Ameren Exhibit 6.0

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ICC DOCKET Nos. 11-0354, 11-0355, 11-0356

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

LEONARD M. JONES

Submitted On Behalf

Of

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY
d/b/a Ameren Illinois

February 4, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

I. INTRODUCTION **1**

A. Witness Identification **1**

B. Purpose, Scope and Identification of Exhibits **2**

II. DISCUSSION **2**

III. CONCLUSION **5**

APPENDIX **1**

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

DOCKET Nos. 11-0354, 11-0355, 11-0356

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

LEONARD M. JONES

Submitted On Behalf Of

Ameren Illinois

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Witness Identification

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Leonard M. Jones and my business address is One Ameren Plaza, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A. I am employed by Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois ("AIC" or "Company") as the Director of Rates and Analysis. I am responsible for supervising the administration of AIC's tariffs, regulated pricing, the development of AIC's cost of service studies, administration and maintenance of AIC's tariffs, and coordinating activity on other regulatory initiatives.

Q. Please describe your educational background and relevant work experience.

A. See my Statement of Qualifications, attached as an Appendix to this testimony.

B. Purpose, Scope and Identification of Exhibits

Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to explain the nature of the Ameren Illinois' cost recovery mechanism for the recovery of power supply related activities, including internal and operational costs.

Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in support of your rebuttal testimony?

A. No, I'm not.

II. DISCUSSION

Q. What do you understand to be the interest in the Company's power supply related internal and administrative costs in this proceeding?

A. The Initiating Order Commencing Reconciliation Proceedings, at page 3, requires the Company to include a summary schedule detailing the Company's internal administrative and operational costs, and further provide testimony about the reasonableness of the costs and the basis for the conclusion.

Q. Are you addressing the reasonableness of the actual internal and administrative costs incurred by Ameren Illinois?

A. No. As I explain below, the Company does not recover its actual internal and administrative costs from customers, but rather an Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) approved charge that was previously set through general rate cases.

Q. How does Ameren Illinois currently recover internal and administrative costs from its customers?

A. Administrative costs are recovered through a provision called the Supply Cost Adjustment (SCA) – Procurement in Rider PER – Purchased Electricity Recovery (Rider PER) and Rider HSS – Hourly Supply Service (Rider HSS). The SCA Procurement charge was established through general rate cases, and specifically for the period under this reconciliation, the charge up to May 6, 2010 was established in Docket 07-0585 (consolidated) and Docket 09-0306 (consolidated) thereafter. In those dockets, a charge per kilowatt-hour (kWh) is established in general rate cases and applied only to energy supplied by AIC. Notably the SCA-Procurement provision in Riders PER and HSS do not contain a "true-up" or reconciliation provision. Thus, if costs are different than SCA-Procurement revenue collected from customers, customers are not charged any more or less in a later proceeding.

Q. What type of costs establishes the SCA-Procurement charge?

A. Both Rider PER and Rider HSS contain similar tariff language, stating that the adjustment compensates the Company for "all direct and indirect costs of procuring and administering electric power and energy supply for its Customers" other than amounts recovered elsewhere in tariffs such as the working capital adjustment and the uncollectibles adjustment. As noted in the tariffs, "[t]hese costs incurred by the Company will include, where applicable, professional fees, costs of engineering, supervision, insurance, payments for injury and damage awards, taxes, licenses, and any other administrative and general expense" not already included in the prices for power

and energy service, and "[t]he amount of this adjustment shall be established by the Commission in a Delivery Services rate case."

Q. In what general rate case was the SCA-Procurement established?

A. The SCA-Procurement value applicable during June 2009 (the starting month in this reconciliation proceeding) through May 5, 2010 was developed in Docket Nos. 07-0585/07-0586/07-0587 (Cons.). The target level of costs approved for recovery was $1,528,673. The $1,528,673 consisted of $1,057,003 of ongoing costs plus a prior period cost of $1,415,011 that was amortized over 3 years, or $471,670 annually.[1] The Company assumed that 18.5 billion kWh would be supplied by Ameren Illinois to customers annually, resulting in a SCA-Procurement charge of 0.008 cents/kWh. The value was reset on May 6, 2010 following the Commission Order in Docket Nos. 09-0306/09-0307/09-0308 (Cons.). The approved level of costs in that proceeding was $1,278,100, which consisted of $944,000 of ongoing costs plus an unamortized portion of prior costs of $334,100.[2] The Company assumed it would supply 17.7 billion kWh through its power supply tariffs annually, resulting in a SCA-Procurement charge of 0.007 cents/kWh.

