to determine the amount and extent that such costs that are capitalized. Any changes to these estimates, which may be significant, are applied in the period in which the evaluations were completed. The costs of disconnecting service at a customer's dwelling or reconnecting to a previously installed dwelling are charged as expense in the period incurred. Costs associated with subsequent installations of additional services not previously installed at a customer's dwelling are capitalized to the extent such costs are incremental and directly attributable to the installation of such additional services. See also Note 3.

Capitalized Software Costs

We account for internal-use software development and related costs in accordance with ASC 350-40-*Intangibles-Goodwill and Other: Internal-Use Software*. Software development and other related costs consist of external and internal costs incurred in the application development stage to purchase and implement the software that will be used in our telephony business. Costs incurred in the development of application and infrastructure of the software is capitalized and will be amortized over our respective estimated useful life of 5 years. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we capitalized approximately \$0.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively of software development costs. Capitalized software had a net book value of \$11.4 million and \$10.6 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Marketing and Promotional Costs

Marketing and promotional costs are expensed as incurred and were \$14.6 million, \$13.0 million and \$13.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets

Our cable systems operate under non-exclusive cable franchises, or franchise rights, granted by state and local governmental authorities for varying lengths of time. We acquired these cable franchises through acquisitions of cable systems and were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. As of December 31, 2012, we held 852 franchises in areas located throughout the United States. The value of a franchise is derived from the economic benefits we receive from the right to solicit new subscribers and to market new products and services, such as digital video, HSD and phone, in a specific market territory. We concluded that our franchise rights have an indefinite useful life since, among other things, there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic or other factors limiting the period over which these franchise rights contribute to our revenues and cash flows. Goodwill is the excess of the acquisition cost of an acquired entity over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired. In accordance with ASC No. 350 — *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other* (“ASC 350”), we do not amortize franchise rights and goodwill. Instead, such assets are tested annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators arise.

We follow the provisions of ASC 350 to test our goodwill and franchise rights for impairment. We assess the fair values of each cable system cluster using discounted cash flow (“DCF”) methodology, under which the fair value of cable franchise rights are determined in a direct manner. We employ the In-use Excess Earnings DCF methodology to calculate the fair values of our cable franchise rights, using unobservable inputs (Level 3). This assessment involves significant judgment, including certain assumptions and estimates that determine future cash flow expectations and other future benefits, which are consistent with the expectations of buyers and sellers of cable systems in determining fair value. These assumptions and estimates include discount rates, estimated growth rates, terminal growth rates, comparable company data, revenues per customer, market penetration as a percentage of homes passed and operating margin. We also consider market transactions, market valuations, research analyst estimates and other valuations using multiples of operating income before depreciation and amortization to confirm the reasonableness of fair values determined by the DCF methodology. We employ the Greenfield model to corroborate the fair values of our cable franchise rights determined under the In-use Excess Earnings DCF methodology. Significant impairment in value resulting in impairment charges may result if the estimates and assumptions used in the fair value determination change in the future. Such impairments, if recognized, could potentially be material.

Based on the guidance outlined in ASC 350, we have determined that the unit of accounting, or reporting unit, for testing goodwill and franchise rights is Mediacom LLC. Comprising cable system clusters across several states Mediacom LLC is at the financial reporting level that is managed and reviewed by the corporate office (i.e., chief operating decision maker) including our determination as to how we allocate capital resources and utilize the assets. The reporting unit level also reflects the level at which the purchase method of accounting for our acquisitions was originally recorded.

In accordance with ASC 350, we are required to determine goodwill impairment using a two-step process. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit with our carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds our carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds our fair value, the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill, calculated using the residual method, with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss.

The impairment test for our franchise rights and other intangible assets not subject to amortization consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying value. If the carrying value of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss.

Since our adoption of ASC 350 in 2002, we have not recorded any impairments as a result of our impairment testing. We completed our most recent impairment test as of October 1, 2012, which reflected no impairment of our franchise rights, goodwill or other intangible assets.

We could record impairments in the future if there are changes in the long-term fundamentals of our business, in general market conditions or in the regulatory landscape that could prevent us from recovering the carrying value of our long-lived intangible assets. The economic conditions affecting the U.S. economy, and how that may impact the fundamentals of our business, may have a negative impact on the fair values of the assets in our reporting unit.

In accordance with Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-28 — *When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)*, as of October 1, 2012, we have evaluated whether there are any adverse qualitative factors surrounding our Mediacom LLC reporting unit (which has a negative carrying value) indicating that a goodwill impairment may exist. We do not believe that it is “more likely than not” that a goodwill impairment exists. As such, we have not performed Step 2 of the goodwill

impairment test.

Table of Contents

Other finite-lived intangible assets, which consist primarily of subscriber lists and covenants not to compete, continue to be amortized over their useful lives of 5 to 10 years and 5 years, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million of other finite-lived intangible assets, respectively. Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$0.1 million, \$0.4 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively. Our estimated aggregate amortization expense for 2013 is less than \$0.1 million.

