

---

**Table of Contents**

Depreciation and amortization increased 5.0%, largely as a result of the depreciation of investments in shorter-lived customer premise equipment and our internal phone service platform.

***OIBDA***

OIBDA grew 3.6%, principally due to greater revenues, offset in part by higher selling, general and administrative expenses and service costs.

***Operating Income***

Operating income increased 2.5%, as the growth in OIBDA was partly offset by higher depreciation and amortization.

***Interest Expense, Net***

Interest expense, net, increased 0.9%, substantially due to greater average outstanding indebtedness.

***Gain (loss) on Derivatives, Net***

As of December 31, 2012, we had interest rate exchange agreements (which we refer to as "interest rate swaps") with an aggregate notional amount of \$1.1 billion, of which \$300.0 million are forward-starting interest rate swaps. These interest rate swaps have not been designated as hedges for accounting purposes, and the changes in their mark-to-market values are derived primarily from changes in market interest rates and the decrease in their time to maturity. As a result of changes to the mark-to-market valuation of our interest rate swaps, based on information provided by our counterparties, we recorded a net gain on derivatives of \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to a net loss on derivatives of \$17.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

***Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt***

Loss on early extinguishment of debt totaled \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, of which \$3.3 million represented the non-cash write-off of certain deferred financing costs associated with prior financings that were repaid during the period.

***Other Expense, Net***

Other expense, net, was \$1.5 million and \$2.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, other expense, net, consisted of \$1.0 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.5 million of other fees. During the year ended December 31, 2011, other expense, net, consisted of \$1.2 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.9 million of other fees.

***Net Income***

As a result of the factors described above, we recognized net income of \$75.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$58.0 million in the prior year.

**Table of Contents*****Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010***

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we identified and corrected errors in the manner in which we recorded fixed assets and the related depreciation expense on fixed assets purchased by MCC on behalf of our operating subsidiaries. Such capital expenditures and associated depreciation were recorded at MCC, whereas they were related to, and should have been incurred by, our operating subsidiaries. Accordingly, we revised previously reported results for all affected periods. Refer to Note 2 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about the financial statement impact of this revision. The discussion and analysis included herein includes statements based on the revised financial results for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The tables below set forth our unaudited consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>		
Revenues	\$ 874,760	\$ 847,661	\$27,099	3.2%
Costs and expenses:				
Service costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	351,922	354,011	(2,089)	(0.6%)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	173,855	168,938	4,917	2.9%
Management fee expense	15,452	15,775	(323)	(2.0%)
Depreciation and amortization	143,999	131,733	12,266	9.3%
Operating income	189,532	177,204	12,328	7.0%
Interest expense, net	(111,509)	(112,106)	597	(0.5%)
Loss on derivatives, net	(17,911)	(14,703)	(3,208)	21.8%
Other expense, net	(2,136)	(2,230)	94	(4.2%)
Net income	<u>\$ 57,976</u>	<u>\$ 48,165</u>	<u>\$ 9,811</u>	<u>20.4%</u>
OIBDA	<u>\$ 333,531</u>	<u>\$ 308,937</u>	<u>\$24,594</u>	<u>8.0%</u>

The following represents a reconciliation of OIBDA to operating income, which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>		
OIBDA	\$ 333,531	\$ 308,937	\$ 24,594	8.0%
Depreciation and amortization	(143,999)	(131,733)	(12,266)	9.3%
Operating income	<u>\$ 189,532</u>	<u>\$ 177,204</u>	<u>\$ 12,328</u>	<u>7.0%</u>

## Table of Contents

### *Revenues*

The tables below set forth revenue and selected subscriber, customer and average monthly revenue statistics for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands, except per unit data):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>		
Video	\$483,953	\$487,919	\$(3,966)	(0.8%)
HSD	208,792	191,369	17,423	9.1%
Phone	66,942	63,313	3,629	5.7%
Business services	65,098	54,036	11,062	20.5%
Advertising	49,975	51,024	(1,049)	(2.1%)
Total	<u>\$874,760</u>	<u>\$847,661</u>	<u>\$27,099</u>	<u>3.2%</u>

  

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>	<u>% Change</u>
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>		
Video customers	596,000	663,000	(67,000)	(10.1%)
HSD customers	468,000	459,000	9,000	2.0%
Phone customers	180,000	175,000	5,000	2.9%
Primary service units (PSUs)	1,244,000	1,297,000	(53,000)	(4.1%)
Average total monthly revenue per video customer	\$ 115.80	\$ 104.42	\$ 11.39	10.9%
Average total monthly revenue per PSU	\$ 57.38	\$ 55.04	\$ 2.34	4.3%

Revenues increased 3.2%, primarily due to higher HSD, business services and, to a much lesser extent, phone revenues. Average total monthly revenue per video customer increased 10.9% to \$115.80, and average total monthly revenue per PSU increased 4.3% to \$57.38.

Video revenues declined 0.8%, as lower revenues resulting from residential video customer losses were mostly offset by higher unit pricing. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we lost 67,000 video customers, compared to a loss of 27,000 video customers in the prior year, as a result of aggressive marketing and promotional offers by our competitors, which included higher levels of discounted pricing. As of December 31, 2011, we served 596,000 video customers, or 39.1% of our estimated homes passed.

HSD revenues grew 9.1%, primarily due to higher unit pricing and a larger residential HSD customer base. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we gained 9,000 HSD customers, compared to an increase of 31,000 in the prior year. As of December 31, 2011, we served 468,000 HSD customers, or 30.7% of our estimated homes passed.

Phone revenues were 5.7% higher, largely a result of higher unit pricing and an increased residential phone customer base. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we gained 5,000 phone customers, compared to an increase of 23,000 phone customers in the prior year. As of December 31, 2011, we served 180,000 phone customers, or 11.8% of our estimated homes passed.

Business services revenues rose 20.5%, primarily due to greater revenues from our enterprise networks business, principally for cell tower backhaul, and an increase in commercial HSD and phone customers.

Advertising revenues decreased 2.1%, mainly due to an unfavorable comparison to the prior year, which had strong political revenues due to an election year.

### *Costs and Expenses*

Service costs were 0.6% lower, as significantly lower phone service costs were mostly offset by greater programming and field operating costs. Programming expenses grew 1.7%, principally due to higher contractual rates and fees charged by our programming vendors, offset in part by a lower video customer base. Field operating costs rose 14.1%, largely a result of higher vehicle fuel and repair, fiber lease, electricity and cable location service expenses. Phone service costs fell 54.0%, substantially due to cost savings resulting from our transition from a third-party provider to an internal phone service platform. Service costs as a percentage of revenues were 40.2% and 41.8% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 2.9%, principally as a result of higher marketing costs. Marketing expenses grew 13.6%, largely a result of greater staffing for our business services marketing and higher levels of contracted telemarketing, television advertising and marketing research. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 19.9% for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Management fee expense fell 2.0%, reflecting lower fees charged by MCC. Management fee expense as a percentage of revenues was 1.8% and 1.9% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization increased 9.3%, largely a result of the depreciation of shorter-lived customer premise and headend equipment, and certain investments related to our internal phone service platform.

### ***OIBDA***

OIBDA grew 8.0%, principally due to greater revenues and constrained growth in service costs, offset in part by higher selling, general and administrative expenses.

### ***Operating Income***

Operating income rose 7.0%, as the growth in OIBDA was partly offset by higher depreciation and amortization.

### ***Interest Expense, Net***

Interest expense, net, decreased 0.5%, as a lower average cost of debt was mostly offset by higher average outstanding balances under the revolving credit facility.

### ***Loss on Derivatives, Net***

As a result of changes to the mark-to-market valuation of our interest rate swaps, based on information provided by our counterparties, we recorded a net loss on derivatives of \$17.9 million and \$14.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

### ***Other Expense, Net***

Other expense, net, was \$2.1 million and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2011, other expense, net, consisted of \$1.2 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.9 million of other fees. During the year ended December 31, 2010, other expense, net, consisted of \$1.9 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.3 million of other fees.

### ***Net Income***

As a result of the factors described above, we recognized net income of \$58.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$48.2 million in the prior year.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our net cash flows provided by operating activities are primarily used to fund investments to enhance the capacity and reliability of our network and further expand our products and services, as well as for scheduled repayments of our indebtedness and periodic distributions to MCC. As of December 31, 2012, our near-term liquidity requirements included scheduled term loan amortization of \$16.0 million in each of the years ending December 31, 2013 and 2014.

As of December 31, 2012, our sources of liquidity included \$11.8 million of cash and \$82.9 million of unused and available commitments under our revolving credit commitments. We believe that cash generated by or available to us will meet our anticipated capital and liquidity needs for the next twelve months and the foreseeable future thereafter. See “— Capital Structure” for a discussion of the expiration dates of our revolving credit commitments, term loans and senior notes.

In the longer term, specifically 2015 and beyond, we do not expect to generate sufficient net cash flows from operations to fund our maturing term loans and senior notes. If we are unable to obtain sufficient future financing on similar terms as we currently experience, or at all, we may need to take other actions to conserve or raise capital that we would not take otherwise. However, we have accessed the debt markets for significant amounts of capital in the past, and expect to continue to be able to access these markets in the future as necessary.

### ***Net Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities***

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$231.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to OIBDA of \$345.4 million and, to a much lesser extent, the \$2.3 million net change in operating assets and liabilities, offset in



---

## **Table of Contents**

part by interest expense of \$112.6 million. The net change in operating assets and liabilities was largely a result of a decrease in accounts receivable, net, of \$5.5 million and, to a much lesser extent, a decrease in deferred revenue of \$1.0 million, offset in part by an increase in prepaid expenses and other assets of \$3.7 million.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$277.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to OIBDA of \$333.5 million and, to a much lesser extent, the \$52.6 million net change in operating assets and liabilities, offset in part by interest expense of \$111.5 million. The net change in operating assets and liabilities was largely a result of a decrease in accounts receivable from affiliates of \$28.8 million, an increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$28.1 million and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets of \$5.3 million, offset in part by an increase in accounts receivable, net, of \$10.7 million.

### ***Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities***

Capital expenditures continue to be our primary use of capital resources and the majority of our net cash flows used in investing activities.

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$155.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, due to \$147.0 million of capital expenditures and a net change in accrued property, plant and equipment of \$8.8 million.

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$160.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, due to \$166.5 million of capital expenditures, offset in part by a \$6.2 million redemption of cash and cash equivalents.

The \$19.5 million decline in capital expenditures largely reflects reduced outlays for investments in our HSD and phone platforms.

### ***Net Cash Flows Used in Financing Activities***

Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$75.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the \$500.0 million redemption of our existing 8 1/2% Notes and, to a much lesser extent, capital distribution to our parent, MCC, of \$121.8 million, dividend payments on preferred members' interest of \$18.0 million and financing costs of \$13.3 million, offset in part by the \$300.0 million issuance of 6 3/8% Notes, net borrowings under the credit facility of \$162.0 million and capital contributions from our parent, MCC, of \$115.6 million.

Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$138.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to capital distributions to our parent, MCC, of \$250.7 million and, to a much lesser extent, dividend payments on preferred members' interest of \$18.0 million, offset in part by net borrowings of \$132.0 million under the revolving credit facility.

## **Financing Activities During 2012**

### ***New Financings***

On August 20, 2012, our operating subsidiaries entered into an amended and restated credit agreement governing the credit facility (the "credit agreement") that provided for a new term loan ("Term Loan G") under the credit facility in the principal amount of \$200.0 million. Net proceeds from Term Loan G of \$192.2 million, after giving effect to financing costs of \$7.8 million, were used to repay all outstanding debt under our revolving credit commitments, without any reduction in such commitments, and were used to fund a \$70.0 million capital distribution to parent.

On August 28, 2012, we issued the 6 3/8% Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$300.0 million. After giving effect to \$5.5 million of financing costs, net proceeds from the issuance of the 6 3/8% Notes of \$294.5 million were used to fund the purchase of \$74.8 million of our 8 1/2% Notes and a \$26.0 million capital distribution to parent, with the balance used for general corporate purposes.

### ***Tender Offer***

On August 14, 2012, we commenced a cash tender offer (the "Tender Offer") for up to \$300.0 million of our outstanding 8 1/2% Notes, and we increased the Tender Offer to \$350.0 million on August 20, 2012. Pursuant to the Tender Offer, on August 28, 2012 and September 12, 2012, we purchased \$74.8 million in aggregate principal amount of our 8 1/2% Notes. The Tender Offer was funded with proceeds of the 6 3/8% Notes.

### ***Redemption***

On October 15, 2012, we redeemed \$425.2 million in aggregate principal amount of our 8 1/2% Notes outstanding, representing the entire outstanding balance of such notes. These 8 1/2% Notes were redeemed at a price equal to \$1,014.17 for each \$1,000 principal amount outstanding, for an aggregate redemption price of \$431.2 million. The redemption was funded with \$203.1 million of cash and cash equivalents, borrowings of \$132.0 million under our revolving credit commitments and

the return by our parent of a \$96.0 million capital distribution made to it in the third quarter of 2012.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Capital Structure**

As of December 31, 2012, our total indebtedness was \$1.959 billion, of which approximately 56% was at fixed interest rates or subject to interest rate protection. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we paid cash interest of \$109.6 million, net of capitalized interest.

#### ***Bank Credit Facility***

As of December 31, 2012, we maintained a \$1.753 billion credit facility, comprising \$1.537 billion of term loans with maturities ranging from January 2015 to January 2020, and \$216.0 million of revolving credit commitments, which are scheduled to expire on December 31, 2016 (or July 31, 2014 if Term Loan D under the credit facility has not been repaid or refinanced prior to that date). As of the same date, we had \$82.9 million of unused lines under our revolving credit commitments, all of which were available to be borrowed and used for general corporate purposes, after giving effect to \$122.5 million of outstanding loans and \$10.6 million of letters of credit issued thereunder to various parties as collateral.

The credit facility is collateralized by our ownership interests in our operating subsidiaries, and is guaranteed by us on a limited recourse basis to the extent of such ownership interests. As of December 31, 2012, the credit agreement governing the credit facility (the "credit agreement") required us to maintain a total leverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of no more than 6.0 to 1.0 and an interest coverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of no less than 1.75 to 1.0. The total leverage ratio covenant will be reduced to 5.5 to 1.0 commencing on April 1, 2013, and will be further reduced to 5.0 to 1.0 commencing on April 1, 2014.

#### ***Interest Rate Exchange Agreements***

We use interest exchange agreements (which we refer to as "interest rate swaps") in order to fix the variable portion of debt under the credit facility to reduce the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. As of December 31, 2012, we had current interest rate swaps with various banks pursuant to which the rate on \$800 million of floating rate debt was fixed at a rate of 3.3%. As of the same date, we also had \$300 million of forward starting interest rate swaps with a fixed rate of 2.6%.

Including the effects of our interest rate swaps, the average interest rates on outstanding debt under the credit facility as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 were 4.6% and 4.4%, respectively.

#### ***Senior Notes***

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$300.0 million of outstanding senior notes, all of which mature in April 2023. Our senior notes are unsecured obligations, and the indenture governing our senior notes (the "indenture") limits the incurrence of additional indebtedness based upon a maximum debt to operating cash flow ratio (as defined in the indenture) of 8.5 to 1.0.

#### ***Covenant Compliance and Debt Ratings***

For all periods through December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under the credit facility and senior note arrangements. We do not believe that we will have any difficulty complying with any of the applicable covenants in the near future.

Our future access to the debt markets and the terms and conditions we receive are influenced by our debt ratings. MCC's corporate credit rating is B1, with a stable outlook, by Moody's, and B+, with positive outlook, by Standard and Poor's. Our senior unsecured credit rating is B3 by Moody's, with a stable outlook, and B-, with a positive outlook, by Standard and Poor's. We cannot assure you that Moody's and Standard and Poor's will maintain their ratings on MCC and us. A negative change to these credit ratings could result in higher interest rates on future debt issuance than we currently experience, or adversely impact our ability to raise additional funds.

## Table of Contents

### **Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and commercial commitments, and the effects they are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow, for the five years subsequent to December 31, 2012 and thereafter (dollars in thousands)\*:

	<u>Scheduled Debt Maturities</u>	<u>Operating Leases</u>	<u>Interest Expense<sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>Purchase Obligations<sup>(2)</sup></u>	<u>Total</u>
2013	\$ 16,000	\$ 3,291	\$ 94,094	\$ 7,473	\$ 120,858
2014-2015	760,000	5,116	148,876	587	914,579
2016-2017	693,500	2,520	86,490	—	782,510
Thereafter	489,500	2,651	102,268	—	594,419
Total cash obligations	<u>\$ 1,959,000</u>	<u>\$13,578</u>	<u>\$431,728</u>	<u>\$ 8,060</u>	<u>\$2,412,366</u>

\* Refer to Note 6 and Note 12 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of our long-term debt and a discussion of our operating leases and other commitments and contingencies, respectively.

- (1) Interest payments on floating rate debt and interest rate swaps are estimated using amounts outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and the average interest rates applicable under such debt obligations. Interest expense amounts are net of amounts capitalized.
- (2) We have contracts with programmers who provide video programming services to our subscribers. Our contracts typically provide that we have an obligation to purchase video programming for our subscribers as long as we deliver cable services to such subscribers. We have no obligation to purchase these services if we are not providing cable services, except when we do not have the right to cancel the underlying contract or for contracts with a guaranteed minimum commitment. There are no programming services included in our Purchase Obligations above.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Periodically, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to doubtful accounts, long-lived assets, capitalized costs and accruals. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that the application of the critical accounting policies discussed below requires significant judgments and estimates on the part of management. For a summary of our accounting policies, see Note 2 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### ***Property, Plant and Equipment***

We capitalize the costs of new construction and replacement of our cable transmission and distribution facilities and new service installation in accordance with ASC No. 922 — *Entertainment — Cable Television*. Costs associated with subsequent installations of additional services not previously installed at a customer's dwelling are capitalized to the extent such costs are incremental and directly attributable to the installation of such additional services. Capitalized costs included all direct labor and materials as well as certain indirect costs. Capitalized costs are recorded as additions to property, plant and equipment and depreciated over the average life of the related assets. We use standard costing models, developed from actual historical costs and relevant operational data, to determine our capitalized amounts. These models include labor rates, overhead rates and standard time inputs to perform various installation and construction activities. The development of these standards involves significant judgment by management, especially in the development of standards for our newer, advanced products and services in which historical data is limited. Changes to the estimates or assumptions used in establishing these standards could be material. We perform periodic evaluations of the estimates used to determine the amount of costs that are capitalized. Any changes to these estimates, which may be significant, are applied in the period in which the evaluations were completed.

#### ***Valuation and Impairment Testing of Indefinite-lived Intangibles***

As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$1.4 billion of unamortized intangible assets, including franchise rights of \$1.2 billion and goodwill of \$196 million on our consolidated balance sheets. These intangible assets represented approximately 60% of our total assets.

Our cable systems operate under non-exclusive cable franchises, or franchise rights, granted by state and local governmental authorities for varying lengths of time. We acquired these cable franchises through acquisitions of cable systems and were



---

## Table of Contents

accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. As of December 31, 2012, we held 497 franchises in areas located throughout the United States. The value of a franchise is derived from the economic benefits we receive from the right to solicit new subscribers and to market new products and services, such as digital video, HSD and phone, in a specific market territory. We concluded that our franchise rights have an indefinite useful life since, among other things, there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic or other factors limiting the period over which these franchise rights contribute to our revenues and cash flows. Goodwill is the excess of the acquisition cost of an acquired entity over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired. In accordance with ASC No. 350 — *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other* (“ASC 350”), we do not amortize franchise rights and goodwill. Instead, such assets are tested annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators arise.

We follow the provisions of ASC 350 to test our goodwill and franchise rights for impairment. We assess the fair values of each cable system cluster using discounted cash flow (“DCF”) methodology, under which the fair value of cable franchise rights are determined in a direct manner. We employ the In-use Excess Earnings DCF methodology to calculate the fair values of our cable franchise rights, using unobservable inputs (Level 3). This assessment involves significant judgment, including certain assumptions and estimates that determine future cash flow expectations and other future benefits, which are consistent with the expectations of buyers and sellers of cable systems in determining fair value. These assumptions and estimates include discount rates, estimated growth rates, terminal growth rates, comparable company data, revenues per customer, market penetration as a percentage of homes passed and operating margin. We also consider market transactions, market valuations, research analyst estimates and other valuations using multiples of operating income before depreciation and amortization to confirm the reasonableness of fair values determined by the DCF methodology. We employ the Greenfield model to corroborate the fair values of our cable franchise rights determined under the In-use Excess Earnings DCF methodology. Significant impairment in value resulting in impairment charges may result if the estimates and assumptions used in the fair value determination change in the future. Such impairments, if recognized, could potentially be material.

Based on the guidance outlined in ASC 350, we have determined that the unit of accounting, or reporting unit, for testing goodwill and franchise rights is Mediacom Broadband LLC. Comprising cable system clusters across several states Mediacom Broadband LLC is at the financial reporting level that is managed and reviewed by the corporate office (i.e., chief operating decision maker) including our determination as to how we allocate capital resources and utilize the assets. The reporting unit level also reflects the level at which the purchase method of accounting for our acquisitions was originally recorded.

In accordance with ASC 350, we are required to determine goodwill impairment using a two-step process. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit with our carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds our carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds our fair value, the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill, calculated using the residual method, with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss.

The impairment test for our franchise rights and other intangible assets not subject to amortization consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying value. If the carrying value of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss.

Since our adoption of ASC 350 in 2002, we have not recorded any impairments as a result of our impairment testing. We completed our most recent impairment test as of October 1, 2012, which reflected no impairment of our franchise rights, goodwill or other intangible assets.