Q. During period of June 2009 through May 2010, did the Company operate its tariffs in a manner consistent with what the ICC approved in the general rate cases?

A. Yes. In Revised Ameren Exhibit 3.11, Ameren Witness Dominic Perniciaro sets for the amounts actually recovered from ratepayers for internal operating and administrative expenses under Rider PER and Rider HSS.

---

[1] See Order in Docket Nos. 07-0585 (Cons.), page 290.

[2] See Order in Docket Nos. 09-0306 (Cons.), page 265 and ICC Staff Ex. 1.0, Attachment B.

Q. Did Ameren Illinois act reasonably and prudently when operating the tariffs in a manner consistent with what the ICC had previously approved?

A. Yes, I believe the Company acted reasonably and prudently in this regard because, as noted above, the Company acted in accordance with the prior ICC orders.

III. CONCLUSION

Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

APPENDIX

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
LEONARD M. JONES

I graduated from Western Illinois University with a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Economics in 1987. In 1988, I received a Master of Arts Degree in Economics, also from Western Illinois University. From 1988 through 2004 I was employed by Illinois Power Company (”Illinois Power”) as a Rate Analyst, Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Specialist, Team Leader - Costing and Economic Services, and Director – Business Planning and Forecasting. Shortly after completion of Ameren Corporation’s (“Ameren”) acquisition of Illinois Power, I became Managing Supervisor – Restructured Services, Regulatory Policy and Planning. In 2008, I was promoted to my current position.

I previously testified before the Illinois Commerce Commission in Docket No. 91-0335, regarding Illinois Power’s electric marginal cost of service study; Docket No. 93-0183, regarding Illinois Power’s gas marginal cost of service study; Docket No. 98-0348, regarding Illinois Power’s proposed Rider DA-RTP II; Docket No. 98-0680, regarding the investigation concerning certain tariff provisions under Section 16-108 of the Public Utilities Act and related issues; Docket No. 98-0769, regarding requirements governing the form and content of contract summaries for the 1999 Neutral Fact Finder; Docket Nos. 99-0120 & 99-0134 (Cons.) regarding approval of Illinois Power’s Delivery Service Implementation Plan and Tariffs; Docket Nos. 00-0259/00-0395/00-0461 (Cons.) regarding proposed Rider MVI and revisions to Rider TC; Docket 01-0432 regarding electric Delivery Service Tariff rate design and related matters; Docket 04-0476 regarding gas rate design; Docket Nos. 06-0070/06-0071/06-0072 (Cons.) regarding electric Delivery Service Tariff rate design and related matters; Docket Nos. 06-0691/06-

0692/06-0693 (Cons.) regarding residential real-time pricing tariffs; Docket 06-0800 regarding an investigation into changes to auction process and the Ameren Illinois Utilities' market value tariffs (Rider MV); Docket 07-0165 regarding an investigation into the Ameren Illinois Utilities' rate design; Docket 07-0527 regarding tariff changes resulting from passage of the IPA Act; Docket 07-0585 – 07-0590 (cons.) regarding electric rate design; Docket 07-0539 regarding electric energy efficiency programs; Docket 08-0104 regarding gas energy efficiency programs; Docket 09-0306 – 09-0311 (cons.) regarding electric rate design; Docket 09-0535 regarding Rider EDR and GER reconciliation; Docket 10-0095 regarding tariff changes required for on-bill financing programs; Docket 10-0517 regarding a petition for an accounting order; Docket Nos. 11-0279 and 11-0282 (Cons.) regarding electric Delivery Service Tariff rate design and related matters; Docket 11-0358 regarding purchase of uncollectible receivables tariff provisions; Docket 11-0383 regarding Rider TS-Transmission Service reconciliation; Docket 12-0001 regarding initiation of electric formula ratemaking through Rate MAP-P – Modernization Action Plan – Pricing; Docket 12-0244 regarding approval of AIC's AMI plan; and Docket 12-0293 regarding Rate MAP-P annual update filing.