

5 Residential Measures

5.1 Appliances End Use

5.1.1 ENERGY STAR Air Purifier/Cleaner

DESCRIPTION

An air purifier (cleaner) meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is purchased and installed in place of a model meeting the current federal standard.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as an air purifier meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR as provided below.

- Must produce a minimum 50 Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR) for Dust⁴¹⁴ to be considered under this specification.
- Minimum Performance Requirement: = 2.0 CADR/Watt (Dust)
- Standby Power Requirement: = 2.0 Watts Qualifying models that perform secondary consumer functions (e.g. clock, remote control) must meet the standby power requirement.
- UL Safety Requirement: Models that emit ozone as a byproduct of air cleaning must meet UL Standard 867 (ozone production must not exceed 50ppb)

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a conventional unit⁴¹⁵.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 9 years⁴¹⁶.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$70.⁴¹⁷

⁴¹⁴ Measured according to the latest ANSI/AHAM AC-1 (AC-1) Standard

⁴¹⁵ As defined as the average of non-ENERGY STAR products found in EPA research, 2008, ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator, http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/CalculatorRoomAirCleaner.xls?8ed7-275b.

⁴¹⁶ ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator, http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/CalculatorRoomAirCleaner.xls?8ed7-275b.

⁴¹⁷ Ibid

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

There are no operation and maintenance cost adjustments for this measure.⁴¹⁸

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape C53 - Flat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 100 % (the unit is assumed to be always on).

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = kWh_{BASE} - kWh_{ESTAR}$$

Where:

kWh_{BASE} = Baseline kWh consumption per year⁴¹⁹

= see table below

kWh_{ESTAR} = ENERGY STAR kWh consumption per year⁴²⁰

= see table below

Clean Air Delivery Rate	Baseline Unit Energy Consumption (kWh/year)	ENERGY STAR Unit Energy Consumption (kWh/year)	ΔkWh
CADR 51-100	596	329	268
CADR 101-150	1,072	548	525
CADR 151-200	1,480	767	714
CADR 201-250	1,887	986	902
CADR Over 250	1,641	1205	437

⁴¹⁸ Some types of room air cleaners require filter replacement or periodic cleaning, but this is likely to be true for both efficient and baseline units and so no difference in cost is assumed.

⁴¹⁹ ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator, http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/CalculatorRoomAirCleaner.xls?8ed7-275b

⁴²⁰ Ibid.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure

Hours = Average hours of use per year

= 8766 hours⁴²¹

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 1.0

Clean Air Delivery Rate	ΔkW
CADR 51-100	0.031
CADR 101-150	0.060
CADR 151-200	0.081
CADR 201-250	0.103
CADR Over 250	0.050

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESAP-V01-120601

⁴²¹ Consistent with ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator.

5.1.2 ENERGY STAR and CEE Tier 2 and 3 Clothes Washers

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a clothes washer meeting the Energy Star, or CEE Tier 2 or Tier 3 minimum qualifications. Note if the DHW and dryer fuels of the installations are unknown (for example through a retail program) savings should be based on a weighted blend using RECS data (the resultant values (kWh, therms and gallons of water) are provided). The algorithms can also be used to calculate site specific savings where DHW and dryer fuels are known.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes washer must meet the ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 or 3 minimum qualifications, as required by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a clothes washer meeting the minimum federal baseline.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 14 years⁴²².

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for an Energy Star unit is assumed to be \$210, for a CEE Tier 2 unit is \$360 and for a CEE Tier 3 unit it is \$458⁴²³.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R01 - Residential Clothes Washer

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is 3.8%⁴²⁴.

⁴²² Based on DOE Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Excel-based analytical tool, available online at: http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/clothes_washers_support_stakeholder_negotiations.html

⁴²³ Cost estimates are based on Navigant analysis for the Department of Energy (see CW Analysis.xls). This analysis looked at incremental cost and shipment data from manufacturers and the Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers and attempts to find the costs associated only with the efficiency improvements.

⁴²⁴ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, as provided by Ameren.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

1. Calculate clothes washer savings based on Modified Energy Factor (MEF).

The Modified Energy Factor (MEF) includes unit operation, water heating and drying energy use: *"MEF is the quotient of the capacity of the clothes container, C, divided by the total clothes washer energy consumption per cycle, with such energy consumption expressed as the sum of the machine electrical energy consumption, M, the hot water energy consumption, E, and the energy required for removal of the remaining moisture in the wash load, D"*⁴²⁵.

The hot water and dryer savings calculated here assumes electric DHW and Dryer (this will be separated in Step 2).

$$\text{MEFsavings}^{426} = \text{Capacity} * (1/\text{MEFbase} - 1/\text{MEFeff}) * \text{Ncycles}$$

Where

- Capacity = Clothes Washer capacity (cubic feet)
= Actual. If capacity is unknown assume 3.5 cubic feet⁴²⁷
- MEFbase = Modified Energy Factor of baseline unit
= 1.64⁴²⁸
- MEFeff = Modified Energy Factor of efficient unit
= Actual. If unknown assume average values provided below.
- Ncycles = Number of Cycles per year
= 295⁴²⁹

MEFsavings is provided below based on deemed values⁴³⁰:

⁴²⁵ Definition provided on the Energy star website.

⁴²⁶ Tsavings represents total kWh only when water heating and drying are 100% electric.

⁴²⁷ Based on the average clothes washer volume of all post-1/1/2007 units from the California Energy Commission (CEC) database of Clothes Washer products. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

⁴²⁸ Average MEF of non-ENERGY STAR units from the California Energy Commission (CEC) database of Clothes Washer products.

⁴²⁹ Weighted average of 295 clothes washer cycles per year (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, state of IL:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/>

If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for single-family or multi-family homes, in a particular market, or geographical area then that should be used.

Efficiency Level	MEF	MEFSavings (kWh)
Federal Standard	1.64	0.0
Energy Star	2.07	130
CEE Tier 2	2.28	177
CEE Tier 3	2.71	248

2. Break out savings calculated in Step 1 for electric DHW and electric dryer

$$\Delta kWh = [(Capacity * 1/MEF_{base} * Ncycles) * (\%CW_{base} + (\%DHW_{base} * \%Electric_DHW) + (\%Dryer_{base} * \%Electric_Dryer))] - [(Capacity * 1/MEF_{eff} * Ncycles) * (\%CW_{eff} + (\%DHW_{eff} * \%Electric_DHW) + (\%Dryer_{eff} * \%Electric_Dryer))]$$

Where:

%CW = Percentage of total energy consumption for Clothes Washer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%DHW = Percentage of total energy consumption used for water heating (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%Dryer = Percentage of total energy consumption for dryer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

	Percentage of Total Energy Consumption ⁴³¹		
	%CW	%DHW	%Dryer
Baseline	7%	33%	59%
Non-CEE Energy Star Units	6%	31%	62%
CEE 2	8%	24%	68%
CEE 3	10%	16%	74%

%Electric_DHW = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be electric

DHW fuel	%Electric_DHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁴³²

⁴³⁰ MEF values are the average of the **from the California Energy Commission (CEC) database of Clothes Washer products**. See "CW Analysis.xls" for the calculation.

⁴³¹ The percentage of total energy consumption that is used for the machine, heating the hot water or by the dryer is different depending on the efficiency of the unit. Values are based on a sales weighted average of top loading and front loading units based on data from Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Excel-based analytical tool, available online at:

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/clothes_washers_support_stakeholder_negotiations.html. See "CW Analysis.xls" for the calculation.

⁴³² Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

%Electric_Dryer = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be electric

Dryer fuel	%Electric_DHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	27% ⁴³³

In summation, the complete algorithm is as follows:

$$\Delta\text{kWh} = [(\text{Capacity} * 1/\text{MEFbase} * \text{Ncycles}) * (\%CW\text{base} + (\%DHW\text{base} * \%Electric_DHW) + (\%Dryer\text{base} * \%Electric_Dryer))] - [(\text{Capacity} * 1/\text{MEFeff} * \text{Ncycles}) * (\%CW\text{eff} + (\%DHW\text{eff} * \%Electric_DHW) + (\%Dryereff * \%Electric_Dryer))]$$

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

	ΔkWh			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
Non-CEE Energy Star Units	129.6	75.7	69.8	15.9
CEE 2	177.2	76.4	112.8	12.0
CEE 3	248.0	99.0	157.3	8.3

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive kWh savings based on defaults provided above should be:

	ΔkWh
Non-CEE Energy Star Units	40.69
CEE 2	45.52
CEE 3	56.63

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \Delta\text{kWh}/\text{Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy Savings as calculated above

Hours = Assumed Run hours of Clothes Washer

= 295 hours⁴³⁴

⁴³³ Default assumption for unknown is based on percentage of homes with electric dryer from EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.
 = 0.038⁴³⁵

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

	ΔkW			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
Non-CEE Energy Star Units	0.017	0.010	0.009	0.002
CEE 2	0.023	0.010	0.015	0.002
CEE 3	0.032	0.013	0.020	0.001

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive kW savings should be:

	ΔkW
Non-CEE Energy Star Units	0.005
CEE 2	0.006
CEE 3	0.007

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Break out savings calculated in Step 1 of electric energy savings (MEF savings) and extract Natural Gas DHW and Natural Gas dryer savings from total savings:

$$\Delta\text{Therm} = [(\text{Capacity} * 1/\text{MEFbase} * \text{Ncycles}) * ((\% \text{DHWbase} * \% \text{Natural Gas_DHW} * \text{R_eff}) + (\% \text{Dryerbase} * \% \text{Gas_Dryer}))] - [(\text{Capacity} * 1/\text{MEFeff} * \text{Ncycles}) * ((\% \text{DHWeff} * \% \text{Natural Gas_DHW} * \text{R_eff}) + (\% \text{Dryereff} * \% \text{Gas_Dryer}))] * \text{Therm_convert}$$

Where:

Therm_convert = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm
 = 0.03413

R_eff = Recovery efficiency factor
 = 1.26⁴³⁶

⁴³⁴ Based on a weighted average of 295 clothes washer cycles per year assuming an average load runs for one hour (2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section: <http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/>)

⁴³⁵ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, as provided by Ameren.

⁴³⁶ To account for the different efficiency of electric and Natural Gas hot water heaters (gas water heater: recovery

%Natural Gas_DHW = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be Natural Gas

DHW fuel	%Natural Gas_DHW
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁴³⁷

%Gas_Dryer = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Dryer fuel	%Gas_Dryer
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	44% ⁴³⁸

Other factors as defined above

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

	ΔTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
Non-CEE Energy Star Units	0.00	2.32	2.04	4.36
CEE 2	0.00	4.34	2.20	6.53
CEE 3	0.00	6.41	3.10	9.50

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive Therm savings should be:

	ΔTherms
Non-CEE Energy Star Units	2.84
CEE 2	4.61
CEE 3	6.74

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta\text{Water (gallons)} = (\text{Capacity} * (\text{WFbase} - \text{WFeff})) * \text{Ncycles}$$

Where

efficiencies ranging from 0.74 to 0.85 (0.78 used), and electric water heater with 0.98 recovery efficiency (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/bldrs_lenders_raters/downloads/Waste_Water_Heat_Recovery_Guidelines.pdf). Therefore a factor of 0.98/0.78 (1.26) is applied.

⁴³⁷ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on percentage of homes with gas dryer from EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁴³⁸ Ibid.

WFbase = Water Factor of baseline clothes washer
 = 7.59⁴³⁹

WFeff = Water Factor of efficient clothes washer
 = Actual. If unknown assume average values provided below.

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive water savings for each efficiency level are presented below:

Efficiency Level	WF ⁴⁴⁰	ΔWater (gallons per year)
Federal Standard	7.59	0.0
Energy Star	4.75	2,934
CEE Tier 2	4.15	3,557
CEE Tier 3	3.46	4,264

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESCL-V01-120601

⁴³⁹ Average MEF of non-ENERGY STAR units.

⁴⁴⁰ Water Factor is the number of gallons required for each cubic foot of laundry. WF values are the average of the CEC data set. See "CW Analysis.xls" for the calculation.

5.1.3 ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier

DESCRIPTION

A dehumidifier meeting the minimum qualifying efficiency standard established by the current ENERGY STAR (Version 2.1 or 3.0)⁴⁴¹ is purchased and installed in a residential setting in place of a unit that meets the minimum federal standard efficiency.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the new dehumidifier must meet the ENERGY STAR standards as defined below:

Until 9/30/2012:

Capacity (pints/day)	ENERGY STAR Criteria (L/kWh)
≤25	≥1.20
> 25 to ≤35	≥1.40
> 35 to ≤45	≥1.50
> 45 to ≤ 54	≥1.60
> 54 to ≤ 75	≥1.80
> 75 to ≤ 185	≥2.50

After 10/1/2012⁴⁴²:

Capacity (pints/day)	ENERGY STAR Criteria (L/kWh)
<75	≥1.85
75 to ≤185	≥2.80

Qualifying units shall be equipped with an adjustable humidistat control or shall require a remote humidistat control to operate.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for this measure is defined as a new dehumidifier that meets the Federal Standard efficiency standards as defined below:

⁴⁴¹ Energy Star Version 3.0 will become effective 10/1/12

⁴⁴² http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/prod_development/revisions/downloads/dehumid/ES_Dehumidifiers_Final_V3.0_Eligibility_Criteria.pdf?d70c-99b0

Capacity (pints/day)	Federal Standard Criteria (L/kWh)
≤25	≥1.10
> 25 to ≤35	≥1.20
> 35 to ≤45	≥1.20
> 45 to ≤ 54	≥1.23
> 54 to ≤ 75	≥1.55
> 75 to ≤ 185	≥1.90

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 12 years⁴⁴³.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The assumed incremental capital cost for this measure is \$45⁴⁴⁴.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R12 - Residential - Dehumidifier

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor is assumed to be 37%⁴⁴⁵.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (((Avg Capacity * 0.473) / 24) * Hours) * (1 / (L/kWh_Base) - 1 / (L/kWh_Eff))$$

Where:

⁴⁴³ ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator

http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/CalculatorConsumerDehumidifier.xls

⁴⁴⁴ Based on available data from the Department of Energy's Life Cycle Cost analysis spreadsheet:

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/docs/lcc_dehumidifier.xls

⁴⁴⁵ Assume usage is evenly distributed day vs. night, weekend vs. weekday and is used between April through the end of September (4392 possible hours). 1620 operating hours from ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator. Coincidence peak during summer peak is therefore 1620/4392 = 36.9%

- Avg Capacity = Average capacity of the unit (pints/day)
- 0.473 = Constant to convert Pints to Liters
- 24 = Constant to convert Liters/day to Liters/hour
- Hours = Run hours per year
= 1620⁴⁴⁶
- L/kWh = Liters of water per kWh consumed, as provided in tables above

Annual kWh results for each capacity class are presented below:

Until 9/30/2012 (V 2.1):

Capacity (pints/day) Range	Avg Capacity	Annual kWh		
		ENERGY STAR	Federal Standard	Savings
≤25	22.4	596	650	54
> 25 to ≤35	30	684	802	117
> 35 to ≤45	40	851	1064	213
> 45 to ≤ 54	49.5	988	1285	297
> 54 to ≤ 75	64.5	1144	1329	185
> 75 to ≤ 185	92.8	1185	1559	374

After 10/1/2012 (V 3.0):

Capacity (pints/day) Range	Avg Capacity ⁴⁴⁷	Annual kWh		
		ENERGY STAR	Federal Standard ⁴⁴⁸	Savings
<75	68	1174	1401	227
75 to ≤185	127	1448	2134	686

Summer Coincident Peak Demand Savings

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/Hours * CF$$

⁴⁴⁶ ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator
http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/CalculatorConsumerDehumidifier.xls

⁴⁴⁷ Average capacity of current Energy Star qualified products (2/8/2012) that will qualify under V 3.0

⁴⁴⁸ Assuming 1.55 kWh/L for units of capacity <75, and 1.90 kWh/L for units of capacity 75 to ≤185

Where:

Hours = Annual operating hours⁴⁴⁹
 = 1620 hours

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
 = 0.37⁴⁵⁰

Summer coincident peak demand results for each capacity class are presented below:

Until 9/30/2012 (V 2.1):

Capacity Range	Annual Summer peak kW Savings
≤25	0.012
> 25 to ≤35	0.027
> 35 to ≤45	0.048
> 45 to ≤ 54	0.068
> 54 to ≤ 75	0.042
> 75 to ≤ 185	0.085

After 10/1/2012 (V 3.0):

Capacity (pints/day) Range	Annual Summer peak kW Savings
<75	0.052
75 to ≤185	0.157

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESDH-V01-120601

⁴⁴⁹ 1620 operating hours from ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator

⁴⁵⁰ Assume usage is evenly distributed day vs. night, weekend vs. weekday and is used between April through the end of September (4392 possible hours). 1620 operating hours from ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator. Coincidence peak during summer peak is therefore 1620/4392 = 36.9%

5.1.4 ENERGY STAR Dishwasher

DESCRIPTION

A dishwasher meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is installed in place of a model meeting the federal standard.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a dishwasher meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR (for standard and compact dishwashers). The Energy Star standard is presented in the table below:

Dishwasher Type	Maximum kWh/year	Maximum gallons/cycle
Standard	295	4.25
Compact	222	3.5

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The Baseline reflects the minimum federal efficiency standards for dishwashers effective January 1, 2010, as presented in the table below.

Dishwasher Type	Maximum kWh/year	Maximum gallons/cycle
Standard	355	6.5
Compact	260	4.5

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 13 years⁴⁵¹.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$50⁴⁵².

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R02 - Residential Dish Washer

⁴⁵¹ Koomey, Jonathan et al. (Lawrence Berkeley National Lab), Projected Regional Impacts of Appliance Efficiency Standards for the U.S. Residential Sector, February 1998.

⁴⁵² Estimate based on review of Energy Star stakeholder documents

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor is assumed to be 2.6%⁴⁵³.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh^{454} = ((kWh_{BASE} - kWh_{ESTAR}) * (\%kWh_{op} + (\%kWh_{heat} * \%Electric_DHW)))$$

Where:

- kWh_{BASE} = Baseline kWh consumption per year
 - = 355 kWh for standard
 - = 260 kWh for Compact
- kWh_{ESTAR} = ENERGY STAR kWh annual consumption
 - = 295 kWh for standard
 - = 222 kWh for compact
- %kWh_{op} = Percentage of dishwasher energy consumption used for unit operation
 - = 1 - 56%⁴⁵⁵
 - = 44%
- %kWh_{heat} = Percentage of dishwasher energy consumption used for water heating
 - = 56%⁴⁵⁶
- %Electric_{DHW} = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be electric

DHW fuel	%Electric_DHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁴⁵⁷

⁴⁵³ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, as provided by Ameren.

⁴⁵⁴ The Federal Standard and ENERGY STAR annual consumption values include electric consumption for both the operation of the machine and for heating the water that is used by the machine.

⁴⁵⁵ ENERGY STAR Dishwasher Calculator

(http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/CalculatorConsumerDishwasher.xls)

⁴⁵⁶ Ibid.

⁴⁵⁷ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

An Energy Star standard dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with unknown DHW fuel:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= ((355 - 295) * (0.44 + (0.56*0.16))) \\ &= 31.8 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

An Energy Star compact dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with unknown DHW fuel:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= ((260 - 222) * (0.44 + (0.56*0.16))) \\ &= 20.1 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

An Energy Star standard dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with electric DHW:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= ((355 - 295) * (0.44 + (0.56*1.0))) \\ &= 60.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

An Energy Star compact dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with electric DHW:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= ((260 - 222) * (0.44 + (0.56*1.0))) \\ &= 38.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Summer Coincident Peak Demand Savings

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \Delta\text{kWh}/\text{Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hours} &= \text{Annual operating hours}^{458} \\ &= 252 \text{ hours}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CF} &= \text{Summer Peak Coincidence Factor} \\ &= 2.6\%^{459}\end{aligned}$$

An Energy Star standard dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with unknown DHW fuel:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= 31.8/252 * 0.026 \\ &= 0.003 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

An Energy Star compact dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with unknown DHW fuel:

⁴⁵⁸ Assuming one and a half hours per cycle and 168 cycles per year therefore 252 operating hours per year; 168 cycles per year is based on a weighted average of dishwasher usage in Illinois derived from the 2009 RECs data; <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/>

⁴⁵⁹ End use data from Ameren representing the average DW load during peak hours/peak load.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= 20.1/252 * 0.026 \\ &= 0.002 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

An Energy Star standard dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with electric DHW:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= 60.0/252 * 0.026 \\ &= 0.006 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

An Energy Star compact dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with electric DHW:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= 38.0/252 * 0.026 \\ &= 0.004 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = (\text{kWh}_{\text{Base}} - \text{kWh}_{\text{ESTAR}}) * \% \text{kWh}_{\text{heat}} * \% \text{Natural Gas}_{\text{DHW}} * R_{\text{eff}} * 0.03413$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{kWh}_{\text{heat}} &= \% \text{ of dishwasher energy used for water heating} \\ &= 56\% \end{aligned}$$

$$\% \text{Natural Gas}_{\text{DHW}} = \text{Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be Natural Gas}$$

DHW fuel	%Natural Gas_DHW
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁴⁶⁰

$$R_{\text{eff}} = \text{Recovery efficiency factor}$$

$$= 1.26^{461}$$

$$0.03413 = \text{factor to convert from kWh to Therm}$$

An Energy Star standard dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with unknown DHW fuel:

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = (355 - 295) * 0.56 * 0.84 * 1.26 * 0.03413$$

⁴⁶⁰ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

⁴⁶¹ To account for the different efficiency of electric and Natural Gas hot water heaters (gas water heater: recovery efficiencies ranging from 0.74 to 0.85 (0.78 used), and electric water heater with 0.98 recovery efficiency (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/bldrs_lenders_raters/downloads/Waste_Water_Heat_Recovery_Guidelines.pdf). Therefore a factor of 0.98/0.78 (1.26) is applied.

$$= 1.26 \text{ Therm}$$

An Energy Star compact dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with unknown DHW fuel:

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = (260 - 222) * 0.56 * 0.84 * 1.26 * 0.03413$$

$$= 0.77 \text{ Therm}$$

An Energy Star standard dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with gas DHW:

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = (355 - 295) * 0.56 * 1.0 * 1.26 * 0.03413$$

$$= 1.44 \text{ Therm}$$

An Energy Star compact dishwasher installed in place of a baseline unit with gas DHW:

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = (260 - 222) * 0.56 * 1.0 * 1.26 * 0.03413$$

$$= 0.92 \text{ Therm}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta \text{Water} = \text{Water}_{\text{Base}} - \text{Water}_{\text{EFF}}$$

Where

$\text{Water}_{\text{Base}}$ = water consumption of conventional unit

= 1008 gallons⁴⁶² for standard unit

= 672 gallons⁴⁶³ for compact

$\text{Water}_{\text{EFF}}$ = annual water consumption of efficient unit:

= 672 gallons⁴⁶⁴ for standard unit

= 504 gallons⁴⁶⁵ for compact

$$\Delta \text{Water (Standard)} = 1008 - 672$$

⁴⁶² Assuming 6 gallons/cycle based on ENERGY STAR Dishwasher Calculator (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/CalculatorConsumerDishwasher.xls) and 168 cycles per year based on a weighted average of dishwasher usage in Illinois derived from the 2009 RECs data; <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/>

⁴⁶³ Assuming 4 gallons/cycle for baseline unit

⁴⁶⁴ Assuming 4gallons/cycle based on ENERGY STAR Dishwasher Calculator (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/CalculatorConsumerDishwasher.xls) and 168 cycles per year based on a weighted average of dishwasher usage in Illinois derived from the 2009 RECs data; <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/>

⁴⁶⁵ Assuming 3 gallons/cycle for efficient unit

= 336 gallons
 Δ Water (Compact) = 672 – 504
= 168 gallons

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESDI-V01-120601

5.1.5 ENERGY STAR Freezer

DESCRIPTION

A freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is installed in place of a model meeting the federal standard (NAECA). Energy usage specifications are defined in the table below (note, AV is the freezer Adjusted Volume and is calculated as $1.73 \times \text{Total Volume}$):⁴⁶⁶

Product Category	NAECA Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year ⁴⁶⁷	ENERGY STAR Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year ⁴⁶⁸	Volume (cubic feet)
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	$7.55 \times \text{AV} + 258.3$	$6.795 \times \text{AV} + 232.47$	7.75 or greater
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	$12.43 \times \text{AV} + 326.1$	$11.187 \times \text{AV} + 293.49$	7.75 or greater
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	$9.88 \times \text{AV} + 143.7$	$8.892 \times \text{AV} + 129.33$	7.75 or greater
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	$9.78 \times \text{AV} + 250.8$	$7.824 \times \text{AV} + 200.64$	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	$11.40 \times \text{AV} + 391$	$9.12 \times \text{AV} + 312.8$	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height
Compact Chest Freezers	$10.45 \times \text{AV} + 152$	$8.36 \times \text{AV} + 121.6$	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR, as defined below and calculated above:

Equipment	Volume	Criteria
Full Size Freezer	7.75 cubic feet or greater	At least 10% more energy efficient than the minimum federal government standard (NAECA).
Compact Freezer	Less than 7.75 cubic feet and 36 inches or less in height	At least 20% more energy efficient than the minimum federal government standard (NAECA).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a model that meets the federal minimum standard for energy efficiency. The standard varies depending on the size and configuration of the freezer (chest freezer or upright freezer, automatic or manual defrost) and is defined in the table above.