For illustrative purposes, if there were a hypothetical decline of 20% in the fair values determined for cable franchise rights at our reporting unit, an impairment loss of \$40.1 million would result as of our impairment testing date of October 1, 2012. In addition, a hypothetical decline of up to 20% in the fair values determined for goodwill and other finite-lived intangible assets at our reporting unit would not result in any impairment loss as of October 1, 2012.

We could record impairments in the future if there are changes in the long-term fundamentals of our business, in general market conditions or in the regulatory landscape that could prevent us from recovering the carrying value of our long-lived intangible assets. The economic conditions affecting the U.S. economy, and how that may impact the fundamentals of our business, may have a negative impact on the fair values of the assets in our reporting unit.

In accordance with Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-28—*When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)*, as of October 1, 2012, we have evaluated whether there are any adverse qualitative factors surrounding our Mediacom Broadband

reporting unit (which has a negative carrying value) indicating that a goodwill impairment may exist. We do not believe that it is "more likely than not" that a goodwill impairment exists. As such, we have not performed Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04 (“ASU 2011-04”), *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) — Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, which provides a converged framework for fair value measurements and related disclosures between generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 amends the fair value measurement and disclosure guidance in the following areas: (i) Highest-and-best use and the valuation-premise concepts for non-financial assets, (ii) application to financial assets and liabilities with offsetting positions in market or counterparty credit risk, (iii) premiums or discounts in fair value measurement, (iv) fair value measurements for amounts classified in equity; and (v) other disclosure requirements particularly involving Level 3 inputs. This guidance was effective for us as of January 1, 2012. We adopted the ASU on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 (“ASU 2011-05”), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. Under ASU 2011-05, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement of other comprehensive income should immediately follow the statement of net income and include the components of other comprehensive income and a total for other comprehensive income, along with a total for comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2011. We adopted ASU 2011-05 on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-05 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08 (“ASU 2011-08”) *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*. Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test. Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. We adopted the ASU on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In July 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02 (“ASU 2012-02”) *Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*. ASU 2012-02 expands the guidance in ASU 2011-08 to include indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill. We adopted this ASU on December 1, 2012. ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

### **Inflation and Changing Prices**

Our systems’ costs and expenses are subject to inflation and price fluctuations. Such changes in costs and expenses can generally be passed through to subscribers. Programming costs have historically increased at rates in excess of inflation and are expected to continue to do so. We believe that under the FCC’s existing cable rate regulations we may increase rates for cable services to more than cover any increases in programming. However, competitive conditions and other factors in the marketplace may limit our ability to increase our rates.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

In the normal course of business, we use interest rate exchange agreements (which we refer to as “interest rate swaps”) with counterparty banks to fix the interest rate on a portion of our variable interest rate debt. As of December 31, 2012, we had current interest rate swaps with various banks pursuant to which the interest rate on \$800 million of floating rate debt was fixed at a rate of 3.3%. These current interest rate swaps are scheduled to expire in the amounts of \$600 million and \$200 million during the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2015, respectively. We also had forward interest rate swaps with various banks pursuant to which the interest rate on \$300 million of floating rate debt was fixed at a rate of 2.6%, all of which commence during the year ended December 31, 2014. The fixed rates of the interest rate swaps are offset against the applicable London Interbank Offered Rate to determine the related interest expense.

Under the terms of the interest rate swaps, we are exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by our counterparties; however, we do not anticipate such nonperformance. As of December 31, 2012, based on the mark-to-market valuation, we would have paid approximately \$57.1 million, including accrued interest, if we terminated these interest rate swaps. Our interest rate exchange agreements and debt arrangements do not contain credit rating triggers that could affect our liquidity.

The table below provides the expected maturity and estimated fair value of our debt as of December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands).

	<u>Senior Notes</u>	<u>Bank Credit Facility</u>	<u>Total</u>
Expected Maturity:			
January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013	\$ —	\$ 16,000	\$ 16,000
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	—	16,000	16,000
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015	—	744,000	744,000
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016	—	130,500	130,500
January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017	—	563,000	563,000
Thereafter	<u>300,000</u>	<u>189,500</u>	<u>489,500</u>
Total	<u>\$ 300,000</u>	<u>\$1,659,000</u>	<u>\$1,959,000</u>
Fair Value	<u>\$ 307,500</u>	<u>\$1,666,265</u>	<u>\$1,973,765</u>
Weighted Average Interest Rate	<u>6.4%</u>	<u>4.6%</u>	<u>4.9%</u>

---

**Table of Contents****ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA****MEDIACOM BROADBAND LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Contents**

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	51
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	52
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	53
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's (Deficit) Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	54
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	55
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	56
<u>Financial Statement Schedule: Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	71

---

**Table of Contents****Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Member of Mediacom Broadband LLC:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mediacom Broadband LLC and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
New York, NY  
March 7, 2013

Table of Contents

**MEDIACOM BROADBAND LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Dollars in thousands)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash	\$ 11,796	\$ 11,730
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,121 and \$1,149	58,544	64,071
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	11,318	7,463
Total current assets	81,658	83,264
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,228,186 and \$1,096,334	804,462	808,370
Franchise rights	1,176,908	1,176,908
Goodwill	195,945	195,945
Subscriber lists, net of accumulated amortization of \$39,744 and \$39,215	3	532
Other assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$16,809 and \$19,378	27,183	22,326
Total assets	\$2,286,159	\$2,287,345
<b>LIABILITIES, PREFERRED MEMBERS' INTEREST AND MEMBER'S DEFICIT</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 168,033	\$ 170,532
Deferred revenue	34,559	33,525
Current portion of long-term debt	16,000	14,000
Total current liabilities	218,592	218,057
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,943,000	1,983,000
Other non-current liabilities	33,890	44,632
Total liabilities	2,195,482	2,245,689
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)		
PREFERRED MEMBERS' INTEREST (Note 7)	150,000	150,000
<b>MEMBER'S DEFICIT</b>		
Capital contributions	86,112	94,344
Accumulated deficit	(145,435)	(202,688)
Total member's deficit	(59,323)	(108,344)
Total liabilities, preferred members' interest and member's deficit	\$2,286,159	\$2,287,345

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

**MEDIACOM BROADBAND LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Revenues	\$ 897,420	\$ 874,760	\$ 847,661
Costs and expenses:			
Service costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	356,915	351,922	354,011
Selling, general and administrative expenses	180,736	173,855	168,938
Management fee expense	14,335	15,452	15,775
Depreciation and amortization	<u>151,240</u>	<u>143,999</u>	<u>131,733</u>
Operating income	194,194	189,532	177,204
Interest expense, net	(112,561)	(111,509)	(112,106)
Gain (loss) on derivatives, net	6,217	(17,911)	(14,703)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(11,114)	—	—
Other expense, net	<u>(1,483)</u>	<u>(2,136)</u>	<u>(2,230)</u>
Net income	\$ 75,253	\$ 57,976	\$ 48,165
Dividend to preferred members (Note 7)	<u>(18,000)</u>	<u>(18,000)</u>	<u>(18,000)</u>
Net income applicable to member	<u>\$ 57,253</u>	<u>\$ 39,976</u>	<u>\$ 30,165</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

**MEDIACOM BROADBAND LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN MEMBER'S (DEFICIT) EQUITY**  
(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>Capital Contributions</u>	<u>Accumulated Deficit</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>Balance, December 31, 2009</b>	\$ 406,998	\$ (276,255)	\$ 130,743
Net income	—	48,165	48,165
Dividend payments to related party on preferred members' interest	—	(18,000)	(18,000)
Capital distributions to parent	(63,000)	3,151	(59,849)
Other contributions from parent	216	—	216
Other	—	136	136
<b>Balance, December 31, 2010</b>	<u>\$ 344,214</u>	<u>\$ (242,803)</u>	<u>\$ 101,411</u>
Net income	—	57,976	57,976
Dividend payments to related party on preferred members' interest	—	(18,000)	(18,000)
Capital distributions to parent	(250,700)	—	(250,700)
Other contributions from parent	133	—	133
Other	697	139	836
<b>Balance, December 31, 2011</b>	<u>\$ 94,344</u>	<u>\$ (202,688)</u>	<u>\$ (108,344)</u>
Net income	—	75,253	75,253
Dividend payments to related party on preferred members' interest	—	(18,000)	(18,000)
Capital distributions to parent	(121,825)	—	(121,825)
Other contributions from parent	114,500	—	114,500
Other	(907)	—	(907)
<b>Balance, December 31, 2012</b>	<u>\$ 86,112</u>	<u>\$ (145,435)</u>	<u>\$ (59,323)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**Table of Contents**

**MEDIACOM BROADBAND LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net income	\$ 75,253	\$ 57,976	\$ 48,165
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	151,240	143,999	131,733
(Gain) loss on derivatives, net	(6,217)	17,911	14,703
Loss on early extinguishment of debt (Note 6)	3,338	—	—
Amortization of deferred financing costs	5,109	4,345	3,992
Share-based compensation (Note 11)	—	697	1,305
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	5,527	(10,709)	(4,431)
Accounts receivable — affiliates	—	28,785	54,959
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(3,658)	5,326	7,390
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(261)	28,074	(1,319)
Deferred revenue	1,034	1,685	172
Other non-current liabilities	(327)	(530)	(638)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	<u>\$ 231,038</u>	<u>\$ 277,559</u>	<u>\$ 256,031</u>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Capital expenditures	\$(146,988)	\$(166,533)	\$(141,347)
Change in accrued property, plant and equipment	(8,796)	—	—
Redemption of (investment in) restricted cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	—	6,153	(6,153)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	<u>\$(155,784)</u>	<u>\$(160,380)</u>	<u>\$(147,500)</u>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
New borrowings of bank debt	\$ 572,500	\$ 505,900	\$ 824,875
Repayment of bank debt	(410,500)	(373,900)	(814,875)
Issuance of senior notes	300,000	—	—
Redemption of senior notes	(500,000)	—	—
Dividend payments on preferred members' interest	(18,000)	(18,000)	(18,000)
Capital distributions to parent (Note 8)	(121,825)	(250,700)	(63,000)
Capital contributions from parent (Note 8)	114,500	—	—
Financing costs	(13,316)	(2,218)	(9,628)
Other financing activities	1,453	346	(6,456)
Net cash flows used in financing activities	<u>\$ (75,188)</u>	<u>\$(138,572)</u>	<u>\$ (87,084)</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash	66	(21,393)	21,447
CASH, beginning of period	<u>11,730</u>	<u>33,123</u>	<u>11,676</u>
CASH, end of period	<u>\$ 11,796</u>	<u>\$ 11,730</u>	<u>\$ 33,123</u>
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>			
Cash paid during the period for interest, net of amounts capitalized	<u>\$ 109,611</u>	<u>\$ 107,504</u>	<u>\$ 109,034</u>
<b>NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS:</b>			
Capital expenditures accrued during the period	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 9,131</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

---

**Table of Contents****MEDIACOM BROADBAND LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. ORGANIZATION**

Mediacom Broadband LLC (“Mediacom Broadband,” and collectively with its subsidiaries, “we,” “our” or “us”), a Delaware limited liability company wholly-owned by Mediacom Communications Corporation (“MCC”), is involved in the acquisition and operation of cable systems serving smaller cities and towns in the United States. Our principal operating subsidiaries conduct all of our consolidated operations and own substantially all of our consolidated assets. Our operating subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation, contingent or otherwise, to make funds available to us. As a limited liability company, we are not subject to income taxes.