The following table details changes in the carrying value of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, (dollars in thousands):

Balance — December 31, 2010	\$24,046
Acquisitions	—
Dispositions	—
Balance — December 31, 2011	<u>\$24,046</u>
Acquisitions	—
Dispositions	<u>(135)</u>
Balance — December 31, 2012	<u>\$23,911</u>

Other Assets

Other assets, net, primarily include financing costs and original issue discount incurred to raise debt, which are deferred and amortized as interest expense over the expected term of such financings. Original issue discount, as recorded in other assets, net, was \$6.4 million and \$12.3 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Segment Reporting

ASC 280 — *Segment Reporting* (“ASC 280”) requires the disclosure of factors used to identify an enterprise’s reportable segments. Our operations are organized and managed on the basis of cable system clusters that represent operating segments within our service area. Each operating segment derives revenues from the delivery of similar products and services to a customer base that is also similar. Each operating segment deploys similar technology to deliver our products and services, operates within a similar regulatory environment and has similar economic characteristics. Management evaluated the criteria for aggregation of the operating segments under ASC 280 and believes that we meet each of the respective criteria set forth. Accordingly, management has identified broadband services as our one reportable segment.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with ASC 815 — *Derivatives and Hedging* (“ASC 815”). These pronouncements require that all derivative instruments be recognized on the balance sheet at fair value. We enter into interest rate swaps to fix the interest rate on a portion of our variable interest rate debt to reduce the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. Our derivative instruments are recorded at fair value and are included in other current assets, other assets and other liabilities of our consolidated balance sheet. Our accounting policies for these instruments are based on whether they meet our criteria for designation as hedging transactions, which include the instrument’s effectiveness, risk reduction and, in most cases, a one-to-one matching of the derivative instrument to our underlying transaction. Gains and losses from changes in fair values of derivatives that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes are recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. We have no derivative financial instruments designated as hedges. Therefore, changes in fair value for the respective periods were recognized in the consolidated statement of operations.

Accounting for Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with ASC 360 — *Property, Plant and Equipment* (“ASC 360”), we periodically evaluate the recoverability and estimated lives of our long-lived assets, including property and equipment and intangible assets subject to amortization, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable or the useful life has changed. The measurement for such impairment loss is based on the fair value of the asset, typically based upon the future cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved. Unless presented separately, the loss is included as a component of either depreciation expense or amortization expense, as appropriate.

Programming Costs

We have various fixed-term carriage contracts to obtain programming for our cable systems from content suppliers whose compensation is generally based on a fixed monthly fee per customer. These programming contracts are subject to negotiated renewal. Programming costs are recognized when we distribute the related programming. These programming costs are

usually payable each month based on calculations performed by us and are subject to adjustments based on the results of periodic audits by the content suppliers. Historically, such audit adjustments have been immaterial to our total programming

Table of Contents

costs. Some content suppliers offer financial incentives to support the launch of a channel and ongoing marketing support. When such financial incentives are received, we defer them within non-current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets and recognize such amounts as a reduction of programming costs (which are a component of service costs in the consolidated statement of operations) over the carriage term of the programming contract.

Share-based Compensation

In 2010, we estimated the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This fair value was then amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally the vesting period. This option-pricing model required the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. The estimation of stock awards that will ultimately vest required judgment, and to the extent actual results or updated estimates differed from our estimates, such amounts were recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the periods the estimates are revised. Subsequent to the Going Private Transaction, we award deferred compensation in the form of cash. See Notes 8 and 10.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04 ("ASU 2011-04"), *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) — Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, which provides a converged framework for fair value measurements and related disclosures between generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 amends the fair value measurement and disclosure guidance in the following areas: (i) Highest-and-best use and the valuation-premise concepts for non-financial assets, (ii) application to financial assets and liabilities with offsetting positions in market or counterparty credit risk, (iii) premiums or discounts in fair value measurement, (iv) fair value measurements for amounts classified in equity; and, (v) other disclosure requirements particularly involving Level 3 inputs. This guidance was effective for us as of January 1, 2012. We adopted this ASU on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 ("ASU 2011-05"), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. Under ASU 2011-05, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement of other comprehensive income should immediately follow the statement of net income and include the components of other comprehensive income and a total for other comprehensive income, along with a total for comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2011. We adopted ASU 2011-05 on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-05 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08 ("ASU 2011-08") *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*. Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test. Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. We adopted this ASU on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In July 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02 ("ASU 2012-02") *Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*. ASU 2012-02 expands the guidance in ASU 2011-08 to include indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill. We adopted this ASU on December 1, 2012. ASU 2012-02 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

Table of Contents**3. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, property, plant and equipment consisted of (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Cable systems, equipment and customer devices	\$ 1,956,823	\$ 1,888,852
Furniture, fixtures and office equipment	51,829	47,467
Vehicles	36,342	36,208
Buildings and leasehold improvements	16,695	16,617
Land and land improvements	1,581	1,581
Property, plant and equipment, gross	2,063,270	1,990,725
Accumulated depreciation	(1,399,778)	(1,315,170)
Property, plant and equipment, net	<u>\$ 663,492</u>	<u>\$ 675,555</u>

Depreciation expense related to fixed assets for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$115.2 million, \$116.9 million and \$107.9 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had no property under capitalized leases. We incurred gross interest costs of \$97.1 million and \$98.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of which \$1.2 million was capitalized as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. See Note 2.

4. FAIR VALUE

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively, our financial assets and liabilities consisted of interest rate exchange agreements.

The tables below set forth our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value, on a recurring basis, using a market-based approach at December 31, 2012. These assets and liabilities have been categorized according to the three-level fair value hierarchy established by ASC 820, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value, as follows:

- Level 1 — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 — Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.
- Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

As of December 31, 2012, our interest rate exchange agreement liabilities, net, were valued at \$48.0 million using Level 2 inputs, as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fair Value as of December 31, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<u>Assets</u>				
Interest rate exchange agreements	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Interest rate exchange agreements	\$ —	\$48,015	\$ —	\$48,015
Interest rate exchange agreements — liabilities, net	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$48,015</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$48,015</u>

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2011, our interest rate exchange agreement liabilities, net, were valued at \$53.1 million using Level 2 inputs, as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fair Value as of December 31, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Interest rate exchange agreements	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Liabilities				
Interest rate exchange agreements	\$ —	\$53,097	\$ —	\$53,097
Interest rate exchange agreements — liabilities, net	\$ —	\$53,097	\$ —	\$53,097

