

ICC Docket No. 13-0387

**Commonwealth Edison Company's Response to
Coalition to Request Equitable Allocation of Costs Together ("REACT") Data Requests
REACT 6.01 – 6.04**

Date Received: September 16, 2013

Date Served: September 23, 2013

REQUEST NO. REACT 6.04:

Please refer to ComEd Ex. 7.0, the Rebuttal Testimony of Bradley L. Bjerning, at Lines 388-390, wherein Mr. Bjerning quotes from page 264 of the May 24, 2011 ICC Order in ICC Docket No. 10-0467, which states: "While the ECOSS approved here still needs further refinement, which shall take place in a future rate case, it is accurate enough to move, gradually, toward cost-based rates for these two classes."

- a. Please specifically identify and explain fully in detail each "further refinement" to the ECOSS that ComEd has made for the ELLC and HV Over 10 MW classes since the ICC's May 24, 2011 Order in ICC Docket No. 10-0467.
- b. Please specifically identify and explain fully in detail each "further refinement" to the ECOSS that ComEd has made for any customer class other than the ELLC and HV Over 10 MW classes since the ICC's May 24, 2011 Order in ICC Docket No. 10-0467.

RESPONSE:

ComEd objects to this request as being vague and ambiguous. ComEd does not have a "HV Over 10 MW" delivery class. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, or ComEd's General Objections, ComEd responds as follows:

- a & b. ComEd has not made any "further refinements" to its ECOSS for any delivery classes since the Commission's May 24, 2011 Order in ICC Docket No. 10-0467. In ICC Docket No. 10-0467 the Commission directed ComEd to perform several studies as discussed in the Direct Testimony of Charles S. Tenorio (ComEd Ex. 2.0, 67:957 – 75:1006). ComEd presented those studies in the instant docket for Commission consideration and only the Commission can instruct ComEd to make any "further refinements" to its ECOSS.

OFFICIAL FILE
I.C.C. DOCKET NO. 13-087
REACT CROSS
Exhibit No. 10
Witness TOWNSEND
Date 9-25-13 Reporter KIK