We rely on our parent, MCC, for various services such as corporate and administrative support. Our financial position, results of operations and cash flows could differ from those that would have resulted had we operated autonomously or as an entity independent of MCC. See Notes 7, 8 and 9.

Mediacom Broadband Corporation, a Delaware corporation wholly-owned by us, co-issued public debt securities, jointly and severally, with us. Mediacom Broadband Corporation has no assets (other than a \$100 receivable from affiliate), operations, revenues or cash flows. Therefore, separate financial statements have not been presented for this entity.

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES*****Basis of Preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements***

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of us and our subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The accounting estimates that require management’s most difficult and subjective judgments include: assessment and valuation of intangibles, accounts receivable allowance, useful lives of property, plant and equipment and share-based compensation. Actual results could differ from those and other estimates.

***Revision of Prior Period Financial Statements***

In connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements as of, and for the year ended December 31, 2011, during the fourth quarter of 2011, we identified and corrected errors in the manner in which we recorded fixed assets and the related depreciation expense on fixed assets purchased by MCC on behalf of our operating subsidiaries. Such capital expenditures and associated depreciation were recorded at MCC, whereas they were related to, and should have been incurred by, our operating subsidiaries. In accordance with accounting guidance found in ASC 250-10 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99, *Materiality*), we assessed the materiality of the errors and concluded that the errors were not material to any of our previously-issued financial statements. In accordance with accounting guidance found in ASC 250-10 (SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*), we have revised all affected periods. These non-cash errors impacted our financial position, statement of operations and cash flows for the comparative periods presented.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the impact of the revision on our Consolidated Balance Sheets (dollars in thousands):

	<u>As of December 31, 2010</u>		
	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>	<u>As Revised</u>
Accounts receivable — affiliates	\$ 46,430	\$ (17,646)	\$ 28,784
Total current assets	149,641	(17,646)	131,995
Property, plant and equipment, gross	1,747,071	(4,892)	1,742,179
Accumulated depreciation	(969,583)	3,902	(965,681)
Property, plant and equipment, net	777,488	(990)	776,498
Total assets	2,329,690	(18,636)	2,311,054
Member's equity	120,047	(18,636)	101,411

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the impact of the revision on our Consolidated Statements of Operations (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	As		
	Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Revised
Depreciation expense	\$130,066	\$ 1,667	\$131,733
Operating income	178,871	(1,667)	177,204
Net income	49,832	(1,667)	48,165
Net income applicable to member	31,832	(1,667)	30,165

The following table presents the impact of the revision on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	As		
	Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Revised
Net income	\$ 49,832	\$ (1,667)	\$ 48,165
Depreciation expense	130,066	1,667	131,733
Changes in assets and liabilities	50,579	5,554	56,133
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	250,477	5,554	256,031
Capital expenditures	(135,793)	(5,554)	(141,347)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(141,946)	(5,554)	(147,500)

**Reclassifications**

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

**Revenue Recognition**

Revenues from video, HSD and phone services are recognized when the services are provided to our customers. Credit risk is managed by disconnecting services to customers who are deemed to be delinquent. Installation revenues are recognized as customer connections are completed because installation revenues are less than direct installation costs. Advertising sales are recognized in the period that the advertisements are exhibited. Under the terms of our franchise agreements, we are required to pay local franchising authorities up to 5% of our gross revenues derived from providing cable services. We normally pass these fees through to our customers. Franchise fees are reported in their respective revenue categories and included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Franchise fees imposed by local governmental authorities are collected on a monthly basis from our customers and are periodically remitted to the local governmental authorities. Because franchise fees are our obligation, we present them on a gross basis with a corresponding operating expense. Franchise fees reported on a gross basis amounted to approximately \$24.7 million, \$24.9 million and \$25.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**Restricted cash and cash equivalents**

Restricted cash and cash equivalents represent funds pledged to insurance carriers as security under a master pledge and security agreement. Pledged funds are invested in short-term, highly liquid investments. We retained ownership of the pledged funds, and under the terms of the pledge and security agreement, we can withdraw any of the funds, with the restrictions removed from such funds, provided comparable substitute collateral is pledged to the insurance carriers. During 2010, we invested \$6.2 million in restricted cash and cash equivalents. As of December 31, 2011, we had redeemed the entire amount.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Allowance for Doubtful Accounts***

The allowance for doubtful accounts represents our best estimate of probable losses in the accounts receivable balance. The allowance is based on the number of days outstanding, customer balances, recoveries, historical experience and other currently available information.

### ***Concentration of Credit Risk***

Our accounts receivable are comprised of amounts due from subscribers in varying regions throughout the United States. Concentration of credit risk with respect to these receivables is limited due to the large number of customers comprising our customer base and their geographic dispersion. We invest our cash with high quality financial institutions.

### ***Property, Plant and Equipment***

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Additions to property, plant and equipment generally include material, labor and indirect costs. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the following useful lives:

Buildings	40 years
Leasehold improvements	Life of respective lease
Cable systems and equipment and customer devices	5 to 20 years
Vehicles	5 years
Furniture, fixtures and office equipment	5 years

We capitalize improvements that extend asset lives and expense repairs and maintenance as incurred. At the time of retirements, write-offs, sales or other dispositions of property, the original cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts and the gains or losses are included in depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

We capitalize the costs associated with the construction of cable transmission and distribution facilities, new customer installations and indirect costs associated with our telephony product. Costs include direct labor and material, as well as certain indirect costs including capitalized interest. We perform periodic evaluations of the estimates used to determine the amount and extent that such costs that are capitalized. Any changes to these estimates, which may be significant, are applied in the period in which the evaluations were completed. The costs of disconnecting service at a customer's dwelling or reconnecting to a previously installed dwelling are charged as expense in the period incurred. Costs associated with subsequent installations of additional services not previously installed at a customer's dwelling are capitalized to the extent such costs are incremental and directly attributable to the installation of such additional services. See also Note 3.

### ***Capitalized Software Costs***

We account for internal-use software development and related costs in accordance with ASC 350-40-*Intangibles-Goodwill and Other: Internal-Use Software*. Software development and other related costs consist of external and internal costs incurred in the application development stage to purchase and implement the software that will be used in our telephony business. Costs incurred in the development of application and infrastructure of the software is capitalized and will be amortized over our respective estimated useful life of 5 years. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we capitalized approximately \$1.0 million and \$4.3 million, respectively of software development costs. Capitalized software had a net book value of \$16.1 million and \$15.1 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

### ***Marketing and Promotional Costs***

Marketing and promotional costs are expensed as incurred and were \$22.9 million, \$20.9 million and \$20.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

### ***Intangible Assets***

Our cable systems operate under non-exclusive cable franchises, or franchise rights, granted by state and local governmental authorities for varying lengths of time. We acquired these cable franchises through acquisitions of cable systems and were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. As of December 31, 2012, we held 497 franchises in areas located throughout the United States. The value of a franchise is derived from the economic benefits we receive from the right to solicit new subscribers and to market new products and services, such as digital video, HSD and phone, in a specific market territory. We concluded that our franchise rights have an indefinite useful life since, among other things, there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic or other factors limiting the period over which these franchise rights

---

## Table of Contents

contribute to our revenues and cash flows. Goodwill is the excess of the acquisition cost of an acquired entity over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired. In accordance with ASC No. 350 — *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other* (“ASC 350”), we do not amortize franchise rights and goodwill. Instead, such assets are tested annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators arise.

We follow the provisions of ASC 350 to test our goodwill and franchise rights for impairment. We assess the fair values of each cable system cluster using discounted cash flow (“DCF”) methodology, under which the fair value of cable franchise rights are determined in a direct manner. We employ the In-use Excess Earnings DCF methodology to calculate the fair values of our cable franchise rights, using unobservable inputs (Level 3). This assessment involves significant judgment, including certain assumptions and estimates that determine future cash flow expectations and other future benefits, which are consistent with the expectations of buyers and sellers of cable systems in determining fair value. These assumptions and estimates include discount rates, estimated growth rates, terminal growth rates, comparable company data, revenues per customer, market penetration as a percentage of homes passed and operating margin. We also consider market transactions, market valuations, research analyst estimates and other valuations using multiples of operating income before depreciation and amortization to confirm the reasonableness of fair values determined by the DCF methodology. We employ the Greenfield model to corroborate the fair values of our cable franchise rights determined under the In-use Excess Earnings DCF methodology. Significant impairment in value resulting in impairment charges may result if the estimates and assumptions used in the fair value determination change in the future. Such impairments, if recognized, could potentially be material.

Based on the guidance outlined in ASC 350, we have determined that the unit of accounting, or reporting unit, for testing goodwill and franchise rights is Mediacom Broadband LLC. Comprising cable system clusters across several states Mediacom Broadband LLC is at the financial reporting level that is managed and reviewed by the corporate office (i.e., chief operating decision maker) including our determination as to how we allocate capital resources and utilize the assets. The reporting unit level also reflects the level at which the purchase method of accounting for our acquisitions was originally recorded.

In accordance with ASC 350, we are required to determine goodwill impairment using a two-step process. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit with our carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds our carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds our fair value, the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill, calculated using the residual method, with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss.

The impairment test for our franchise rights and other intangible assets not subject to amortization consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying value. If the carrying value of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss.

Since our adoption of ASC 350 in 2002, we have not recorded any impairments as a result of our impairment testing. We completed our most recent impairment test as of October 1, 2012, which reflected no impairment of our franchise rights, goodwill or other intangible assets.

We could record impairments in the future if there are changes in the long-term fundamentals of our business, in general market conditions or in the regulatory landscape that could prevent us from recovering the carrying value of our long-lived intangible assets. The economic conditions affecting the U.S. economy, and how that may impact the fundamentals of our business, may have a negative impact on the fair values of the assets in our reporting unit.

In accordance with Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-28 — *When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)*, as of October 1, 2011, we have evaluated whether there are any adverse qualitative factors surrounding our Mediacom Broadband reporting unit (which has a negative carrying value) indicating that a goodwill impairment may exist. We do not believe that it is “more likely than not” that a goodwill impairment exists. As such, we have not performed Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test.

Other finite-lived intangible assets, which consist primarily of subscriber lists and covenants not to compete, continue to be amortized over their useful lives of 5 to 10 years and 5 years, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had less than \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million of other finite-lived intangible assets, respectively. Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$0.5 million, \$1.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. Our estimated aggregate amortization expense for 2013 is less than \$0.1 million.