The fair value of our interest rate swaps is the estimated amount that we would receive or pay to terminate such agreements, taking into account market interest rates and the remaining time to maturities. As of December 31, 2012, based upon mark-to-market valuation, we recorded on our consolidated balance sheet, an accumulated current liability in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$19.3 million and an accumulated long-term liability in other non-current liabilities of \$28.7 million. As of December 31, 2011, based upon mark-to-market valuation, we recorded on our consolidated balance sheet an accumulated current liability in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$17.3 million and an accumulated long-term liability in other non-current liabilities of \$35.8 million. As a result of the mark-to-market valuations on these interest rate swaps, we recorded a net gain on derivatives of \$5.1 million and losses of \$15.2 million and \$18.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

5. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE, ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Accounts payable — non-affiliates	\$ 24,144	\$ 19,728
Accrued interest	19,930	13,319
Liabilities under interest rate exchange agreements	19,262	17,311
Accrued programming costs	17,792	19,040
Accrued taxes and fees	15,709	16,733
Accrued payroll and benefits	12,420	12,232
Subscriber advance payments	10,999	10,301
Accrued service costs	9,243	9,208
Accrued property, plant and equipment	4,765	8,145
Bank overdrafts ⁽¹⁾	3,465	3,393
Accrued telecommunications costs	1,266	1,179
Accounts payable — affiliates	—	6,924
Other accrued expenses	7,990	8,560
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 146,985	\$ 146,073

⁽¹⁾ Bank overdrafts represented outstanding checks in excess of funds on deposit at our disbursement accounts. We transfer funds from our depository accounts to our disbursement accounts upon daily notification of checks presented for payment. Changes in bank overdrafts are reported as part of cash flows from financing activities in our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Table of Contents

6. DEBT

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, debt consisted of (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Bank credit facility	\$ 922,000	\$1,233,000
9 1/8% senior notes due 2019	350,000	350,000
7 1/4% senior notes due 2022	250,000	—
Total debt	\$1,522,000	\$1,583,000
Less: current portion	9,000	12,000
Total long-term debt	<u>\$1,513,000</u>	<u>\$1,571,000</u>

Bank Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2012, we maintained a \$1.080 billion bank credit facility (the "credit facility"), comprising \$854.8 million of outstanding term loans and \$225.2 million of revolving credit commitments, which had \$67.2 million outstanding. As of the same date, the average interest rate on outstanding borrowings under the credit facility, including the effect of the interest rate swaps discussed below, was 4.6%, as compared to 4.8% as of the same date last year.

The credit facility is collateralized by our ownership interests in our operating subsidiaries, and is guaranteed by us on a limited recourse basis to the extent of such ownership interests. As of December 31, 2012, the credit agreement required us to maintain a total leverage ratio (as defined) of no more than 5.0 to 1.0 and an interest coverage ratio (as defined) of no less than 2.0 to 1.0. For all periods through December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under the credit agreement and, as of the same date, our total leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio were 3.1 to 1.0 and 3.0 to 1.0, respectively.

Revolving Credit Commitments

In April 2010, the credit agreement was amended to extend the termination date of \$225.2 million of revolving credit commitments which had been previously scheduled to expire on September 30, 2011. The revolving credit commitments expire on December 31, 2014 (or June 30, 2014 if Term Loan C under the credit facility has not been repaid or refinanced prior to that date).

Interest on our revolving credit commitments is payable at a floating rate equal to, at our discretion, the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a margin ranging from 2.25% to 3.00%, or the Prime rate plus a margin ranging from 1.25% to 2.00%, with the applicable margin determined by certain financial ratios pursuant to the credit agreement. Commitment fees on the unused portion of revolving credit commitments are payable at a rate of 0.75%.

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$148.5 million of unused revolving credit commitments, all of which were available to be borrowed and used for general corporate purposes, after giving effect to \$67.2 million of outstanding loans and \$9.5 million of letters of credit issued to various parties as collateral.

Term Loan C

In May 2006, we entered into an incremental facility agreement that provided for a term loan in the original principal amount of \$650.0 million (the "Term Loan C"). The Term Loan C matures on January 31, 2015 and, since March 31, 2007, has been subject to quarterly reductions of \$1.6 million, representing 0.25% of the original principal amount, with a final payment of \$598.0 million at maturity representing 92.00% of the original principal amount. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Term Loan C was \$611.0 million.

Interest on the Term Loan C is payable at a floating rate equal to, at our discretion, LIBOR plus a margin of 1.50% or 1.75%, or the Prime Rate plus a margin of 0.50% or 0.75%, with the applicable margin that is determined by certain financial ratios pursuant to the credit agreement.

Term Loan E

In April 2010, we entered into an incremental facility agreement that provided for a term loan in the original principal amount of \$250.0 million (the "Term Loan E"). The Term Loan E matures on October 23, 2017 and, since September 30, 2010, has been subject to quarterly reductions of \$0.6 million, representing 0.25% of the original principal amount, with a final payment of \$231.9 million at maturity representing 92.75% of the original principal amount. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Term Loan E was \$243.8 million.

Table of Contents

Interest on the Term Loan E is payable at a floating rate equal to, at our discretion, LIBOR plus a margin of 3.00%, or the Prime Rate plus a margin of 2.00%. Through April 2014, interest payable on the Term Loan E is subject to a minimum LIBOR of 1.50%, and a minimum Prime Rate of 2.50%.

Interest Rate Exchange Agreements

We use interest rate exchange agreements (which we refer to as “interest rate swaps”) with various banks to fix the variable portion of borrowings under the credit facility. We believe this reduces the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. Our interest rate swaps have not been designated as hedges for accounting purposes, and have been accounted for on a mark-to-market basis as of, and for the years ended, December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. As of December 31, 2012:

- We had current interest rate swaps which fixed the variable portion of \$700 million of borrowings under the credit facility at a rate of 3.0%. Our current interest rate swaps are scheduled to expire in the amounts of \$400 million and \$300 million during the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2015, respectively.
- We had forward-starting interest rate swaps which will fix the variable portion of \$200 million of borrowings under the credit facility at a rate of 3.0%. These forward-starting interest rate swaps are scheduled to commence during the year ending December 31, 2014.