⁴⁶⁶ http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/appliances/refrig/NAECA_calculation.xls?c827-f746

⁴⁶⁷ as of July 1, 2001

⁴⁶⁸ as of April 28, 2008

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 11 years⁴⁶⁹.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$35⁴⁷⁰.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

There are no operation and maintenance cost adjustments for this measure.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R04 - Residential Freezer

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 95%⁴⁷¹.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS:

$$\Delta\text{kWh} = \text{kWh}_{\text{BASE}} - \text{kWh}_{\text{ESTAR}}$$

Where:

kWh_{BASE} = Baseline kWh consumption per year as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

$\text{kWh}_{\text{ESTAR}}$ = ENERGY STAR kWh consumption per year as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

⁴⁶⁹ Energy Star Freezer Calculator;

http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Consumer_Residential_Freezer_Sav_Calc.xls?570a-f000

⁴⁷⁰ Based on review of data from the Northeast Regional ENERGY STAR Consumer Products Initiative; “2009 ENERGY STAR Appliances Practices Report”, submitted by Lockheed Martin, December 2009.

⁴⁷¹ Based on eShapes Residential Freezer load data as provided by Ameren.

For example for a 7.75 cubic foot Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= (7.55 * (7.75 * 1.73) + 258.3) - (6.795 * (7.75 * 1.73) + 232.47) \\ &= 359.5 - 323.6 \\ &= 35.9 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

If volume is unknown, use the following default values:

Product Category	Volume Used ⁴⁷²	kWh _{BASE}	kWh _{ESTAR}	kWh Savings
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	27.9	469.1	422.2	46.9
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	27.9	673.2	605.9	67.3
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	27.9	419.6	377.6	42.0
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	10.4	352.3	281.9	70.5
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	10.4	509.3	407.5	101.9
Compact Chest Freezers	10.4	260.5	208.4	52.1

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \Delta\text{kWh} / \text{Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\Delta\text{kWh} = \text{Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hours} &= \text{Full Load hours per year} \\ &= 5890^{473} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CF} &= \text{Summer Peak Coincident Factor} \\ &= 0.95^{474} \end{aligned}$$

⁴⁷² Volume is based on ENERGY STAR Calculator assumption of 16.14 ft³ average volume, converted to Adjusted volume by multiplying by 1.73.

⁴⁷³ Calculated from eShapes Residential Freezer load data as provided by Ameren by dividing total annual load by the maximum kW in any one hour.

⁴⁷⁴ Based on eShapes Residential Freezer load data as provided by Ameren.

For example for a 7.75 cubic foot Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 35.9/5890 * 0.95 \\ &= 0.0058 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

If volume is unknown, use the following default values:

Product Category	kW Savings
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	0.0076
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	0.0109
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	0.0068
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	0.0114
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	0.0164
Compact Chest Freezers	0.0084

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESFR-V01-120601

5.1.6 ENERGY STAR and CEE Tier 2 Refrigerator

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the purchase and installation of a new refrigerator meeting either ENERGY STAR or CEE TIER 2 specifications. Energy usage specifications are defined in the table below (note, Adjusted Volume is calculated as the fresh volume + (1.63 * Freezer Volume):⁴⁷⁵

Product Category	NAECA as of July 1, 2001 Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year	Current ENERGY STAR level Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year
1. Refrigerators and Refrigerator-freezers with manual defrost	8.82*AV+248.4	7.056*AV+198.72
2. Refrigerator-Freezer--partial automatic defrost	8.82*AV+248.4	7.056*AV+198.72
3. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service and all-refrigerators--automatic defrost	9.80*AV+276	7.84*AV+220.8
4. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	4.91*AV+507.5	3.928*AV+406
5. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	4.60*AV+459	3.68*AV+367.2
6. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	10.20*AV+356	8.16*AV+284.8
7. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	10.10*AV+406	8.08*AV+324.8

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a refrigerator meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 (defined as requiring $\geq 20\%$ or $\geq 25\%$ less energy consumption than an equivalent unit meeting federal standard requirements respectively). The ENERGY STAR standard varies according to the size and configuration of the unit, as shown in table above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a new refrigerator meeting the minimum federal efficiency standard for refrigerator efficiency. The current federal minimum standard varies according to the size and configuration of the unit, as shown in table above. Note also that this federal standard will be increased for units manufactured after January 1, 2014.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 12 years.⁴⁷⁶

⁴⁷⁵ http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/appliances/refrig/NAECA_calculation.xls?c827-f746

⁴⁷⁶ From ENERGY STAR calculator:

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be \$30⁴⁷⁷ for an ENERGY STAR unit and \$140⁴⁷⁸ for a CEE Tier 2 unit.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

There are no operation and maintenance cost adjustments for this measure.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R05 - Residential Refrigerator

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

A coincidence factor is not used to calculate peak demand savings for this measure, see below.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS:

$$\Delta kWh = UEC_{BASE} - UEC_{EE}$$

Where:

UEC_{BASE} = Annual Unit Energy Consumption of baseline unit as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

UEC_{EE} = Annual Unit Energy Consumption of ENERGY STAR unit as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

For CEE Tier 2, unit consumption is calculated as 25% lower than baseline.

If volume is unknown, use the following defaults:

Product Category	Volume Used ⁴⁷⁹	UEC_{base}	ENERGY STAR	CEE T2 UEC_{EE}	ENERGY STAR	CEE T2 kWh
------------------	----------------------------	--------------	-------------	-------------------	-------------	------------

http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Consumer_Residential_Refrig_Sav_Calc.xls

⁴⁷⁷ Ibid.

⁴⁷⁸ Based on weighted average of units participating in Efficiency Vermont program and retail cost data provided in Department of Energy, "TECHNICAL REPORT: Analysis of Amended Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerator-Freezers", October 2005;

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/pdfs/refrigerator_report_1.pdf

⁴⁷⁹ Volume is based on the ENERGY STAR calculator average assumption of 14.75 ft³ fresh volume and 6.76 ft³

			UEC _{EE}		kWh Savings	Savings
1. Refrigerators and Refrigerator-freezers with manual defrost	25.8	475.7	380.5	356.8	95.1	118.9
2. Refrigerator-Freezer--partial automatic defrost	25.8	475.7	380.5	356.8	95.1	118.9
3. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service and all-refrigerators--automatic defrost	25.8	528.5	422.8	396.4	105.7	132.1
4. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	25.8	634.0	507.2	475.5	126.8	158.5
5. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	25.8	577.5	462.0	433.2	115.5	144.4
6. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	25.8	618.8	495.1	464.1	123.8	154.7
7. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	25.8	666.3	533.0	499.7	133.3	166.6

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh/8766) * TAF * LSAF$$

Where:

TAF = Temperature Adjustment Factor

$$= 1.25^{480}$$

LSAF = Load Shape Adjustment Factor

freezer volume.

⁴⁸⁰ Average temperature adjustment factor (to account for temperature conditions during peak period as compared to year as a whole) based on Blasnik, Michael, "Measurement and Verification of Residential Refrigerator Energy Use, Final Report, 2003-2004 Metering Study", July 29, 2004 (p. 47). It assumes 90 °F average outside temperature during peak period, 71°F average temperature in kitchens and 65°F average temperature in basement, and uses assumption that 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling (CAC saturation: "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey; <http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.9%20Air%20Conditioning%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

= 1.057⁴⁸¹

If volume is unknown, use the following defaults:

Product Category	ENERGY STAR kW Savings	CEE T2 kW Savings
1. Refrigerators and Refrigerator-freezers with manual defrost	0.0143	0.0179
2. Refrigerator-Freezer--partial automatic defrost	0.0143	0.0179
3. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service and all-refrigerators--automatic defrost	0.0159	0.0199
4. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	0.0191	0.0239
5. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	0.0174	0.0218
6. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	0.0187	0.0233
7. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	0.0201	0.0251

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESRE-V01-120601

⁴⁸¹ Daily load shape adjustment factor (average load in peak period /average daily load) also based on Blasnik, Michael, "Measurement and Verification of Residential Refrigerator Energy Use, Final Report, 2003-2004 Metering Study", July 29, 2004 (p. 48, using the average Existing Units Summer Profile for hours 13 through 17)

5.1.7 ENERGY STAR and CEE Tier 1 Room Air Conditioner

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the purchase and installation of a room air conditioning unit that meets either the ENERGY STAR or CEE TIER 1 minimum qualifying efficiency specifications, in place of a baseline unit meeting minimum Federal Standard efficiency ratings presented below⁴⁸²:

Product Class (Btu/H)	Federal Standard EER, with louvered sides	Federal Standard EER, without louvered sides	ENERGY STAR EER, with louvered sides	ENERGY STAR EER, without louvered sides	CEE TIER 1 EER
< 8,000	9.7	9	10.7	9.9	11.2
8,000 to 13,999	9.8	8.5	10.8	9.4	11.3
14,000 to 19,999	9.7	8.5	10.7	9.4	11.2
>= 20,000	8.5	8.5	9.4	9.4	9.8

Casement	Federal Standard (EER)	ENERGY STAR (EER)
Casement-only	8.7	9.6
Casement-slider	9.5	10.5

Reverse Cycle - Product Class (Btu/H)	Federal Standard EER, with louvered sides	Federal Standard EER, without louvered sides	ENERGY STAR EER, with louvered sides	ENERGY STAR EER, without louvered sides
< 14,000	N/A	8.5	N/A	9.4
>= 14,000	N/A	8	N/A	8.8
< 20,000	9	N/A	9.9	N/A
>= 20,000	8.5	N/A	9.4	N/A

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the new room air conditioning unit must meet the ENERGY STAR efficiency standards

⁴⁸² http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=roomac.pr_crit_room_ac and http://www.cee1.org/resid/seha/rm-ac/rm-ac_specs.pdf

Side louvers that extend from a room air conditioner model in order to position the unit in a window. A model without louvered sides is placed in a built-in wall sleeve and are commonly referred to as "through-the-wall" or "built-in" models.

Casement-only refers to a room air conditioner designed for mounting in a casement window of a specific size. Casement-slider refers to a room air conditioner with an encased assembly designed for mounting in a sliding or casement window of a specific size.

Reverse cycle refers to the heating function found in certain room air conditioner models.

http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/product_specs/program_reqs/room_air_conditioners_prog_req.pdf

presented above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline assumption is a new room air conditioning unit that meets the current minimum federal efficiency standards presented above.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 12 years⁴⁸³.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be \$40 for an ENERGY STAR unit and \$80 for a CEE TIER 1 unit⁴⁸⁴.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 0.3⁴⁸⁵.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (FLH_{RoomAC} * Btu/H * (1/EERbase - 1/EERee))/1000$$

Where:

FLH_{RoomAC} = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit
= dependent on location⁴⁸⁶:

⁴⁸³ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf

⁴⁸⁴ Based on field study conducted by Efficiency Vermont

⁴⁸⁵ Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH _{RoomAC}
1 (Rockford)	220
2 (Chicago)	210
3 (Springfield)	319
4 (Belleville)	428
5 (Marion)	374
Weighted Average ⁴⁸⁷	248

- Btu/H = Size of rebated unit
 = Actual. If unknown assume 8500 BTU/hour⁴⁸⁸
- EERbase = Efficiency of baseline unit
 = As provided in tables above
- EERee = Efficiency of ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 1 unit
 = Actual. If unknown assume minimum qualifying standard as provided in tables above

For example for an 8,500 BTU/H capacity unit, with louvered sides, in an unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{ENERGY STAR} &= (248 * 8500 * (1/9.8 - 1/10.8)) / 1000 \\ &= 19.9 kWh \\ \Delta kWh_{CEE TIER 1} &= (248 * 8500 * (1/9.8 - 1/11.3)) / 1000 \\ &= 28.6 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = Btu/H * ((1/EERbase - 1/EERee)/1000) * CF$$

⁴⁸⁶ Full load hours for room AC is significantly lower than for central AC. The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008: http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same location (provided by AHRI: http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls) is 31%. This ratio is applied to those IL cities that have FLH for Central Cooling provided in the Energy Star calculator. For other cities this is extrapolated using the FLH assumptions VEIC have developed for Central AC. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁴⁸⁷ Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

⁴⁸⁸ Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

Where:

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

$$= 0.3^{489}$$

Other variable as defined above

For example for an 8,500 BTU/H capacity unit, with louvered sides, for an unknown location:

$$\Delta kW_{\text{ENERGY STAR}} = (8500 * (1/9.8 - 1/10.8)) / 1000 * 0.3$$

$$= 0.024 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{\text{CEE TIER 1}} = (8500 * (1/9.8 - 1/11.3)) / 1000 * 0.3$$

$$= 0.035 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESRA-V01-120601

⁴⁸⁹ Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008
(http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf)

5.1.8 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from the retirement and recycling of inefficient but operational refrigerators and freezers. Savings are provided based on a 2012 metering study that provides a regression equation that uses key inputs describing the retired unit. The savings are equivalent to the Unit Energy Consumption of the retired unit and should be claimed for the assumed remaining useful life of that unit. A part use factor is applied to account for those secondary units that are not in use throughout the entire year. The reader should note that the regression algorithm is designed to provide an accurate portrayal of savings for the population as a whole and includes those parameters that have a significant effect on the consumption. The precision of savings for individual units will vary.

The Net to Gross factor applied to these units should incorporate adjustments that account for:

- Those participants who would have removed the unit from the grid anyway (e.g. customers replacing their refrigerator via a big box store and using the pick-up option, customers taking their unit to the landfill or recycling station);
- Those participants who decided, based on the incentive provided by the Appliance Recycling program alone, to replace their existing inefficient unit with a new unit. This segment of participants is expected to be very small and documentation of their intentions will be gathered via telephone surveys (i.e., primary data sources). For such customers, the consumption of the new unit should be subtracted from the retired unit consumption and savings claimed for the remaining life of the existing unit. Note that participants who were already planning to replace their unit, and the incentive just ensured that the retired unit was recycled and not placed on the secondary market, should not be included in this adjustment.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

n/a

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing inefficient unit must be operational and have a capacity of between 10 and 30 cubic feet.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated remaining useful life of the recycling units is 8 years⁴⁹⁰.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Measure cost includes the cost of pickup and recycling of the refrigerator and should be based on actual costs of running the program. If unknown assume \$120⁴⁹¹ per unit.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

n/a

⁴⁹⁰ KEMA "Residential refrigerator recycling ninth year retention study", 2004

⁴⁹¹ Based on similar Efficiency Vermont program.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R05 - Residential Refrigerator

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

A coincidence factor is not used to calculate peak demand savings for this measure, see below.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ENERGY SAVINGS

Energy savings are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients⁴⁹²:

Variable Description	Coefficient
Intercept	-103.39
Freezer dummy (=1 if freezer)	433.40
Side-by-side dummy (= 1 if side-by-side)	614.91
Chest dummy (=1 if chest freezer)	-490.78
Single door dummy (=1 if single door) ⁴⁹³	-797.90
Age	23.93
Pre-1993 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1993)	289.82
Capacity (Cubic Feet)	13.52
Manual defrost dummy (= 1 if manual defrost)	-381.23

$$\Delta kWh = [-103.39 + (\text{Freezer} * 433.40) + (\text{Side} * 614.91) + (\text{Chest} * -490.78) + (\text{SingleDoor} * -797.90) + (\text{Age} * 23.93) + (\text{Pre1993} * 289.82) + (\text{Capacity} * 13.52) + (\text{ManualDefrost} * -381.23)] * \text{Part Use Factor}$$

Where:

- Freezer = Freezer dummy (=1 if freezer, else 0)
- Side = Side-by-side dummy (= 1 if side-by-side, else 0)
- Chest = Chest dummy (= 1 if chest freezer, else 0)
- Age = Age of retired unit
- Pre1993 = Pre-1993 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1993, else 0)

⁴⁹² Energy savings are based on an average 30-year TMY temperature of 51.1 degrees. Coefficients provided in May 31, 2012 memo from Opinion Dynamics; "Fridge & Freezer Recycle Rewards Program PY4 Metering Study: DRAFT Savings Results".

⁴⁹³ This variable is only applicable to refrigerators.

- Capacity = Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit
- ManualDefrost = Manual defrost dummy (= 1 if manual defrost, else 0)
- Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year.
= 0.877⁴⁹⁴

For example, a 24 year old, 22 cubic feet, 2 door side by side unit with automatic defrost that was located in the kitchen is retired.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= [-103.39 + (0 * 433.40) + (1 * 614.91) + (0 * -490.78) + (0 * -797.90) + (24 * 23.93) + (1 * 289.82) + (22 * 13.52) + (0 * -381.23)] * 0.877 \\ &= 1673 * 0.877 \\ &= 1467 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Summer Coincident Peak Demand Savings are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients⁴⁹⁵:

Variable	Coefficient
Side-by-Side (dummy)	0.04920
Freezer (dummy)	0.01988
Age	0.01199
Age-squared	-0.0001443
Capacity (Cubic Feet)	0.001156
Manual Defrost	-0.04503
Garage, Porch or Patio (dummy)	0.04681
Constant	-0.09662

$$\Delta kW = [(Side * 0.04920) + (Freezer * 0.01988) + (Age * 0.01199) + (Age^2 * -0.0001443) + (Capacity * 0.001156) + (ManualDefrost * -0.04503) + (GaragePorchPatio * 0.04681) - 0.09662] * Part Use Factor$$

Where:

- GaragePorchPatio = Variable based on unit location (=1 if unit in Garage, Porch or Patio, else 0)

⁴⁹⁴ Weighted average PY2 and PY3 part use factor from Opinion Dynamics, May 31 2012 memo; "Fridge & Freezer Recycle Rewards Program PY4 Metering Study: Preliminary Savings Results".

⁴⁹⁵ Coefficients provided in May 30, 2012 version of Opinion Dynamics; "PY4 Appliance Recycling Program PJM Post Install M&V Demand Analysis Report Draft".

Other variables as above

For example, a 24 year old, 22 cubic feet, Side by Side unit with automatic defrost that was located in the kitchen is retired.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= [(1 * 0.04920) + (0 * 0.01988) + (24 * 0.01199) + (24^2 * -0.0001443) + (22 * \\ &0.001156) + (0 * -0.04503) + (0 * 0.04681) - 0.09662] * 0.877 \\ &= 0.183 * 0.877 \\ &= 0.16 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

n/a

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

n/a

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

n/a

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RFRC-V01-120601

5.1.9 Room Air Conditioner Recycling

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes the savings resulting from running a drop off service taking existing residential, inefficient Room Air Conditioner units from service, prior to their natural end of life. This measure assumes that though a percentage of these units will be replaced this is not captured in the savings algorithm since it is unlikely that the incentive made someone retire a unit that they weren't already planning to retire. The savings therefore relate to the unit being taken off the grid as opposed to entering the secondary market. The Net to Gross factor applied to these units should incorporate adjustments that account for those participants who would have removed the unit from the grid anyway.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A. This measure relates to the retiring of an existing inefficient unit.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the existing inefficient room air conditioning unit.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed remaining useful life of the existing room air conditioning unit being retired is 4 years⁴⁹⁶.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual implementation cost for recycling the existing unit should be used.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 30%⁴⁹⁷.

⁴⁹⁶ A third of assumed measure life for Room AC.

⁴⁹⁷ Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

(http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((FLH_{RoomAC} * BtuH * (1/EE_{Exist}))/1000)$$

Where:

FLH_{RoomAC} = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit
 = dependent on location⁴⁹⁸:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_{RoomAC}
1 (Rockford)	220
2 (Chicago)	210
3 (Springfield)	319
4 (Belleville)	428
5 (Marion)	374
Weighted Average ⁴⁹⁹	248

Btu/H = Size of retired unit
 = Actual. If unknown assume 8500 BTU/hour⁵⁰⁰

EE_{Exist} = Efficiency of existing unit
 = 7.7⁵⁰¹

⁴⁹⁸ The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008: http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same location (provided by AHRI: http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls) is 31%. This ratio is applied to those IL cities that have FLH for Central Cooling provided in the Energy Star calculator. For other cities this is extrapolated using the FLH assumptions VEIC have developed for Central AC. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁴⁹⁹ Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

⁵⁰⁰ Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

⁵⁰¹ Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; "Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report."

