

**ISP DS-1 Local Traffic Arrangement**

Prerequisites: ISP DS-1 Local Traffic Arrangement is only available as follows;

1. CLEC must be collocated in relevant GRC Exchange area (either at the Host or Remote)
2. ISP- DS-1 Service is only provided to Enhanced Service Providers (ISPs) for use in providing "Dial-up" facilities to ISP subscribers.
3. ISP- DS-1 Service is a one way service, for traffic coming in from GRC local customers and any other LEC customers within the GRC local calling area to the CLEC ISP DS-1 Local Traffic Arrangement. Message Unit credits will not apply with this service.
4. ISP- DS-1 Service includes the "cross connects" from GRC's switch sites to the CLEC interface point.
5. ISP- DS-1 Service includes GRC "dial tone" service.
6. The Monthly Recurring Rate (MRC) includes any required Subscriber Line Charges, but does not include any required State or Federal Universal Service Charges (USF), which shall be applied to the CLEC charges for this service.

**Applicable Rates:** Please refer to *Attachment 6, Section 4, Table 2 Available Network Elements*. For the following Rate elements (NRC = Non-Recurring Charge);

New subscriber/new service	NRC \$540.00
Records only Change (existing customer)	NRC \$125.00
Dial up Service	MRC Incl'd
DS-1 Loop	MRC \$360.00

**Host - DS-1 and DS-3 Loops**

This service is intended to provide relief from standard Special Access Terms & Rates for **Collocated CLECs**.

This service is for DS-1 & DS-3 Loops, if available, from GRC Host switches to Customer Premise.

Service	DS-1 Rates		DS-3 Rates	
	MRC	NRC	MRC	NRC
Channel Term.	\$100.30	\$125.00	\$ 923.56	\$2,500.00
Channel Mileage Term.	\$ 40.10 *	N/A	\$ 142.38 *	N/A
Channel Mileage Facility (Per Mile)	\$ 16.00 **	N/A	\$ 110.00 **	N/A
Service Order Charge	N/A	\$ 50.00	N/A	\$ 150.00
Interface Connection Charge (Requires Collo)	\$ 25.11	\$ 50.00	\$ 192.53	\$ 250.00
Totals	\$181.51	\$225.00	\$1,368.47	\$2,900.00

\* Channel Mileage Term Charge may not always be applicable. These rates are for collocated CLECs, only.

Please refer to *Attachment 6, Section 4, Table 2 Available Network Elements*.

**Host-Remote DS-1 and DS-3 Links**

This service is intended to provide relief from standard Special Access Terms & Rates for Collocated CLECs.

Service	DS-1 Rates		DS-3 Rates	
	MRC	NRC	MRC	NRC
Channel Term.	\$120.00	\$250.00	\$1,105.00	\$2,500.00
Channel Mileage Term.	\$ 48.00 *	N/A	\$ 290.00 *	N/A
Channel Mileage Facility (Per Mile)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Service Order Charge	N/A	\$ 50.00	N/A	\$ 150.00
Interface Connection Charge (Requires Collo)	\$50.00	\$100.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 250.00
Totals	\$218.00	\$400.00	\$1,695.00	\$2,900.00
<b>Typical configuration</b>	<b>\$170.00</b>		<b>\$1,405.00</b>	

\* Channel Mileage Term. Charge may not be applicable

Please refer to Attachment 6, Section 4, Table 2 Available Network Elements.

**Host-Remote DS-1 and DS-3 Links**

This service is intended to provide relief from standard Special Access Terms & Rates for Non-Collocated CLECs.

Service	DS-1 Rates		DS-3 Rates	
	MRC	NRC	MRC	NRC
Channel Term.	\$120.00	\$250.00	\$1,540.00	\$2,500.00
Channel Mileage Term.	\$ 48.00 *	N/A	\$ 300.00 *	N/A
Channel Mileage Facility (Per Mile)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Service Order Charge	N/A	\$ 50.00	N/A	\$ 150.00
Interface Connection Charge (Requires Collo)	\$ 30.00	\$100.00	\$ 350.00	\$ 250.00
Totals	\$198.00	\$400.00	\$2,190.00	\$2,900.00

\* Channel Mileage Term. Charge may not be applicable

Please refer to Attachment 6, Section 4, Table 2 Available Network Elements.

