





1 JUDGE JORGENSEN: Pursuant to the direction of  
2 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket  
3 12-0506. This is Northern Illinois Gas Company doing  
4 business as Nicor Gas Company, and it's a petition to  
5 determine accuracy of Rider 26 Reconciliation  
6 Statement.

7 Can I have the appearances for the  
8 record, please?

9 MS. MITCHELL: On behalf of Nicor Gas Company,  
10 Anne Mitchell with the firm of Rooney, Rippie &  
11 Ratnaswamy, LLP, 350 West Hubbard, Suite 600,  
12 Chicago, Illinois 60654.

13 JUDGE JORGENSEN: And I believe we also have a  
14 staff member on the phone?

15 MS. HATHHORN: Yes. My name is Dianna  
16 Hathhorn, H-a-t-h-h-o-r-n. I'm an accountant in the  
17 financial analysis division of the Illinois Commerce  
18 Commission. My business address is 527 East Capitol  
19 Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701.

20 JUDGE JORGENSEN: Thank you.

21 I believe there has been testimony  
22 filed in this matter, and would you like to introduce

1 it?

2 MS. MITCHELL: Sure.

3 On behalf of Nicor, there were several  
4 pieces of testimony filed. I'll start with Mr. Bob  
5 O. Buckles, B-u-c-k-l-e-s. Mr. Buckles filed direct  
6 testimony in this docket designated Nicor Gas Exhibit  
7 1.0 with attachments labeled Nicor Gas Exhibits 1.1  
8 and 1.2, and there were confidential and public  
9 versions of Nicor Gas Exhibit 1.2. All of those  
10 documents were filed on e-docket on August 31, 2012.

11 Mr. Buckles also submitted rebuttal  
12 testimony, which was identified as Nicor Gas Exhibit  
13 3.0. That testimony was filed on e-docket on  
14 November 27, 2012. In addition, Mr. Buckles  
15 submitted an affidavit designated as Nicor Gas  
16 Exhibit 3.1, and that affidavit was filed on e-docket  
17 on December 11, 2012.

18 The company also submitted testimony  
19 from David A. Lukowicz, L-u-k-o-w-i-c-z. He provided  
20 direct testimony that was identified as Nicor Gas  
21 Exhibit 2.0. That testimony was filed on e-docket on  
22 August 31, 2012. Mr. Lukowicz also submitted an

1 affidavit designated as Nicor Gas Exhibit 2.1, which  
2 was filed on e-docket on December 11, 2012.

3 With that, your Honor, Nicor Gas would  
4 move for admission into evidence all of the above  
5 listed exhibits.

6 JUDGE JORGENSEN: Okay.

7 Any objections by staff for the  
8 admission of this evidence?.

9 MS. HATHHORN: No objection, your Honor.

10 JUDGE JORGENSEN: Okay.

11 Then I will admit into evidence  
12 Company Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 2.0, 2.1, 3.0, and  
13 3.1. And is that all of them? Did I get them all?

14 MS. MITCHELL: Yes, I think you did. Thank  
15 you.

16  
17 (WHEREUPON, the documents marked  
18 Company Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 1.2,  
19 2.0, 2.1, 3.0, and 3.1 for  
20 identification were admitted into  
21 evidence.)

22

1 JUDGE JORGENSEN: Okay.

2 And I believe staff has filed some  
3 testimony in this matter as well?

4 MS. HATHHORN: Yes, your Honor. On November 7,  
5 2012, back filed ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 direct  
6 testimony of Dianna Hathhorn and ICC Staff Exhibit  
7 2.0 direct testimony of Joan Howard. Also on  
8 December 10, 2012, staff filed two affidavits,  
9 Exhibit 1.1, affidavit of Dianna Hathhorn, and staff  
10 incorrectly labeled the exhibit of Joan Howard as  
11 2.0. We plan on re-filing that corrected as Exhibit  
12 2.1 for the affidavit of Joan Howard, and we would  
13 ask for all of these exhibits to be admitted into  
14 evidence.

15 JUDGE JORGENSEN: Any objections by the  
16 company?

17 MS. MITCHELL: No objection.

18 JUDGE JORGENSEN: All right.

19 Then I'll admit into evidence Staff  
20 Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 2.0, and the corrected -- what  
21 will be labeled 2.1 but is currently labeled 2.0,  
22 which is an affidavit of Joan Howard into evidence.

1 (WHEREUPON, the documents marked  
2 Staff Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 2.0, and  
3 what will be labeled 2.1 for  
4 identification was admitted into  
5 evidence.)

6  
7 JUDGE JORGENSEN: And I believe there are no  
8 disputed matters in this docket?

9 MS. MITCHELL: That's correct, your Honor.

10 JUDGE JORGENSEN: Okay.

11 Anything further for the record?

12 MS. MITCHELL: The company has nothing further.

13 JUDGE JORGENSEN: Okay.

14 And you indicated that you'd be  
15 interested in filing a draft proposed order, joint  
16 draft proposed order?

17 MS. MITCHELL: Yes. I've spoken with staff,  
18 and we will work on a draft order together so that it  
19 will be an agreed draft order, and we hope to submit  
20 that to your Honor sometime in mid January.

21 JUDGE JORGENSEN: That will be fine.

22 Since there are no further matters to

1 discuss today, I will mark the record heard and  
2 taken. Thank you.

3 MS. MITCHELL: Thank you.

4 MS. HATHHORN: Thank you, your Honor.

5

6 (END OF PROCEEDINGS.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22