

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE)
COMPANY AND WINDSTREAM)
NUVOX ILLINOIS, INC.,)
Petitioner,)
) No. 12-0616
)
Joint petition for)
approval of 1st Amendment)
to the interconnection)
agreement dated November)
13, 2012, pursuant to 47)
U.S.C. 252.)

Chicago, Illinois
January 8, 2013

Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 11:09 a.m.,
in Conference Room S-801, 160 North LaSalle Street,
Chicago, Illinois.

BEFORE:

Ms. Katina Baker, Administrative Law Judge

1 APPEARANCES:

2

AT&T ILLINOIS,

3

(225 West Randolph Street, 25D,

Chicago, Illinois 60606,

4

(312) 727-1444), by:

MR. JAMES A. HUTTENHOWER,

5

Senior Attorney, State Regulatory,

jh7452@att.com,

6

for the Petitioner,

7

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION,

(160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,

8

Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104,

(312) 793-2877),

9

MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY,

mharvey@icc.illinois.gov,

10

for the Staff.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 JUDGE BAKER: Pursuant to the authority of the
2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
3 12-0616, Illinois Bell Telephone and Windstream Nuvox
4 Illinois, Inc. This is a joint petition for approval
5 of 1st Amendment to the interconnection agreement
6 dated November 13, 2012.

7 Will the parties please state their
8 names for the record?

9 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower appearing
10 on behalf of Illinois Bell Telephone, 225 West
11 Randolph Street, Suite 25D, Chicago, Illinois, 60606.

12 MR. HARVEY: Appearing for the staff of the
13 Illinois Commerce Commission, Matthew L. Harvey, 160
14 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois
15 60601.

16 JUDGE BAKER: Counsel, have you had an
17 opportunity to review the amendment?

18 MR. HARVEY: Yes, your Honor. A. Olusanjo
19 Omoniyi has reviewed the 1st Amendment to the
20 interconnection agreement for the staff. Based upon
21 his review, he offers the opinion that the
22 interconnection agreement neither discriminates

1 against any carrier, which is not a party to it, nor
2 is it contrary to the public interest, convenience,
3 and necessity. As such, he recommends that the
4 commission approve the interconnection -- the 1st
5 Amendment to the interconnection agreement. Mr.
6 Omoniyi's opinion is reflected in a verified
7 statement, which was filed January 4, 2013. At this
8 time, I offer the verified statement into evidence.

9
10 (WHEREUPON, the document was
11 marked Staff Exhibit 1 for
12 identification, as of 1/8/13.)

13

14 JUDGE BAKER: Any objection?

15 MR. HUTTENHOWER: No objection, your Honor.

16 JUDGE BAKER: Let the record reflect that Staff
17 Exhibit 1 is entered into the record.

18

19 (WHEREUPON, the document marked
20 Staff Exhibit 1 for identification
21 was admitted into evidence.)

22