---

**Table of Contents**

The following table details changes in the carrying value of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, (dollars in thousands):

Balance — December 31, 2010	\$195,945
Acquisitions	—
Dispositions	—
Balance — December 31, 2011	<u>\$195,945</u>
Acquisitions	—
Dispositions	—
Balance — December 31, 2012	<u><u>\$195,945</u></u>

***Other Assets***

Other assets, net, primarily include financing costs and original issue discount incurred to raise debt, which are deferred and amortized as interest expense over the expected term of such financings. Original issue discount, as recorded in other assets, net, was \$10.0 million and \$6.4 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

***Segment Reporting***

ASC 280 — *Segment Reporting* (“ASC 280”), requires the disclosure of factors used to identify an enterprise’s reportable segments. Our operations are organized and managed on the basis of cable system clusters that represent operating segments within our service area. Each operating segment derives revenues from the delivery of similar products and services to a customer base that is also similar. Each operating segment deploys similar technology to deliver our products and services, operates within a similar regulatory environment and has similar economic characteristics. Management evaluated the criteria for aggregation of the operating segments under ASC 280 and believes that we meet each of the respective criteria set forth. Accordingly, management has identified broadband services as our one reportable segment.

***Accounting for Derivative Instruments***

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with ASC 815 — *Derivatives and Hedging* (“ASC 815”). These pronouncements require that all derivative instruments be recognized on the balance sheet at fair value. We enter into interest rate swaps to fix the interest rate on a portion of our variable interest rate debt to reduce the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. Our derivative instruments are recorded at fair value and are included in other current assets, other assets and other liabilities of our consolidated balance sheet. Our accounting policies for these instruments are based on whether they meet our criteria for designation as hedging transactions, which include the instrument’s effectiveness, risk reduction and, in most cases, a one-to-one matching of the derivative instrument to our underlying transaction. Gains and losses from changes in fair values of derivatives that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes are recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. We have no derivative financial instruments designated as hedges. Therefore, changes in fair value for the respective periods were recognized in the consolidated statement of operations.

***Accounting for Asset Retirement***

We adopted ASC 410 — *Asset Retirement Obligations* (“ASC 410”), on January 1, 2003. ASC 410 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. We reviewed our asset retirement obligations to determine the fair value of such liabilities and if a reasonable estimate of fair value could be made. This entailed the review of leases covering tangible long-lived assets as well as our rights-of-way under franchise agreements. Certain of our franchise agreements and leases contain provisions that require restoration or removal of equipment if the franchises or leases are not renewed. Based on historical experience, we expect to renew our franchise or lease agreements. In the unlikely event that any franchise or lease agreement is not expected to be renewed, we would record an estimated liability. However, in determining the fair value of our asset retirement obligation under our franchise agreements, consideration will be given to the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, which generally entitles the cable operator to the “fair market value” for the cable system covered by a franchise, if renewal is denied and the franchising authority acquires ownership of the cable system or effects a transfer of the cable system to another person. Changes in these assumptions based on future information could result in adjustments to estimated liabilities.

Upon adoption of ASC 410, we determined that in certain instances, we are obligated by contractual terms or regulatory requirements to remove facilities or perform other remediation activities upon the retirement of our assets. We initially recorded a \$1.8 million asset in property, plant and equipment and a corresponding liability of \$1.8 million. As of

December 31, 2012 and 2011, the corresponding asset, net of accumulated amortization, was \$0.

***Accounting for Long-Lived Assets***

In accordance with ASC 360 — *Property, Plant and Equipment* (“ASC 360”), we periodically evaluate the recoverability and estimated lives of our long-lived assets, including property and equipment and intangible assets subject to amortization, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable or the useful life has changed. The measurement for such impairment loss is based on the fair value of the asset, typically based upon the future cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved. Unless presented separately, the loss is included as a component of either depreciation expense or amortization expense, as appropriate.

***Programming Costs***

We have various fixed-term carriage contracts to obtain programming for our cable systems from content suppliers whose compensation is generally based on a fixed monthly fee per customer. These programming contracts are subject to negotiated renewal. Programming costs are recognized when we distribute the related programming. These programming costs are usually payable each month based on calculations performed by us and are subject to adjustments based on the results of periodic audits by the content suppliers. Historically, such audit adjustments have been immaterial to our total programming costs. Some content suppliers offer financial incentives to support the launch of a channel and ongoing marketing support. When such financial incentives are received, we defer them within non-current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets and recognize such amounts as a reduction of programming costs (which are a component of service costs in the consolidated statement of operations) over the carriage term of the programming contract.

## Table of Contents

### *Share-based Compensation*

In 2010, we estimated the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This fair value was then amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally the vesting period. This option-pricing model required the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. The estimation of stock awards that will ultimately vest required judgment, and to the extent actual results or updated estimates differed from our estimates, such amounts were recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the periods the estimates are revised. Subsequent to the Going Private Transaction, we award deferred compensation in the form of cash. See Notes 9 and 11.

### *Recent Accounting Pronouncements*

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04 ("ASU 2011-04"), *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) — Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, which provides a converged framework for fair value measurements and related disclosures between generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 amends the fair value measurement and disclosure guidance in the following areas: (i) Highest-and-best use and the valuation-premise concepts for non-financial assets, (ii) application to financial assets and liabilities with offsetting positions in market or counterparty credit risk, (iii) premiums or discounts in fair value measurement, (iv) fair value measurements for amounts classified in equity; and, (v) other disclosure requirements particularly involving Level 3 inputs. This guidance was effective for us as of January 1, 2012. We adopted this ASU on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 ("ASU 2011-05"), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. Under ASU 2011-05, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement of other comprehensive income should immediately follow the statement of net income and include the components of other comprehensive income and a total for other comprehensive income, along with a total for comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2011. We adopted ASU 2011-05 on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-05 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08 ("ASU 2011-08") *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*. Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test. Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. We adopted this ASU on January 1, 2012. ASU 2011-08 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

In July 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02 ("ASU 2012-02") *Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*. ASU 2012-02 expands the guidance in ASU 2011-08 to include indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill. We adopted this ASU on December 1, 2012. ASU 2012-02 did not have a material impact on our financial statements or related disclosures.

### **3. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, property, plant and equipment consisted of (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Cable systems, equipment and customer devices	\$ 1,914,933	\$ 1,793,501
Vehicles	39,624	39,018
Buildings and leasehold improvements	28,833	28,432
Furniture, fixtures and office equipment	44,206	38,738

Land and land improvements	<u>5,052</u>	<u>5,015</u>
Property, plant and equipment, gross	\$ 2,032,648	\$ 1,904,704
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(1,228,186)</u>	<u>(1,096,334)</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net	<u>\$ 804,462</u>	<u>\$ 808,370</u>

## Table of Contents

Depreciation expense related to fixed assets for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$150.7 million, \$142.0 million, and \$128.0 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had no property under capitalized leases. We incurred gross interest costs of \$114.2 million and \$113.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of which \$1.6 million and \$2.0 million was capitalized as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. See Note 2.

## 4. FAIR VALUE

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, our financial assets and liabilities consisted of interest rate exchange agreements.

The tables below set forth our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value, on a recurring basis, using a market-based approach at December 31, 2012. These assets and liabilities have been categorized according to the three-level fair value hierarchy established by ASC 820, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value, as follows:

- Level 1 — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 — Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.
- Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

As of December 31, 2012, our interest rate exchange agreement liabilities, net, were valued at \$57.1 million using Level 2 inputs, as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fair Value as of December 31, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<u>Assets</u>				
Interest rate exchange agreements	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Interest rate exchange agreements	\$ —	\$57,057	\$ —	\$57,057
Interest rate exchange agreements — liabilities, net	\$ —	\$57,057	\$ —	\$57,057

As of December 31, 2011, our interest rate exchange agreement liabilities, net, were valued at \$63.3 million using Level 2 inputs, as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fair Value as of December 31, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<u>Assets</u>				
Interest rate exchange agreements	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Interest rate exchange agreements	\$ —	\$63,273	\$ —	\$63,273
Interest rate exchange agreements — liabilities, net	\$ —	\$63,273	\$ —	\$63,273

The fair value of our interest rate swaps is the estimated amount that we would receive or pay to terminate such agreements, taking into account market interest rates and the remaining time to maturities. As of December 31, 2012, based upon mark-to-market valuation, we recorded on our consolidated balance sheet, an accumulated current liability in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$24.2 million and an accumulated long-term liability in other non-current liabilities of \$32.8 million. As of December 31, 2011, based upon mark-to-market valuation, we recorded on our consolidated balance sheet, an accumulated current liability in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$20.0 million and an accumulated long-term liability in other non-current liabilities of \$43.2 million. As a result of the mark-to-market valuations on these interest rate swaps, we recorded a net gain on derivatives of \$6.2 million and losses of \$17.9 million and \$14.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 respectively.

## Table of Contents

### 5. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE, ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2011</u>
Accounts payable — non-affiliates	\$ 32,433	\$ 23,222
Liabilities under interest rate exchange agreements	24,234	20,036
Accrued programming costs	23,270	23,370
Accrued taxes and fees	18,301	18,026
Accrued payroll and benefits	17,828	16,957
Advance subscriber payments	9,141	8,554
Accrued service costs	7,954	7,041
Accrued interest	7,580	9,552
Accrued property, plant and equipment	6,790	15,586
Accounts payable — affiliates	5,992	15,143
Bank overdrafts <sup>(1)</sup>	4,581	2,221
Accrued telecommunications costs	1,774	1,384
Other accrued expenses	8,155	9,440
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	<u>\$ 168,033</u>	<u>\$ 170,532</u>

- (1) Bank overdrafts represented outstanding checks in excess of funds on deposit at our disbursement accounts. We transfer funds from our depository accounts to our disbursement accounts upon daily notification of checks presented for payment. Changes in bank overdrafts are reported as part of cash flows from financing activities in our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

### 6. DEBT

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, debt consisted of (dollars in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2011</u>
Bank credit facility	\$1,659,000	\$1,497,000
8 1/2% senior notes due 2015	—	500,000
6 3/8% senior notes due 2023	300,000	—
Total debt	\$1,959,000	\$1,997,000
Less: current portion	16,000	14,000
Total long-term debt	<u>\$1,943,000</u>	<u>\$1,983,000</u>

#### ***Bank Credit Facility***

As of December 31, 2012, we maintained a \$1.753 billion bank credit facility (the “credit facility”), comprising \$1.537 billion of outstanding term loans and \$216.0 million of revolving credit commitments, of which \$122.5 million were outstanding. As of the same date, the average interest rate on outstanding borrowings under the credit facility, including the effect of the interest rate swaps discussed below, was 4.6%, as compared to 4.4% as of the same date last year.

On August 20, 2012, our operating subsidiaries entered into an amended and restated credit agreement governing the credit facility (the “credit agreement”) that replaced the prior credit agreement in its entirety. Among other things, the amended and restated credit agreement provided for a new Term Loan G as discussed below under “Term Loan G.” The amended and restated credit agreement also contained certain amendments to a number of terms and conditions, including covenants relating to restricted payments, excess cash recapture, asset sales and acquisitions that will only become effective upon the approval of these amendments by the requisite lenders in the credit facility. Pursuant to the amended and restated credit agreement, all lenders under the revolving credit commitments and Term Loan G, representing about 24% of lenders in the credit facility, have accepted such amended terms and conditions.

