Tuesday, January 21, 2014

Mr. Doug Scott, Chairman
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701

ILLINOIS
COMMERCE COMMISSION
JAN 29 2014
Office of Chairman
And Commissioners

RE: Case #12-0598 PDM/CFT Route

Dear Chairman Scott:

As a landowner in Piatt County, I am thankful for the wisdom of the administrative-law judges' approval and recommendation to use the PDM/CFT route which is a much more cost effective and simpler route. I would pray that you would also be wise and approve this route at the administrative-law judges' recommendation.

Here are but a few of the reasons for the absolute necessity to abandon the reroute thru Piatt, Douglass and Moultrie Counties:

1. The lack of due process
2. The lack of proper notification to landowners in Piatt and Douglass County
3. The lack of public input in regard to the reroute which is yet to be listed as part of the counties impacted on the ICC or Ameren web pages, thus landowners did not feel any pressure to approach ICC or Ameren about the matter. Those that did ask were told the route would not affect landowners in either Piatt or Douglass County
4. Segments of the reroute were submitted *after* the December 31 ICC deadline, which should be enough in itself to not allow this reroute.
5. This affects far more total crop ground by cutting through the middle of field after field and in some cases is within a mile of the homestead on the property
6. There will be several 90 degree turns required in the reroute increasing the cost astronomically
7. The reroute will be right next to the Tuscola Airport making landing and take off more of a concern for the pilot and the passengers
8. The reroute crosses right through multiple Amish districts and even thru a registered Native American archeological site!
9. *Last but not least is the financial savings by going with the original PDM/CFT route.*

I ask that you please make it known that you are standing for the landowners of Illinois and will vote for the original, shorter and more direct route through Moultrie County and oppose the reroute. This original route has been widely publicized and properly vetted to landowners for over a year and included public input and participation with dozens of public meetings held throughout 2012. The original route was studied for years and had a full review of 32 different sensitivities making a much wiser choice.

Sincerely,

Judith K. Mewes, [redacted] St. Joseph, IL 61873 [redacted]

Tuesday, January 21, 2014

ILLINOIS
COMMERCE COMMISSION

JAN 29 2014

Office of Chairman
And Commissioners

Mr. John T. Colgan
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701

RE: Case #12-0598 Route

Dear Commissioner Colgan:

As a landowner in Piatt County, I am thankful for the wisdom of the administrative-law judges' approval and recommendation to use the PDM/CFT route which is a much more cost effective and simpler route. I would pray that you would also be wise and approve this route at the administrative-law judges' recommendation.

Here are but a few of the reasons for the absolute necessity to abandon the reroute thru Piatt, Douglas, and Moultrie Counties:

1. The lack of due process
2. The lack of proper notification to landowners in Piatt and Douglass County
3. The lack of public input in regard to the reroute which is yet to be listed as part of the counties impacted on the ICC or Ameren web pages, thus landowners did not feel any pressure to approach ICC or Ameren about the matter. Those that did ask were told the route would not affect landowners in either Piatt or Douglass County
4. Segments of the reroute were submitted *after* the December 31 ICC deadline, which should be enough in itself to not allow this reroute.
5. This affects far more total crop ground by cutting through the middle of field after field and in some cases is within a mile of the homestead on the property
6. There will be several 90 degree turns required in the reroute increasing the cost astronomically
7. The reroute will be right next to the Tuscola Airport making landing and take off more of a concern for the pilot and the passengers
8. The reroute crosses right through multiple Amish districts and even thru a registered Native American archeological site!
9. *Last but not least is the financial savings by going with the original PDM/CFT route.*

I ask that you please make it known that you are standing for the landowners of Illinois and will vote for the original, shorter and more direct route through Moultrie County and oppose the reroute. This original route has been widely publicized and properly vetted to landowners for over a year and included public input and participation with dozens of public meetings held throughout 2012. The original route was studied for years and had a full review of 32 different sensitivities making a much wiser choice.

Sincerely,

Judith K. Mewes, [redacted] St. Joseph, IL 61873 [redacted]

Tuesday, January 21, 2014

ILLINOIS
COMMERCE COMMISSION

JAN 29 2014

Office of Chairman
And Commissioners

Mr. Miguel del Valle
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701

RE: Case #12-0598 Route

Dear Commissioner del Valle:

As a landowner in Piatt County, I am thankful for the wisdom of the administrative-law judges' approval and recommendation to use the PDM/CFT route which is a much more cost effective and simpler route. I would pray that you would also be wise and approve this route at the administrative-law judges' recommendation.

