

---

---

**INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM**

---

---

**TO:** BONITA BENN, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
**FROM:** ANN MCCABE, COMMISSIONER  
**SUBJECT:** QUESTIONS FOR RESPONDENT IN DOCKET NO. 11-0461  
**DATE:** 2/21/2013

---

After a review of the record in this case, some outstanding issues appear worthy of exploration. I am forwarding questions for the Commonwealth Edison Company (“ComEd”), with the hope that such questions would allow us to more thoroughly explore and evaluate the merits of the case. I request an answer to all questions within seven days of service. The responses should be thorough, detailed, and accompanied by a sworn and notarized verification.

1. According to the test result submitted by ComEd as Exhibit 1 on January 11, 2012, ComEd had information as early as April 11, 2011 (two months before the formal case was filed) that the meter was allegedly not malfunctioning. Why did ComEd wait nine months to claim that the meter in question was not malfunctioning?
  - a. Did ComEd communicate these test results to Joel T. Harris (“Complainant”) or to the Commission at any time prior to January 11, 2012?
  - b. If so, when?
2. Did ComEd or its agents conduct any tests or prepare any reports connected to this case other than the specific tests and reports filed by ComEd as exhibits on January 11, 2012?
  - a. If so, please submit all records, documents, or discussions related to those tests or reports.
3. Did ComEd offer Complainant an opportunity to be present or to have a representative present when it conducted field tests of the meter at Complainant’s residence?
4. Did ComEd offer Complainant an opportunity to be present or to have a representative present when it conducted tests of the meter after it was removed from Complainant’s residence?