---

## Table of Contents

The credit facility is collateralized by our ownership interests in our operating subsidiaries, and is guaranteed by us on a limited recourse basis to the extent of such ownership interests. As of December 31, 2012, the credit agreement required us to maintain a total leverage ratio (as defined) of no more than 6.0 to 1.0 and an interest coverage ratio (as defined) of no less than 1.75 to 1.0. The total leverage ratio covenant will be reduced to 5.5 to 1.0 commencing on April 1, 2013, and further reduced to 5.0 to 1.0 commencing on April 1, 2014. For all periods through December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all covenants under the credit agreement and, as of the same date, our total leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio were 4.4 to 1.0 and 2.8 to 1.0, respectively.

### *Revolving Credit Commitments*

On November 10, 2011, we entered into an incremental facility agreement for \$216.0 million of new revolving credit commitments, which expire on December 31, 2016 (or July 31, 2014 if Term Loan D under the credit facility has not been repaid or refinanced prior to that date).

Interest on our revolving credit commitments is payable at a floating rate equal to, at our discretion, the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a margin ranging from 2.25% to 3.00%, or the Prime rate plus a margin ranging from 1.25% to 2.00%, with the applicable margin determined by certain financial ratios pursuant to the credit agreement. Commitment fees on the unused portion of revolving credit commitments are payable at a rate of 0.50% or 0.63%, determined by certain financial ratios pursuant to the credit agreement.

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$82.9 million of unused revolving credit commitments, all of which were able to be borrowed and used for general corporate purposes, after giving effect to \$122.5 million of outstanding loans and \$10.6 million of letters of credit issued to various parties as collateral.

### *Term Loan D*

In May 2006, we entered into an incremental facility agreement that provided for a term loan in the original principal amount of \$800.0 million (the "Term Loan D"). The Term Loan D matures on January 31, 2015 and, since March 31, 2007, has been subject to quarterly reductions of \$2.0 million, representing 0.25% of the original principal amount, with a final payment of \$736.0 million at maturity representing 92.00% of the original principal amount. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Term Loan D was \$752.0 million.

Interest on the Term Loan D is payable at a floating rate equal to, at our discretion, LIBOR plus a margin of 1.50% or 1.75%, or the Prime Rate plus a margin of 0.50% or 0.75%, with the applicable margin that is determined by certain financial ratios pursuant to the credit agreement.

### *Term Loan F*

In April 2010, we entered into an incremental facility agreement that provided for a term loan in the original principal amount of \$600.0 million (the "Term Loan F"). The Term Loan F matures on October 23, 2017 and, since September 30, 2010, has been subject to quarterly reductions of \$1.5 million, representing 0.25% of the original principal amount, with a final payment of \$556.5 million at maturity representing 92.75% of the original principal amount. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Term Loan F was \$585.0 million.

Interest on the Term Loan F is payable at a floating rate equal to, at our discretion, LIBOR plus a margin of 3.00%, or the Prime Rate plus a margin of 2.00%. Through April 2014, interest payable on the Term Loan F is subject to a minimum LIBOR of 1.50%, and a minimum Prime Rate of 2.50%.

### *Term Loan G*

In August 2012, we entered into an amended and restated credit agreement that, among other things, provided for a new term loan in the principal amount of \$200.0 million (the "Term Loan G"). Net proceeds from Term Loan G of \$192.2 million, after giving effect to financing costs of \$7.8 million, were used to repay all outstanding debt under our revolving credit commitments, without any reduction in such commitments, and were used to fund a \$70.0 million capital distribution to parent. The Term Loan G matures on January 20, 2020 and, since December 31, 2012, has been subject to quarterly reductions of \$0.5 million, representing 0.25% of the original principal amount, with a final payment at maturity of \$185.5 million, representing 92.75% of the original principal amount. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Term Loan G was \$199.5 million.

Interest on the Term Loan G is payable at a floating rate equal to, at our discretion, LIBOR plus a margin of 3.00% (subject to a minimum LIBOR of 1.00%), or the Prime Rate plus a margin of 2.00% (subject to a minimum Prime Rate of 2.00%).

### *Interest Rate Exchange Agreements*

We use interest rate exchange agreements (which we refer to as “interest rate swaps”) with various banks to fix the variable portion of borrowings under the credit facility. We believe this reduces the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. Our interest rate swaps have not been designated as hedges for accounting purposes, and have been accounted for on a mark-to-market basis as of, and for the years ended, December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. As of December 31, 2012:

- We had current interest rate swaps which fixed the variable portion of \$800 million of borrowings under the credit facility at a rate of 3.3%. Our current interest rate swaps are scheduled to expire in the amounts of \$600 million and \$200 million during the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2015, respectively.
- We had forward-starting interest rate swaps which will fix the variable portion of \$300 million of borrowings under the credit facility at a rate of 2.6%. These forward-starting interest rate swaps are scheduled to commence during the year ending December 31, 2014.

---

**Table of Contents*****Senior Notes***

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$300.0 million of senior notes outstanding, all of which comprised our 6 3/8% senior notes due April 2023 (the "6 3/8% Notes"). Our senior notes are unsecured obligations, and the indenture governing our senior notes (the "indenture") limits the incurrence of additional indebtedness based upon a maximum debt to operating cash flow ratio (as defined) of 8.5 to 1.0. As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under the indenture and, as of the same date, our debt to operating cash flow ratio was 5.4 to 1.0.

***8 1/2% Notes***

In August 2005, we issued \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8 1/2% senior notes due October 2015 (the "8 1/2% Notes"). In October 2006, we issued an additional \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8 1/2% Notes, thus extending the total amount of 8 1/2% Notes outstanding to \$500.0 million.

In August 2012, we commenced a cash tender offer for our outstanding 8 1/2% Notes. Pursuant to the tender offer, we purchased \$74.8 million in aggregate principal amount of 8 1/2% Notes. In September 2012, we announced the redemption of any 8 1/2% Notes that remained outstanding following the expiration of the tender offer. In October 2012, we redeemed the remaining \$425.2 million in aggregate principal amount of 8 1/2% Notes, which was funded with \$203.1 million of cash and cash equivalents, borrowings of \$132.1 million under the revolving credit commitments and a \$96.0 million capital contribution from our parent, MCC.

In connection with the redemption of the 8 1/2% Notes, we recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt, net, of \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, of which \$3.3 million represented the non-cash write-off of certain financing costs associated with the 8 1/2% Notes.

***6 3/8% Notes***

On August 28, 2012, we issued the 6 3/8% Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$300.0 million. After giving effect to \$5.5 million of financing costs, net proceeds from the 6 3/8% Notes of \$294.5 million were used to fund the tender offer and redemption of our 8 1/2% Notes discussed above. As a percentage of par value, the 6 3/8% Notes are redeemable at 103.188% commencing April 1, 2018, 102.125% commencing April 1, 2019, 101.063% commencing April 1, 2020 and at par value commencing April 1, 2021.

***Debt Ratings***

MCC's corporate credit rating is B1, with a stable outlook, by Moody's, and B+, with a positive outlook, by Standard and Poor's. Our senior unsecured credit rating is B3, with a stable outlook, by Moody's, and B-, with a positive outlook, by Standard and Poor's.

There are no covenants, events of default, borrowing conditions or other terms in the credit agreement or indenture that are based on changes in our credit rating assigned by any rating agency.

## Table of Contents

### *Fair Value and Debt Maturities*

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the fair values of our senior notes and the credit facility are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
8 1/2% senior notes due 2015	\$ —	\$ 517,500
6 3/8% senior notes due 2023	307,500	—
Total senior notes	<u>\$ 307,500</u>	<u>\$ 517,500</u>
Bank credit facility	<u>\$1,666,265</u>	<u>\$1,435,993</u>

The stated maturities of all debt outstanding as of December 31, 2012 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013	\$ 16,000
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	16,000
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015	744,000
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016	130,500
January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017	563,000
Thereafter	489,500
Total	<u>\$1,959,000</u>

## **7. PREFERRED MEMBERS' INTEREST**

In July 2001, we received a \$150 million preferred equity investment from Mediacom LLC. The preferred equity investment has a 12% annual dividend, payable quarterly in cash. During each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we paid in aggregate \$18 million in cash dividends on the preferred equity.

## **8. MEMBER'S EQUITY**

As a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC, our business affairs, including our financing decisions, are directed by MCC. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we made and received capital distributions to parent in cash of \$121.8 million and \$114.5 million, respectively. See also Note 9. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we made capital distributions to parent in cash of \$250.7 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we made capital distributions to parent of \$63.0 million.

Capital contributions from parent and capital distributions to parent are reported on a gross basis in the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's Deficit and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Non-cash transactions are reported on a net basis in the supplemental disclosures of cash flow information in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

## **9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

### **Management Agreements**

MCC manages us pursuant to a management agreement with our operating subsidiaries. Under such agreements, MCC has full and exclusive authority to manage our day to day operations and conduct our business. We remain responsible for all expenses and liabilities relating to the construction, development, operation, maintenance, repair, and ownership of our systems. Management fees for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$14.3 million, \$15.5 million and \$15.8 million, respectively.

As compensation for the performance of its services, subject to certain restrictions, MCC is entitled under each management agreement to receive management fees in an amount not to exceed 4.0% of the annual gross operating revenues of our operating subsidiaries. MCC is also entitled to the reimbursement of all expenses necessarily incurred in its capacity as manager.

Mediacom LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC, is a preferred equity investor in us. See Notes 7 and 8 for a discussion of the transactions between Mediacom LLC and ourselves.

### **Going Private Transaction**

On November 12, 2010, MCC entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"), by and among MCC, JMC Communications LLC ("JMC") and Rocco B. Comisso, MCC's founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, who was also the sole member and manager of JMC, for the purpose of taking MCC private (the "Going Private Transaction").

At a special meeting of stockholders on March 4, 2011, MCC's stockholders voted to adopt the Merger Agreement. On the same date, JMC was merged with and into MCC (the "Merger"), with MCC continuing as the surviving corporation, a private company that is wholly-owned by an entity controlled by Mr. Commisso. As a result of the Merger, among other things, each share of MCC's common stock (other than shares held by Mr. Commisso and his affiliates) was converted into the right to receive promptly after the Merger \$8.75 in cash.

## Table of Contents

The Going Private Transaction required funding of approximately \$381.5 million, including related transaction expenses, and was funded, in part, by capital distributions to MCC from us, consisting of \$200.0 million of borrowings under our revolving credit facility and \$45.0 million of cash on hand. The balance was funded by Mediacom LLC, another wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC.