For example for an 8500 BTU/h unit in Springfield:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= ((319 * 8500 * (1/7.7)) / 1000) \\ &= 352 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = (\text{BtuH} * (1/\text{EER}_{\text{exist}}))/1000 * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CF} &= \text{Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure} \\ &= 0.3^{502}\end{aligned}$$

For example an 8500 BTU/h unit:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kW} &= (8500 * (1/7.7)) / 1000 * 0.3 \\ &= 0.33 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RARC-V01-120601

⁵⁰² Consistent with coincidence factors found in:

RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

(http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf)

5.2 Consumer Electronics End Use

5.2.1 Smart Strip

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to Controlled Power Strips (or Smart Strips) which are multi-plug power strips with the ability to automatically disconnect specific connected loads depending upon the power draw of a control load, also plugged into the strip. Power is disconnected from the switched (controlled) outlets when the control load power draw is reduced below a certain adjustable threshold, thus turning off the appliances plugged into the switched outlets. By disconnecting the standby load of the controlled devices, the overall load of a centralized group of equipment (i.e. entertainment centers and home office) can be reduced. Uncontrolled outlets are also provided that are not affected by the control device and so are always providing power to any device plugged into it. This measure characterization provides savings for a 5-plug strip and a 7-plug strip.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a 5 or 7-plug smart strip.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The assumed baseline is a standard power strip that does not control connected loads.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the smart strip is 4 years⁵⁰³.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost of a smart strip over a standard power strip with surge protection is assumed to be \$16 for a 5-plug and \$26 for a 7-plug⁵⁰⁴.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R13 - Residential Standby Losses – Entertainment
Loadshape R14 - Residential Standby Losses - Home Office

⁵⁰³ David Rogers, Power Smart Engineering, October 2008; “Smart Strip electrical savings and usability”, p22.

⁵⁰⁴ Price survey performed in NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips, p4

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%⁵⁰⁵.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh_{5-plug} = 56.5 \text{ kWh}^{506}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{7-plug} = 103 \text{ kWh}^{507}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the controlled standby loads are turned off by the Smart Strip.

$$= 7,129^{508}$$

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

$$= 0.8^{509}$$

$$\Delta kW_{5-plug} = 56.5 / 7129 * 0.8$$

$$= 0.00634 \text{ kW}$$

⁵⁰⁵ Efficiency Vermont coincidence factor for smart strip measure –in the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

⁵⁰⁶ NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips. Study based on review of:

- I. Smart Strip Electrical Savings and Usability, Power Smart Engineering, October 27, 2008.
- II. Final Field Research Report, Ecos Consulting, October 31, 2006. Prepared for California Energy Commission’s PIER Program.
- III. Developing and Testing Low Power Mode Measurement Methods, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), September 2004. Prepared for California Energy Commission’s Public Interest Energy Research (PIER) Program.
- IV. 2005 Intrusive Residential Standby Survey Report, Energy Efficient Strategies, March, 2006.
- V. Smart Strip Portfolio of the Future, Navigant Consulting for San Diego G&E, March 31, 2009.

⁵⁰⁷ Ibid.

⁵⁰⁸ Average of hours for controlled TV and computer from; NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips

⁵⁰⁹ Efficiency Vermont coincidence factor for smart strip measure –in the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{7-Plug} &= 102.8 / 7129 * 0.8 \\ &= 0.0115 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-SSTR-V01-120601

5.3 HVAC End Use

5.3.1 Air Source Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

A heat pump provides heating or cooling by moving heat between indoor and outdoor air. This measure involves the installation of a new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ BTU/hr) air source heat pump that is more efficient than required by federal standards.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ BTU/hr) air source heat pump with specifications to be determined by program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

A new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ BTU/hr) air source heat pump meeting federal standards.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 18 years⁵¹⁰.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the efficiency and capacity of the new unit⁵¹¹. Note these costs are per ton of unit capacity:

Efficiency (SEER)	Incremental Cost per Ton of Capacity (\$/ton)
14	137
15	274
16	411
17	548
18	685

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

⁵¹⁰ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007,

<http://www.ctsavesenergy.org/files/Measure%20Life%20Report%202007.pdf>

⁵¹¹ Based on costs derived from DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data (www.deeresources.com).

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

$$CF_{SSP} = \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)}$$

$$= 91.5\%^{512}$$

$$CF_{PJM} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)}$$

$$= 46.6\%^{513}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((FLH_{cooling} * Capacity_{cooling} * (1/SEER_{base} - 1/SEER_{ee})) / 1000) + ((FLH_{heat} * Capacity_{heating} * (1/HSPF_{base} - 1/HSFP_{ee})) / 1000)$$

Where:

FLH_{cooling} = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = dependent on location⁵¹⁴:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH _{cooling} (single family)	FLH _{cooling} (multi family)
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleveille)	1,035	940

⁵¹² Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵¹³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵¹⁴ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in “Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting”, http://ilsag.org/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/ComEd_PY2_CACES_Evaluation_Report_2010-10-18.299122020.pdf, p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁵¹⁵	629	564

Capacity_cooling = Cooling Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/h)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000Btu/h)

SEER_base = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= 13⁵¹⁶

SEER_ee = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

FLH_heat = Full load hours of heating

= Dependent on location⁵¹⁷:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ⁵¹⁸	1,821

Capacity_heating = Heating Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/h)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000Btu/h)

HSPF_base = Heating System Performance Factor of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

⁵¹⁵ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁵¹⁶ Based on Minimum Federal Standard;

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/residential_cac_hp.html.

⁵¹⁷ Full load heating hours for heat pumps are provided for Rockford, Chicago and Springfield in the Energy Star Calculator. Estimates for the other locations were calculated based on the FLH to Heating Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio. VEIC consider Energy Star estimates to be high due to oversizing not being adequately addressed. Using average Illinois billing data (from <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/ags/consumereducation.aspx>) VEIC estimated the average gas heating load and used this to estimate the average home heating output (using 83% average gas heat efficiency). Dividing this by a typical 36,000 Btu/h ASHP gives an estimate of average ASHP FLH_heat of 1821 hours. We used the ratio of this value to the average of the locations using the Energy Star data (1994 hours) to scale down the Energy Star estimates. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁵¹⁸ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

$$= 7.7^{519}$$

HSFP_ee = Heating System Performance Factor of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

For example, a three ton, 15 SEER, 12EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed in Marion:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((903 * 36,000 * (1/13 - 1/15)) / 1000) + ((1,288 * 36,000 * (1/7.7 - 1/9)) / 1000) \\ &= 1,203 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\text{Capacity}_{\text{cooling}} * (1/\text{EER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{ee}})) / 1000 * \text{CF}$$

Where:

EER_base = Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/h / kW)
= 11.2⁵²⁰

EER_ee = Energy Efficiency Ratio of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/h / kW)
= Actual, If not provided convert SEER to EER using this formula:⁵²¹
= (-0.02 * SEER²) + (1.12 * SEER)

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
= 91.5%⁵²²

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)
= 46.6%⁵²³

⁵¹⁹ Based on Minimum Federal Standard;

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/residential_cac_hp.html.

⁵²⁰ The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with this formula: (-0.02 * SEER²) + (1.12 * SEER) Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note this is appropriate for single speed units only.

⁵²¹ Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note this is appropriate for single speed units only.

⁵²² Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility's peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵²³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The

For example, a three ton, 15 SEER, 12EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed in Marion:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = ((36,000 * (1/11.2 - 1/12)) / 1000) * 0.915$$

$$= 0.196 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = ((36,000 * (1/11.2 - 1/12)) / 1000) * 0.466$$

$$= 0.100 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-V01-120601

average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

5.3.2 Central Air Conditioning > 14.5 SEER

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to:

- a) Time of sale: the installation of a new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ BTU/hr) Central Air Conditioning ducted split system meeting ENERGY STAR efficiency standards presented below. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early replacement: the early removal of an existing residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ BTU/hr) inefficient Central Air Conditioning unit from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new ENERGY STAR qualifying unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment is assumed to be a ducted split central air conditioning unit meeting the minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards; 14.5 SEER and 12 EER.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for the Time of Sale measure is based on the current Federal Standard efficiency level; 13 SEER and 11 EER.

The baseline for the early replacement measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above⁵²⁴ for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 18 years⁵²⁵.

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years⁵²⁶.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on equipment size and efficiency.

⁵²⁴ Baseline SEER and EER should be updated when new minimum federal standards become effective.

⁵²⁵ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

<http://www.ctsavesenergy.org/files/Measure%20Life%20Report%202007.pdf>

The "lifespan" of a central air conditioner is about 15 to 20 years (US DOE:

http://www.energysavers.gov/your_home/space_heating_cooling/index.cfm/mytopic=12440).

⁵²⁶ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

Assumed costs per ton of cooling capacity are provided below⁵²⁷:

Efficiency Level	Cost per Ton
SEER 14	\$119
SEER 15	\$238
SEER 16	\$357
SEER 17	\$476
SEER 18	\$596
SEER 19	\$715
SEER 20	\$834
SEER 21	\$908
Average	\$530

Early replacement: The incremental capital cost for this measure is the actual cost of removing the existing unit and installing the new one. If this is unknown, assume \$3,413⁵²⁸.

Assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with new baseline unit is assumed to be \$2,857⁵²⁹. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities discount rate.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)

⁵²⁷ DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data (www.deeresources.com)

⁵²⁸ Based on 3 ton initial cost estimate for an ENERGY STAR unit from ENERGY STAR Central AC calculator (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls).

⁵²⁹ Based on 3 ton initial cost estimate for a conventional unit from ENERGY STAR Central AC calculator (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls). While baselines are likely to shift in the future, there is currently no good indication of what the cost of a new baseline unit will be in 6 years. In the absence of this information, assuming a constant federal baseline cost is within the range of error for this prescriptive measure.

$$= 91.5\%^{530}$$

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)

$$= 46.6\%^{531}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta\text{kWH} = (\text{FLHcool} * \text{BtuH} * (1/\text{SEERbase} - 1/\text{SEERee}))/1000$$

Early replacement⁵³²:

ΔkWH for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$= ((\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity} * (1/\text{SEERexist} - 1/\text{SEERee}))/1000);$$

ΔkWH for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$= ((\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity} * (1/\text{SEERbase} - 1/\text{SEERee}))/1000)$$

Where:

FLHcool = Full load cooling hours
 = dependent on location and building type⁵³³:

Climate Zone	FLHcool	FLHcool
--------------	---------	---------

⁵³⁰ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵³¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵³² The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

⁵³³ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in “Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting”, http://ilsag.org/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/ComEd_PY2_CACES_Evaluation_Report_2010-10-18.299122020.pdf, p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

(City based upon)	(single family)	(multi family)
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁵³⁴	629	564

Capacity = Size of new equipment in Btuh (note 1 ton = 12,000Btuh)

= Actual installed, or if actual size unknown 33,600Btuh for single-family buildings⁵³⁵

SEERbase = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)

= 13⁵³⁶

SEERexist = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If unknown assume 10.0⁵³⁷.

SEERee = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of ENERGY STAR unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual installed or 14.5 if unknown

Time of sale example: a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 14.5, in unknown location:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (629 * 36,000 * (1/13 - 1/14.5)) / 1000$$

$$= 180 \text{ kWh}$$

⁵³⁴ Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

⁵³⁵ Actual unit size required for multi-family building, no size assumption provided because the unit size and resulting savings can vary greatly depending on the number of units.

⁵³⁶ Based on Minimum Federal Standard;

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/residential_cac_hp.html.

⁵³⁷ VEIC estimate based on Department of Energy Federal Standard between 1992 and 2006. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

Early replacement example: a 3 ton unit, with SEER rating of 14.5 replaces an existing unit in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh}(\text{for first 6 years}) &= (629 * 36,000 * (1/10 - 1/14.5)) / 1000 \\ &= 702 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh}(\text{for next 12 years}) &= (629 * 36,000 * (1/13 - 1/14.5)) / 1000 \\ &= 180 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta\text{kW} = (\text{Capacity} * (1/\text{EER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{ee}}))/1000 * \text{CF}$$

Early replacement⁵³⁸:

ΔkW for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$= ((\text{Capacity} * (1/\text{EER}_{\text{exist}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{ee}}))/1000 * \text{CF});$$

ΔkW for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$= ((\text{Capacity} * (1/\text{EER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{ee}}))/1000 * \text{CF})$$

Where:

EER_{base} = EER Efficiency of baseline unit
= 11.2⁵³⁹

EER_{exist} = EER Efficiency of existing unit
= Actual EER of unit should be used, if EER is unknown, use 9.2⁵⁴⁰

EER_{ee} = EER Efficiency of ENERGY STAR unit
= Actual installed or 12 if unknown

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak)

⁵³⁸ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

⁵³⁹ The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value is approximated based on the SEER standard (13) and equals EER 11.2. To perform this calculation we are using this formula: $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder).

⁵⁴⁰ Based on SEER of 10,0, using formula above to give 9.2 EER.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{hour)} \\
 & = 91.5\%^{541} \\
 CF_{PJM} & = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)} \\
 & = 46.6\%^{542}
 \end{aligned}$$

Time of sale example: a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kW_{SSP} & = (36,000 * (1/11.2 - 1/12)) / 1000 * 0.915 \\
 & = 0.196 \text{ kW}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kW_{PJM} & = (36,000 * (1/11.2 - 1/12)) / 1000 * 0.466 \\
 & = 0.100 \text{ kW}
 \end{aligned}$$

Early replacement example: a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12 replaces an existing unit:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kW_{SSP} \text{ (for first 6 years)} & = (36,000 * (1/9.2 - 1/12)) / 1000 * 0.915 \\
 & = 0.835 \text{ kW}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kW_{SSP} \text{ (for next 12 years)} & = (36,000 * (1/11.2 - 1/12)) / 1000 * 0.915 \\
 & = 0.196 \text{ kW}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kW_{PJM} \text{ (for first 6 years)} & = (36,000 * (1/9.2 - 1/12)) / 1000 * 0.466 \\
 & = 0.425 \text{ kW}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kW_{PJM} \text{ (for next 12 years)} & = (36,000 * (1/11.2 - 1/12)) / 1000 * 0.466 \\
 & = 0.100 \text{ kW}
 \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

⁵⁴¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵⁴² Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-CAC1-V01-120601

5.3.3 Duct Insulation and Sealing

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes evaluating the savings associated with performing duct sealing using mastic sealant or metal tape to the distribution system of homes with either central air conditioning or a ducted heating system.

Two methodologies for estimating the savings associate from sealing the ducts are provided. The first preferred method requires the use of a blower door and the second requires careful inspection of the duct work.

1. **Modified Blower Door Subtraction** – this technique is described in detail on p.44 of the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual; <http://www.energyconservatory.com/download/bdmanual.pdf>
2. **Evaluation of Distribution Efficiency** – this methodology requires the evaluation of three duct characteristics below, and use of the Building Performance Institutes ‘Distribution Efficiency Look-Up Table’;
<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>
 - a. Percentage of duct work found within the conditioned space
 - b. Duct leakage evaluation
 - c. Duct insulation evaluation

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is sealed duct work throughout the unconditioned space in the home.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing baseline condition is leaky duct work within the unconditioned space in the home.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of this measure is 20 years⁵⁴³.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual duct sealing measure cost should be used.

⁵⁴³ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.
http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling (Shell Measures)

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

$$CF_{SSP} = \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)}$$

$$= 91.5\%^{544}$$

$$CF_{PJM} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)}$$

$$= 46.6\%^{545}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction

- a) Determine Duct Leakage rate before and after performing duct sealing:

$$\text{Duct Leakage (CFM50}_{DL}) = (\text{CFM50}_{\text{Whole House}} - \text{CFM50}_{\text{Envelope Only}}) * SCF$$

Where:

CFM50_{Whole House} = Standard Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential

CFM50_{Envelope Only} = Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential with all supply and return registers sealed.

⁵⁴⁴ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵⁴⁵ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

SCF = Subtraction Correction Factor to account for underestimation of duct leakage due to connections between the duct system and the home. Determined by measuring pressure in duct system with registers sealed and using look up table provided by Energy Conservatory.

b) Calculate duct leakage reduction, convert to CFM25_{DL} and factor in Supply and Return Loss Factors

$$\text{Duct Leakage Reduction } (\Delta\text{CFM25}_{\text{DL}}) = (\text{Pre CFM50}_{\text{DL}} - \text{Post CFM50}_{\text{DL}}) * 0.64 * (\text{SLF} + \text{RLF})$$

Where:

0.64 = Converts CFM50 to CFM25⁵⁴⁶

SLF = Supply Loss Factor
 = % leaks sealed located in Supply ducts * 1⁵⁴⁷
 Default = 0.5⁵⁴⁸

RLF = Return Loss Factor
 = % leaks sealed located in Return ducts * 0.5⁵⁴⁹
 Default = 0.25⁵⁵⁰

c) Calculate Energy Savings:

$$\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} = ((\Delta\text{CFM25}_{\text{DL}}) / ((\text{Capacity}/12,000) * 400)) * \text{FLH}_{\text{cool}} * \text{Capacity} / 1000 / \eta_{\text{Cool}}$$

Where:

$\Delta\text{CFM25}_{\text{DL}}$ = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25
 = calculated above

⁵⁴⁶ 25 Pascals is the standard assumption for typical pressures experienced in the duct system under normal operating conditions. To convert CFM50 to CFM25 you multiply by 0.64 (inverse of the “Can’t Reach Fifty” factor for CFM25; see Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual).

⁵⁴⁷ Assumes that for each percent of supply air loss there is one percent annual energy penalty. This assumes supply side leaks are direct losses to the outside and are not recaptured back to the house. This could be adjusted downward to reflect regain of usable energy to the house from duct leaks. For example, during the winter some of the energy lost from supply leaks in a crawlspace will probably be regained back to the house (sometimes 1/2 or more may be regained). More information provided in “Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements” from <http://www.energyconservatory.com/download/dbmanual.pdf>

⁵⁴⁸ Assumes 50% of leaks are in supply ducts.

⁵⁴⁹ Assumes that for each percent of return air loss there is a half percent annual energy penalty. Note that this assumes that return leaks contribute less to energy losses than do supply leaks. This value could be adjusted upward if there was reason to suspect that the return leaks contribute significantly more energy loss than “average” (e.g. pulling return air from a super heated attic), or can be adjusted downward to represent significantly less energy loss (e.g. pulling return air from a moderate temperature crawl space) . More information provided in “Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements” from <http://www.energyconservatory.com/download/dbmanual.pdf>

⁵⁵⁰ Assumes 50% of leaks are in return ducts.

Capacity = Capacity of Air Cooling system (Btu/H)

=Actual

12,000 = Converts Btu/H capacity to tons

400 = Converts capacity in tons to CFM (400CFM / ton)

FLHcool = Full load cooling hours

= Dependent on location as below⁵⁵¹:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁵⁵²	629	564

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η Cool = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume the following⁵⁵³:

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
After 2006	13

⁵⁵¹ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY Star with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁵⁵² Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁵⁵³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

For example, duct sealing in a single family house in Springfield with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 central air conditioning and the following blower door test results:

Before: $CFM50_{Whole\ House} = 4800\ CFM50$

$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only} = 4500\ CFM50$

House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals. = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: $CFM50_{Whole\ House} = 4600\ CFM50$

$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only} = 4500\ CFM50$

House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

$CFM50_{DL\ before} = (4800 - 4500) * 1.29$

$= 387\ CFM$

$CFM50_{DL\ after} = (4600 - 4500) * 1.39$

$= 139\ CFM$

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$\Delta CFM25_{DL} = (387 - 139) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25)$

$= 119\ CFM25$

Energy Savings:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = ((119 / ((36,000/12,000) * 400)) * 730 * 36,000) / 1000 / 11$

$= 237\ kWh$

Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$\Delta kWh_{heating} = (((\Delta CFM25_{DL} / ((Capacity/12,000) * 400)) * FLH_{heat} * Capacity) / \eta_{Heat} / 3412$

Where:

$FLH_{heat} = \text{Full load heating hours}$

$= \text{Dependent on location as below}^{554}:$

⁵⁵⁴ Heating EFLH based on ENERGY Star EFLH for Rockford, Chicago, and Springfield and on NCDC/NOAA HDD for

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ⁵⁵⁵	1,821

η_{Heat} = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual. If not available use⁵⁵⁶:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP Estimate
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

For example, duct sealing in a 36,000 Btu/H 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Springfield with the blower door results described above:

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = (((119 / ((36,000/12,000) * 400)) * 1,754 * 36,000) / 2.5 / 3412)$$

$$= 734 \text{ kWh}$$

Methodology 2: Evaluation of Distribution Efficiency

Determine Distribution Efficiency by evaluating duct system before and after duct sealing using Building Performance Institute “Distribution Efficiency Look-Up Table”

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = ((DE_{after} - DE_{before}) / DE_{after}) * FLH_{cool} * Capacity / 1000 / \eta_{Cool}$$

Where:

DE_{after} = Distribution Efficiency after duct sealing

the other two cities. In all cases, the hours were adjusted based on average natural gas heating consumption in IL.
⁵⁵⁵ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁵⁵⁶ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

DE_{before} = Distribution Efficiency before duct sealing

FLH_{cool} = Full load cooling hours
 = Dependent on location as below⁵⁵⁷:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁵⁵⁸	629	564

Capacity = Capacity of Air Cooling system (Btu/H)
 =Actual

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)
 = Actual. If unknown assume⁵⁵⁹:

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
After 2006	13

⁵⁵⁷ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY Star with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁵⁵⁸ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁵⁵⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

For example, duct sealing in a single family house in Springfield, with 36,000 Btu/H SEER 11 central air conditioning and the following duct evaluation results:

$$DE_{\text{before}} = 0.85$$

$$DE_{\text{after}} = 0.92$$

Energy Savings:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} &= ((0.92 - 0.85)/0.92) * 730 * 36,000 / 1000 / 11 \\ &= 182 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump or resistance):

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} = ((DE_{\text{after}} - DE_{\text{before}}) / DE_{\text{after}}) * FLH_{\text{heat}} * Capacity / \eta_{\text{Heat}} / 3412$$

Where:

FLH_{heat} = Full load heating hours

= Dependent on location as below⁵⁶⁰:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH _{heat}
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ⁵⁶¹	1,821

⁵⁶⁰ Heating EFLH based on ENERGY Star EFLH for Rockford, Chicago, and Springfield and on NCDC/NOAA HDD for the other two cities. In all cases, the hours were adjusted based on average natural gas heating consumption in IL.

⁵⁶¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

COP = Coefficient of Performance of electric heating system⁵⁶²

= Actual. If not available use⁵⁶³:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP Estimate
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

For example, duct sealing in a 36,000 Btu/H, 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Springfield with the following duct evaluation results:

$$DE_{\text{after}} = 0.92$$

$$DE_{\text{before}} = 0.85$$

Energy Savings:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} &= ((0.92 - 0.85)/0.92) * 1,967 * 36,000 / 2.5 / 3412 \\ &= 632 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} / FLH_{\text{cool}} * CF$$

Where:

⁵⁶² Note that the HSPF of a heat pump is equal to the COP * 3.413.