Senior Notes

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$600.0 million of senior notes outstanding, comprising \$350.0 million of 9¹/₈% senior notes due August 2019 and \$250.0 million of 7¹/₄% senior notes due February 2022. Our senior notes are unsecured obligations, and the indentures governing our senior notes (the “indentures”) limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness based upon a maximum debt to operating cash flow ratio (as defined in the indentures) of 8.5 to 1.0. As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under the indentures and, as of the same date, our debt to operating cash flow ratio was 5.3 to 1.0.

9¹/₈% Notes

In August 2009, we issued \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of 9¹/₈% senior notes due August 2019 (the “9¹/₈% Notes”). Net proceeds from the 9¹/₈% Notes were used to partially fund the redemption of certain of our previously outstanding senior notes.

7¹/₄% Notes

On February 7, 2012, we issued 7¹/₄% senior notes due February 2022 (the “7¹/₄% Notes”) in the aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million. After giving effect to \$5.0 million of financing costs, proceeds from the issuance of the 7¹/₄% notes of \$245.0 million, together with borrowings under the revolving credit commitments, were used to repay the entire outstanding amount under Term Loan D of the credit facility. As a percentage of par value, the 7¹/₄% notes are redeemable at 103.625% commencing February 15, 2017, 102.417% commencing February 15, 2018, 101.208% commencing February 15, 2019 and at par value commencing February 15, 2020.

Debt Ratings

MCC’s corporate credit rating is B1, with a stable outlook, by Moody’s, and B+, with a positive outlook, by Standard and Poor’s. Our senior unsecured credit rating is B3, with a stable outlook, by Moody’s, and B-, with a positive outlook, by Standard and Poor’s.

There are no covenants, events of default, borrowing conditions or other terms in the credit agreement or indenture that are based on changes in our credit rating assigned by any rating agency.

Table of Contents

Fair Value and Debt Maturities

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the fair values of our senior notes and the credit facility are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2011</u>
9 1/8% senior notes due 2019	\$ 388,719	\$ 371,000
7 1/4% senior notes due 2022	271,250	—
Total senior notes	<u>\$ 659,969</u>	<u>\$ 371,000</u>
Bank credit facility	<u>\$ 925,356</u>	<u>\$1,189,188</u>

The stated maturities of all debt outstanding as of December 31, 2012 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013	\$ 9,000
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	76,250
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015	600,500
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016	2,500
January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017	233,750
Thereafter	600,000
Total	<u>\$1,522,000</u>

7. MEMBER'S EQUITY

As a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC, our business affairs, including our financing decisions, are directed by MCC. For the year ended December 31, 2012 we made and received capital distributions to parent in cash of \$121.4 million and \$111.0 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we made capital distributions to parent of \$141.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010 we made and received capital distributions to parent in cash of \$37.0 million and \$60.0 million, respectively.

Capital contributions from parent and capital distributions to parent are reported on a gross basis in the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's Deficit and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Non-cash transactions are reported on a net basis in the supplemental disclosures of cash flow information in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Management Agreements

MCC manages us pursuant to a management agreement with our operating subsidiaries. Under such agreements, MCC has full and exclusive authority to manage our day-to-day operations and conduct our business. We remain responsible for all expenses and liabilities relating to the construction, development, operation, maintenance, repair, and ownership of our systems. Management fees for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$11.9 million, \$11.9 million, and \$12.1 million, respectively.

As compensation for the performance of its services, subject to certain restrictions, MCC is entitled under each management agreement to receive management fees in an amount not to exceed 4.5% of the annual gross operating revenues of our operating subsidiaries. MCC is also entitled to the reimbursement of all expenses necessarily incurred in its capacity as manager.

We are a preferred equity investor in Mediacom Broadband LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC. See Note 12.

Going Private Transaction

On November 12, 2010, MCC entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"), by and among MCC, JMC Communications LLC ("JMC") and Rocco B. Commisso, MCC's founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, who was also the sole member and manager of JMC, for the purpose of taking MCC private (the "Going Private Transaction").

At a special meeting of stockholders on March 4, 2011, MCC's stockholders voted to adopt the Merger Agreement. On the same date, JMC was merged with and into MCC (the "Merger"), with MCC continuing as the surviving corporation, a private company that is wholly-owned by an entity controlled by Mr. Commisso. As a result of the Merger, among other things, each share of MCC's common stock (other than shares held by Mr. Commisso and his affiliates) was converted into the right to

receive promptly after the Merger \$8.75 in cash.

Table of Contents

The Going Private Transaction required funding of approximately \$381.5 million, including related transaction expenses, and was funded, in part, by capital distributions to MCC from us, consisting of \$100.0 million of borrowings under our revolving credit facility and \$36.5 million of cash on hand. The balance was funded by Mediacom Broadband LLC ("Mediacom Broadband"), another wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC.

9. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Substantially all our employees are eligible to participate in MCC's defined contribution plan pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k) (the "Plan"). Under such Plan, eligible employees may contribute up to 15% of their current pretax compensation. MCC's Plan permits, but does not require, matching contributions and non-matching (profit sharing) contributions to be made by us up to a maximum dollar amount or maximum percentage of participant contributions, as determined annually by us. We presently match 50% on the first 6% of employee contributions. Our contributions under MCC's Plan totaled \$0.8 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

10. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Deferred Compensation

For the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we recorded \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million, respectively of deferred compensation expense (formerly share-based compensation expense). These expenses represented the unvested stock options and restricted stock units under the former share-based compensation plans at their original grant-date fair value, modified for the right to receive \$8.75 in cash, based upon terms of the Merger Agreement. This amount also included the recognition of new, cash-based deferred compensation awarded in 2011 and 2012 which has vesting attributes similar to the former share-based awards.

