

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2013 MAR 18 | P 12:37
CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE

COMPLAINT
erca Commission
pital Avenue
Illinois 62701

For Commission Use Only:
Case: 13-0217

ORIGINAL

Regarding a complaint by (Person making the complaint): FRED DANKER

Against (Utility name): ComEd

As to (Reason for complaint) UnBanded, unbilled, BACKCHARGES AS OF 10-26-2011
AND 11-1-2012 ALL MONTHLY BILLED CHARGES WERE PAID PRIOR TO THE
10-26-2011 CHARGES AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY CHARGES
HAVE BEEN PAID. THE ONLY OUTSTANDING ALLEGED CHARGES
ARE FOR THE BACK CHARGES AS RECITED ABOVE
in CHERRY VALLEY Illinois.

TO THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION, SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS:

My complete mailing address is (include City) 7162 Rye Ridge TRAIL, CHERRY VALLEY, IL 61016

The service address that I am complaining about is 7162 Rye Ridge TRAIL, CHERRY VALLEY, IL 61016

My home telephone is (815) 332-1514

Between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. weekdays, I can be reached at (773) 793-7000

My e-mail address is DANK0077@COMCAST.NET I will accept documents by electronic means (e-mail) Yes No

(Full name of utility company) ComEd (respondent) is a public utility and is subject to the provisions of the Illinois Public Utilities Act.

In the space below, list the specific section of the law, Commission rule(s), or utility tariffs that you are involved with your complaint.

83-IL Adm PAET 280.75, 280.76, 280.80, 280.100, 280.160, 280.170

Have you contacted the Consumer Services Division of the Illinois Commerce Commission about your complaint? Yes No

Has your complaint filed with that office been closed? I do not know Yes No

Please state your complaint briefly. Number each of the paragraphs. Please include time period and dollar amounts involved with your complaint. Use an extra sheet of paper if needed.

SEE ATTACHED

Please clearly state what you want the Commission to do in this case:

SEE ATTACHED

NOTICE: If personal information (such as a social security number or a bank account number) is contained in this complaint form or provided later in this proceeding, you should submit both a public copy and a confidential copy of the document. Any personal information (Social Security Number, Driver's License Number, Medical Records, etc.) contained in the public copy should be obscured or removed from the document prior to its submission to the Chief Clerk's office. Any personal information contained in the confidential copy should remain legible. If personal information is provided in your public copy, be advised that it will be available on the internet through the Commission's e-Docket website. The confidential copy of any filing you make, however, will only be available to Commission employees. If you file both a public and confidential version of a document, clearly mark them as such.

Today's Date: 2-17-2013
(Month, day, year)

Complainant's Signature: [Signature] POA, Fred Disher
POA-R/FRED DUNKER

If an attorney will represent you, please give the attorney's name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address.

When you finish filling out this complaint form, you need to file the original with the Commission's Chief Clerk. When filing the original complaint, be sure to include one copy of the original complaint for each utility company complained about (referred to as respondents).

VERIFICATION

A notary public must witness the completion of this part of the form.

I, John Parker POA/R Fred Dunker Complainant, first being duly sworn, say that I have read the above petition and know what it says. The contents of this petition are true to the best of my knowledge.

[Signature]
Complainant's Signature



Subscribed and sworn/affirmed to before me on (month, day, year) 2/22/2013

[Signature]
Signature, Notary Public, Illinois

(NOTARY SEAL)

NOTE: Failure to answer all of the questions on this form may result in this form being returned without processing.

CHRONOLOGY

ITEM	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/24/2011	RECEIVED A BILL ISSUED 10-24-2011 FOR \$40.72. ALL PREVIOUS BILL WERE PAID AS RECEIVED. SEE ATTACHED
2	10/26/2011	RECEIVED A NEW BILL DATED 10-25-2011, ALLEGING A ESTIMATED PREVIOUS METER READING OF 39164 ??? FOR SOME UNKNOWN DATE. IT ALLEDGES AN 10-24-2011 ACTUAL METER READING OF 40588 THIS ALLEDGES A USUAGE OF 1424. (40588 - 39164 = 1424) FOR THE CURRENT 9-22-2011 TO 10-24-2011 THIS ENDING ACTUAL READING IS UNTRUE AS THE 10-24-2011 READING DOES NOT CHANGE FOR THE 11-22-2011 AND 12-22-2011 BILLS AND NO CHANGE IS REFLECTED UNTIL 1-27-2012, RECITING A 3 MONTHS USUAGE OF 936 SEE ATTACHED
	1/27/2012	THE 1-27-2012 BILL RECITES A ACTUAL READING OF 41524 AND THAT IS THE FIRST CHANGE SINCE THE 10-24-2011 READING, REFERENCED ABOVE, REFLECTING THE 10-24-2011 ACTUAL READING WAS OVERSTATED SEE ATTACHED
3	10/26/2011	COMED THEN GOES BACK FOR TEN MONTHS ALLEDGING A MONTHLY USUAGE OF 1430 COMMENCING 11-19-2010 THRU 9-22-2011 WITH NO READINGS, ESTIMATE OR ACTUAL, WHATEVER THESE ARE BLUE SKY NUMBERS ONLY. GUESSING AT ONE UNTRUE MONTH READING AND APPLYING TO TEN MONTHS ELECTRIC USUAGE IS NOT STATIC, CHANGING FROM MONTH TO MONTH WITH SEASONS, DEGREE DAYS ETC. SEE ATTACHED
4	11/1/2012	THE ABOVE IS REPEATING AS THE NOVEMBER 1, 2012 BILL RECITES RECHARGING OF THE 7-25-2012 THRU 10-23-2012 MONTHS THE METER READINGS ARE NOT FACTUAL AND THE CORRECTIONS OR WHATEVER YOU CALL THEM ARE BLUE SKY AT BEST. WHAT IS THE SOURCE, PURPOSE, ETC. OF THESE CHARGES ? SEE ATTACHED
5	12/27/2012	THE DECEMBER 27, 2012 BILL RECITES A ACTUAL READING OF 55326 SEE ATTACHED
6	1/29/2013	THE JANUARY 29, 2012 BILL RECITES THE SAME ACTUAL ENDING READING AS 12-27-2012 HOW MUCH WAS THE 12-27-2012 ACTUAL READING OVERSTATED???
		SEE ATTACHED
7	4/16/2012	KNOWILGLY PROVIDED INFORMATION TO THE COMMISSION THAT THE INFORMAL COMPLAINT WAS RESOLVED KNOWILGLY PROVIDED FALSE INFORMATION TO THE COMMISSION WITHOUT EVEN ADDRESSING PART OF THE COMPLAINT

ALL

THE ABOVE REEKS OF COMED NOWINGLY AND INTENTIONAL OVERCHARGING, CONSUMER FRAUD, DEFAMATION, DAMAGE AND CONSTANT THREATS, TO NAME A FEW

I FEEL THE COMMISSION SHOULD DIRECT COMED TO COMPLY TO THE CODE WITH PROPER AND ACCURATE BILLING RECORDS AND PROCEDURES.

I FEEL THE COMMISSION SHOULD ASSESS DAMAGES TO COMED FOR NONCOMPLIANCE.

I FEEL THE COMMISSION SHOULD ASSESS DAMAGES TO COMED FOR THE INJURY AND DAMAGES INCURRED AND INFLICTED UPON THEIR ACCOUNT HOLDERS DUE TO THEIR KNOWINGLY, MISHANDLING, OVERCHARGING, NONCOMPLIANCE AND ALLEGEDLY FRAUDULENT ACTIVITIES.