⁵⁶³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

FLHcool = Full load cooling hours:
 = Dependent on location as below⁵⁶⁴:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁵⁶⁵	629	564

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
 = 91.5%⁵⁶⁶

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)
 = 46.6%⁵⁶⁷

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with Natural Gas Heating:

Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction

$$\Delta\text{Therm} = ((\Delta\text{CFM}_{25\text{DL}} / (\text{Capacity} * 0.0123)) * \text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity}) / 100,000 / \eta\text{Heat}$$

Where:

$\Delta\text{CFM}_{25\text{DL}}$ = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25

Capacity = Capacity of Air Cooling system (Btu/H)
 =Actual

0.0123 = Conversion of Capacity to CFM (0.0123CFM / Btu/h)⁵⁶⁸

⁵⁶⁴ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY Star with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁵⁶⁵ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁵⁶⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵⁶⁷ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵⁶⁸ Based on Natural Draft Furnaces requiring 100 CFM per 10,000 BTU, Induced Draft Furnaces requiring 130CFM per 10,000BTU and Condensing Furnaces requiring 150 CFM per 10,000 BTU (rule of thumb from http://contractingbusiness.com/enewsletters/cb_imp_43580/). Data provided by GAMA during the federal rule-

FLHheat = Full load heating hours

=Dependent on location as below⁵⁶⁹:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ⁵⁷⁰	1,821

100,000 = Converts Btu to therms

η Heat = Average Net Heating System Efficiency (Equipment Efficiency * Distribution Efficiency)⁵⁷¹

= Actual. If not available use 70%⁵⁷².

making process for furnace efficiency standards, suggested that in 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing units. Therefore a weighted average required airflow rate is calculated assuming a 50:50 split of natural v induced draft non-condensing furnaces, as 123 per 10,000BTU or 0.0123/Btu.

⁵⁶⁹ Heating EFLH based on ENERGY Star EFLH for Rockford, Chicago, and Springfield and on NCDC/NOAA HDD for the other two cities. In all cases, the hours were adjusted based on average natural gas heating consumption in IL.

⁵⁷⁰ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁵⁷¹ The System Efficiency can be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute:

(<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

If there are more than one heating systems, the weighted (by consumption) average efficiency should be used.

If the heating system or distribution is being upgraded within a package of measures together with the insulation upgrade, the new average heating system efficiency should be used.

⁵⁷² This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>))

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24*0.92) + (0.76*0.8) * (1-0.15) = 0.70$$

For example, duct sealing in a house in Springfield with an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace and the following blower door test results:

Before: $CFM50_{Whole\ House} = 4800\ CFM50$

$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only} = 4500\ CFM50$

House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: $CFM50_{Whole\ House} = 4600\ CFM50$

$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only} = 4500\ CFM50$

House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

$CFM50_{DL\ before} = (4800 - 4500) * 1.29$

$= 387\ CFM$

$CFM50_{DL\ after} = (4600 - 4500) * 1.39$

$= 119\ CFM$

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$\Delta CFM25_{DL} = (387 - 119) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25)$

$= 119\ CFM25$

Energy Savings:

$\Delta Therm = ((119 / (105,000 * 0.0123)) * 1,754 * 105,000) / 100,000 / 0.80$

$= 212\ therms$

Methodology 2: Evaluation of Distribution Efficiency

$$\Delta Therm = ((DE_{after} - DE_{before}) / DE_{after}) * FLH_{heat} * Capacity / 100,000 / \eta_{Heat}$$

Where:

DE_{after} = Distribution Efficiency after duct sealing

DE_{before} = Distribution Efficiency before duct sealing

Other variables as defined above

For example, duct sealing in a house in Springfield an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace and the following duct evaluation results:

$$DE_{\text{after}} = 0.92$$

$$DE_{\text{before}} = 0.85$$

Energy Savings:

$$\Delta\text{Therm} = ((0.92 - 0.85)/0.92) * 1,754 * 105,000 / 100,000 / 0.80$$

$$= 175 \text{ therm}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DINS-V01-120601

5.3.4 Furnace Blower Motor

DESCRIPTION

A new furnace with a brushless permanent magnet (BPM) blower motor is installed instead of a new furnace with a lower efficiency motor. This measure characterizes only the electric savings associated with the fan and could be coupled with gas savings associated with a more efficient furnace. Savings decrease sharply with static pressure so duct improvements, and clean, low pressure drop filters can maximize savings. Savings improve when the blower is used for cooling as well and when it is used for continuous ventilation, but only if the non-BPM motor would have been used for continuous ventilation too. If the resident runs the BPM blower continuously because it is a more efficient motor and would not run a non-BPM motor that way, savings are near zero and possibly negative. This characterization uses a 2009 Focus on Energy study of BPM blower motor savings in Wisconsin, which accounted for the effects of this behavioral impact.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A furnace with a brushless permanent magnet (BPM) blower motor, also known by the trademark ECM, BLDC, and other names.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

A furnace with a non-BPM blower motor.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years⁵⁷³.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The capital cost for this measure is assumed to be \$97⁵⁷⁴.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

⁵⁷³ Consistent with assumed life of a new gas furnace. Table 8.3.3 The Technical support documents for federal residential appliance standards:

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/fb_fr_tsd/chapter_8.pdf

⁵⁷⁴ Adapted from Tables 8.2.3 and 8.2.13 in

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/hvac_ch_08_lcc_2011-06-24.pdf

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)
 = 91.5%⁵⁷⁵

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
 = 46.6%⁵⁷⁶

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$\Delta kWh = \text{Heating Savings} + \text{Cooling Savings} + \text{Shoulder Season Savings}$

Where:

Heating Savings = Blower motor savings during heating season
 = 418 kWh⁵⁷⁷

Cooling Savings = Blower motor savings during cooling season
 If Central AC = 263 kWh
 If No Central AC = 175 kWh
 If unknown (weighted average)
 = 241 kWh⁵⁷⁸

⁵⁷⁵ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵⁷⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵⁷⁷ To estimate heating, cooling and shoulder season savings for Illinois, VEIC adapted results from a 2009 Focus on Energy study of BPM blower motor savings in Wisconsin. This study included effects of behavior change based on the efficiency of new motor greatly increasing the amount of people that run the fan continuously. The savings from the Wisconsin study were adjusted to account for different run hour assumptions (average values used) for Illinois. See: FOE to IL Blower Savings.xlsx.

⁵⁷⁸ The weighted average value is based on assumption that 75% of homes installing BPM furnace blower motors have Central AC. 66% of IL housing units have CAC and 66% have gas furnaces. It is logical these two groups overlap to a large extent (like the 95% in the FOE study above).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Shoulder Season Savings} &= \text{Blower motor savings during shoulder seasons} \\ &= 51 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

For example, a blower motor in a home where Central AC presence is unknown:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= \text{Heating Savings} + \text{Cooling Savings} + \text{Shoulder Season Savings} \\ &= 418 + 251 + 51 \\ &= 721 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \text{Cooling Savings} / \text{FLH_cooling} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FLH_cooling} &= \text{Full load hours of air conditioning} \\ &= \text{Dependent on location}^{579}: \end{aligned}$$

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_cooling
1 (Rockford)	512
2 (Chicago)	570
3 (Springfield)	730
4 (Belleville)	1,035
5 (Marion)	903
Weighted Average ⁵⁸⁰	629

$$\text{CF}_{\text{SSP}} = \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)}$$

$$= 91.5\%^{581}$$

$$\text{CF}_{\text{PJM}} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)}$$

$$= 46.6\%^{582}$$

⁵⁷⁹ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in “Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting”, http://ilsag.org/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/ComEd_PY2_CACES_Evaluation_Report_2010-10-18.299122020.pdf, p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁵⁸⁰ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁵⁸¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

For example, a blower motor in a home of unknown location where Central AC prevalence is unknown:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 251 / 629 * 0.915$$

$$= 0.365 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 251 / 629 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.186 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{therms}^{583} = - \text{Heating Savings} * 0.03412 \text{ therms/kWh}$$

$$= - (418 * 0.03412)$$

$$= - 14.3 \text{ therms}^{584}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FBMT-V01-120601

⁵⁸² Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁵⁸³ The blower fan is in the heating duct so all, or very nearly all, of its waste heat is delivered to the conditioned space.

⁵⁸⁴ Negative value since this measure will increase the heating load due to reduced waste heat.

5.3.5 Gas High Efficiency Boiler

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes the purchase and installation of a new high efficiency, gas-fired hot water boiler in a residential location. High efficiency boilers achieve most gas savings through the utilization of a sealed combustion chamber and multiple heat exchangers that remove a significant portion of the waste heat from flue gasses. Because multiple heat exchangers are used to remove waste heat from the escaping flue gasses, some of the flue gasses condense and must be drained.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed Boiler must be ENERGY STAR qualified (AFUE rated at or greater than 85% and input capacity less than 300,000 BTUh).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment for this measure is a new, gas-fired, standard-efficiency water boiler. The current Federal Standard minimum AFUE rating is 80%. For boilers manufactured after September 2012 the Federal Standards is raised to 82% AFUE. Baseline assumptions are therefore provided below:

Program Year	AFUE
June 2012 – May 2013 ⁵⁸⁵	80%
June 2013 on	82%

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years⁵⁸⁶.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is dependent on tier⁵⁸⁷:

Measure Type	Incr. Cost
AFUE 85% (Energy Star Minimum)	\$216
AFUE 90%	\$422
AFUE 95%	\$628

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

⁵⁸⁵ There will be some delay to the baseline shift while existing stocks of lower efficiency equipment is sold.

⁵⁸⁶ Table 8.3.3 The Technical support documents for federal residential appliance standards: http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/fb_fr_tsd/chapter_8.pdf

⁵⁸⁷ Appliance Standards Technical Support Documents (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/fb_tsd_0907.html). Note this assumes the baseline of 80% and should be reevaluated when new information is available for the new baseline.

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = \text{Gas_Boiler_Load} * (1/\text{AFUE}(\text{base}) - 1/\text{AFUE}(\text{eff}))$$

Where:

$$\text{Gas_Boiler_Load}^{588}$$

= Estimate of annual household Load for gas boiler heated single-family homes. If location is unknown, assume the average below⁵⁸⁹.

= or Actual if informed by site-specific load calculations, ACCA Manual J or equivalent⁵⁹⁰.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Gas_Boiler Load (therms)
1 (Rockford)	1275
2 (Chicago)	1218

⁵⁸⁸ Boiler consumption values are informed by an evaluation which did not identify any fraction of heating load due to domestic hot water (DHW) provided by the boiler. Thus these values are an average of both homes with boilers only providing heat, and homes with boilers that also provide DHW. Heating load is used to describe the household heating need, which is equal to (gas heating consumption * AFUE)

⁵⁸⁹ Values are based on household heating consumption values and inferred average AFUE results from Table 3-4, Program Sample Analysis, *Nicor R29 Res Rebate Evaluation Report 092611_REV FINAL to Nicor*). Adjusting to a statewide average using relative HDD values to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city's HDD.

⁵⁹⁰ The Air Conditioning Contractors of America Manual J, Residential Load Calculation 8th Edition produces equipment sizing loads for Single Family, Multi-single, and Condominiums using input characteristics of the home. A best practice for equipment selection and installation of Heating and Air Conditioning, load calculations should be completed by contractors during the selection process and may be readily available for program data purposes.

3 (Springfield)	1043
4 (Belleville)	805
5 (Marion)	819
Average	1158

AFUE(base) = Baseline Boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= Dependent on year as listed below:

Program Year	AFUE(base)
June 2012 – May 2013	80%
June 2013 on	82%

AFUE(eff) = Efficient Boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= Actual. If unknown, use defaults dependent⁵⁹¹ on tier as listed below:

Measure Type	AFUE(eff)
ENERGY STAR®	87.5%
AFUE 90%	92.5%
AFUE 95%	95%

For example, a default sized ENERGY STAR boiler purchased and installed near Springfield in the year 2012

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (1043) * (1/0.8) - 1/0.875$$

$$= 112 \text{ Therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GHEB-V01-120601

⁵⁹¹ Default values per tier selected based upon the average AFUE value for the tier range except for the top tier where the minimum is used due to proximity to the maximum possible.

5.3.6 Gas High Efficiency Furnace

DESCRIPTION

This measure covers the purchase of a new ENERGY STAR-qualified high efficiency gas-fired condensing furnace for residential space heating in place of a new Federal Standard furnace. High efficiency features may include improved heat exchangers and modulating multi-stage burners.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be a residential sized (input energy less than 225,000 BTU/h) ENERGY STAR rated natural gas fired furnace with an Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating and fan electrical efficiency in accordance with ENERGY STAR criteria⁵⁹², as defined below:

ENERGY STAR Furnaces Specification	Min. AFUE	Min. Fan Efficiency ⁵⁹³	Max. Air Leakage
Version 2.0 – Effective until 2.1.12	90%	N/A	N/A
Version 3.0 – Effective 2.1.12	95%	2.0%	N/A
Version 4.0 – Effective 2.1.13	95%	2.0%	2.0%

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Although the current Federal Standard for gas furnaces is an AFUE rating of 78%, based upon review of available product in the AHRI database, the baseline efficiency for this characterization is assumed to be 80% for program year June 2012 – May 2013.

For furnaces manufactured after September 2012 the Federal minimum efficiency Standards are raised to 90% AFUE. Baseline assumptions are therefore provided below:

Program Year	AFUE
June 2012 – May 2013	80%
June 2013 on	90%

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years⁵⁹⁴.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental capital cost for this measure depends on efficiency as listed below⁵⁹⁵:

⁵⁹² Source: Final Furnace Version3.0/4.0 Specification schedules available here:

http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=revisions.furnace_spec

⁵⁹³ Fan efficiency, as determined by the “Interim Approach for Determining Furnace Fan Energy Use Rev. June-2011” is a performance-based metric that was designed to function in a manner that resembles past program criteria requiring an ECM or BPM fan motor.

⁵⁹⁴ Table 8.3.3 The Technical support documents for federal residential appliance standards:

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/fb_fr_tsd/chapter_8.pdf

AFUE	Incremental Cost (June 2012 – May 2013)	Incremental Cost (June 2013 on)
90%	\$304	\$0
91%	\$394	\$90
92%	\$477	\$173
93%	\$567	\$263
94%	\$657	\$353
95%	\$754	\$450
96%	\$851	\$547

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electrical energy savings from the more fan-efficient (typically using brushless permanent magnet (BPM) blower motor) should also be claimed, please refer to “Furnace Blower Motor” characterization for details.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

If the blower motor is also used for cooling, coincident peak demand savings should also be claimed, please refer to “Furnace Blower Motor” characterization for savings details.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = \text{Gas_Furnace_Heating_Load} * (1/\text{AFUE}(\text{base}) - 1/\text{AFUE}(\text{eff}))$$

Where:

Gas_Furnace_Heating_Load

= Estimate of annual household heating load⁵⁹⁶ for gas furnace heated single-family homes. If location is unknown, assume the average below⁵⁹⁷.

⁵⁹⁵ Appliance Standards Technical Support Documents

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/fb_tsd_0907.html)

⁵⁹⁶ Heating load is used to describe the household heating need, which is equal to (gas consumption * AFUE)

⁵⁹⁷ Values are based on household heating consumption values and inferred average AFUE results from Table 3-4,

= Actual if informed by site-specific load calculations, ACCA Manual J or equivalent⁵⁹⁸.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Gas_Furnace_Heating_Load (therms)
1 (Rockford)	843
2 (Chicago)	806
3 (Springfield)	690
4 (Belleville)	532
5 (Marion)	542
Average	766

AFUE(base) = Baseline Furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= Dependent on year as listed below⁵⁹⁹:

Program Year	AFUE(base)
June 2012 – May 2013	80%
June 2013 on	90%

AFUE(eff) = Efficient Furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= Actual. If unknown, assume 95%⁶⁰⁰

For example, a 95% AFUE furnace near Rockford and purchased in the year 2012

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = 843 * (1/0.8 - 1/0.95)$$

$$=166 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

Program Sample Analysis, *Nicor R29 Res Rebate Evaluation Report 092611_REV FINAL to Nicor*) Adjusting to a statewide average using relative HDD values to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city’s HDD.

⁵⁹⁸ The Air Conditioning Contractors of America Manual J, Residential Load Calculation 8th Edition produces equipment sizing loads for Single Family, Multi-single, and Condominiums using input characteristics of the home. A best practice for equipment selection and installation of Heating and Air Conditioning, load calculations are commonly completed by contractors during the selection process and may be readily available for program data purposes.

⁵⁹⁹ Though the Federal Minimum AFUE is 78%, there were only 50 models listed in the AHRI database at that level. At AFUE 79% the total rises to 308. There are 3,548 active furnace models listed with AFUE ratings between 78 and 80.

⁶⁰⁰ Minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency after 2.1.2012.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GHEF-V01-120601

5.3.7 Ground Source Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a new Ground Source Heat Pump system meeting ENERGY STAR efficiency standards presented below.

ENERGY STAR Requirements (Effective January 1, 2012)

Product Type	EER	COP
Water-to-air		
Closed Loop	17.1	3.6
Open Loop	21.1	4.1
Water-to-Water		
Closed Loop	16.1	3.1
Open Loop	20.1	3.5
DGX	16	3.6

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment must be a Ground Source Heat Pump unit meeting the minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards effective at the time of installation as detailed above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level; 13 SEER and 11 EER.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 18 years⁶⁰¹.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost of the Ground Source Heat Pump should be used, minus the assumed installation cost of a 3 ton standard baseline Air Source Heat Pump of \$3,609⁶⁰².

⁶⁰¹ Lifetime for an air source heat pump. The ground loop has a much longer life, but the compressor and other mechanical components are the same as an ASHP. The more moderate operating conditions for a GSHP may extend the life of these components beyond the life of an ASHP. Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf

⁶⁰² Based on DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data (www.deeresources.com). Material cost of 13 SEER AC is \$796 per ton, and labor cost of \$407 per ton. For a 3 ton unit this would be (796+407) *3 = \$3609.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

$$CF_{SSP} = \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)} \\ = 91.5\%^{603}$$

$$CF_{PJM} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)} \\ = 46.6\%^{604}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (FLH_{cool} * Btu/H * (1/SEER_{base} - (1/(EERee * 1.02)))/1000 + (FLH_{heat} * Btu/H * (1/HSPF_{base} - (1/COPEe * 3.412))/1000$$

Where:

FLH_{cool} = Full load cooling hours

⁶⁰³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁶⁰⁴ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

Dependent on location as below⁶⁰⁵:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁶⁰⁶	629	564

Btu/H = Size of equipment in Btu/h (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/h)

= Actual installed

SEERbase = SEER Efficiency of baseline ASHP unit

= 13⁶⁰⁷

EERee = EER Efficiency of efficient GSHP unit

= Actual installed

1.02 = Constant used to estimate the equivalent air conditioning SEER based on the GSHP unit's EER⁶⁰⁸.

⁶⁰⁵ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY Star with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁶⁰⁶ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁶⁰⁷ Minimum Federal Standard; Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 14, Monday, January 22, 2001/ Rules and Regulations, p. 7170-7200.

⁶⁰⁸ Note that EERs of GSHPs are measured differently than EERs of air source heat pumps (focusing on entering water temperatures rather than ambient air temperatures). The equivalent SEER of a GSHP can be estimated by multiplying EER by 1.02, based on VEIC extrapolation of manufacture data.

FLHheat = Full load heating hours

Dependent on location as below⁶⁰⁹:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ⁶¹⁰	1,821

HSPFbase = Heating Season Performance Factor for baseline unit

= 7.7⁶¹¹

COPee = Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed

3.412 = Constant to convert the COP of the unit to the Heating Season Performance Factor (HSPF).

For example, a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 16 and COP of 3.5 in single family house in Springfield:

$$\Delta kWh = (FLH_{cool} * Btu/H * (1/SEER_{base} - 1/(EER_{ee} * 1.02)))/1000 + (FLH_{heat} * Btu/H * (1/HSPF_{base} - 1/COP_{ee} * 3.412))/1000$$

$$\Delta kWh = (730 * 36,000 * (1/13 - 1/(16 * 1.02))) / 1000 + (1967 * 36,000 * (1/7.7 - 1/(3.5 * 3.412))) / 1000$$

$$= 3680 kWh$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (Btu/H * (1/EER_{base} - 1/EER_{ee, AC\ equivalent}))/1000 * CF$$

Where:

EERbase = EER Efficiency of baseline ASHP unit

= 11⁶¹²

⁶⁰⁹ Heating EFLH based on ENERGY Star EFLH for Rockford, Chicago, and Springfield and on NCDC/NOAA HDD for the other two cities. In all cases, the hours were adjusted based on average natural gas heating consumption in IL. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁶¹⁰ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁶¹¹ Minimum Federal Standard; Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 14, Monday, January 22, 2001/Rules and Regulations, p. 7170-7200.

$EER_{AC\ equivalent}$ = Equivalent Air Conditioning EER Efficiency of ENERGY STAR GSHP unit⁶¹³

To calculate this, the actual EER of the GSHP is converted to an air conditioning SEER equivalent by multiplying by 1.02⁶¹⁴

This is then converted to the air conditioning EER equivalent resulting in the following algorithm:

$$EER_{AC\ equivalent} = (-0.02 * (EER * 1.02)^2 + (1.12 * (EER * 1.02)))^{615}$$

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)

$$= 91.5\%^{616}$$

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)

$$= 46.6\%^{617}$$

For example, a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 16:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{SSP} &= ((36,000 * (1/11 - 1/(-0.02 * (16 * 1.02)^2 + (1.12 * (16 * 1.02)))))/1000) * 0.915 \\ &= 0.451 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{SSP} &= ((36,000 * (1/11 - 1/(-0.02 * (16 * 1.02)^2 + (1.12 * (16 * 1.02)))))/1000) * 0.466 \\ &= 0.230 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

⁶¹² Minimum Federal Standard; as above.

⁶¹³ EERs of GSHPs are measured differently than EERs of air source heat pumps (focusing on entering water temperatures rather than ambient air temperatures).

⁶¹⁴ Based on VEIC extrapolation of manufacturer data.

⁶¹⁵ Air conditioning SEER to EER algorithm based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note this is appropriate for single speed units only.

⁶¹⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁶¹⁷ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GSHP-V01-120601

5.3.8 High Efficiency Bathroom Exhaust Fan

DESCRIPTION

This market opportunity is defined by the need for continuous mechanical ventilation due to reduced air-infiltration from a tighter building shell. In retrofit projects, existing fans may be too loud, or insufficient in other ways, to be operated as required for proper ventilation. This measure assumes a fan capacity of 50 CFM rated at a sound level of less than 2.0 sones at 0.1 inches of water column static pressure. This measure may be applied to larger capacity, up to 130 CFM, efficient fans with bi-level controls because the savings and incremental costs are very similar. All eligible installations shall be sized to provide the mechanical ventilation rate indicated by ASHRAE 62.2.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

New efficient (average CFM/watt of 8.3⁶¹⁸) exhaust-only ventilation fan, quiet (< 2.0 sones) Continuous operation in accordance with recommended ventilation rate indicated by ASHRAE 62.2⁶¹⁹

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

New standard efficiency (average CFM/Watt of 3.1⁶²⁰) exhaust-only ventilation fan, quiet (< 2.0 sones) operating in accordance with recommended ventilation rate indicated by ASHRAE 62.2⁶²¹

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 19 years⁶²².

