

MEMORIALIZATION OF EX PARTE
COMMUNICATION FROM INTERESTED PARTY

ICC Docket Number, or Docket Number or Case Number from Other Jurisdiction

(Please be specific): 12-0598

Subject of the Communication (Note - This field is limited to 8 lines of text):

location of Mt. Zion substation

Name of the Commission Officer or Employee Making Report (first, then last name):

John

Albers

Job Title:

Administrative Law Judge

Names and Job Titles of Other Commission Employees Present:

None

Date of Communication: Dec 16, 2013

Location of Parties to Communication:

Springfield + Mt. Zion, IL

Mode of Communication (Please Check All That Apply):

e-Mail FAX In Person Mail Telephone

Nature and Substance of All Oral Communications (Note - This field is limited to 15 lines of text):

No oral communications

MEMORIALIZATION OF EX PARTE
COMMUNICATION FROM INTERESTED PARTY

Responses Made to Written or Oral Communications (Note - This field is limited to 8 lines of text):

No response made

Please Check One:

- Submitted are copies of all written items received (optional).
- No written communications were received.
- Written communications were received but are not being submitted.

Please Check One:

- Submitted are copies of written responses (optional).
- No written responses were made.
- Written responses were made but are not being submitted.

Name of, Title of, and Entity Represented by Person Communicating to You:

Todd Rigg, landowner

State What Action, If Any, the Person Requested or Recommended (Note - 11 lines of text):

Mr. Rigg requests that the substation near Mt. Zion not be located at the proposed Sulphur Springs Rd site

Include Any Other Information You Deem Pertinent (Note - Limited to 8 lines of text):

None

Albers, John

From: Todd Rigg [rigg111@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 12:16 PM
To: Albers, John
Subject: Amerens Illinois rivers project Mt. Zion sub station

Todd Rigg
rigg111@aol.com

Mr. Albers I write you to express my concerns about the location of the Mt. Zion sub station. I am very strongly opposed to Amerens proposed sulphur springs location in Mt. Zion. According to the figures on the docket the sulphur springs location would be the most expensive location of the four choices. The sub station along with the lines entering and exiting would bound the village of Mt. Zion from any future growth to the south, and it would be in close proximity to numerous residences and a sub division, one of those homes being mine. (My home would be approx. 400 yds. from proposed land with another home being as close as 100 yds.) Staffs proposed sub station locations option 1 and option 2 seems to be much better locations. Either of these two staff recommended locations, according to info on docket would be less expensive than the sulphur springs location. Both staff option 1 and 2 are in a locations where no residences are near, and both of these locations would allow the village of Mt. Zion to continue to expand to the south. Thank you

Todd Rigg
3545 Traughber rd.
Mt. Zion, IL. 62549