### 10. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Substantially all our employees are eligible to participate in MCC's defined contribution plan pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k) (the "Plan"). Under such Plan, eligible employees may contribute up to 15% of their current pretax compensation. MCC's Plan permits, but does not require, matching contributions and non-matching (profit sharing) contributions to be made by us up to a maximum dollar amount or maximum percentage of participant contributions, as determined annually by us. We presently match 50% on the first 6% of employee contributions. Our contributions under MCC's Plan totaled \$1.4 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

### 11. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

#### *Deferred Compensation*

For the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we recorded \$1.2 million and \$2.6 million, respectively of deferred compensation expense (formerly share-based compensation expense). These expenses represented the unvested stock options and restricted stock units under the former share-based compensation plans at their original grant-date fair value, modified for the right to receive \$8.75 in cash, based upon terms of the Merger Agreement. This amount also included the recognition of new, cash-based deferred compensation awarded in 2011 and 2012 which has vesting attributes similar to the former share-based awards.

#### *Share-based Compensation*

Total share-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2010 (prior to the Going Private Transaction) was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2010</u>
Share-based compensation expense by type of award:	
Employee stock options	\$ 168
Employee stock purchase plan	273
Restricted stock units	864
Total share-based compensation expense	<u>\$ 1,305</u>

Prior to the Going Private Transaction, MCC granted stock options to certain employees which conveyed to recipients the right to purchase shares of MCC's Class A common stock at a specified strike price, upon vesting of the stock option award, but prior to the expiration date of that award. The awards were subject to annual vesting periods generally not exceeding 4 years from the date of grant. We estimated expected forfeitures based on historic voluntary termination behavior and trends of actual stock option forfeitures and recognized compensation costs for equity awards expected to vest. See Note 9 for a discussion of the Going Private Transaction.

In April 2003, MCC adopted its 2003 Incentive Plan, or "2003 Plan," which amended and restated MCC's 1999 Stock Option Plan and incorporated into the 2003 Plan options that were previously granted outside the 1999 Stock Option Plan.

ASC 718 requires the cost of all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values at the grant date, or the date of later modification, over the requisite service period. In addition, ASC 718 requires unrecognized cost, footnote disclosure, related to options vesting after the date of initial adoption to be recognized in the financial statements over the remaining requisite service period.

We used the Black-Scholes option pricing model which requires extensive use of accounting judgment and financial estimates, including estimates of the expected term employees will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of our stock price over the expected term, and the number of options that will be forfeited prior to the completion of their vesting requirements. Application of alternative assumptions could produce significantly different estimates of the fair value of share-based compensation and consequently, the related amounts recognized in the consolidated statements of operations. The provisions of ASC 718 apply to new stock awards and stock awards outstanding, but not yet vested, on the effective date. In March 2005, the SEC issued SAB No. 107, "Share-Based Payment," relating to ASC 718. We have applied the provisions of SAB No. 107.



## Table of Contents

MCC has elected the “short-cut” method to calculate the historical pool of windfall tax benefits.

### **Valuation Assumptions**

As required by ASC 718, we estimated the fair value of stock options and shares purchased under MCC’s employee stock purchase plan, using the Black-Scholes valuation model and the straight-line attribution approach, with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Employee Stock Option Plans Year Ended December 31, 2010	Employee Stock Purchase Plans Year Ended December 31, 2010
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility	60.0%	61.3%
Risk free interest rate	2.8%	2.4%
Expected option life (in years)	6.3	0.5

Expected volatility was based on a combination of implied and historical volatility of MCC’s Class A common stock. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we elected the simplified method in accordance with SAB 107 and SAB 110 to estimate the option life of share-based awards. The simplified method was used for valuing stock option grants by eligible public companies that do not have sufficient historical exercise patterns of stock options. We have concluded that sufficient historical exercise data was not available. The risk free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the date of grant. The forfeiture rate was based on trends in actual option forfeitures. The awards were subject to annual vesting periods not to exceed 6 years from the date of grant. The weighted average grant date fair value for each of the options granted during the years ended December 31, 2010 was \$2.81.

### ***Restricted Stock Units***

Prior to the Going Private Transaction, MCC granted restricted stock units (“RSUs”) to certain employees (the “participants”) in MCC’s Class A common stock. Awards of RSUs were valued by reference to shares of common stock that entitle participants to receive, upon the settlement of the unit, one share of common stock for each unit. The awards were subject to annual vesting periods generally not exceeding 4 years from the date of grant. We estimated expected forfeitures based on historic voluntary termination behavior and trends of actual RSU forfeitures and recognized compensation costs for equity awards expected to vest. The total value of RSUs vesting during the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$0.9 million. The grant date fair value was based upon the closing prices of \$8.47.

### ***Employee Stock Purchase Plan***

Under MCC’s former employee stock purchase plan, all employees were allowed to participate in the purchase of shares of MCC’s Class A common stock at a 15% discount on the date of the allocation. As a result of the Going Private Transaction, the employee stock purchase plan terminated in March 2011. Shares purchased by employees amounted to approximately 56,000 and 149,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The net proceeds to us were approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. As a result of the Going Private Transaction, this plan has terminated.

## **12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

Under various lease and rental agreements for offices, warehouses and computer terminals, we had rental expense of \$4.6 million, \$4.1 million and \$3.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Future minimum annual rental payments as of December 31, 2012 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013	\$ 3,291
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	2,775
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015	2,341
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016	1,700
January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017	820
Thereafter	<u>2,651</u>
Total	<u>\$13,578</u>

In addition, we rent utility poles in our operations generally under short-term arrangements, but we expect these arrangements to recur. Total rental expense for utility poles was \$4.6 million, \$5.4 million and \$5.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.



---

**Table of Contents*****Letters of Credit***

As of December 31, 2012, \$10.6 million of letters of credit were issued to various parties to secure our performance relating to insurance and franchise requirements. The fair value of such letters of credit was approximately book value.

***Legal Proceedings***

We are involved in various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows or business.

Table of Contents

Schedule II

**MEDIACOM BROADBAND LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

	<u>Balance at beginning of period</u>	<u>Additions</u>		<u>Deductions</u>		<u>Balance at end of period</u>
		<u>Charged to costs and expenses</u>	<u>Charged to other accounts</u>	<u>Charged to costs and expenses</u>	<u>Charged to other accounts</u>	
<b>December 31, 2010</b>						
Allowance for doubtful accounts:						
Current receivables	\$ 1,253	\$ 1,937	\$ —	\$ 1,576	\$ —	\$ 1,614
<b>December 31, 2011</b>						
Allowance for doubtful accounts:						
Current receivables	\$ 1,614	\$ 3,676	\$ —	\$ 4,141	\$ —	\$ 1,149
<b>December 31, 2012</b>						
Allowance for doubtful accounts:						
Current receivables	\$ 1,149	\$ 3,986	\$ —	\$ 4,014	\$ —	\$ 1,121

70

---

**Table of Contents****ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES****Mediacom Broadband LLC**

Under the supervision and with the participation of the management of Mediacom Broadband LLC, including Mediacom Broadband LLC's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Mediacom Broadband LLC evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon such evaluation, Mediacom Broadband LLC's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Mediacom Broadband LLC's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

There has not been any change in Mediacom Broadband LLC's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the year ended December 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Mediacom Broadband LLC's internal control over financial reporting. The revision of financial statement amounts described in Note 2 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements is not deemed to constitute a material weakness of Mediacom Broadband LLC's internal controls over financial reporting.

***Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

Management of Mediacom Broadband LLC is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of Mediacom Broadband LLC's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by Mediacom Broadband LLC's manager, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Mediacom Broadband LLC;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of Mediacom Broadband LLC are being made only in accordance with authorizations of the management of Mediacom Broadband LLC; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Mediacom Broadband LLC's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of Mediacom Broadband LLC's inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Mediacom Broadband LLC's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of December 31, 2012, Mediacom Broadband LLC's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of Mediacom Broadband LLC's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by Mediacom Broadband LLC's registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit Mediacom Broadband LLC to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

**Mediacom Broadband Corporation**

Under the supervision and with the participation of the management of Mediacom Broadband Corporation ("Mediacom Broadband"), including Mediacom Broadband's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Mediacom Broadband evaluated the effectiveness of Mediacom Broadband's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon such evaluation, Mediacom Broadband's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Mediacom

Broadband's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

---

**Table of Contents**

There has not been any change in Mediacom Broadband's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the year ended December 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Mediacom Broadband's internal control over financial reporting.

***Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

Management of Mediacom Broadband is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of Mediacom Broadband's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by Mediacom Broadband's board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Mediacom Broadband;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of Mediacom Broadband are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of Mediacom Broadband; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Mediacom Broadband's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of Mediacom Broadband's inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Mediacom Broadband's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of December 31, 2012, Mediacom Broadband's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of Mediacom Broadband's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by Mediacom Broadband's registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit Mediacom Broadband to provide only management's report in this annual report.

**ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

Table of Contents

## PART III

**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

MCC is our sole voting member and serves as manager of our operating subsidiaries. The Directors and Executive Officers for MCC, Mediacom Broadband LLC (“MBLLC”) and Mediacom Broadband Corporation (“MBC”) are indicated below:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Rocco B. Commisso	63	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director of MCC and MBC; Chief Executive Officer of MBLLC
Mark E. Stephan	56	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Director of MCC; Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of MBLLC and MBC
John G. Pascarelli	51	Executive Vice President, Operations of MCC, MBLLC and MBC
Italia Commisso Weinand	59	Executive Vice President, Programming and Human Resources and Director of MCC; Executive Vice President, Programming and Human Resources of MBLLC
Joseph E. Young	64	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of MCC, MBLLC and MBC
Brian M. Walsh	47	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller of MCC and MBLLC
Tapan Dandnaik	39	Senior Vice President, Customer Service and Financial Operations of MCC
Steve Litwer	60	Senior Vice President, Ad Sales for the OnMedia Division of MCC
David McNaughton	51	Senior Vice President, Marketing and Consumer Services of MCC
Ed Pardini	55	Senior Vice President, North Central Division of MCC
Dan Templin	49	Senior Vice President, Commercial Business of MCC
JR Walden	41	Senior Vice President, Technology of MCC
Vin Zachariah	42	Senior Vice President, Field Operations and Fulfillment of MCC

*Rocco B. Commisso* has 34 years of experience with the cable industry, and has served as MCC’s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and our Chief Executive Officer since founding our predecessor company in July 1995. From 1986 to 1995, he served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a director of Cablevision Industries Corporation. Prior to that time, Mr. Commisso served as Senior Vice President of Royal Bank of Canada’s affiliate in the United States from 1981, where he founded and directed a specialized lending group to media and communications companies. Mr. Commisso began his association with the cable industry in 1978 at The Chase Manhattan Bank, where he managed the bank’s lending activities to communications firms including the cable industry. Mr. Commisso serves on the board of directors of the National Cable & Telecommunications Association, C-SPAN and Cable Television Laboratories, Inc. He is also a member of the Cable TV Pioneers. Mr. Commisso holds a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering and a Master of Business Administration from Columbia University.

*Mark E. Stephan* has 26 years of experience with the cable industry, and has served as MCC’s, and our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since July 2005. Prior to that time, he was Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since November 2003 and MCC’s Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since the commencement of MCC’s operations in March 1996. Before joining MCC, Mr. Stephan served as Vice President, Finance for Cablevision Industries from July 1993. Prior to that time, Mr. Stephan served as Manager of the telecommunications and media lending group of Royal Bank of Canada. Mr. Stephan has been a director of MCC since May 2011.