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Incremental cost per installed fan is \$43.50 for quiet, efficient fans⁶²³.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R11 - Residential Ventilation

⁶¹⁸ VEIC analysis looking at average efficient fan (i.e. Brushless Permanent Magnet) efficacies at static pressures of 0.1 and 0.25 inches of water column for quiet fans rated for 50 CFM.

⁶¹⁹ Bi-level controls may be used by efficient fans larger than 50 CFM

⁶²⁰ VEIC analysis looking at average baseline fan (i.e. non-Brushless Permanent Magnet) efficacies at static pressures of 0.1 and 0.25 inches of water column for quiet fans rated for 50 CFM.

⁶²¹ On/off cycling controls may be required of baseline fans larger than 50CFM.

⁶²² Conservative estimate based upon GDS Associates Measure Life Report "Residential and C&I Lighting and HVAC measures" 25 years for whole-house fans, and 19 for thermostatically-controlled attic fans.

⁶²³ VEIC analysis using cost data collected from wholesale vendor; <http://www.westsidewholesale.com/>.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to be 100% because the fan runs continuously.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (CFM * (1/\eta_{BASELINE} - 1/\eta_{EFFICIENT})/1000) * Hours$$

Where:

- CFM = Nominal Capacity of the exhaust fan
= 50 CFM⁶²⁴
- $\eta_{BASELINE}$ = Average efficacy for baseline fan
= 3.1 CFM/Watt⁶²⁵
- $\eta_{EFFICIENT}$ = Average efficacy for efficient fan
= 8.3 CFM/Watt⁶²⁶
- Hours = assumed annual run hours,
= 8766 for continuous ventilation.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (50 * (1/3.1 - 1/8.3)/1000) * 8766 \\ &= 88.6 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (CFM * (1/\eta_{BASELINE} - 1/\eta_{EFFICIENT})/1000) * CF$$

Where:

⁶²⁴ 50CFM is the closest available fan size to ASHRAE 62.2 Section 4.1 Whole House Ventilation rates based upon typical square footage and bedrooms.

⁶²⁵ VEIC analysis looking at average baseline fan (i.e. non-Brushless Permanent Magnet) efficacies at static pressures of 0.1 and 0.25 inches of water column for quiet fans rated for 50 CFM.

⁶²⁶ VEIC analysis looking at average efficient fan (i.e. Brushless Permanent Magnet) efficacies at static pressures of 0.1 and 0.25 inches of water column for quiet fans rated for 50 CFM.

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor
= 1.0 (continuous operation)
Other variables as defined above

$$\Delta kW = (50 * (1/3.1 - 1/8.3)/1000) * 1.0$$
$$= 0.0101 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-BAFA-V01-120601

5.3.9 HVAC Tune Up (Central Air Conditioning or Air Source Heat Pump)

DESCRIPTION

This measure involves the measurement of refrigerant charge levels and airflow over the central air conditioning or heat pump unit coil, correction of any problems found and post-treatment re-measurement. Measurements must be performed with standard industry tools and the results tracked by the efficiency program.

Savings from this measure are developed using a reputable Wisconsin study. It is recommended that future evaluation be conducted in Illinois to generate a more locally appropriate characterization.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

This measure assumes that the existing unit being maintained is either a residential central air conditioning unit or an air source heat pump that has not been serviced for at least 3 years.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

THE MEASURE LIFE IS ASSUMED TO BE 2 YEARS⁶²⁷.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

If the implementation mechanism involves delivering and paying for the tune up service, the actual cost should be used. If however the customer is provided a rebate and the program relies on private contractors performing the work, the measure cost should be assumed to be \$175⁶²⁸.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri,

⁶²⁷ Based on VEIC professional judgment.

⁶²⁸ Based on personal communication with HVAC efficiency program consultant Buck Taylor or Roltay Inc., 6/21/10, who estimated the cost of tune up at \$125 to \$225, depending on the market and the implementation details.

calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

$$CF_{SSP} = \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)}$$

$$= 91.5\%^{629}$$

$$CF_{PJM} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)}$$

$$= 46.6\%^{630}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{Central AC}} = (\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{CAC}}))/1000 * \text{MFe}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{Air Source Heat Pump}} = ((\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{ASHP}}))/1000 * \text{MFe}) + (\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/\text{HSPF}_{\text{ASHP}}))/1000 * \text{MFe}$$

Where:

FLHcool = Full load cooling hours

Dependent on location as below:⁶³¹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁶³²	629	564

Capacity_cooling = Cooling capacity of equipment in Btu/h (note 1 ton = 12,000 Btu/h)

= Actual

⁶²⁹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility's peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁶³⁰ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁶³¹ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY Star with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁶³² Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

SEER_{CAC} = SEER Efficiency of existing central air conditioning unit receiving maintenance
 = Actual. If unknown assume 10 SEER ⁶³³

MFe = Maintenance energy savings factor
 = 0.05 ⁶³⁴

SEER_{ASHP} = SEER Efficiency of existing air source heat pump unit receiving maintenance
 = Actual. If unknown assume 10 SEER ⁶³⁵

FLH_{heat} = Full load heating hours
 Dependent on location: ⁶³⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH _{heat}
1 (Rockford)	2208
2 (Chicago)	2064
3 (Springfield)	1967
4 (Belleville)	1420
5 (Marion)	1445
Weighted Average ⁶³⁷	1821

Capacity_{heating} = Heating capacity of equipment in Btu/h (note 1 ton = 12,000 Btu/h)
 = Actual

HSPF_{base} = Heating Season Performance Factor of existing air source heat pump unit receiving maintenance
 = Actual. If unknown assume 6.8 HSPF ⁶³⁸

⁶³³ Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 10 SEER is a VEIC estimate of existing unit efficiency, based on minimum federal standard between the years of 1992 and 2006.

⁶³⁴ Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research."

⁶³⁵ Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 10 SEER is a VEIC estimate of existing unit efficiency, based on minimum federal standard between the years of 1992 and 2006.

⁶³⁶ Full load heating hours for heat pumps are provided for Rockford, Chicago and Springfield in the Energy Star Calculator. Estimates for the other locations were calculated based on the FLH to Heating Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio. VEIC consider Energy Star estimates to be high due to oversizing not being adequately addressed. Using average Illinois billing data (from <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/ags/consumereducation.aspx>) VEIC estimated the average gas heating load and used this to estimate the average home heating output (using 83% average gas heat efficiency). Dividing this by a typical 36,000 Btu/h ASHP gives an estimate of average ASHP FLH_{heat} of 1821 hours. We used the ratio of this value to the average of the locations using the Energy Star data (1994 hours) to scale down the Energy Star estimates. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁶³⁷ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

For example, maintenance of a 3-ton, SEER 10 air conditioning unit in a single family house in Springfield:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{CAC}} &= (730 * 36,000 * (1/10))/1000 * 0.05 \\ &= 131 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

For example, maintenance of a 3-ton, SEER 10, HSPF 6.8 air source heat pump unit in a single family house in Springfield:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{ASHP}} &= ((730 * 36,000 * (1/10))/1000 * 0.05) + (1967 * 36,000 * (1/6.8))/1000 * 0.05 \\ &= 652 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \text{Capacity}_{\text{cooling}} * (1/\text{EER})/1000 * \text{MFd} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{EER} &= \text{EER Efficiency of existing unit receiving maintenance in Btu/H/Watts} \\ &= \text{Calculate using Actual SEER} \\ &= -0.02 * \text{SEER}^2 + 1.12 * \text{SEER}^{639}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MFd} &= \text{Maintenance demand savings factor} \\ &= 0.02^{640}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CF}_{\text{SSP}} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)} \\ &= 91.5\%^{641}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CF}_{\text{PJM}} &= \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)} \\ &= 46.6\%^{642}\end{aligned}$$

⁶³⁸ Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 6.8 HSPF is a VEIC estimate based on minimum Federal Standard between 1992 and 2006.

⁶³⁹ Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note this is appropriate for single speed units only.

⁶⁴⁰ Based on June 2010 personal conversation with Scott Pigg, author of Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research" suggesting the average WI unit system draw of 2.8kW under peak conditions, and average peak savings of 50W.

⁶⁴¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility's peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁶⁴² Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load

For example, maintenance of 3-ton, SEER 10 (equals EER 9.2) unit:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 36,000 * 1/(9.2)/1000 * 0.02 * 0.915$$

$$= 0.0716 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = 36,000 * 1/(9.2)/1000 * 0.02 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.0365 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

Conservatively not included

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-TUNE-V01-120601

during the year.

5.3.10 Programmable Thermostats

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a Programmable Thermostat for reduced heating energy consumption through temperature set-back during unoccupied or reduced demand times. Because a literature review was not conclusive in providing a defensible source of prescriptive cooling savings from programmable thermostats, cooling savings from programmable thermostats are assumed to be zero for this version of the measure. It is not appropriate to assume a similar pattern of savings from setting a thermostat down during the heating season and up during the cooling season. Note that the EPA's EnergyStar program is developing a new specification for this project category, and if/when evaluation results demonstrate consistent cooling savings, subsequent versions of this measure will revisit this assumption⁶⁴³.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only temperature control, with one that has the capability to adjust temperature setpoints according to a schedule without manual intervention. This category of equipment is broad and rapidly advancing in regards to the capability, and usability of the controls and their sophistication in setpoint adjustment and information display, but for the purposes of this characterization, eligibility is perhaps most simply defined by what it isn't: a manual only temperature control.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Non-Programmable Thermostat requiring manual intervention to change temperature setpoint.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years⁶⁴⁴ based upon equipment life only⁶⁴⁵. This is reduced by a 50% persistence factor to give final measures life of 5 years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Actual material and labor costs should be used if the implementation method allows. If unknown (e.g. through a retail program) the capital cost for this measure is assumed to be \$30⁶⁴⁶.

⁶⁴³ The EnergyStar program discontinued its support for this measure category effective 12/31/09, and is presently developing a new specification for 'Residential Climate Controls'.

⁶⁴⁴ Table 1, HVAC Controls, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

⁶⁴⁵ Future evaluation is strongly encouraged to inform the persistence of savings to further refine measure life assumption. As this characterization depends heavily upon a large scale but only 2-year study of the energy impacts of programmable thermostats, the longer term impacts should be assessed.

⁶⁴⁶ Market prices vary significantly in this category, generally increasing with thermostat capability and sophistication. The basic functions required by this measure's eligibility criteria are available on units readily available in the market for the listed price.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A due to no savings attributable to cooling during the summer peak period.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh^{647} = \%ElectricHeat * Elec_Heating_Consumption * Heating_Reduction * HF * Eff_ISR + (\Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3)$$

Where:

$\%ElectricHeat$ = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

Heating fuel	$\%ElectricHeat$
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	13% ⁶⁴⁸

$Elec_Heating_Consumption$

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated single-family homes⁶⁴⁹. If location and heating type is unknown, assume 17,734 kWh⁶⁵⁰

⁶⁴⁷ Note the second part of the algorithm relates to furnace fan savings if the heating system is Natural Gas.

⁶⁴⁸ Average (default) value of 13% electric space heating from 2010 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

⁶⁴⁹ Values in table are based on converting an average household heating consumption (849 therms) for Chicago based on 'Table 3-4, Program Sample Analysis, Nicor R29 Res Rebate Evaluation Report 092611_REV FINAL to Nicor', to an electric heat consumption (divide by 0.03413 and assuming efficiencies of 100% for resistance and 200% for HP) and then adjusting to a statewide average using relative HDD assumptions to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city's HDD.

⁶⁵⁰ Assumption that 1/2 of electrically heated homes have electric resistance and 1/2 have Heat Pump, based on 2010 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Electric Resistance Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWh)	Electric Heat Pump Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWh)
1 (Rockford)	26,038	13,019
2 (Chicago)	24,875	12,438
3 (Springfield)	21,304	10,652
4 (Belleville)	16,434	8,217
5 (Marion)	16,726	8,363
Average	23,645	11,822

Heating_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in heating energy consumption due to programmable thermostat

= 6.2%⁶⁵¹

HF = Household factor, to adjust heating consumption for non-single-family households.

Household Type	HF
Single-Family	100%
Multi-Family	65% ⁶⁵²
Actual	Custom ⁶⁵³

Eff_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and programmed effectively

Program Delivery	Eff_ISR
Direct Install	100%
Other, or unknown	56% ⁶⁵⁴

ΔTherms = Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system

= See calculation in Natural Gas section below

⁶⁵¹ The savings from programmable thermostats are highly susceptible to many factors best addressed, so far for this category, by a study that controlled for the most significant issues with a very large sample size. To the extent that the treatment group is representative of the program participants for IL, this value is suitable. Higher and lower values would be justified based upon clear dissimilarities due to program and product attributes. Future evaluation work should assess program specific impacts associated with penetration rates, baseline levels, persistence, and other factors which this value represents.

⁶⁵² Multifamily household heating consumption relative to single-family households is affected by overall household square footage and exposure to the exterior. This 65% reduction factor is applied to MF homes with electric resistance, based on professional judgment that average household size, and heat loads of MF households are smaller than single-family homes

⁶⁵³ Program-specific household factors may be utilized on the basis of sufficiently validated program evaluations.

⁶⁵⁴ "Programmable Thermostats. Report to KeySpan Energy Delivery on Energy Savings and Cost Effectiveness," GDS Associates, Marietta, GA. 2002GDS

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
 = 3.14%⁶⁵⁵
 29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, a programmable thermostat directly installed in an electric resistance heated, single-family home in Springfield:

$$\Delta\text{kWh} = 1 * 20,214 * 0.062 * 100\% * 100\% + (0 * 0.0314 * 29.3)$$

$$= 1253 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A due to no savings from cooling during the summer peak period.

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = \%FossilHeat * Gas_Heating_Consumption * Heating_Reduction * HF * Eff_ISR$$

Where:

$\%FossilHeat$ = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	$\%FossilHeat$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	87% ⁶⁵⁶

Gas_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes. If location is unknown, assume the average below⁶⁵⁷.

Climate Zone	Gas_Heating_
--------------	--------------

⁶⁵⁵ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBTU/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁶⁵⁶ Average (default) value of 87% electric space heating from 2010 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

⁶⁵⁷ Values are based on adjusting the average household heating consumption (849 therms) for Chicago based on 'Table 3-4, Program Sample Analysis, Nicor R29 Res Rebate Evaluation Report 092611_REV FINAL to Nicor' adjusting to a statewide average using relative HDD assumptions to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city's HDD.

(City based upon)	Consumption (therms)
1 (Rockford)	889
2 (Chicago)	849
3 (Springfield)	727
4 (Belleville)	561
5 (Marion)	571
Average	807

For example, a programmable thermostat directly-installed in a gas heated single-family home in Chicago:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * 849 * 0.062 * 100\% * 100\% \\ &= 52.6 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-PROG-V01-120601

5.4 Hot Water End Use

5.4.1 Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes adding insulation to un-insulated domestic hot water pipes. The measure assumes the pipe wrap is installed to the first length of both the hot and cold pipe up to the first elbow. This is the most cost effective section to insulate since the water pipes act as an extension of the hot water tank up to the first elbow which acts as a heat trap. Insulating this length therefore helps reduce standby losses. Default savings are provided per 3ft length and are appropriate up to 6ft of the hot water pipe and 3ft of the cold.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is installing pipe wrap insulation to a length of hot water pipe.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is an un-insulated hot water pipe.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 15 years⁶⁵⁸.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost including material and installation is assumed to be \$3 per linear foot⁶⁵⁹.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape C53 - Flat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

This measure assumes a flat loadshape since savings relate to reducing standby losses and as such the coincidence factor is 1.

Algorithm

⁶⁵⁸ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

<http://www.ctsavesenergy.org/files/Measure%20Life%20Report%202007.pdf>

⁶⁵⁹ Consistent with DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data (www.deeresources.com).

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For electric DHW systems:

$$\Delta kWh = ((1/R_{exist} - 1/R_{new}) * (L * C) * \Delta T * 8,766) / \eta_{DHW} / 3412$$

Where:

R_{exist} = Pipe heat loss coefficient of uninsulated pipe (existing) [(hr-°F-ft)/Btu]
 = 1.0⁶⁶⁰

R_{new} = Pipe heat loss coefficient of insulated pipe (new) [(hr-°F-ft)/Btu]
 = Actual (1.0 + R value of insulation)

L = Length of pipe from water heating source covered by pipe wrap (ft)
 = Actual

C = Circumference of pipe (ft) (Diameter (in) * π/12)
 = Actual (0.5" pipe = 0.131ft, 0.75" pipe = 0.196ft)

ΔT = Average temperature difference between supplied water and outside air temperature (°F)
 = 60°F⁶⁶¹

8,766 = Hours per year

η_{DHW} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater
 = 0.98⁶⁶²

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

For example, insulating 5 feet of 0.75" pipe with R-5 wrap:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((1/R_{exist} - 1/R_{new}) * (L * C) * \Delta T * 8,766) / \eta_{DHW} / 3412 \\ &= ((1/1 - 1/5) * (5 * 0.196) * 60 * 8766) / 0.98 / 3412 \\ &= 123 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

⁶⁶⁰ Navigant Consulting Inc., April 2009; "Measures and Assumptions for Demand Side Management (DSM) Planning; Appendix C Substantiation Sheets", p77.

⁶⁶¹ Assumes 125°F water leaving the hot water tank and average temperature of basement of 65°F.

⁶⁶² Electric water heater have recovery efficiency of 98%: <http://www.ahrinet.org/ARI/util/showdoc.aspx?doc=576>

If inputs above are not available the following default per 3ft R-5 length can be used for up to 6 ft length on the hot pipe and 3 ft on the cold pipe.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((1/R_{exist} - 1/R_{new}) * (L * C) * \Delta T * 8,766) / \eta_{DHW} / 3412 \\ &= ((1/1 - 1/5) * (3 * 0.196) * 60 * 8766) / 0.98 / 3412 \\ &= 74.0 \text{ kWh per 3ft length} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / 8766$$

Where:

ΔkWh = kWh savings from pipe wrap installation

8766 = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year).

For example, insulating 5 feet of 0.75” pipe with R-5 wrap:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 123/8766 \\ &= 0.014kW \end{aligned}$$

If inputs above are not available the following default per 3ft R-4 length can be used for up to 6 ft length on the hot pipe and 3 ft on the cold pipe.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 73.9/8766 \\ &= 0.0084 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For Natural Gas DHW systems:

$$\Delta Therm = ((1/R_{exist} - 1/R_{new}) * (L * C) * \Delta T * 8,766) / \eta_{DHW} / 100,000$$

Where:

η_{DHW} = Recovery efficiency of gas hot water heater

= 0.78⁶⁶³

Other variables as defined above

⁶⁶³ Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%

For example, insulating 5 feet of 0.75" pipe with R-5 wrap:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therm} &= ((1/1 - 1/5) * (5 * 0.196) * 60 * 8766) / 0.78 / 100,000 \\ &= 5.29 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

If inputs above are not available the following default per 3ft R-4 length can be used for up to 6ft length on the hot pipe and 3ft on the cold pipe.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therm} &= ((1/R_{\text{exist}} - 1/R_{\text{new}}) * (L * C) * \Delta T * 8,766) / \eta_{\text{DHW}} / 100,000 \\ &= ((1/1 - 1/5) * (3 * 0.196) * 60 * 8766) / 0.78 / 100,000 \\ &= 3.17 \text{ therms per 3ft length}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-PINS-V01-120601

5.4.2 Gas Water Heater

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the purchase and installation of a new efficient gas-fired water heater, in place of a Federal Standard unit in a residential setting. Savings are provided for power-vented, condensing storage, and whole-house tankless units meeting specific EF criteria.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the efficient equipment must be a water heater rated with the following minimum efficiency ratings:

Water heater Type	Minimum Energy Factor
Gas Storage	0.67
Condensing gas storage	0.80
Tankless whole-house unit	0.82

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be a standard gas storage water heater of the same capacity as the efficient unit, rated at the federal minimum ($0.67 - 0.0019 * \text{storage size in gallons}$)⁶⁶⁴. For a 40-gallon storage water heater this would be 0.594 EF.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 13 years⁶⁶⁵.

⁶⁶⁴ Federal Standard as of January 2004,

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/water_heater_fr.pdf

⁶⁶⁵ DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.14

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/htgp_finalrule_ch8.pdf Note: This source is used to support this category in aggregate. For all water heaters, life expectancy will depend on local variables such as water chemistry and homeowner maintenance. Some categories, including condensing storage and tankless water heaters do not yet have sufficient field data to support separate values. Preliminary data show lifetimes may exceed 20 years, though this has yet to be sufficiently demonstrated.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the type of water heater as listed below⁶⁶⁶:

Water heater Type	Incremental Cost
Gas Storage	\$400
Condensing gas storage	\$685
Tankless whole-house unit	\$605

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (1/EF_{\text{BASE}} - 1/EF_{\text{EFFICIENT}}) * (\text{GPD} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

Where:

⁶⁶⁶ Source for cost info; DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.14 (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/htgp_finalrule_ch8.pdf)

EF_Baseline = Energy Factor rating for baseline equipment
 = $(0.67 - 0.0019 * \text{tank_size})^{667}$

Tank_size (gallons)	EF_Baseline
40	0.594
50	0.575
60	0.556

= If tank size unknown assume 40 gallons and EF_Baseline of 0.594

EF_Efficient = Energy Factor Rating for efficient equipment

= Actual. If Tankless whole-house multiply rated efficiency by 0.91⁶⁶⁸.
 If unknown assume values in look up in table below

Water Heater Type	EF_Efficient
Condensing Gas Storage	0.80
Gas Storage	0.67
Tankless whole-house	$0.82 * 0.91 = 0.75$

GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per household

= 50⁶⁶⁹

365.25 = Days per year, on average

γ Water = Specific Weight of water

= 8.33 pounds per gallon

T_{OUT} = Tank temperature

= 125°F

⁶⁶⁷ Algorithm based on current Federal Standard;

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/water_heater_fr.pdf

Note that changes to the Federal Standard will be applied from April 16, 2015, see link below for more details:

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/heating_products_fr.html.

⁶⁶⁸ The disconnect between rated energy factor and in-situ energy consumption is markedly different for tankless units due to significantly higher contributions to overall household hot water usage from short draws. In tankless units the large burner and unit heat exchanger must fire and heat up for each draw. The additional energy losses incurred when the mass of the unit cools to the surrounding space in-between shorter draws was found to be 9% in a study prepared for Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory by Davis Energy Group, 2006. "Field and Laboratory Testing of Tankless Gas Water Heater Performance" Due to the similarity (storage) between the other categories and the baseline, this derating factor is applied only to the tankless category.