Share-based Compensation

Total share-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2010 (prior to the Going Private Transaction) was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010
Share-based compensation expense by type of award:	
Employee stock options	\$ 26
Employee stock purchase plan	82
Restricted stock units	477
Total share-based compensation expense	<u>\$ 585</u>

Prior to the Going Private Transaction, MCC granted stock options to certain employees which conveyed to recipients the right to purchase shares of MCC's Class A common stock at a specified strike price, upon vesting of the stock option award, but prior to the expiration date of that award. The awards were subject to annual vesting periods generally not exceeding 4 years from the date of grant. We estimated expected forfeitures based on historic voluntary termination behavior and trends of actual stock option forfeitures and recognized compensation costs for equity awards expected to vest. See Note 8 for a discussion of the Going Private Transaction.

In April 2003, MCC adopted its 2003 Incentive Plan, or "2003 Plan," which amended and restated MCC's 1999 Stock Option Plan and incorporated into the 2003 Plan options that were previously granted outside the 1999 Stock Option Plan.

ASC 718 requires the cost of all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values at the grant date, or the date of later modification, over the requisite service period. In addition, ASC 718 requires unrecognized cost, footnote disclosure, related to options vesting after the date of initial adoption to be recognized in the financial statements over the remaining requisite service period.

We used the Black-Scholes option pricing model which requires extensive use of accounting judgment and financial estimates, including estimates of the expected term employees will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of our stock price over the expected term, and the number of options that will be forfeited prior to the completion of their vesting requirements. Application of alternative assumptions could produce significantly different estimates of the fair value of share-based compensation and consequently, the related amounts recognized in the consolidated statements of operations. The provisions of ASC 718 apply to new stock awards and stock awards outstanding, but not yet vested, on the effective date. In March 2005, the SEC issued SAB No. 107, "Share-Based Payment," relating to ASC 718. We have applied the provisions of SAB No. 107.

Table of Contents

MCC has elected the “short-cut” method to calculate the historical pool of windfall tax benefits.

Valuation Assumptions

As required by ASC 718, we estimated the fair value of stock options and shares purchased under MCC’s employee stock purchase plan, using the Black-Scholes valuation model and the straight-line attribution approach, with the following weighted average assumptions:

	<u>Employee Stock Option Plans</u> Year Ended December 31, 2010	<u>Employee Stock Purchase Plans</u> Year Ended December 31, 2010
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility	60.0%	61.4%
Risk free interest rate	2.8%	2.4%
Expected option life (in years)	6.0	0.5

Expected volatility was based on a combination of implied and historical volatility of MCC’s Class A common stock. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we elected the simplified method in accordance with SAB 107 and SAB 110 to estimate the option life of share-based awards. The simplified method was used for valuing stock option grants by eligible public companies that do not have sufficient historical exercise patterns of stock options. We have concluded that sufficient historical exercise data was not available. The risk free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the date of grant. The forfeiture rate was based on trends in actual option forfeitures. The awards were subject to annual vesting periods not to exceed 6 years from the date of grant. During the year ended December 31, 2010, there were no options granted.

Restricted Stock Units

Prior to the Going Private Transaction, MCC granted restricted stock units (“RSUs”) to certain employees (the “participants”) in MCC’s Class A common stock. Awards of RSUs were valued by reference to shares of common stock that entitle participants to receive, upon the settlement of the unit, one share of common stock for each unit. The awards were subject to annual vesting periods generally not exceeding 4 years from the date of grant. We estimated expected forfeitures based on historic voluntary termination behavior and trends of actual RSU forfeitures and recognized compensation costs for equity awards expected to vest. The total value of RSUs vesting during the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$0.5 million. The grant date fair value was based upon the closing prices of \$8.47.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under MCC’s former employee stock purchase plan, all employees were allowed to participate in the purchase of shares of MCC’s Class A common stock at a 15% discount on the date of the allocation. As a result of the Going Private Transaction, the employee stock purchase plan terminated in March 2011. Shares purchased by employees amounted to approximately 16,700 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The net proceeds to us were \$0.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011. As a result of the Going Private Transaction, this plan has terminated.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Under various lease and rental agreements for offices, warehouses and computer terminals, we had rental expense of \$3.5 million, \$3.3 million and \$3.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Future minimum annual rental payments as of December 31, 2012 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013	2,270
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	1,612
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015	1,241
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016	890
January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017	649
Thereafter	1,971
Total	<u>\$8,633</u>

Table of Contents

In addition, we rent utility poles in our operations generally under short-term arrangements, but we expect these arrangements to recur. Total rental expense for utility poles was \$5.8 million, \$6.0 million and \$5.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Letters of Credit

As of December 31, 2012, \$9.5 million of letters of credit were issued to various parties to secure our performance relating to insurance and franchise requirements. The fair value of such letters of credit was approximately book value.

Legal Proceedings

Legal Proceedings

Gary Ogg and Janice Ogg v. Mediacom LLC

We were named as a defendant in a putative class action, captioned *Gary Ogg and Janice Ogg v. Mediacom LLC*, originally filed in the Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri in April 2001. The lawsuit alleged that we, in areas where there was no cable franchise, failed to obtain permission from landowners to place our fiber interconnection cable notwithstanding the possession of agreements or permission from other third parties.

In 2009, a jury trial commenced solely for the claim of Gary and Janice Ogg, the designated class representatives, and the jury rendered a verdict in favor of Gary and Janice Ogg setting compensatory damages of \$8,863 and punitive damages of \$35,000. The Court did not enter a final judgment on this verdict and therefore the amount of the verdict could not at that time be judicially collected.

On April 22, 2011, the Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri issued an opinion and order decertifying the class in this putative class action. On August 7, 2012, the Missouri Court of Appeals, Western District affirmed the court's decertification of the class and reversed the court's refusal to award prejudgment interest on the Ogg judgment. The Missouri Supreme Court refused to review the Missouri Court of Appeals decision, which is now final.