*John G. Pascarelli* has 31 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC’s Executive Vice President, Operations since November 2003. Prior to that time, he was MCC’s Senior Vice President, Marketing and Consumer Services from June 2000 and MCC’s Vice President of Marketing from March 1998. Before joining MCC, Mr. Pascarelli served as Vice President, Marketing for Helicon Communications Corporation from January 1996 to February 1998 and as Corporate Director of Marketing for Cablevision Industries from 1988 to 1995. Prior to that time, Mr. Pascarelli served in various marketing and system management capacities for Continental Cablevision, Inc., Cablevision Systems and Storer Communications. Mr. Pascarelli became a Cable TV Pioneer inductee in 2008.

*Italia Commisso Weinand* has 36 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC’s, and our Executive Vice President of Programming and Human Resources since May 2012. Prior to that time, she was MCC’s Senior Vice President of Programming and Human Resources since February 1998 and MCC’s Vice President of Operations since April 1996. Before joining MCC, Ms. Weinand served as Regional Manager for Comcast Corporation from July 1985. Prior to that time, Ms. Weinand held various management positions with Tele-Communications, Inc., Times Mirror Cable and Time Warner, Inc. For the past five years she has been named among the “Most Powerful Women in Cable” by CableFax Magazine and presently serves on the Board of The Cable Center and the Emma Bowen Foundation. Ms. Weinand is the sister of Mr. Commisso. Ms. Weinand has been a director of MCC since May 2011.

*Joseph E. Young* has 28 years of experience with the cable industry, and has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel since November 2001. Prior to that time, Mr. Young served as Executive Vice President, Legal and Business Affairs, for LinkShare Corporation, an Internet-based provider of marketing services, from September 1999 to October 2001. Prior to that time, he practiced corporate law with Baker & Botts, LLP from January 1995 to September 1999. Previously, Mr. Young was a partner with the Law Offices of Jerome H. Kern and a partner with Shea & Gould.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Brian M. Walsh* has 25 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller since February 2005. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Senior Vice President, Financial Operations from November 2003, MCC's Vice President, Finance and Assistant to the Chairman from November 2001, MCC's Vice President and Corporate Controller from February 1998 and MCC's Director of Accounting from November 1996. Before joining MCC in April 1996, Mr. Walsh held various management positions with Cablevision Industries from 1988 to 1995.

*Tapan Dandnaik* has 12 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Customer Service & Financial Operations since July 2008. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Group Vice President, Financial Operations since July 2007 and MCC's Vice President, Financial Operations since May 2005. Before joining MCC, Mr. Dandnaik served as Director of Corporate Initiatives, Manager of Corporate Finance and as a Financial Analyst for RCN from July 2000 to April 2005. Prior to that time, Mr. Dandnaik served as a Product Engineer for Ingersoll-Rand in India. In 2012, Mr. Dandnaik was the recipient of the National Cable & Telecommunication Association's Vanguard Award for Young Leadership. He also serves as a prominent member of The Cable Center Customer Care Committee.

*Steve Litwer* has 21 years of experience with the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Ad Sales for the OnMedia Division since April 2008. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Group Vice President, Sales since the commencement of the ad sales division in 2001. Before joining MCC, Mr. Litwer served as Group Sales Director at AT&T and TCI Media Services from 1996 to 2001. Prior to that time, Mr. Litwer served in various management positions at cable systems, radio and broadcast TV stations.

*David McNaughton* has 25 years of experience in the telecommunications industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Marketing and Consumer Services since May 2011. Before joining MCC, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer for Ntelos Wireless, a Virginia-based regional wireless carrier from 2009 and Senior Vice President and General Manager at Cincinnati Bell from 2007, responsible for wireless, landline and DSL services. Prior to that time, he held senior management marketing positions at DirecTV, Nextel Communications, and AirTouch Cellular.

*Ed Pardini* has 30 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President of the Field Operations Group since May 2012. Prior to that time, he was Senior Vice President, Divisional Operations for the North Central Division from April 2006. Before joining MCC, Mr. Pardini served as an operating executive in several markets with Comcast since 1989, concluding his final assignment as a Senior Regional Vice President for Philadelphia and eastern Pennsylvania. Prior to that time, Mr. Pardini served in various financial management positions with Greater Media Cable and Viacom Cable.

*Dan Templin* has 21 years of experience in the cable and telecommunications industries, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Mediacom Business since April 2011. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Group Vice President, Strategic Marketing and Product Development since May 2008. Before joining MCC, he was Senior Vice President, Marketing and Product Management for SusCom from February 1999. Prior to that time, Mr. Templin served in a number of operations, product and marketing roles with Comcast and Jones Intercable.

*JR Walden* has 17 years of experience in the cable industry, and 23 years of experience in Internet and Telecommunications technology. He has served as MCC's Senior Vice President, Technology since February 2008. Prior to that time, he was MCC's Group Vice President, IP Services from July 2004, MCC's Vice President, IP Services from July 2003, MCC's Senior Director of IP Services from June 2002 and MCC's IP Services Director from October 1998. Before joining MCC in 1998, Mr. Walden worked in the defense research industry holding various positions with the Department of Defense, Comarco and Science Applications International Corporation.

*Vin V. Zachariah* has 14 years of experience in the cable industry, and has served as MCC's Senior Vice President of Field Operations and Fulfillment since December 2011. Prior to that time, he served as a consultant to MCC working on various initiatives for MCC's Executive Vice President of Operations since March 2011. Before joining MCC, Mr. Zachariah served at Time Warner Cable as Regional Vice President of Operations from March 2009, Vice President/General Manager from May 2006, Vice President of Operations from April 2004 and Assistant to the Division President from January 2003. Prior to that time, Mr. Zachariah served in various financial positions with Global Signal Inc and Salomon Smith Barney. Mr. Zachariah served in the United States Air Force from June 1993 to August 1997.

Our manager has adopted a code of ethics applicable to all of our employees, including our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and chief accounting officer. This code of ethics was filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

---

**Table of Contents****ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The executive officers and directors of MCC are compensated exclusively by MCC and do not receive any separate compensation from Mediacom Broadband LLC or Mediacom Broadband Corporation. MCC acts as manager of our operating subsidiaries and in return receives management fees from each of such subsidiaries.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Mediacom Broadband Corporation is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mediacom Broadband LLC. MCC is the sole voting member of Mediacom Broadband. The address of MCC is 100 Crystal Run Road, Middletown, New York 10941.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE****Going Private Transaction**

On November 12, 2010, MCC entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"), by and among MCC, JMC Communications LLC ("JMC") and Rocco B. Commisso, MCC's founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, who was also the sole member and manager of JMC, for the purpose of taking MCC private (the "Going Private Transaction").

At a special meeting of stockholders on March 4, 2011, MCC's stockholders voted to adopt the Merger Agreement. On the same date, JMC was merged with and into MCC (the "Merger"), with MCC continuing as the surviving corporation, a private company that is wholly-owned by an entity controlled by Mr. Commisso. As a result of the Merger, among other things, each share of MCC's common stock (other than shares held by Mr. Commisso and his affiliates) was converted into the right to receive promptly after the Merger \$8.75 in cash.

The Going Private Transaction required funding of approximately \$381.5 million, including related transaction expenses, and was funded, in part, by capital distributions to MCC from us, consisting of \$200.0 million of borrowings under our revolving credit facility and \$45.0 million of cash on hand. The balance was funded by Mediacom LLC, another wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC.

**Management Agreements**

Pursuant to management agreements between MCC and our operating subsidiaries, MCC is entitled to receive annual management fees in amounts not to exceed 4.0% of gross operating revenues, and MCC shall be responsible for, among other things, the compensation (including benefits) of MCC's executive management. For the year ended December 31, 2012, MCC charged us \$15.5 million of such management fees, approximately 1.8% of gross operating revenues.

**Other Relationships**

In July 2001, we received a \$150 million preferred equity investment from Mediacom LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of MCC. The preferred equity investment has a 12% annual dividend, payable quarterly in cash. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we paid an aggregate of \$18 million in cash dividends on the preferred equity.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

Our allocated portion of fees from MCC for professional services provided by our independent auditor in each of the last two fiscal years, in each of the following categories are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Audit fees	\$ 404	\$ 400
Audit-related fees	22	—
Tax fees	13	103
All other fees	1	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 440</b>	<b>\$ 503</b>

Audit fees include fees associated with the annual audit, the reviews of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and annual reports on Form 10-K. Audit-related fees include fees associated with the audit of an employee benefit plan and transaction reviews.

Tax fees include fees related to tax planning and associated tax computations.

The Audit Committee of our manager has adopted a policy that requires advance approval of all audit, audit-related, tax services, and other services performed by our independent auditor. The policy provides for preapproval by the Audit Committee of our manager of specifically defined audit and non-audit services. Unless the specific service has been previously pre-approved with respect to that year, the Audit Committee of our manager must approve the permitted service before the independent auditor is engaged to perform it.

**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES****(a) Financial Statements**

Our financial statements as set forth in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K are hereby incorporated by reference.

**(b) Exhibits**

The following exhibits, which are numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K, are filed herewith or, as noted, incorporated by reference herein:

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Description</u>
2.1	Asset Transfer Agreement, dated February 11, 2009, by and among MCC, certain operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC and the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom Broadband LLC <sup>(1)</sup>
3.1	Certificate of Formation of Mediacom Broadband LLC <sup>(2)</sup>
3.2	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Mediacom Broadband LLC <sup>(2)</sup>
3.3	Certificate of Incorporation of Mediacom Broadband Corporation <sup>(2)</sup>
3.4	By-Laws of Mediacom Broadband Corporation <sup>(2)</sup>
4.1	Indenture relating to 6 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>8</sub> % senior notes due 2023 of Mediacom Broadband LLC and Mediacom Broadband Corporation <sup>(3)</sup>
10.1	Restatement Agreement to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 20, 2012, among Mediacom Communications Corporation, Mediacom Broadband LLC, the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom Broadband LLC, the lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders <sup>(3)</sup>
10.2	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 20, 2012, among the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom Broadband LLC, the lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders <sup>(3)</sup>
12.1	Schedule of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends
14.1	Code of Ethics <sup>(4)</sup>
21.1	Subsidiaries of Mediacom Broadband LLC

---

**Table of Contents**

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Description</u>
31.1	Rule 15d-14(a) Certifications of Mediacom Broadband LLC
31.2	Rule 15d-14(a) Certifications of Mediacom Broadband Corporation
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom Broadband LLC
32.2	Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom Broadband Corporation
101	The following is financial information from Mediacom Broadband LLC's and Mediacom Broadband Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's Deficit for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 of MCC and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-72440) of Mediacom Broadband LLC and Mediacom Broadband Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 of Mediacom Broadband LLC and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 of Mediacom Broadband LLC and incorporated herein by reference.

**(c) *Financial Statement Schedule***

The following financial statement schedule — Schedule II — Valuation of Qualifying Accounts — is part of this Form 10-K.