⁶⁶⁹ Federal Register, Test Procedures for Water Heaters, Comments on "Test Conditions,"

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/wtrhtr.pdf

T_{IN} = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system
= 54°F⁶⁷⁰

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)

For example, a 40 gallon condensing gas storage water heater, with an energy factor of 0.80:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (1/0.594) - 1/0.8) * (50 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125 - 54) * 1) / 100,000$$
$$= 46.8 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-GWHT-V01-120601

⁶⁷⁰ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet. For Chicago, IL
http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/building_america/analysis_spreadsheets.html

5.4.3 Heat Pump Water Heaters

DESCRIPTION

The installation of a heat pump domestic hot water heater in place of a standard electric water heater in a home. Savings are presented dependent on the heating system installed in the home due to the impact of the heat pump water heater on the heating loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be a Heat Pump domestic water heater.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be a new electric water heater meeting federal minimum efficiency standards.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 13 years⁶⁷¹.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental capital cost for this measure is \$1,000, for a HPWH with an energy factor of 2.0. The full cost, applicable in a retrofit, is \$1,575. For a HPWH with an energy factor of 2.35, these costs are \$1,134 and \$1,703 respectively⁶⁷².

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to be 12%⁶⁷³.

⁶⁷¹ DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Page 8-52

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/htgp_finalrule_ch8.pdf

⁶⁷² DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.14

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/htgp_finalrule_ch8.pdf

⁶⁷³ Calculated from Figure 8 "Combined six-unit summer weekday average electrical demand" in FEMP study; Field Testing of Pre-Production Prototype Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/pdfs/tir_heatpump.pdf as (average kW usage during peak period * hours in peak period) / [(annual kWh savings / FLH) * hours in peak period] = (0.1 kW * 5 hours) / [(2100 kWh (default assumptions) / 2533 hours) * 5 hours] = 0.12

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (((1/\text{EF}_{\text{BASE}} - 1/\text{EF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}}) * \text{GPD} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412) + \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} - \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}}$$

Where:

- EF_{BASE} = Energy Factor (efficiency) of standard electric water heater according to federal standards:
 = $0.93 - (0.00132 * \text{rated volume in gallons})^{674}$
 = 0.904 for a 50 gallon tank, the most common size for HPWH
- $\text{EF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}}$ = Energy Factor (efficiency) of Heat Pump water heater
 = Actual
- GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per household
 = 50⁶⁷⁵
- 365.25 = Days per year
- γ_{Water} = Specific weight of water
 = 8.33 pounds per gallon
- T_{OUT} = Tank temperature
 = 125°F
- T_{IN} = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system
 = 54°F⁶⁷⁶
- 1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)
- 3412 = Conversion from BTU to kWh

⁶⁷⁴ Current Federal Standard EF, since 2004, for a 50-gal electric storage WH, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497,

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/water_heater_fr.pdf

⁶⁷⁵ Federal Register, Test Procedures for Water Heaters, Comments on "Test Conditions,"

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/wtrhtr.pdf

⁶⁷⁶ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet. For Chicago, IL

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/building_america/analysis_spreadsheets.html

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kWh_cooling}^{677} &= \text{Cooling savings from conversion of heat in home to water heat} \\ &= \left(\left[\left(\text{GPD} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0 \right) / 3412 \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\text{GPD} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0 \right) / \text{EF}_{\text{NEW}} \right] * \text{LF} * 27\% \right) / \\ &\quad \text{COP}_{\text{COOL}} * \text{LM} \end{aligned}$$

Where:

- LF = Location Factor
 = 1.0 for HPWH installation in a conditioned space
 = 0.5 for HPWH installation in an unknown location
 = 0.0 for installation in an unconditioned space
- 27% = Portion of reduced waste heat that results in cooling savings⁶⁷⁸
- COP_{COOL} = COP of central air conditioning
 = Actual, if unknown, assume 3.08 (10.5 SEER / 3.412)
- LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand
 = 1.33⁶⁷⁹:

kWh_heating = Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat (dependent on heating fuel)

For Natural Gas heating, kWh_heating = 0

For electric heating:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\left[\left(\text{GPD} * 365.25 * \rho * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0 \right) / 3412 \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\text{GPD} * 365.25 * \rho * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0 \right) / \text{EF}_{\text{NEW}} \right] * \text{LF} * 49\% \right) / \\ &\quad \text{COP}_{\text{HEAT}} \end{aligned}$$

Where:

- 49% = Portion of reduced waste heat that results in increased

⁶⁷⁷ This algorithm calculates the heat removed from the air by subtracting the HPWH electric consumption from the total water heating energy delivered. This is then adjusted to account for location of the HP unit and the coincidence of the waste heat with cooling requirements, the efficiency of the central cooling and latent cooling demands.

⁶⁷⁸ REMRate determined percentage (27%) of lighting savings that result in reduced cooling loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

⁶⁷⁹ A sensible heat ratio (SHR) of 0.75 corresponds to a latent multiplier of 4/3 or 1.33. SHR of 0.75 for typical split system from page 10 of “Controlling Indoor Humidity Using Variable-Speed Compressors and Blowers” by M. A. Andrade and C. W. Bullard, 1999: www.ideals.illinois.edu/bitstream/handle/2142/11894/TR151.pdf

heating load⁶⁸⁰

COP_{HEAT} = COP of electric heating system

= actual. If not available use⁶⁸¹:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP_{HEAT} (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

For example, a 2.0 EF heat pump water heater, in a conditioned space in a home with gas space heat and central air conditioning (SEER 10.5) in Belleville:

$$\Delta kWh = [(1 / 0.904 - 1 / 2.0) * 50 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125 - 54)] / 3412 + 185 - 0$$

$$= 2100 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

Hours = Full load hours of water heater

$$= 2533^{682}$$

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

$$= 0.12^{683}$$

⁶⁸⁰ REMRate determined percentage (49%) of lighting savings that result in increased heating loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

⁶⁸¹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁶⁸² Full load hours assumption based on Efficiency Vermont analysis of Itron eShapes.

⁶⁸³ Calculated from Figure 8 "Combined six-unit summer weekday average electrical demand" in FEMP study; Field Testing of Pre-Production Prototype Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/pdfs/tir_heatpump.pdf as (average kW usage during peak period * hours in peak period) / [(annual kWh savings / FLH) * hours in peak period] = (0.1 kW * 5 hours) / [(2100 kWh / 2533 hours) * 5 hours] = 0.12

For example, a 2.0 COP heat pump water heater, in a conditioned space in a home with gas space heat and central air conditioning in Belleville:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kW} &= 2100 / 2533 * 0.12 \\ &= 0.099 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = - \left(\left(\left(\text{GPD} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0 \right) / 3412 \right) - \left(\left(\text{GPD} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0 \right) / 3412 \right) / \text{EF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}} \right) * \text{LF} * 49\% * 0.03412 / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * \% \text{ Natural Gas})$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat for homes with Natural Gas heat.⁶⁸⁴
- 0.03412 = conversion factor (therms per kWh)
- η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
= Actual.⁶⁸⁵ If not available use 70%.⁶⁸⁶

⁶⁸⁴ This is the additional energy consumption required to replace the heat removed from the home during the heating season by the heat pump water heater. kWh_heating (electric resistance) is that additional heating energy for a home with electric resistance heat (COP 1.0). This formula converts the additional heating kWh for an electric resistance home to the MMBtu required in a Natural Gas heated home, applying the relative efficiencies.

⁶⁸⁵ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute:

(<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁶⁸⁶ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$

% Natural Gas = Factor dependent on heating fuel:

Heating System	%Natural Gas
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel ⁶⁸⁷	87%

Other factors as defined above

For example, a 2.0 COP heat pump water heater in conditioned space, in a home with gas space heat (70% system efficiency):

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = - (1582.9 * 1 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.7 * 1$$

$$= - 35.1 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-HPWH-V01-120601

⁶⁸⁷ 2010 American Community Survey.

5.4.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow faucet aerator in a household kitchen or bath faucet fixture.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be an energy efficient faucet aerator, for bathrooms rated at 1.5 gallons per minute (GPM) or less, or for kitchens rated at 2.2 GPM or less. Savings are calculated on an average savings per faucet fixture basis.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be a standard bathroom faucet aerator rated at 2.25 GPM or more, or a standard kitchen faucet aerator rated at 2.75 GPM or more.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 9 years⁶⁸⁸.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$8⁶⁸⁹ or program actual.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 2.2%⁶⁹⁰.

⁶⁸⁸ Table C-6, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

["http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf"](http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf)

⁶⁸⁹ Direct-install price per faucet assumes cost of aerator and install time. (2011, Market research average of \$3 and assess and install time of \$5 (20min @ \$15/hr)

⁶⁹⁰ Calculated as follows: Assume 18% aerator use takes place during peak hours (based on: <http://www.aquacraft.com/sites/default/files/pub/DeOreo-%282001%29-Disaggregated-Hot-Water-Use-in-Single-Family-Homes-Using-Flow-Trace-Analysis.pdf>) There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.18 * 65 / 365 = 3.21\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $3.21\% * 180 = 5.8$ hours of recovery during peak period where 180 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use including SF and MF homes. There are 260 hours in the

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

NOTE THESE SAVINGS ARE PER FAUCET RETROFITTED⁶⁹¹.

$$\Delta kWh = \%ElectricDHW * ((GPM_base * L_base - GPM_low * L_low) * Household * 365.25 * DF / FPH) * EPG_electric * ISR$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁶⁹²

GPM_base = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the baseline faucet “as-used”
 = 1.2⁶⁹³ or custom based on metering studies⁶⁹⁴

GPM_low = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the low-flow faucet aerator “as-used”
 = 0.94⁶⁹⁵ or custom based on metering studies⁶⁹⁶

peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is 5.8/260 = 0.022

⁶⁹¹ This algorithm calculates the amount of energy saved per aerator by determining the fraction of water consumption savings for the upgraded fixture.

⁶⁹² Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁶⁹³ Representative baseline flow rate for kitchen and bathroom faucet aerators from sources 2, 4, 5, and 7 (see source table at end of characterization). This accounts for all throttling and differences from rated flow rates. The most comprehensive available studies did not disaggregate kitchen use from bathroom use, but instead looked at total flow and length of use for all faucets. This makes it difficult to reliably separate kitchen water use from bathroom water use.

⁶⁹⁴ Measurement should be based on actual average flow consumed over a period of time rather than a onetime spot measurement for maximum flow. Studies have shown maximum flow rates do not correspond well to average flow rate due to occupant behavior which does not always use maximum flow.

⁶⁹⁵ Average retrofit flow rate for kitchen and bathroom faucet aerators from sources 2, 4, 5, and 7(see source table at end of characterization). This accounts for all throttling and differences from rated flow rates. Assumes all kitchen aerators at 2.2 gpm or less and all bathroom aerators at 1.5 gpm or less. The most comprehensive available studies did not disaggregate kitchen use from bathroom use, but instead looked at total flow and length of use for all faucets. This makes it difficult to reliably separate kitchen water use from bathroom water use. It is possible that programs installing low flow aerators lower than the 2.2 gpm for kitchens and 1.5 gpm for bathrooms will see a lower overall average retrofit flow rate.

⁶⁹⁶ Measurement should be based on actual average flow consumed over a period of time rather than a onetime

L_base = Average baseline length faucet use per capita for all faucets in minutes

= 9.85 min/person/day⁶⁹⁷ or custom based on metering studies

L_low = Average retrofit length faucet use per capita for all faucets in minutes

= 9.85 min/person/day⁶⁹⁸ or custom based on metering studies

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁶⁹⁹
Multi-Family - Deemed	2.1 ⁷⁰⁰
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁷⁰¹

365.25 = Days in a year, on average.

spot measurement for maximum flow. Studies have shown maximum flow rates do not correspond well to average flow rate due to occupant behavior which does not always use maximum flow.

⁶⁹⁷ This coincides with the middle of the range (6.74 min/person/day to 13.4 min/person/day) from sources 2, 3, 4, and 5 (See Source Table at end of measure section). A recent Midwest evaluation study included a small metering sample with measured faucet use at 4.5 min/person/day for kitchen faucets and 2.6 min/person/day for bathroom faucets. This sample was too small to extrapolate to the population as a whole, but is within the range of total faucet time per the referenced reports and confirms previous findings.

⁶⁹⁸ Set equal to L_base. Studies show conflicting results with some studies showing increased time for retrofit homes and some showing decreased time. Engineering judgment leads us to conclude that using the baseline time is a reasonable assumption.

⁶⁹⁹ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁷⁰⁰ ComEd PY3 Multi-Family Evaluation Report REVISED DRAFT v5 2011-12-08.docx

⁷⁰¹ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

DF = Drain Factor

Faucet Type	Drain Factor ⁷⁰²
Kitchen	75%
Bath	90%
Unknown	79.5%

FPH = Faucets Per Household

Faucet Type	FPH
Kitchen Faucets Per Home (KFPH)	1
Bathroom Faucets Per Home (BFPH): Single-Family	2.83 ⁷⁰³
Bathroom Faucets Per Home (BFPH): Multi-Family	1.5 ⁷⁰⁴

EPG_{electric} = Energy per gallon of water used by faucet supplied by electric water heater

$$= (8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{WaterTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{electric}} * 3412)$$

$$= (8.33 * 1.0 * (90 - 54.1)) / (0.98 * 3412)$$

$$= 0.0894 \text{ kWh/gal}$$

8.33 = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (btu/lb-F)

WaterTemp = Assumed temperature of mixed water

$$= 90\text{F}^{705}$$

SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house

$$= 54.1\text{F}^{706}$$

⁷⁰² Because faucet usages are at times dictated by volume, only usage of the sort that would go straight down the drain will provide savings. VEIC is unaware of any metering study that has determined this specific factor and so through consensus with the Illinois Technical Advisory Group have deemed these values to be 75% for the kitchen and 90% for the bathroom. If the aerator location is unknown an average of 79.5% should be used which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom $(0.7 * 0.75) + (0.3 * 0.9) = 0.795$.

⁷⁰³ Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

⁷⁰⁴ Ibid.

⁷⁰⁵ Temperature cited from SBW Consulting, Evaluation for the Bonneville Power Authority, 1994, http://www.bpa.gov/energy/n/reports/evaluation/residential/faucet_aerator.cfm. This is a variable that would benefit from further evaluation.

⁷⁰⁶ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet. For Chicago, IL http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/building_america/analysis_spreadsheets.html.

RE_electric = Recovery efficiency of electric water heater
 = 98%⁷⁰⁷

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (btu/kWh)

ISR = In service rate of faucet aerators dependant on install method as listed in table below⁷⁰⁸

Selection	ISR
Direct Install - Deemed	0.95
Self-Install - Deemed	0.48

For example, a direct installed faucet in a single-family electric DHW home:

$$\Delta kWh = 1.0 * ((1.2 * 9.85 - 0.94 * 9.85) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.795 / (1+2.83)) * 0.0894 * 0.95$$

$$= 42.2 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use

$$= ((GPM_base * L_base) * Household * 365.25 * DF) * 0.545^{709} / GPH$$

= 197 for SF; 162 for MF

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 65.9F temp rise (120-54.1), 98% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.

= 27.51

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

= 0.022⁷¹⁰.

⁷⁰⁷ Electric water heater have recovery efficiency of 98%: <http://www.ahrinet.org/ARI/util/showdoc.aspx?doc=576>

⁷⁰⁸ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8

⁷⁰⁹ 54.5% is the proportion of hot 120F water mixed with 54.1F supply water to give 90F mixed faucet water.

⁷¹⁰ Calculated as follows: Assume 18% aerator use takes place during peak hours (based on: <http://www.aquacraft.com/sites/default/files/pub/DeOreo-%282001%29-Disaggregated-Hot-Water-Use-in-Single->

For example, a direct installed faucet in a single family electric DHW home:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 48/197 * 0.022 \\ &= 0.005kW \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \% \text{FossilDHW} * ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * L_{\text{base}} - \text{GPM}_{\text{low}} * L_{\text{low}}) * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \text{DF} / \text{FPH}) * \text{EPG}_{\text{gas}} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

$\% \text{FossilDHW}$ = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	$\% \text{Fossil}_{\text{DHW}}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁷¹¹

EPG_{gas} = Energy per gallon of Hot water supplied by gas
 $= (8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{WaterTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{gas}} * 100,000)$

= 0.0040 Therm/gal for SF homes

= 0.0045 Therm/gal for MF homes

RE_{gas} = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

= 75% For SF homes⁷¹²

= 67% For MF homes⁷¹³

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (btu/Therm)

[Family-Homes-Using-Flow-Trace-Analysis.pdf](#) There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.18 * 65 / 365 = 3.21\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $3.21\% * 180 = 5.8$ hours of recovery during peak period where 180 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use including SF and MF homes. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $5.8 / 260 = 0.022$

⁷¹¹ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁷¹² DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 75%.

⁷¹³ MF hot water is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average is used for this analysis as a default.

Other variables as defined above.

For example, a direct-installed faucet aerator in a fuel DHW single-family home:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * ((1.2 * 9.85 - 0.94 * 9.85) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.795 / (1+2.83)) * 0.0040 * 0.95 \\ &= 1.89 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta\text{gallons} = ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * L_{\text{base}} - \text{GPM}_{\text{low}} * L_{\text{low}}) * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \text{DF} / \text{FPH}) * \text{ISR}$$

Variables as defined above

For example, a direct-installed aerator in a single family home

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{gallons} &= ((1.2 * 9.85 - 0.94 * 9.85) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.795 / (1+2.83)) * 0.95 \\ &= 472 \text{ gallons} \end{aligned}$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

SOURCES

Source ID	Reference
1	2011, DeOreo, William. California Single Family Water Use Efficiency Study. April 20, 2011.
2	2000, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo, and David Lewis. Seattle Home Water Conservation Study. December 2000.
3	1999, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential End Uses of Water. Published by AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association. 1999.
4	2003, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential Indoor Water Conservation Study. Aquacraft, Inc. Water Engineering and Management. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District and the US EPA. July 2003.
5	2011, DeOreo, William. Analysis of Water Use in New Single Family Homes. By Aquacraft. For Salt Lake City Corporation and US EPA. July 20, 2011.
6	2011, Aquacraft. Albuquerque Single Family Water Use Efficiency and Retrofit Study. For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority. December 1, 2011.
7	2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFFA-V01-120601

5.4.5 Low Flow Showerheads

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow showerhead in a single or multi-family household.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RF, NC, DI.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be an energy efficient showerhead rated at 2.0 gallons per minute (GPM) or less. Savings are calculated on a per showerhead fixture basis.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For Direct-install programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a standard showerhead rated at 2.5 GPM or greater.

For retrofit and time-of-sale programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a representative average of existing showerhead flow rates of participating customers including a range of low flow showerheads, standard-flow showerheads, and high-flow showerheads.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years⁷¹⁴.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$12⁷¹⁵ or program actual.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 2.78%⁷¹⁶.

⁷¹⁴ Table C-6, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007. Evaluations indicate that consumer dissatisfaction may lead to reductions in persistence, particularly in Multi-Family ,

["http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf"](http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf)

⁷¹⁵ Direct-install price per showerhead assumes cost of showerhead (Market research average of \$7 and assess and install time of \$5 (20min @ \$15/hr)

⁷¹⁶ Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on:

<http://www.aquacraft.com/sites/default/files/pub/DeOreo-%282001%29-Disaggregated-Hot-Water-Use-in-Single->

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Note these savings are per showerhead fixture

$$\Delta kWh = \%ElectricDHW * ((GPM_base * L_base - GPM_low * L_low) * Household * SPCD * 365.25 / SPH) * EPG_electric * ISR$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁷¹⁷

GPM_base = Flow rate of the baseline showerhead

Program	GPM_base
Direct-install	2.67 ⁷¹⁸
Retrofit or TOS	2.35 ⁷¹⁹

[Family-Homes-Using-Flow-Trace-Analysis.pdf](#)). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.11 * 65 / 365 = 1.96\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $1.96\% * 369 = 7.23$ hours of recovery during peak period, where 369 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use including SF and MF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $7.23 / 260 = 0.0278$

⁷¹⁷ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁷¹⁸ Based on measured data from Ameren IL EM&V of Direct-Install program. Program targets showers that are rated 2.5 GPM or above.

⁷¹⁹ Representative value from sources 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 (See Source Table at end of measure section) adjusted slightly upward to account for program participation which is expected to target customers with existing higher flow devices rather than those with existing low flow devices.

GPM_{low} = As-used flow rate of the low-flow showerhead, which may, as a result of measurements of program evaluations deviate from rated flows, see table below:

Rated Flow
2.0 GPM
1.75 GPM
1.5 GPM
Custom or Actual ⁷²⁰

L_{base} = Shower length in minutes with baseline showerhead
 = 8.20 min⁷²¹

L_{low} = Shower length in minutes with low-flow showerhead
 = 8.20 min⁷²²

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type ⁷²³	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁷²⁴
Multi-Family - Deemed	2.1 ⁷²⁵
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁷²⁶

SPCD = Showers Per Capita Per Day
 = 0.75⁷²⁷

365.25 = Days per year, on average.

⁷²⁰ Note that actual values may be either a) program-specific minimum flow rate, or b) program-specific evaluation-based value of actual effective flow-rate due to increased duration or temperatures. The latter increases in likelihood as the rated flow drops and may become significant at or below rated flows of 1.5 GPM. The impact can be viewed as the inverse of the throttling described in the footnote for baseline flowrate.

⁷²¹ Representative value from sources 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 (See Source Table at end of measure section)

⁷²² Set equal to L_{base}.

⁷²³ If household type is unknown, as may be the case for time of sale measures, then single family deemed value shall be used.

⁷²⁴ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁷²⁵ ComEd PY3 Multi-Family Evaluation Report REVISED DRAFT v5 2011-12-08.docx

⁷²⁶ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁷²⁷ Source ID 3

SPH = Showerheads Per Household so that per-showerhead savings fractions can be determined

Household Type	SPH
Single-Family	1.79 ⁷²⁸
Multi-Family	1.3 ⁷²⁹
Custom	Actual

EPG_{electric} = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by electric
 = $(8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{electric}} * 3412)$
 = $(8.33 * 1.0 * (105 - 54.1)) / (0.98 * 3412)$
 = 0.127 kWh/gal

8.33 = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (btu/lb-F)

ShowerTemp = Assumed temperature of water
 = 105F⁷³⁰

SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house
 = 54.1F⁷³¹

RE_{electric} = Recovery efficiency of electric water heater
 = 98%⁷³²

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (btu/kWh)

ISR = In service rate of showerhead
 = Dependant on program delivery method as listed in table below

Selection	ISR ⁷³³
Direct Install - Deemed	0.98

⁷²⁸ Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

⁷²⁹ Ibid.