In February 2013, we made a payment of approximately \$55,000 to Gary and Janice Ogg, thereby concluding this case.

Other Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows or business.

12. PREFERRED EQUITY INVESTMENT

In July 2001, we made a \$150 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband LLC, a Delaware limited liability company wholly-owned by MCC, that was funded with borrowings under the credit facility. The preferred equity investment has a 12% annual cash dividend, payable quarterly in cash. For each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we received in aggregate \$18 million in cash dividends on the preferred equity.

Table of Contents

Schedule II

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

	<u>Balance at beginning of period</u>	<u>Additions</u>		<u>Deductions</u>		<u>Balance at end of period</u>
		<u>Charged to costs and expenses</u>	<u>Charged to other accounts</u>	<u>Charged to costs and expenses</u>	<u>Charged to other accounts</u>	
December 31, 2010						
Allowance for doubtful accounts:						
Current receivables	\$ 927	\$ 1,325	\$ —	\$ 1,024	\$ —	\$ 1,228
December 31, 2011						
Allowance for doubtful accounts:						
Current receivables	\$ 1,228	\$ 2,232	\$ —	\$ 2,720	\$ —	\$ 740
December 31, 2012						
Allowance for doubtful accounts:						
Current receivables	\$ 740	\$ 2,325	\$ —	\$ 2,671	\$ —	\$ 394

Table of Contents**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**Mediacom LLC**

Under the supervision and with the participation of the management of Mediacom LLC, including Mediacom LLC's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Mediacom LLC evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon such evaluation, Mediacom LLC's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Mediacom LLC's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

There has not been any change in Mediacom LLC's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the year ended December 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Mediacom LLC's internal control over financial reporting. The revision of financial statement amounts described in Note 2 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements is not deemed to constitute a material weakness of Mediacom LLC's internal controls over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Mediacom LLC is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of Mediacom LLC's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by Mediacom LLC's manager, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Mediacom LLC;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of Mediacom LLC are being made only in accordance with authorizations of the management of Mediacom LLC; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Mediacom LLC's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of Mediacom LLC's inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Mediacom LLC's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of December 31, 2012, Mediacom LLC's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of Mediacom LLC's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by Mediacom LLC's registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit Mediacom LLC to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

Mediacom Capital Corporation

Under the supervision and with the participation of the management of Mediacom Capital Corporation ("Mediacom Capital"), including Mediacom Capital's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Mediacom Capital evaluated the effectiveness of Mediacom Capital's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon such evaluation, Mediacom Capital's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Mediacom Capital's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

There has not been any change in Mediacom Capital's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f)

and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the year ended December 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Mediacom Capital's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Mediacom Capital is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of Mediacom Capital's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by Mediacom Capital's board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Mediacom Capital;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of Mediacom Capital are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of Mediacom Capital; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Mediacom Capital's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of Mediacom Capital's inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Mediacom Capital's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of December 31, 2012, Mediacom Capital's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of Mediacom Capital's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by Mediacom Capital's registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit Mediacom Capital to provide only management's report in this annual report.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

MCC is our sole voting member and serves as manager of our operating subsidiaries. The Directors and Executive Officers for MCC, Mediacom LLC (MLLC) and Mediacom Capital Corporation (MCCorp) are indicated below:

Rocco B. Commisso	63	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director of MCC and MCCorp; Chief Executive Officer of MLLC
Mark E. Stephan	56	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Director of MCC; Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of MLLC and MCCorp
John G. Pascarelli	51	Executive Vice President, Operations of MCC, MLLC and MCCorp
Italia Commisso Weinand	59	Executive Vice President, Programming and Human Resources and Director of MCC; Executive Vice President, Programming and Human Resources of MLLC
Joseph E. Young	64	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of MCC, MLLC and MCCorp
Brian M. Walsh	47	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller of MCC and MLLC
Tapan Dandnaik	39	Senior Vice President, Customer Service and Financial Operations of MCC
Steve Litwer	60	Senior Vice President, Ad Sales for the OnMedia Division of MCC
David McNaughton	51	Senior Vice President, Marketing and Consumer Services of MCC
Ed Pardini	55	Senior Vice President, North Central Division of MCC
Dan Templin	49	Senior Vice President, Commercial Business of MCC
JR Walden	41	Senior Vice President, Technology of MCC
Vin Zachariah	42	Senior Vice President, Field Operations and Fulfillment of MCC

Rocco B. Commisso has 34 years of experience with the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Chairman and Chief

Executive Officer, and our Chief Executive Officer since founding our predecessor company in July 1995. From 1986 to

Table of Contents

1995, he served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a director of Cablevision Industries Corporation. Prior to that time, Mr. Commisso served as Senior Vice President of Royal Bank of Canada's affiliate in the United States from 1981, where he founded and directed a specialized lending group to media and communications companies. Mr. Commisso began his association with the cable industry in 1978 at The Chase Manhattan Bank, where he managed the bank's lending activities to communications firms including the cable industry. Mr. Commisso serves on the board of directors of the National Cable & Telecommunications Association, C-SPAN and Cable Television Laboratories, Inc. He is also a member of the Cable TV Pioneers. Mr. Commisso holds a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering and a Master of Business Administration from Columbia University.

Mark E. Stephan has 26 years of experience with the cable industry, and has served as MCC's, and our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since July 2005. Prior to that time, he was Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since November 2003 and MCC's Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since the commencement of MCC's operations in March 1996. Before joining MCC, Mr. Stephan served as Vice President, Finance for Cablevision Industries from July 1993. Prior to that time, Mr. Stephan served as Manager of the telecommunications and media lending group of Royal Bank of Canada. Mr. Stephan has been a director of MCC since May 2011.