**Remote --DS-3 Sub Loops**

This service is intended to provide relief from standard Special Access Terms & Rates for **Collocated** CLECs for Sub Loops from GRC Remote Switches.

**DS-3 Sub-Loop Rates**

Service	MRC	NRC
DS-3 Sub-Loop	\$307.86	\$500.00
Channel Mileage Term.	\$ 97.50	N/A
Channel Mileage Facility (Per Mile)	N/A	N/A
Service Order Charge *	N/A	\$ 50.00*
Interface Connection Charge **	N/A	\$100.00**
Totals	\$486.36	\$650.00

For interconnection to DS-3 H/R links at Remotes only, cannot be combined with DS-1s. \* NRC may be waived if done in conjunction with DS-3 H/R Link order. \*\*NRC will be waived, because SubLoop DS-3 H/R Link already has an interconnection charge. Please refer to Attachment 6, Section 4, Table 2 Available Network Elements.

**Remote - DS-0 and DS-1 Sub Loops**

This service is intended to provide relief from standard Special Access Terms & Rates for Non-Collocated CLECs.

Service	DS-0 Sub-Loop Rates		4W Sub-Loop Rates	
	MRC	NRC	MRC	NRC
DS0 Sub Loop	\$12.90	N/A	35.00	\$50.00
Channel Mileage Term.	N/A	N/A	Incl'd	N/A
Channel Mileage Facility (Per Mile)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Service Order Charge *	N/A	\$25.25	N/A	\$25.25
C.O. Connection Charge**	N/A	\$13.00		
Interface Connection Charge*** (Requires Collo)	N/A	\$31.03	Incl'd	\$46.00
Totals	\$ 12.90	\$38.25	\$ 35.00	\$121.25

\* For DS0s only, up to 7 lines may be bundled under this charge, if DS0 loops are Pre-qualified @ \$15.25 for up to 7 lines. \$15.25 charge applies for up to 7 lines.  
\*\* Per line charge, DS0s only, no bundling.

\*\*\* For DS0s, per DS0, if required, no bundling.

Please refer to Attachment 6, Section 4, Table 2 Available Network Elements.

**Remote - DS-0 and DS-1 Sub Loops**

This service is intended to provide relief from standard Special Access Terms & Rates for Collocated CLECs, only at GRC Remotes Switches.

Service	DS-0 Sub-Loop Rates		4Wire Sub-Loop Rates	
	MRC	NRC	MRC	NRC
DS0 Sub Loop	\$ 8.96	N/A	Incl'd	\$50.00
Channel Mileage Term.	N/A	N/A	Incl'd	N/A
Channel Mileage Facility (Per Mile)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Service Order Charge *	N/A	\$25.25	N/A	\$25.25
C.O. Connection Charge**	N/A	\$13.00		
Interface Connection Charge*** (Requires Collo)	N/A	\$31.03	Incl'd	\$46.00
Totals	\$ 8.96	\$38.25	\$ 33.86	\$121.25

\* For DS0s only, up to 7 lines may be bundled under this charge, if DS0 loops are Pre-qualified @ \$15.25 for up to 7 lines. \$15.25 charge applies for up to 7 lines.  
\*\* Per line charge, DS0s only, no bundling.

\*\*\* For DS0s, per DS0, if required, no bundling.

Please refer to Attachment 6, Section 4, Table 2 Available Network Elements.

## HOT CUT PROCEDURE

**Purpose:** The following is a description of the "Hot Cut" process for CLEC's, which will facilitate the "Porting Out" and LNP process of a GRC customer to a CLEC in a timely manner.