⁷³⁰ Shower temperature cited from SBW Consulting, Evaluation for the Bonneville Power Authority, 1994, http://www.bpa.gov/energy/n/reports/evaluation/residential/faucet_aerator.cfm

⁷³¹ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet. For Chicago, IL http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/building_america/analysis_spreadsheets.html.

⁷³² Electric water heater have recovery efficiency of 98%: <http://www.ahrinet.org/ARI/util/showdoc.aspx?doc=576>

⁷³³ Deemed values are from ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8. Alternative ISRs may be developed for program delivery methods based on evaluation results.

Self-Install - Deemed	0.81
-----------------------	------

For example, a direct-installed 1.5 GPM showerhead in a single family home with electric DHW where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 1.0 * ((2.67 * 8.2 - 1.5 * 8.2) * 2.56 * 0.75 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.127 * 0.98 \\ &= 468 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use

$$= ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * L_{\text{base}}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25) * 0.773^{734} / \text{GPH}$$

= 431 for SF Direct Install; 354 for MF Direct Install

= 380 for SF Retrofit and TOS; 311 for MF Retrofit and TOS

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 65.9F temp rise (120-54.1), 98% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.

= 27.51

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

= 0.0278⁷³⁵

⁷³⁴ 77.3% is the proportion of hot 120F water mixed with 54.1F supply water to give 105F shower water.

⁷³⁵ Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on:

<http://www.aquacraft.com/sites/default/files/pub/DeOreo-%282001%29-Disaggregated-Hot-Water-Use-in-Single-Family-Homes-Using-Flow-Trace-Analysis.pdf>). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is 0.11*65/365 = 1.96%. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be 1.96% * 369 = 7.23 hours of recovery during peak period where 369 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use including SF and MF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is 7.23/260 = 0.0278

For example, a direct installed 1.5 GPM showerhead in a single family home with electric DHW where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 468/431 * 0.0278 \\ &= 0.030 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \% \text{FossilDHW} * ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * L_{\text{base}} - \text{GPM}_{\text{low}} * L_{\text{low}}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25 / \text{SPH}) * \text{EPG}_{\text{gas}} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

$\% \text{FossilDHW}$ = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	$\% \text{Fossil}_{\text{DHW}}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁷³⁶

EPG_{gas} = Energy per gallon of Hot water supplied by gas
 = $(8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{gas}} * 100,000)$
 = 0.0054 Therm/gal for SF homes
 = 0.0063 Therm/gal for MF homes

RE_{gas} = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater
 = 78% For SF homes⁷³⁷
 = 67% For MF homes⁷³⁸

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (btu/Therm)

Other variables as defined above.

⁷³⁶ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁷³⁷ DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

⁷³⁸ MF hot water is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average is used for this analysis as a default.

For example, a direct installed 1.5 GPM showerhead in a gas fired DHW single family home where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * ((2.67 * 8.2 - 1.5 * 8.2) * 2.56 * 0.75 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0054 * 0.98 \\ &= 19.9 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta\text{gallons} = ((\text{GPM_base} * \text{L_base} - \text{GPM_low} * \text{L_low}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25 / \text{SPH}) * \text{ISR}$$

Variables as defined above

For example, a direct installed 1.5 GPM showerhead where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{gallons} &= ((2.67 * 8.2 - 1.5 * 8.2) * 2.56 * 0.75 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.98 \\ &= 3438 \text{ gallons} \end{aligned}$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

SOURCES

Source ID	Reference
1	2011, DeOreo, William. California Single Family Water Use Efficiency Study. April 20, 2011.
2	2000, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo, and David Lewis. Seattle Home Water Conservation Study. December 2000.
3	1999, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential End Uses of Water. Published by AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association. 1999.
4	2003, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential Indoor Water Conservation Study. Aquacraft, Inc. Water Engineering and Management. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District and the US EPA. July 2003.
5	2011, DeOreo, William. Analysis of Water Use in New Single Family Homes. By Aquacraft. For Salt Lake City Corporation and US EPA. July 20, 2011.
6	2011, Aquacraft. Albuquerque Single Family Water Use Efficiency and Retrofit Study. For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority. December 1, 2011.
7	2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFSH-V01-120601

5.4.6 Water Heater Temperature Setback

DESCRIPTION

The thermostat setting of a hot water tank is lowered to 120 degrees. The savings are from the Connecticut TRM which considers that for some draws, the hot water flow will be increased to make up for the lower temperature, and that additional dishwasher's supplemental heating will be required.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: NC, RF, DI. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

High efficiency is a hot water tank with the thermostat set at 120 degrees.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a hot water tank with a thermostat setting that is higher than 120 degrees, typically systems with settings of 130 degrees or higher, this analysis assumes a 15 degree setback.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 2 years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost of a setback is assumed to be \$5 for contractor time.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 1.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For homes with electric DHW tanks:

$$\Delta\text{kWh} = 86.4 \text{ kWh}^{739}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \Delta\text{kWh} / \text{Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\text{Hours} = 8766$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CF} &= \text{Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kW} &= 86.4 / 8766 * 1 \\ &= 0.00986 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with gas water heaters:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = 6.4 \text{ therms}^{740}$$

$$\Delta\text{kWh} = -34.2 \text{ kWh}^{741}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-TMPS-V01-120601

⁷³⁹ All savings estimates are based on UL and CLP Program Savings Documentation, 2010. This is the net savings after taking into account increased use of dishwasher's supplemental heating.

http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/CT-UI_CLP_2010_PSD.pdf

⁷⁴⁰ All savings estimates are based on UL and CLP Program Savings Documentation, 2010. The Δ therms are the gross savings for a gas heater. http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/CT-UI_CLP_2010_PSD.pdf

⁷⁴¹ The Δ kWh accounts for the increased use of dishwasher's supplemental heating.

5.4.7 Water Heater Wrap

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to a Tank Wrap or insulation “blanket” that is wrapped around the outside of a hot water tank to reduce stand-by losses. This measure applies only for homes that have an electric water heater that is not already well insulated. Generally this can be determined based upon the appearance of the tank.⁷⁴²

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, DI.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure is a properly installed, R-8 or greater insulating tank wrap to reduce standby energy losses from the tank to the surrounding ambient area.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a standard electric domestic hot water tank without an additional tank wrap. Gas storage water heaters are excluded due to the limitations of retrofit wrapping and the associated impacts on reduced savings and safety.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 5 years⁷⁴³.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure will be the actual material cost of procuring and labor cost of installing the tank wrap.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

This measure assumes a flat loadshape and as such the coincidence factor is 1.

Algorithm

⁷⁴² Visually determine whether it is insulated by foam (newer, rigid, and more effective) or fiberglass (older, gives to gently pressure, and not as effective)

⁷⁴³ This estimate assumes the tank wrap is installed on an existing unit with 5 years remaining life.

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For electric DHW systems:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((U_{\text{base}}A_{\text{base}} - U_{\text{insul}}A_{\text{insul}}) * \Delta T * \text{Hours}) / (3.412 * \eta_{\text{DHW}})$$

Where:

- U_{base} = Overall heat transfer coefficient prior to adding tank wrap (Btu/Hr-F-ft²).
- U_{insul} = Overall heat transfer coefficient after addition of tank wrap (Btu/Hr-F-ft²).
- A_{base} = Surface area of storage tank prior to adding tank wrap (square feet)⁷⁴⁴
- A_{insul} = Surface area of storage tank after addition of tank wrap (square feet)⁷⁴⁵
- ΔT = Average temperature difference between tank water and outside air temperature (°F)
= 60°F⁷⁴⁶
- Hours = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year).
= 8766
- 3412 = Conversion from BTU to kWh
- η_{DHW} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater
= 0.98⁷⁴⁷

⁷⁴⁴ Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

⁷⁴⁵ Ibid.

⁷⁴⁶ Assumes 125°F water leaving the hot water tank and average temperature of basement of 65°F.

⁷⁴⁷ Electric water heater have recovery efficiency of 98%: <http://www.ahrinet.org/ARI/util/showdoc.aspx?doc=576>

The following table has default savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-VALUES.

Capacity (gal)	Rbase	Rinsul	Abase (ft ²) ⁷⁴⁸	Ainsul (ft ²) ⁷⁴⁹	ΔkWh	ΔkW
30	8	16	19.16	20.94	171	0.0195
30	10	18	19.16	20.94	118	0.0135
30	12	20	19.16	20.94	86	0.0099
30	8	18	19.16	20.94	194	0.0221
30	10	20	19.16	20.94	137	0.0156
30	12	22	19.16	20.94	101	0.0116
40	8	16	23.18	25.31	207	0.0236
40	10	18	23.18	25.31	143	0.0164
40	12	20	23.18	25.31	105	0.0120
40	8	18	23.18	25.31	234	0.0268
40	10	20	23.18	25.31	165	0.0189
40	12	22	23.18	25.31	123	0.0140
50	8	16	24.99	27.06	225	0.0257
50	10	18	24.99	27.06	157	0.0179
50	12	20	24.99	27.06	115	0.0131
50	8	18	24.99	27.06	255	0.0291
50	10	20	24.99	27.06	180	0.0206
50	12	22	24.99	27.06	134	0.0153
80	8	16	31.84	34.14	290	0.0331
80	10	18	31.84	34.14	202	0.0231
80	12	20	31.84	34.14	149	0.0170
80	8	18	31.84	34.14	328	0.0374
80	10	20	31.84	34.14	232	0.0265
80	12	22	31.84	34.14	173	0.0198

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / 8766 * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = kWh savings from tank wrap installation

8766 = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year).

CF = Summer Coincidence Factor for this measure

= 1.0

The table above has default kW savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-values.

⁷⁴⁸ Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation. Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

⁷⁴⁹ Assumptions from PA TRM. A_{insul} was calculated by assuming that the water heater wrap is a 2" thick fiberglass material.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-WRAP-V01-120601

5.5 Lighting End Use

5.5.1 ENERGY STAR Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL)

OFFICIAL MEASURE CODE

DESCRIPTION

A low wattage ENERGY STAR qualified compact fluorescent screw-in bulb (CFL) is installed in place of an incandescent screw-in bulb.

This characterization assumes that the CFL is installed in a residential location. If the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g. an upstream retail program), evaluation data could be used to determine an appropriate residential v commercial split. If this is not available, it is recommended to use this residential characterization for all installs in unknown locations to be appropriately conservative in savings assumptions.

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 will require all general-purpose light bulbs between 40 and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than current incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ends in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W in 2013 and 60W and 40W in 2014. The baseline for this measure will therefore become bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard.

To account for these new standards and the expected delay in clearing retail inventory, the first year annual savings for this measure is reduced for 100W equivalent bulbs in June 2012, for 75W equivalent bulbs in June 2013 and for 60 and 40W equivalent bulbs in June 2014.

In addition, since during the lifetime of a CFL, the baseline bulb will be replaced multiple times, the annual savings claim must also be reduced within the life of the measure. For example, for 60W equivalent bulbs installed in 2012, the full savings (as calculated below in the Algorithm) should be claimed for the first two years, but a reduced annual savings based on the EISA-compliant baseline should be claimed for the remainder of the measure life. The appropriate adjustment factors are provided in the 'Mid Life Baseline Adjustment' section below.

Finally, a provision in the EISA regulations requires that by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, in essence making the baseline equivalent to a current day CFL. Therefore the measure life (number of years that savings should be claimed) should be reduced once the assumed lifetime of the bulb exceeds 2020. Due to expected delay in clearing retail inventory and to account for the operating life of a halogen incandescent potentially spanning over 2020, this shift is assumed not to occur until mid-2020.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the high-efficiency equipment must be a standard ENERGY STAR qualified compact fluorescent lamp.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a standard incandescent light bulb, up until when EISA regulations dictate higher efficiency baseline bulbs. A 100W baseline bulb becomes a 72W bulb in June 2012, a 75W bulb becomes 53W in June 2012 and 60W and 40W bulbs become 43W and 29W respectively in June 2014. Annual

savings are reduced to account for this baseline shift within the life of a measure and the measure life is reduced to account for the baseline replacements becoming equivalent to a current day CFL by June 2020.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life (number of years that savings should be claimed) for bulbs installed June 2012 – May 2013 is assumed to be 5.2 years⁷⁵⁰. For bulbs installed June 2015 – May 2016, this would be reduced to 5 years and then for every subsequent year should be reduced by one year⁷⁵¹.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For the Retail (Time of Sale) measure, the incremental capital cost is \$1.90, from June 2012 – May 2013, \$1.80 from June 2013 – May 2014 and \$1.50 from June 2014 – May 2015⁷⁵².

For the Direct Install measure, the full cost of \$2.50 per bulb should be used.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

Residential and in-unit Multi Family:

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below⁷⁵³:

Lumen Range	NPV of baseline replacement costs		
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015
1490-2600	\$5.41	\$5.41	\$5.41
1050-1489	\$5.41	\$5.41	\$5.41
750-1049	\$4.48	\$5.41	\$5.41
310-749	\$4.48	\$5.41	\$5.41

⁷⁵⁰ Jump et al 2008: "Welcome to the Dark Side: The Effect of Switching on CFL Measure Life" indicates that the "observed life" of CFLs with an average rated life of 8000 hours (8000 hours is the average rated life of ENERGY STAR bulbs (http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=cfls.pr_crit_cfls) is 5.2 years.

⁷⁵¹ Since the replacement baseline bulb from 2020 on will be equivalent to a CFL, no additional savings should be claimed from that point. Due to expected delay in clearing stock from retail outlets and to account for the operating life of a halogen incandescent potentially spanning over 2020, this shift is assumed not to occur until mid-2020.

⁷⁵² Based on Northeast Regional Residential Lighting Strategy (RLS) report, prepared by EFG, D&R International, Ecova and Optimal Energy, applying sales weighting and phase-in of EISA regulations. Assumption is \$2.50 for CFL over three years and \$0.6 for baseline in 2012, \$0.70 in 2013 and \$1.00 in 2014 as more expensive EISA qualified bulbs become baseline.

⁷⁵³ See 'RES Standard CFL O&M calc.xls' for more details.

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement cost savings		
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015
1490-2600	\$1.22	\$1.22	\$1.22
1050-1489	\$1.22	\$1.22	\$1.22
750-1049	\$1.01	\$1.22	\$1.22
310-749	\$1.01	\$1.22	\$1.22

Multi Family common areas:

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below:

Lumen Range	NPV of baseline replacement costs		
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015
1490-2600	\$13.09	\$13.09	\$13.09
1050-1489	\$8.24	\$13.09	\$13.09
750-1049	\$4.36	\$8.24	\$13.09
310-749	\$4.36	\$8.24	\$13.09

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement cost savings		
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015
1490-2600	\$8.34	\$8.34	\$8.34
1050-1489	\$5.25	\$8.34	\$8.34
750-1049	\$2.78	\$5.25	\$8.34
310-749	\$2.78	\$5.25	\$8.34

LOADSHAPE

- Loadshape R06 - Residential Indoor Lighting
- Loadshape R07 - Residential Outdoor Lighting
- Loadshape C06 - Commercial Indoor Lighting⁷⁵⁴

⁷⁵⁴ For Multi Family common area lighting.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 9.5%⁷⁵⁵ for Residential and in-unit Multi Family bulbs and 75%⁷⁵⁶ for Multi Family common area bulbs.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours * WHFe$$

Where:

WattsBase = Based on lumens of CFL bulb and program year purchased / installed:

Minimum Lumens	Maximum Lumens	Incandescent Equivalent Pre-EISA 2007 (Watts _{Base})	Incandescent Equivalent Post-EISA 2007 (Watts _{Base})	Effective date from which Post – EISA 2007 assumption should be used
1490	2600	100	72	June 2012
1050	1489	75	53	June 2013
750	1049	60	43	June 2014
310	749	40	29	June 2014

WattsEE = Actual wattage of CFL purchased / installed

⁷⁵⁵ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. “ComEd Residential Energy Star Lighting Program Metering Study: Overview of Study Protocols” <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303835.pdf>

“Memo RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Date: May 27, 2011, To: David Nichols and ComEd Residential Lighting Interested Parties, From: Amy Buege and Jeremy Eddy; Navigant Evaluation Team” <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

⁷⁵⁶ Coincidence factor is based on healthcare/clinic value (used as proxy for multi family common area lighting with similar hours of use) developed using Equest models for various building types averaged across 5 climate zones for Illinois for the following building types.

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service.

Program	Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR)	2 nd year Installations	3 rd year Installations	Final Lifetime In Service Rate
Retail (Time of Sale)	69.5% ⁷⁵⁷	15.4%	13.1%	98.0% ⁷⁵⁸
Direct Install	96.9% ⁷⁵⁹			

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential and in-unit Multi Family	938 ⁷⁶⁰
Multi Family Common Areas	5,950 ⁷⁶¹
Exterior	1,825 ⁷⁶²
Unknown	1,000 ⁷⁶³

⁷⁵⁷ 1st year in service rate is based upon review of PY1-3 evaluations from ComEd and Ameren (see 'IL RES Lighting ISR.xls' for more information. The average first year ISR for each utility was calculated weighted by the number of bulbs in the each year's survey. This was then weighted by annual sales to give a statewide assumption.

⁷⁵⁸ The 98% Lifetime ISR assumption is based upon review of two evaluations:

'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study; "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009' and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report:, Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.' This implies that only 2% of bulbs purchased are never installed. The second and third year installations are based upon Ameren analysis of the Californian KEMA study showing that 54% of future installs occur in year 2 and 46% in year 3. The 2nd and 3rd year installations should be counted as part of those future program year savings.

⁷⁵⁹ Based upon review of the PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. This value includes bulb failures in the 1st year to be consistent with the Commission approval of annualization of savings for first year savings claims. ComEd PY2 All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Evaluation, Navigant Consulting, December 21, 2010. <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/287090.pdf>.

⁷⁶⁰ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

⁷⁶¹ Multi family common area lighting assumption is 16.3 hours per day (5950 hours per year) based on Focus on Energy Evaluation, ACES Deemed Savings Desk Review, November 2010.

⁷⁶² Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

⁷⁶³ Assumes 7% exterior lighting, based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

W_{HFe} = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling energy savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	W _{HFe}
Interior single family or unknown location	1.06 ⁷⁶⁴
Multi family in unit	1.04 ⁷⁶⁵
Multi family common area	1.04 ⁷⁶⁶
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

MID LIFE BASELINE ADJUSTMENT

During the lifetime of a CFL, a baseline incandescent bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. Since the baseline bulb changes over time the annual savings claim must be reduced within the life of the measure to account for this baseline shift.

For example, for 60W equivalent bulbs installed in 2012, the full savings (as calculated above in the Algorithm) should be claimed for the first two years, but a reduced annual savings claimed for the remainder of the measure life. The appropriate adjustment factors are provided below.

Lumen Range	Pre EISA WattsBase	Post EISA WattsBase	CFL Equivalent	Delta Watts Before EISA	Delta Watts After EISA	Mid Life Adjustment	Adjustment made from date
1490-2600	100	72	25	75	47	63%	N/A (2012 is already post EISA)
1050-1489	75	53	20	55	33	60%	June, 2013
750-1049	60	43	14	46	29	63%	June, 2014
310-749	40	29	11	29	18	62%	June, 2014

⁷⁶⁴ The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP$) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey; <http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.9%20Air%20Conditioning%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

⁷⁶⁵ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average); <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>

⁷⁶⁶ Ibid.

For example, a 20W standard CFL, 1200 lumen is purchased in 2012 and installed in a single family interior location:

First Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{1st\ year} &= ((75 - 20) / 1000) * 0.695 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 38\ kWh \end{aligned}$$

This value should be claimed in June 2012 – May 2013, but from June 2013 on savings for that same bulb should be reduced to (38 * 0.6 =) 22.8kWh for the remainder of the measure life. Note these adjustments should be applied to kW and fuel impacts.

Second Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{2nd\ year} &= ((53 - 20) / 1000) * 0.154 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 5.0\ kWh \end{aligned}$$

Note since this is now being installed in 2013 the baseline wattage is adjusted to 53W due to the EISA legislation.

Third Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{3rd\ year} &= ((53 - 20) / 1000) * 0.131 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 4.3\ kWh \end{aligned}$$

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta kWh^{767} = -(((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours * HF) / \eta_{Heat}$$

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
- = 49%⁷⁶⁸ for interior or unknown location
- = 0% for exterior or unheated location

⁷⁶⁷ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁷⁶⁸ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

η_{Heat} = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
 = actual. If not available use⁷⁶⁹:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

For example, a 20W standard CFL, 1200 lumen is purchased in 2012 and installed in home with 2.0 COP Heat Pump:

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{1\text{st year}} = - ((75 - 20) / 1000) * 0.695 * 938 * 0.49) / 2.0$$

$$= - 8.8 \text{ kWh}$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and baseline shift adjustment.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{WHFd} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family or unknown location	1.11 ⁷⁷⁰
Multi family in unit	1.07 ⁷⁷¹
Multi family common area	1.07 ⁷⁷²
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

⁷⁶⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁷⁷⁰ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁷⁷¹ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average);

<http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>.

⁷⁷² Ibid

Bulb Location	CF
Interior single family or unknown location	9.5% ⁷⁷³
Multi family in unit	9.5% ⁷⁷⁴
Multi family common area	75% ⁷⁷⁵

Other factors as defined above

For example, a 20W standard CFL, 1200 lumen is purchased and installed in a single family interior location in 2012:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((75 - 20) / 1000) * 0.695 * 1.11 * 0.095 \\ &= 0.004 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and baseline shift adjustment.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty if Natural Gas heated home (or if heating fuel is unknown):

$$\Delta \text{Therms}^{776} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
= 49%⁷⁷⁷ for interior or unknown location
= 0% for exterior or unheated location
- 0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms
- ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system
= 70%⁷⁷⁸

⁷⁷³ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. "ComEd Residential Energy Star Lighting Program Metering Study: Overview of Study Protocols"
<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303835.pdf>

"Memo RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Date: May 27, 2011, To: David Nichols and ComEd Residential Lighting Interested Parties, From: Amy Buege and Jeremy Eddy; Navigant Evaluation Team"
<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

⁷⁷⁴ Ibid.

⁷⁷⁵ Coincidence factor is based on healthcare/clinic value (used as proxy for multi family common area lighting with similar hours of use) developed using Equest models for various building types averaged across 5 climate zones for Illinois for the following building types.

⁷⁷⁶ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁷⁷⁷ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

For example, a 20W standard CFL, 1200 lumen is purchased and installed in a home in 2012:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= - ((75 - 20) / 1000) * 0.695 * 938 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.7 \\ &= - 0.86 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and baseline shift adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

In order to account for the shift in baseline due to the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, an equivalent annual levelized baseline replacement cost over the lifetime of the CFL is calculated (see RES Standard CFL O&M calc.xls). The key assumptions used in this calculation are documented below:

	Standard Incandescent	Efficient Incandescent
Replacement Cost	\$0.50	\$1.50
Component Rated Life (hrs)	1000	1000 ⁷⁷⁹

⁷⁷⁸ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>))

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$

⁷⁷⁹ The manufacturers of the new minimally compliant EISA Halogens are using regular incandescent lamps with halogen fill gas rather than halogen infrared to meet the standard and so the component rated life is equal to the standard incandescent.