Here are but a few of the reasons for the absolute necessity to abandon the reroute thru Piatt, Douglass and Moultrie Counties:

1. The lack of due process
2. The lack of proper notification to landowners in Piatt and Douglass County
3. The lack of public input in regard to the reroute which is yet to be listed as part of the counties impacted on the ICC or Ameren web pages, thus landowners did not feel any pressure to approach ICC or Ameren about the matter. Those that did ask were told the route would not affect landowners in either Piatt or Douglass County
4. Segments of the reroute were submitted *after* the December 31 ICC deadline, which should be enough in itself to not allow this reroute.
5. This affects far more total crop ground by cutting through the middle of field after field and in some cases is within a mile of the homestead on the property
6. There will be several 90 degree turns required in the reroute increasing the cost astronomically
7. The reroute will be right next to the Tuscola Airport making landing and take off more of a concern for the pilot and the passengers
8. The reroute crosses right through multiple Amish districts and even thru a registered Native American archeological site!
9. *Last but not least is the financial savings by going with the original PDM/CFT route.*

I ask that you please make it known that you are standing for the landowners of Illinois and will vote for the original, shorter and more direct route through Moultrie County and oppose the reroute. This original route has been widely publicized and properly vetted to landowners for over a year and included public input and participation with dozens of public meetings held throughout 2012. The original route was studied for years and had a full review of 32 different sensitivities making a much wiser choice.

Sincerely,

Judith K. Mewes, [redacted] St. Joseph, IL 61873 [redacted]

Tuesday, January 21, 2014

ILLINOIS
COMMERCE COMMISSION

JAN 29 2014

Office of Chairman
And Commissioners

Ms. Sherina E. Maye
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701

RE: Case #12-0598 PDM/CFT Route

Dear Commissioner Maye:

As a landowner in Piatt County, I am thankful for the wisdom of the administrative-law judges' approval and recommendation to use the PDM/CFT route which is a much more cost effective and simpler route. I would pray that you would also be wise and approve this route at the administrative-law judges' recommendation.

Here are but a few of the reasons for the absolute necessity to abandon the reroute thru Piatt, Douglass and Moultrie Counties:

1. The lack of due process
2. The lack of proper notification to landowners in Piatt and Douglass County
3. The lack of public input in regard to the reroute which is yet to be listed as part of the counties impacted on the ICC or Ameren web pages, thus landowners did not feel any pressure to approach ICC or Ameren about the matter. Those that did ask were told the route would not affect landowners in either Piatt or Douglass County
4. Segments of the reroute were submitted *after* the December 31 ICC deadline, which should be enough in itself to not allow this reroute.
5. This affects far more total crop ground by cutting through the middle of field after field and in some cases is within a mile of the homestead on the property
6. There will be several 90 degree turns required in the reroute increasing the cost astronomically
7. The reroute will be right next to the Tuscola Airport making landing and take off more of a concern for the pilot and the passengers
8. The reroute crosses right through multiple Amish districts and even thru a registered Native American archeological site!
9. *Last but not least is the financial savings by going with the original PDM/CFT route.*

I ask that you please make it known that you are standing for the landowners of Illinois and will vote for the original, shorter and more direct route through Moultrie County and oppose the reroute. This original route has been widely publicized and properly vetted to landowners for over a year and included public input and participation with dozens of public meetings held throughout 2012. The original route was studied for years and had a full review of 32 different sensitivities making a much wiser choice.

Sincerely,

Judith K. Mewes, [redacted] St. Joseph, IL 61873 [redacted]

Tuesday, January 21, 2014

Ms. Ann McCabe
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701

RE: Case #12-0598 PDM/CFT Route

Dear Commissioner McCabe:

As a landowner in Piatt County, I am thankful for the wisdom of the administrative-law judges' approval and recommendation to use the PDM/CFT route which is a much more cost effective and simpler route. I would pray that you would also be wise and approve this route at the administrative-law judges' recommendation.

Here are but a few of the reasons for the absolute necessity to abandon the reroute thru Piatt, Douglass and Moultrie Counties:

1. The lack of due process
2. The lack of proper notification to landowners in Piatt and Douglass County
3. The lack of public input in regard to the reroute which is yet to be listed as part of the counties impacted on the ICC or Ameren web pages, thus landowners did not feel any pressure to approach ICC or Ameren about the matter. Those that did ask were told the route would not affect landowners in either Piatt or Douglass County
4. Segments of the reroute were submitted *after* the December 31 ICC deadline, which should be enough in itself to not allow this reroute.
5. This affects far more total crop ground by cutting through the middle of field after field and in some cases is within a mile of the homestead on the property
6. There will be several 90 degree turns required in the reroute increasing the cost astronomically
7. The reroute will be right next to the Tuscola Airport making landing and take off more of a concern for the pilot and the passengers
8. The reroute crosses right through multiple Amish districts and even thru a registered Native American archeological site!
9. *Last but not least is the financial savings by going with the original PDM/CFT route.*

I ask that you please make it known that you are standing for the landowners of Illinois and will vote for the original, shorter and more direct route through Moultrie County and oppose the reroute. This original route has been widely publicized and properly vetted to landowners for over a year and included public input and participation with dozens of public meetings held throughout 2012. The original route was studied for years and had a full review of 32 different sensitivities making a much wiser choice.

Sincerely,

Judith K. Mewes, [redacted] St. Joseph, IL 61873