**Trigger:** Receipt by GRC Business Service Representative (BSR) of Order from CLEC to port number and provide a loop, if required

### Gallatin River Requirements

1. BSR will notify CLEC of approval of CLECs Order and confirm due date of order, either by E-mail.
2. BSR will enter order to "port out" customer number to CLEC into GRC's "Express" system and send the service disconnect order and special circuit order to GRC's "BOSS" system for processing.
3. Order will flow through systems and be processed in GRC's Order Assignment center.
4. The disconnect order will be held in the system to be processed at a predetermined time. GRC's switch programming system will include information to prevent working these types of "out orders" until the previously advised scheduled HOT CUT date and time.
5. The special circuit order will be created giving GRC's Central Office the required circuit ID, CLEC connect point and the GRC facility pair. This order will flow to the appropriate GRC Central Office to be held until the previously advised scheduled due date and HOT CUT time.
6. The C.O. tech will "work" the circuit order at the predetermined time. The jumper will be removed from the GRC switch and connected to the CLEC interface pin. The CO will then manually interrogate the GRC switch and "disconnect" the telephone number.
7. After the HOT CUT has been performed the GRC Central Office will notify the GRC Assignment Center to process the "out (LNP) order".

**NOTE:** The actual Hot Cut coordination time of day will be negotiated in advance (Pre-negotiated) with CLEC on an individual case Basis...

---

### CLEC Requirements:

1. Agree that Pre-negotiated Time of Day for all Port Outs/Hot Cuts will be the same for all of CLEC's Port Out orders.
2. CLEC agrees to send Port out Order to GRC at least 24 hours prior to the desired Port Out.
3. CLEC agrees to respond to GRC's advice of Port Out (GRC Item 1 above) in a "timely manner".
4. CLEC agrees to confirm acceptance of the FOC and to be "ready and able" to accept the customer on the FOC date and pre-negotiated time.
5. CLEC must advise GRC of the necessity to Halt a Port Out and/or restore service, within at least 2 hours notice prior to the scheduled FOC date & time.
6. CLEC understands and agrees that charges for Halting a Port Out/Hot Cut may be applicable.

- Rate: \$35.00 (Please refer to Table 1 of the GRC ICA Price Matrix)

## Gallatin River Communications Line Conditioning Schedule

GRC Line Conditioning Schedule			
Host	Exchanges	Ld Coil flat rate	Bridge Tap flat rate
Dixon	Grand Detour, Harmon, Mount Carroll, Nelson, Savannah, Thompson	\$250.00	\$125.00
Galesburg	Galesburg North	\$200.00	\$125.00
Galesburg	Avon, Cameron, Knoxville, Wataga	\$250.00	\$125.00
Pekin	Pekin, No. Pekin, So. Pekin	\$175.00	\$125.00
Pekin	Forest City, Havana, Green Valley, Lacon, Manito, Marquette Hgts., Talbot, Topeka	\$250.00	\$125.00
Other	Any exchange not listed	\$300.00	\$125.00

The Line Conditioning schedule above is for Load Coils and Bridge Taps for each entire Loop requested by the CLEC. These rates are intended to be additive per loop, but can be separately applied. For instance, if there are no bridge taps on a specific loop, then the relevant Bridge Tap rate element will not be applied. If the Bridge Tap is removed, then the Bridge Tap Rate element will be applied, as well as the Load Coil rate element

# MadisonRiver

COMMUNICATIONS

August 8, 2006

Mr. Daniel L. R. Johnson  
President  
NTS Services Corp  
205 Enterprise Dr.  
Pekin, Il 61554

Dear Mr. Johnson;

Enclosed please find one copy of the fully executed Interconnection Agreement between NTS Services Corp. and Gallatin River Communications, L. L. C. We failed to include the attachments with the copy sent on August 3, 2006. We apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Thank you very much,

Sincerely



Sandi Brondsema  
Compliance Manager

**AIRBORNE EXPRESS  
REQUEST FORM**  
(PLEASE PRINT)

DATE: 8/8/06

Company Name: NTS

Address: 205 Enterprise Dr

Suite/Dept:

City: Pekin State: IL

Zip Code: 61554

Attn To: Mr. Daniel Johnson

Attn To Tele. No. 309-352-5632

Sent by: Steve Murray

Service: \_\_\_ Express \_\_\_ Next Afternoon  Second Day \_\_\_ Saturday

NTS ICA Agreement with attachments

**AIRBORNE EXPRESS  
REQUEST FORM**  
(PLEASE PRINT)

DATE: 8/8/06

**Company Name:** Gallatin River Communications

**Address:** 625 S. Second St.

**Suite/Dept:** Suite 103 D

**City:** Springfield **State:** IL

**Zip Code:** 62704

**Attn To:** David Rudd

**Attn To Tele. No.** 217-744-2420

**Sent by:** Stephen Murray

**Service:** \_\_\_\_\_ Express \_\_\_\_\_ Next Afternoon  Second Day \_\_\_\_\_ Saturday

NTS ICA Agreement w/ attachments

August 3, 2006

Mr. Daniel L. R. Johnson  
President  
NTS Services Corp  
205 Enterprise Dr.  
Pekin, Il 61554

Dear Mr. Johnson;

Enclosed please find one copy of the fully executed Interconnection Agreement between NTS Services Corp. and Gallatin River Communications, L. L. C. If you need an original copy, please send another agreement signed by you with the same dates and we will execute and return.

Thank you very much,

Sincerely



Sandi Brondsema  
Compliance Manager

**AIRBORNE EXPRESS  
REQUEST FORM**  
(PLEASE PRINT)

DATE: 8/3/06

Company Name: NTS Services Corp

Address: 205 Enterprise Dr

Suite/Dept:

City: Pekin State: IL

Zip Code: 61554

Attn To: Mr. Daniel Johnson

Attn To Tele. No. 309-353-5632

Sent by: Steve Murray

Service: \_\_\_ Express \_\_\_  Next Afternoon \_\_\_ Second Day \_\_\_ Saturday

Return fully executed ICA Agreement between NTS and GRC

*Did not include Attachments*

**STATE OF ILLINOIS**

**ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION**

**Gallatin River Communications LLC and** :  
**NTS Services Corp.** :  
 :  
 : **06-0583**  
 :  
**Joint Petition for Approval of Negotiated** :  
**Interconnection Agreement pursuant to** :  
**47 U.S.C. §252.** :

**ORDER**

By the Commission:

**I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

On August 25, 2006, pursuant to 83 Illinois Administrative Code Part 763, Gallatin River Communications LLC ("Gallatin") and NTS Services Corp. ("NTS"), filed a joint petition for approval of the Interconnection Agreement under Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (47 U.S.C. §§ 151 *et seq.*) ("the Act"). The Agreement was submitted with the petition. A statement in support of the petition was filed along with verifications sworn to by David O. Rudd on behalf of Gallatin and by Daniel L. Johnson on behalf of NTS, stating that the facts contained in the petition are true and correct to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief.

Pursuant to notice as required by law and the rules and regulations of the Commission, this matter came on for hearing by a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission at its offices in Chicago, Illinois, on September 25, 2006. Staff filed the Verified Statement of A. Olusanjo Omoniyi of the Commission's Telecommunications Division. At the hearing on September 25, Gallatin and Staff appeared and agreed that there were no unresolved issues in this proceeding. Mr. Omoniyi's Verified Statement was admitted into evidence and the record was marked "Heard and Taken."

**II. SECTION 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT**

Section 252(a)(1) of the Act allows parties to enter into negotiated agreements regarding requests for interconnection services or network elements. Gallatin and NTS have negotiated such an Agreement and submitted it for approval in this proceeding.

Section 252(e)(1) of the Act provides, in part, that "[a]ny interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation...shall be submitted for approval to the State Commission." This Section further provides that a State Commission to which such an

06-0583

agreement is submitted "shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies." Section 252(e)(2) provides that the State Commission may only reject the negotiated agreement if it finds that "the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or that "the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity."