John G. Pascarelli has 31 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Executive Vice President, Operations since November 2003. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Senior Vice President, Marketing and Consumer Services from June 2000 and MCC's Vice President of Marketing from March 1998. Before joining MCC, Mr. Pascarelli served as Vice President, Marketing for Helicon Communications Corporation from January 1996 to February 1998 and as Corporate Director of Marketing for Cablevision Industries from 1988 to 1995. Prior to that time, Mr. Pascarelli served in various marketing and system management capacities for Continental Cablevision, Inc., Cablevision Systems and Storer Communications. Mr. Pascarelli became a Cable TV Pioneer inductee in 2008.

Italia Commisso Weinand has 36 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's, and our Executive Vice President of Programming and Human Resources since May 2012. Prior to that time, she was MCC's Senior Vice President of Programming and Human Resources since February 1998 and MCC's Vice President of Operations since April 1996. Before joining MCC, Ms. Weinand served as Regional Manager for Comcast Corporation from July 1985. Prior to that time, Ms. Weinand held various management positions with Tele-Communications, Inc., Times Mirror Cable and Time Warner, Inc. For the past five years she has been named among the "Most Powerful Women in Cable" by CableFax Magazine and presently serves on the Board of The Cable Center and the Emma Bowen Foundation. Ms. Weinand is the sister of Mr. Commisso. Ms. Weinand has been a director of MCC since May 2011.

Joseph E. Young has 28 years of experience with the cable industry, and has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel since November 2001. Prior to that time, Mr. Young served as Executive Vice President, Legal and Business Affairs, for LinkShare Corporation, an Internet-based provider of marketing services, from September 1999 to October 2001. Prior to that time, he practiced corporate law with Baker & Botts, LLP from January 1995 to September 1999. Previously, Mr. Young was a partner with the Law Offices of Jerome H. Kern and a partner with Shea & Gould.

Brian M. Walsh has 25 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller since February 2005. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Senior Vice President, Financial Operations from November 2003, MCC's Vice President, Finance and Assistant to the Chairman from November 2001, MCC's Vice President and Corporate Controller from February 1998 and MCC's Director of Accounting from November 1996. Before joining MCC in April 1996, Mr. Walsh held various management positions with Cablevision Industries from 1988 to 1995.

Tapan Dandnaik has 12 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Customer Service & Financial Operations since July 2008. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Group Vice President, Financial Operations since July 2007 and MCC's Vice President, Financial Operations since May 2005. Before joining MCC, Mr. Dandnaik served as Director of Corporate Initiatives, Manager of Corporate Finance and as a Financial Analyst for RCN from July 2000 to April 2005. Prior to that time, Mr. Dandnaik served as a Product Engineer for Ingersoll-Rand in India. In 2012, Mr. Dandnaik was the recipient of the National Cable & Telecommunication Association's Vanguard Award for Young Leadership. He also serves as a prominent member of The Cable Center Customer Care Committee.

Steve Litwer has 21 years of experience with the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Ad Sales for the OnMedia Division since April 2008. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Group Vice President, Sales since the commencement of the ad sales division in 2001. Before joining MCC, Mr. Litwer served as Group Sales Director at AT&T and TCI Media Services from 1996 to 2001. Prior to that time, Mr. Litwer served in various management positions at cable systems, radio and broadcast TV stations.

David McNaughton has 25 years of experience in the telecommunications industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice

President, Marketing and Consumer Services since May 2011. Before joining MCC, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer for Ntelos Wireless, a Virginia-based regional wireless carrier from 2009 and Senior Vice President and General Manager at Cincinnati Bell from 2007, responsible for wireless, landline and DSL services. Prior to that time, he held senior management marketing positions at DirecTV, Nextel Communications, and AirTouch Cellular.

Table of Contents

Ed Pardini has 30 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President of the Field Operations Group since May 2012. Prior to that time, he was Senior Vice President, Divisional Operations for the North Central Division from April 2006. Before joining MCC, Mr. Pardini served as an operating executive in several markets with Comcast since 1989, concluding his final assignment as a Senior Regional Vice President for Philadelphia and eastern Pennsylvania. Prior to that time, Mr. Pardini served in various financial management positions with Greater Media Cable and Viacom Cable.

Dan Templin has 21 years of experience in the cable and telecommunications industries, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Mediacom Business since April 2011. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Group Vice President, Strategic Marketing and Product Development since May 2008. Before joining MCC, he was Senior Vice President, Marketing and Product Management for SusCom from February 1999. Prior to that time, Mr. Templin served in a number of operations, product and marketing roles with Comcast and Jones Intercable.

JR Walden has 17 years of experience in the cable industry, and 23 years of experience in Internet and Telecommunications technology. He has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Technology since February 2008. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Group Vice President, IP Services from July 2004, MCC's Vice President, IP Services from July 2003, MCC's Senior Director of IP Services from June 2002 and MCC's IP Services Director from October 1998. Before joining MCC in 1998, Mr. Walden worked in the defense research industry holding various positions with the Department of Defense, Comarco and Science Applications International Corporation.

Vin V. Zachariah has 14 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President of Field Operations and Fulfillment since December 2011. Prior to that time, he served as a consultant to MCC working on various initiatives for MCC's Executive Vice President of Operations since March 2011. Before joining MCC, Mr. Zachariah served at Time Warner Cable as Regional Vice President of Operations from March 2009, Vice President/General Manager from May 2006, Vice President of Operations from April 2004 and Assistant to the Division President from January 2003. Prior to that time, Mr. Zachariah served in various financial positions with Global Signal Inc and Salomon Smith Barney. Mr. Zachariah served in the United States Air Force from June 1993 to August 1997.

