

STATE OF ILLINOIS
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Illinois Commerce Commission)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
North Shore Gas Company)	Docket No. 12-0602
The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company)	
)	
Reconciliation of Revenues Collected)	
under Riders EOA with the actual costs)	
associated with energy efficiency and)	
on-bill financing programs)	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF
EDWARD M. KORENCHAN

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. Edward M. Korenchan, 130 East Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 3 Q. Are you the same Edward M. Korenchan who submitted direct testimony in
- 4 this proceeding?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
- 7 A. I am responding on behalf of North Shore Gas Company (“North Shore”)
- 8 and The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company (“Peoples Gas”) to the direct
- 9 testimony of Illinois Commerce Commission (“Commission”) Staff witness Mike
- 10 Ostrander.
- 11 Q. Mr. Ostrander’s Schedule 1.01N (for North Shore) and Schedule 1.01P
- 12 (for Peoples Gas) show a summary of his recommended Factor O components

13 for the Rider EOA, Energy Efficiency and On-Bill Financing Adjustment,
14 reconciliation. Do you agree with the data on these schedules?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Mr. Ostrander's testimony includes several additional schedules
17 (Schedules 1.02N through 1.06N (North Shore) and 1.02P through 1.06P
18 (Peoples Gas)) that he recommends be appended to the Order in this
19 proceeding. Do you have any concerns with the inclusion of these schedules
20 with the final Order?

21 A. No.

22 Q. On page 6 of his testimony, Mr. Ostrander describes his recommendations
23 as resulting in a change in a schedule that requires notice, and he cites the
24 initiating order, which addressed public notice. Please comment.

25 A. North Shore and Peoples Gas agree to arrange for publication of notice, in
26 the manner prescribed for a general rate increase filing, when they implement
27 any Factor O required by the Order if that is Staff's recommendation and if the
28 Commission adopts it. However, the recommended factors are refunds and not
29 rate increases, which is what the Commission's rules describe in connection with
30 rate case notices. Specifically, 83 Ill. Admin. Code §255.20(f) is titled "general
31 rate increases" and subsection (f)(1) begins with "Whenever any proposed
32 change in a schedule which would effect a general rate increase shall be filed
33 with the Commission,"

34 Although Mr. Ostrander refers to his recommended adjustments in
35 connection with requiring notice, he also cites the initiating order. North Shore

36 and Peoples Gas did not file notice in the form required for a general rate
37 increase when they filed their January 2013 direct testimony, and this appears to
38 have been an oversight. They did, however, follow the otherwise applicable
39 notice requirements for this and the previously filed reconciliation statements.
40 North Shore and Peoples Gas filed their reconciliation statements and
41 reconciliation adjustments in August 2012 and began billing those adjustments
42 on September 1, 2012, which pre-dated the initiating order, as called for by Rider
43 EOA.

44 Q. Please summarize recent tariff changes affecting Rider EOA.

45 A. The June 18, 2013 Order in Docket Nos. 12-0511/12-0512 (cons.), North
46 Shore's and Peoples Gas' rate cases, directed North Shore to renumber service
47 classifications to be consistent with Peoples Gas. Effective June 27, 2013, the
48 following changes have been made for North Shore:

- 49 • Service Classification ("S.C.") No. 3 – Large Volume Demand Service, was
50 renamed S.C. No. 4 – Large Volume Demand Service;
- 51 • S.C. No. 4 – Contract Service to Prevent Bypass, was renamed S.C. No. 7
52 – Contract Service to Prevent Bypass; and
- 53 • S.C. No. 6 – Contract Service Electric Generation, was renamed S.C. No.
54 5 - Contract Service Electric Generation.

55 Currently there are no customers served by North Shore's S.C. Nos. 5 and 7.
56 Future calculations and charges or refunds will reflect the new numbering of
57 service classifications.

58 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

59 A. Yes, it does.