Section 252(e)(4) provides that the agreement shall be deemed approved if the State Commission fails to act within 90 days after submission by the parties. This provision further states that "(n)o State court shall have jurisdiction to review the action of a State Commission in approving or rejecting an agreement under this section". Section 252(e)(5) provides for preemption by the Federal Communications Commission if a State Commission fails to carry out its responsibility, and Section 252(e)(6) provides that any party aggrieved by a State Commission's determination on a negotiated agreement may bring an action in the appropriate Federal District Court.

Section 252(h) requires a State Commission to make a copy of each agreement approved under subsection (3) "available for public inspection and copying within 10 days after the agreement or statement is approved." Section 252(i) requires a local exchange carrier to "make available any interconnection, service, or network element provided under an agreement approved under this section to which it is a party to any other requesting telecommunications carrier upon the same terms and conditions as those provided in the agreement."

### III. THE AGREEMENT

The Agreement shall be in full force and effect until the "Initial Term" of two years is completed. It establishes various financial and operational terms for a variety of business relationships. Either Party may terminate this Agreement effective upon the expiration of the Initial Term or effective upon any date after expiration of the Initial Term by providing written notice of termination at least ninety (90) in advance of termination.

### IV. POSITIONS OF THE PARTIES

No party contended that the Agreement is discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Staff reviewed the Agreement in the context of the criteria contained in Section 252(e)(2)(A) of the Act and determined that it met the necessary requirements. Under this Section, the Commission may reject an agreement, or any portion thereof, adopted by negotiation under Subsection (a) only if it finds that (i) the agreement, or a portion thereof, discriminates against as telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (ii) the implementation of such an agreement, or a portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

Mr. Omoniyi stated that the Agreement meets the standards set forth in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and is consistent with the public interest, convenience

and necessity. There are no contested issues in this docket. Staff recommended that the Commission approve the Agreement for the reasons set forth in the Verified Statement of Mr. Omoniyi. Staff also recommended that the Commission require Gallatin to file with the Office of the Chief Clerk, within five (5) days from the date upon which the Agreement is approved, a verified statement that the approved Agreement is the same as the Agreement filed in this Docket with the Verified Petition, as amended by the Joint Petitioners' stipulation.

V. FINDINGS AND ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

The Commission, having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion and finds that:

- (1) Gallatin and NTS are telecommunications carriers as defined in Section 13-202 of the Public Utilities Act;
- (2) Gallatin and NTS have entered into an Interconnection Agreement which has been submitted to the Commission for approval under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996;
- (3) the Commission has jurisdiction of the parties hereto and the subject matter hereof;
- (4) the recitals of fact and conclusions reached in the prefatory portion of this Order are supported by the record and are hereby adopted as findings of fact;
- (5) the Agreement between Gallatin and NTS does not discriminate against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the Agreement;
- (6) in order to assure that the Agreement is in the public interest, Gallatin should implement the Agreement by filing a verified statement with the Chief Clerk of the Commission, within five (5) days of approval by the Commission, that the approved Agreement is the same as the Agreement filed in this docket with the verified petition, as amended by the Joint Petitioners' stipulation. The Chief Clerk shall place the Agreement on the Commission's website under Interconnection Agreements;
- (7) Gallatin should also place replacement sheets in its tariffs at the following location: Ill.C.C. No. 2 Section 16;
- (8) the Agreement should be approved as hereinafter set forth;
- (9) approval of the Agreement does not have any precedential effect on any future negotiated agreements or Commission Orders.

06-0583

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Illinois Commerce Commission that the Interconnection Agreement between Gallatin River Communications LLC and NTS Services Corp. is approved pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Gallatin shall comply with findings (6) and (7) of this Order within five days of the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is final; it is not subject to the Administrative Review Law.

By Order of the Commission this 25th day of October, 2006.

(SIGNED) CHARLES E. BOX

Chairman