Our manager has adopted a code of ethics applicable to all of our employees, including our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and chief accounting officer. This code of ethics was filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The executive officers and directors of MCC are compensated exclusively by MCC and do not receive any separate compensation from Mediacom LLC or Mediacom Capital. MCC acts as manager of our operating subsidiaries and in return receives management fees from each of such subsidiaries.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Mediacom Capital is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mediacom LLC. MCC is the sole voting member of Mediacom LLC. The address of MCC is 100 Crystal Run Road, Middletown, New York 10941.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**Going Private Transaction**

On November 12, 2010, MCC entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"), by and among MCC, JMC Communications LLC ("JMC") and Rocco B. Commisso, MCC's founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, who was also the sole member and manager of JMC, for the purpose of taking MCC private (the "Going Private Transaction").

At a special meeting of stockholders on March 4, 2011, MCC's stockholders voted to adopt the Merger Agreement. On the same date, JMC was merged with and into MCC (the "Merger"), with MCC continuing as the surviving corporation, a private company that is wholly-owned by an entity controlled by Mr. Commisso. As a result of the Merger, among other things, each share of MCC's common stock (other than shares held by Mr. Commisso and his affiliates) was converted into the right to receive promptly after the Merger \$8.75 in cash.

The Going Private Transaction required funding of approximately \$381.5 million, including related transaction expenses, and was funded, in part, by capital distributions to MCC from us, consisting of \$100.0 million of borrowings under our revolving credit facility and \$36.5 million of cash on hand. The balance was funded by Mediacom Broadband LLC ("Mediacom Broadband"), another wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC.

Table of Contents
Management Agreements

Pursuant to management agreements between MCC and our operating subsidiaries, MCC is entitled to receive annual management fees in amounts not to exceed 4.0% of gross operating revenues, and MCC shall be responsible for, among other things, the compensation (including benefits) of MCC's executive management. For the year ended December 31, 2012, MCC charged us \$11.9 million of such management fees, approximately 1.7% of gross operating revenues.

Other Relationships

In July 2001, we made a \$150 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband, a wholly owned subsidiary of MCC, that was funded with borrowings under the credit facility. The preferred equity investment has a 12% annual cash dividend, payable quarterly in cash. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we received in aggregate \$18.0 million in cash dividends on the preferred equity.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Our allocated portion of fees from MCC for professional services provided by our independent auditor in each of the last two fiscal years, in each of the following categories are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended</u> <u>December, 31</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Audit fees	\$514	\$400
Audit-related fees	22	—
Tax fees	13	103
All other fees	1	—
Total	<u>\$550</u>	<u>\$503</u>

Audit fees include fees associated with the annual audit, the reviews of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and annual reports on Form 10-K. Audit-related fees include fees associated with the audit of an employee benefit plan and transaction reviews.

Tax fees include fees related to tax planning and associated tax computations.

The Audit Committee of our manager has adopted a policy that requires advance approval of all audit, audit-related, tax services, and other services performed by our independent auditor. The policy provides for preapproval by the Audit Committee of our manager of specifically defined audit and non-audit services. Unless the specific service has been previously pre-approved with respect to that year, the Audit Committee of our manager must approve the permitted service before the independent auditor is engaged to perform it.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. *EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**(a) Financial Statements*

Our financial statements as set forth in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K are hereby incorporated by reference.

(b) Exhibits

The following exhibits, which are numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K, are filed herewith or, as noted, incorporated by reference herein:

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Description</u>
2.1	Asset Transfer Agreement, dated February 11, 2009, by and among Mediacom Communications Corporation, certain operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC and the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom Broadband ⁽¹⁾
3.1(a)	Articles of Organization of Mediacom LLC filed July 17, 1995 ⁽²⁾
3.1(b)	Certificate of Amendment of the Articles of Organization of Mediacom LLC filed December 8, 1995 ⁽²⁾
3.2	Fifth Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of Mediacom LLC ⁽³⁾
3.3	Certificate of Incorporation of Mediacom Capital Corporation filed March 9, 1998 ⁽²⁾
3.4	By-Laws of Mediacom Capital Corporation ⁽²⁾
4.1	Indenture relating to 9 1/8% senior notes due 2019 of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation ⁽⁴⁾
4.2	Indenture relating to 7 1/4% senior notes due 2022 of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation ⁽⁵⁾
10.1(a)	Credit Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2004, among the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC, the lenders thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽⁶⁾
10.1(b)	Amendment No. 1, dated as of May 5, 2006, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2004, among the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC, the lenders thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽⁷⁾
10.1(c)	Amendment No. 2, dated as of June 11, 2007, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2004, among the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC, the lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽⁸⁾
10.1(d)	Amendment No. 3, dated as of June 11, 2007, to the Credit Agreement, dated of October 21, 2004, among the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC, the lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽⁸⁾
10.1(e)	Amendment No. 4, dated as of April 23, 2010, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2004, among the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC, the lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽⁹⁾
10.2	Incremental Facility Agreement, dated as of May 5, 2006, between the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC, the lenders signatory thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent ⁽⁷⁾
10.3	Incremental Facility Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2010, between the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC, the lenders signatory thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent ⁽⁹⁾
12.1	Schedule of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
14.1	Code of Ethics ⁽¹⁰⁾
21.1	Subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC
31.1	Rule 15(d) -14(a) Certifications of Mediacom LLC
31.2	Rule 15(d) -14(a) Certifications of Mediacom Capital Corporation
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom LLC
32.2	Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom Capital Corporation
101	The following is financial information from Mediacom LLC's and Mediacom Capital Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's Deficit for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 of MCC and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-57285) of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999 of MCC, Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as an exhibit to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009 of Mediacom Communications Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 of Mediacom LLC and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed as an exhibit to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004 of MCC and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Filed as an exhibit to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006 of MCC and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Filed as an exhibit to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007 of MCC and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Filed as an exhibit to the Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 23, 2010, of Mediacom LLC and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 of Mediacom LLC and incorporated herein by reference.

(c) Financial Statement Schedule

The following financial statement schedule — Schedule II — Valuation of Qualifying Accounts — is part of this Form 10-K.