Residential and in-unit Multi Family:

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below:

Lumen Range	NPV of baseline replacement costs		
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015
1490-2600	\$5.41	\$5.41	\$5.41
1050-1489	\$5.41	\$5.41	\$5.41
750-1049	\$4.48	\$5.41	\$5.41
310-749	\$4.48	\$5.41	\$5.41

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement cost savings		
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015
1490-2600	\$1.22	\$1.22	\$1.22
1050-1489	\$1.22	\$1.22	\$1.22
750-1049	\$1.01	\$1.22	\$1.22
310-749	\$1.01	\$1.22	\$1.22

Multi Family common areas:

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below:

Lumen Range	NPV of baseline replacement costs		
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015
1490-2600	\$13.09	\$13.09	\$13.09
1050-1489	\$8.24	\$13.09	\$13.09
750-1049	\$4.36	\$8.24	\$13.09
310-749	\$4.36	\$8.24	\$13.09

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement cost savings		
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015
1490-2600	\$8.34	\$8.34	\$8.34
1050-1489	\$5.25	\$8.34	\$8.34
750-1049	\$2.78	\$5.25	\$8.34
310-749	\$2.78	\$5.25	\$8.34

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-ESCF-V01-120601

5.5.2 ENERGY STAR Specialty Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL)

DESCRIPTION

An ENERGY STAR qualified specialty compact fluorescent bulb is installed in place of an incandescent specialty bulb. Specialty CFL bulbs are defined as lamps for general illumination that use fluorescent light emitting technology and an integrated electronic ballast with or without a standard Edison screw-base. Specialty bulbs defined in this characterization are exempt of the EISA 2007 standard and may include the following bulb types: three-way, plant light, daylight bulb, bug light, post light, globes G40, candelabra base, vibration service bulb, decorative candle with medium or intermediate base, shatter resistant, reflector (the exemption on reflector bulbs is expected to expire in 2014 for the following wattage and bulb type: 45 W (R20 and BR 19); 50W (R30, ER 30, BR 40, and ER 40); 65W (BR30, BR40, and ER 404)).

This characterization assumes that the specialty CFL is installed in a residential location. If the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g. an upstream retail program) evaluation data could be used to determine an appropriate residential vs. commercial split. If this is not available, it is recommended to use this residential characterization for all installs in unknown locations, to be appropriately conservative in savings assumptions.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Energy Star qualified specialty CFL bulb as defined above that is exempt from EISA 2007. Due to A-line and dimmable bulbs not being exempt from EISA, this measure characterization will be used most often for flood light/reflector/spotlight (until exemption expires), and globes, which make up the majority of specialty program bulbs.⁷⁸⁰

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a specialty incandescent light bulb.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 6.8 year⁷⁸¹.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For the Retail (Time of Sale) measure, the incremental capital cost for this measure is \$5⁷⁸².

For the Direct Install measure, the full cost of \$8.50 should be used.

⁷⁸⁰ Lighting and Appliance Evaluation – PY 2, Ameren Illinois, Prepared by The Cadmus Group Inc. / Energy Services, December 2010.

⁷⁸¹ The assumed measure life for the specialty bulb measure characterization was reported in "Residential Lighting Measure Life Study", Nexus Market Research, June 4, 2008 (measure life for markdown bulbs). Measure life estimate does not distinguish between equipment life and measure persistence. Measure life includes products that were installed and operated until failure (i.e., equipment life) as well as those that were retired early and permanently removed from service for any reason, be it early failure, breakage, or the respondent not liking the product (i.e., measure persistence).

⁷⁸² NEEP Residential Lighting Survey, 2011

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

Life of the baseline bulb is assumed to be 1.07 year⁷⁸³; baseline replacement cost is assumed to be \$3.5⁷⁸⁴.

LOADSHAPE

- Loadshape R06 - Residential Indoor Lighting
- Loadshape R07 - Residential Outdoor Lighting
- Loadshape C06 - Commercial Indoor Lighting⁷⁸⁵

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

Unlike standard CFLs that could be installed in any room, certain types of specialty CFLs are more likely to be found in specific rooms, which affects the coincident peak factor. Coincidence factors by bulb types are presented below⁷⁸⁶

Bulb Type	Peak CF
Three-way	0.081
A-bulb (covered)	***
Dimmable	***
Interior reflector (incl. dimmable)	0.095
Exterior reflector	0.184
Candelabra base and candle medium and intermediate base	0.122
Bug light	0.184
Post light (>100W)	0.184
Daylight	0.095
Plant light	0.095
Globe	0.116
Vibration or shatterproof	0.095
Specialty - Generic	0.095

***N/A, not exempt from EISA, use the standard bulb measure characterization

⁷⁸³ Assuming 1000 hour rated life for incandescent bulb: 1000/938 = 1.07

⁷⁸⁴ NEEP Residential Lighting Survey, 2011

⁷⁸⁵ For Multi Family common area lighting.

⁷⁸⁶ Lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation, results were used to calculate the average coincident peak factor in the rooms where the specialty bulbs are most likely to be installed. <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours * WHFe$$

Where:

WattsBase = Actual wattage equivalent of incandescent specialty bulb, use the table below to obtain the incandescent bulb equivalent wattage⁷⁸⁷; use 60W if unknown⁷⁸⁸

Incandescent Bulbs (watts)	Minimum Light Output (lumens)	Common ENERGY STAR Qualified Bulbs (Watts)
25	250	4 to 9
40	450	9 to 13
60	800	13 to 15
75	1,110	18 to 25
100	1,600	23 to 30
125	2,000	22 to 40
150	2,600	40 to 45

WattsEE = Actual wattage of energy efficient specialty bulb purchased, use 15W if unknown⁷⁸⁹

⁷⁸⁷ Based on ENERGY STAR equivalence table; http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=cfls.pr_cfls_lumens

⁷⁸⁸ A 2006-2008 California Upstream Lighting Evaluation found an average incandescent wattage of 61.7 Watts (KEMA, Inc, The Cadmus Group, Itron, Inc, PA Consulting Group, Jai J. Mitchell Analytics, Draft Evaluation Report: Upstream Lighting Program. Prepared for the California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division. December 10, 2009)

⁷⁸⁹ An evaluation (Energy Efficiency / Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: Residential Energy Star® Lighting http://ilsag.org/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/ComEd_Res_Lighting_PY2_Evaluation_Report_2010-12-21_Final.12113928.pdf) reported 13-17W as the most common specialty CFL wattage (69% of program bulbs). 2009 California data also reported an average CFL wattage of 15.5 Watts (KEMA, Inc, The Cadmus Group, Itron, Inc, PA Consulting Group, Jai J. Mitchell Analytics, Draft Evaluation Report: Upstream Lighting Program, Prepared for the California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division. December 10, 2009).

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service.

Program	Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR)	2 nd year Installations	3 rd year Installations	Final Lifetime In Service Rate
Retail (Time of Sale)	79.5% ⁷⁹⁰	10.0%	8.5%	98.0% ⁷⁹¹
Direct Install	96.9% ⁷⁹²			

Hours = Average hours of use per year, varies by bulb type as presented below:⁷⁹³

Bulb Type	Annual hours of use (HOU)
Three-way	897
A-bulb (covered)	***
Dimmable	***
Interior reflector (incl. dimmable)	938
Exterior reflector	1825
Candelabra base and candle medium and intermediate base	1328
Bug light	1825
Post light (>100W)	1825
Daylight	938
Plant light	938
Globe	1240
Vibration or shatterproof	938
Specialty - Generic	938

***N/A, not exempt from EISA, use the standard bulb measure characterization

WHFe = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

⁷⁹⁰ 1st year in service rate is based upon review of PY2-3 evaluations from ComEd (see 'IL RES Lighting ISR.xls' for more information. The average first year ISR was calculated weighted by the number of bulbs in the each year's survey.

⁷⁹¹ The 98% Lifetime ISR assumption is consistent with the assumption for standard CFLs (in the absence of evidence that it should be different for this bulb type) based upon review of two evaluations: 'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study; "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009' and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report:, Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.' This implies that only 2% of bulbs purchased are never installed. The second and third year installations are based upon Ameren analysis of the Californian KEMA study showing that 54% of future installs occur in year 2 and 46% in year 3. The 2nd and 3rd year installations should be counted as part of those future program year savings.

⁷⁹² Consistent with assumption for standard CFLs (in the absence of evidence that it should be different for this bulb type). Based upon review of the PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. This value includes bulb failures in the 1st year to be consistent with the Commission approval of annualization of savings for first year savings claims. ComEd PY2 All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Evaluation, Navigant Consulting, December 21, 2010. <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/287090.pdf>.

⁷⁹³ Hours of use by specialty bulb type calculated using the average hours of use in locations or rooms where each type of specialty bulb is most commonly found. Annual hours of use by location in the home from Docket No. 10-0520, ICC Staff Exhibit 1.4, RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Navigant, May 27, 2011. <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

Bulb Location	WHPe
Interior single family or unknown location	1.06 ⁷⁹⁴
Multi family in unit	1.04 ⁷⁹⁵
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

For example, a 15W specialty CFL replacing a 60W incandescent specialty bulb in single family interior location:

First Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh}_{1\text{st year}} &= ((60 - 15) / 1000) * 0.795 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 35.6 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Second Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh}_{2\text{nd year}} &= ((60 - 15) / 1000) * 0.1 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 4.5 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Third Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh}_{3\text{rd year}} &= ((60 - 15) / 1000) * 0.085 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 3.8 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta\text{kWh}^{796} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{Hours} * \text{HF}) / \eta_{\text{Heat}}$$

⁷⁹⁴ The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $\text{COP} = \text{EER} / 3.412 = 2.8\text{COP}$) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey; <http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.9%20Air%20Conditioning%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

⁷⁹⁵ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average); <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
 = 49%⁷⁹⁷ for interior or unknown location
 = 0% for exterior location
- η_{Heat} = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
 = actual. If not available use⁷⁹⁸:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

For example, a 15W specialty CFL replacing a 60W incandescent specialty bulb installed in home with 2.0 COP Heat Pump:

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{1\text{st year}} = - ((60 - 15) / 1000) * 0.795 * 938 * 0.49 / 2.0$$

$$= - 8.2 \text{ kWh}$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{WHFd} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

⁷⁹⁶ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁷⁹⁷ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁷⁹⁸ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting. The cooling savings are only added to the summer peak savings.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family or unknown location	1.11 ⁷⁹⁹
Multi family in unit	1.07 ⁸⁰⁰
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure. Coincidence factors by bulb types are presented below⁸⁰¹

Bulb Type	Peak CF
Three-way	0.081
A-bulb (covered)	***
Dimmable	***
Interior reflector (incl. dimmable)	0.095
Exterior reflector	0.184
Candelabra base and candle medium and intermediate base	0.122
Bug light	0.184
Post light (>100W)	0.184
Daylight	0.095
Plant light	0.095
Globe	0.116
Vibration or shatterproof	0.095
Specialty - Generic	0.095

***N/A, not exempt from EISA, use the standard bulb measure characterization

Other factors as defined above

For example, a 15W specialty CFL replacing a 60W incandescent specialty bulb:

$$\Delta kW_{1st\ year} = ((60 - 15) / 1000) * 0.795 * 1.11 * 0.095$$

$$= 0.004\ kW$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR.

⁷⁹⁹ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as 1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁸⁰⁰ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average); <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>.

⁸⁰¹ Lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation, results were used to calculate the average coincident peak factor in the rooms where the specialty bulbs are most likely to be installed.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty if Natural Gas heated home (or if heating fuel is unknown):

$$\Delta\text{Therms}^{802} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta\text{Heat}$$

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
= 49%⁸⁰³ for interior or unknown location
= 0% for exterior location
- 0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms
- ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system
= 70%⁸⁰⁴

For example, a 15W specialty CFL replacing a 60W incandescent specialty bulb:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= - (((60 - 15) / 1000) * 0.795 * 938 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.7 \\ &= - 0.80 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

⁸⁰² Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁸⁰³ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁸⁰⁴ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.)

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-ESCC-V01-120601

5.5.3 ENERGY STAR Torchiera

DESCRIPTION

A high efficiency ENERGY STAR fluorescent torchiera is purchased in place of a baseline mix of halogen and incandescent torchieres and installed in a residential setting.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the fluorescent torchiera must meet ENERGY STAR efficiency standards.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is based on a mix of halogen and incandescent torchieres.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The lifetime of the measure is assumed to be 8 years⁸⁰⁵.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be \$5⁸⁰⁶.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

Life of the baseline bulb is assumed to be 1.83 years⁸⁰⁷ for residential and multifamily in unit and 0.34 years⁸⁰⁸ for multifamily common area. Baseline bulb cost replacement is assumed to be \$6⁸⁰⁹.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R06 - Residential Indoor Lighting
Loadshape R07 - Residential Outdoor Lighting
Loadshape C06 - Commercial Indoor Lighting⁸¹⁰

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is 9.5%⁸¹¹ for Residential and in-unit Multi Family bulbs and

⁸⁰⁵ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

⁸⁰⁶ DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data (www.deeresources.com) and consistent with Efficiency Vermont TRM.

⁸⁰⁷ Based on assumption of baseline bulb (mix of incandescent and halogen) average rated life of 2000 hours, $2000/1095 = 1.83$ years.

⁸⁰⁸ $2000/5950 = 0.34$ years

⁸⁰⁹ Derived from Efficiency Vermont TRM.

⁸¹⁰ For Multi Family common area lighting.

⁸¹¹ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

75%⁸¹² for Multi Family common area bulbs.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((\Delta Watts) / 1000) * ISR * HOURS * WHFe$$

Where:

$\Delta Watts$ = Average delta watts per purchased ENERGY STAR torchiere
 = 115.8⁸¹³

ISR = In Service Rate or percentage of units rebated that get installed.
 = 0.86⁸¹⁴

HOURS = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential and in-unit Multi Family	1095 (3.0 hrs per day) ⁸¹⁵
Multi Family Common Areas	5950 ⁸¹⁶

“ComEd Residential Energy Star Lighting Program Metering Study: Overview of Study Protocols”

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303835.pdf>

“Memo RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Date: May 27, 2011, To: David Nichols and ComEd Residential Lighting Interested Parties, From: Amy Buege and Jeremy Eddy; Navigant Evaluation Team”

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

⁸¹² Coincidence factor is based on healthcare/clinic value (used as proxy for multi family common area lighting with similar hours of use) developed using Equest models for various building types averaged across 5 climate zones for Illinois for the following building types.

⁸¹³ Nexus Market Research, “Impact Evaluation of the Massachusetts, Rhode Island and Vermont 2003 Residential Lighting Programs”, Final Report, October 1, 2004, p. 43 (Table 4-9)

⁸¹⁴ Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics “Impact Evaluation of the Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Vermont 2003 Residential Lighting Programs” table 6-3 on p63 indicates that 86% torchieres were installed in year one.
http://publicservice.vermont.gov/energy/ee_files/efficiency/eval/marivtreportfinal100104.pdf

⁸¹⁵ Nexus Market Research, “Impact Evaluation of the Massachusetts, Rhode Island and Vermont 2003 Residential Lighting Programs”, Final Report, October 1, 2004, p. 104 (Table 9-7)

⁸¹⁶ Multi family common area lighting assumption is 16.3 hours per day (5950 hours per year) based on Focus on Energy Evaluation, ACES Deemed Savings Desk Review, November 2010.

WHFe = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFe
Interior single family or unknown location	1.06 ⁸¹⁷
Multi family in unit	1.04 ⁸¹⁸
Multi family common area	1.04 ⁸¹⁹
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

For single family buildings:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= (115.8 / 1000) * 0.86 * 1095 * 1.06 \\ &= 116 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

For multi family in unit:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= (115.8 / 1000) * 0.86 * 1095 * 1.04 \\ &= 113 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

For multi family common area:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= (115.8 / 1000) * 0.86 * 5950 * 1.04 \\ &= 616 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta\text{kWh}^{820} = - ((\Delta\text{Watts}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{HOURS} * \text{HF} / \eta_{\text{Heat}}$$

⁸¹⁷ The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $\text{COP} = \text{EER} / 3.412 = 2.8\text{COP}$) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey; <http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.9%20Air%20Conditioning%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

⁸¹⁸ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average); <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>

⁸¹⁹ Ibid.

⁸²⁰ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated

= 49%⁸²¹ for interior or unknown location

η_{Heat} = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual. If not available use defaults provided below⁸²²:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

For example, an ES torchiere installed in a house with a newer heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= - ((115.8) / 1000) * 0.86 * 1095 * 0.49) / 2.26 \\ &= - 23.6 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = ((\Delta Watts) / 1000) * ISR * WHFd * CF$$

Where:

⁸²¹ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁸²² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

WHFd = Waste Heat Factor for Demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family or unknown location	1.11 ⁸²³
Multi family in unit	1.07 ⁸²⁴
Multi family common area	1.07 ⁸²⁵
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

Bulb Location	CF
Interior single family or unknown location	9.5% ⁸²⁶
Multi family in unit	9.5% ⁸²⁷
Multi family common area	75% ⁸²⁸

For single family buildings:

$$\Delta kW = (115.8 / 1000) * 0.86 * 1.11 * 0.095$$

$$= 0.011kW$$

For multi family in unit:

$$\Delta kW = (115.8 / 1000) * 0.86 * 1.07 * 0.095$$

$$= 0.010 kW$$

For multi family common area:

$$\Delta kW = (115.8 / 1000) * 0.86 * 1.07 * 0.75$$

⁸²³ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁸²⁴ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average); <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>.

⁸²⁵ Ibid

⁸²⁶ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. "ComEd Residential Energy Star Lighting Program Metering Study: Overview of Study Protocols"

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303835.pdf>

"Memo RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Date: May 27, 2011, To: David Nichols and ComEd Residential Lighting Interested Parties, From: Amy Buege and Jeremy Eddy; Navigant Evaluation Team"

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

⁸²⁷ Ibid.

⁸²⁸ Coincidence factor is based on healthcare/clinic value (used as proxy for multi family common area lighting with similar hours of use) developed using Equest models for various building types averaged across 5 climate zones for Illinois for the following building types.

$$= 0.080 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if Natural Gas heated home, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta\text{Therms}_{\text{WH}} = - ((\Delta\text{Watts}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{HOURS} * 0.03412 * \text{HF} / \eta\text{Heat}$$

Where:

$$\Delta\text{Therms}_{\text{WH}} = \text{gross customer annual heating fuel increased usage for the measure from the reduction in lighting heat in therms.}$$

$$0.03412 = \text{conversion from kWh to therms}$$

$$\text{HF} = \text{Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated}$$

$$= 49\%^{829}$$

$$\eta\text{Heat} = \text{average heating system efficiency}$$

$$= 70\%^{830}$$

$$\Delta\text{Therms}_{\text{WH}} = - ((115.8 / 1000) * 0.86 * 1095 * 0.03412 * 0.49) / 0.70$$

$$= - 2.60 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

Life of the baseline bulb is assumed to be 1.83 years⁸³¹ for residential and multifamily in unit and 0.34 years⁸³² for

⁸²⁹ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁸³⁰ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls) In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

⁸³¹ Based on VEIC assumption of baseline bulb (mix of incandescent and halogen) average rated life of 2000 hours, 2000/1095 = 1.83 years.

⁸³² 2000/5950 = 0.34 years

multifamily common area. Baseline bulb cost replacement is assumed to be \$6.⁸³³

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-ESTO-V01-120601

⁸³³ Derived from Efficiency Vermont TRM.

5.5.4 Exterior Hardwired Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL) Fixture

DESCRIPTION

An ENERGY STAR lighting fixture wired for exclusive use with pin-based compact fluorescent lamps is installed in an exterior residential setting. This measure could relate to either a fixture replacement or new installation (i.e. time of sale).

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 will require all general-purpose light bulbs between 40 and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than current incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ends in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W in 2013 and 60W and 40W in 2014. The baseline for this measure will therefore become bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard.

To account for these new standards and the expected delay in clearing retail inventory, the first year annual savings for this measure is reduced for 100W equivalent bulbs in June 2012, for 75W equivalent bulbs in June 2013 and for 60 and 40W equivalent bulbs in June 2014.

In addition, since during the lifetime of a CFL, the baseline bulb will be replaced multiple times, the annual savings claim must also be reduced within the life of the measure. For example, for 60W equivalent bulbs installed in 2012, the full savings (as calculated below in the Algorithm) should be claimed for the first two years, but a reduced annual savings based on the EISA-compliant baseline should be claimed for the remainder of the measure life. The appropriate adjustment factors are provided in the 'Mid Life Baseline Adjustment' section below.

Finally, a provision in the EISA regulations requires that by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, in essence making the baseline equivalent to a current day CFL. Therefore the measure life (number of years that savings should be claimed) should be reduced once the assumed lifetime of the bulb exceeds 2020. Due to expected delay in clearing retail inventory and to account for the operating life of a halogen incandescent potentially spanning over 2020, this shift is assumed not to occur until mid-2020.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is an ENERGY STAR lighting exterior fixture for pin-based compact fluorescent lamps.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a standard incandescent exterior fixture.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected life of an interior fixture is 20 years⁸³⁴. However due to the backstop provision in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 that requires by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, the baseline replacement would become a CFL in that year. The expected measure life for CFL fixtures installed June 2012 – May 2013 is therefore assumed to be 8 years. For bulbs installed June 2013 –

⁸³⁴ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007 (<http://www.ctsavesenergy.org/files/Measure%20Life%20Report%202007.pdf>) gives 20 years for an interior fluorescent fixture.

May 2014, this would be reduced to 7 years and should be reduced each year⁸³⁵.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for an interior fixture is assumed to be \$17⁸³⁶.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below⁸³⁷:

Lumen Range	NPV of replacement costs per bulb			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$18.34	\$16.28	\$14.12	\$1.90
1050-1489	\$17.36	\$16.28	\$14.12	
750-1049	\$15.50	\$15.30	\$14.12	
310-749	\$15.50	\$15.30	\$14.12	

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement costs per bulb			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$2.86	\$2.54	\$2.20	\$0.30
1050-1489	\$2.71	\$2.54	\$2.20	
750-1049	\$2.42	\$2.39	\$2.20	
310-749	\$2.42	\$2.39	\$2.20	

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R07 - Residential Outdoor Lighting

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 0.4%⁸³⁸.

⁸³⁵ Due to expected delay in clearing stock from retail outlets and to account for the operating life of a halogen incandescent potentially spanning over 2020, this shift is assumed not to occur until mid-2020.

⁸³⁶ ENERGY STAR Qualified Lighting Savings Calculator default incremental cost input for exterior fixture (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/LightingCalculator.xlsx?b299-55ae&b299-55ae)

⁸³⁷ See 'RES CFL Fixture O&M calc.xls' for more details.

⁸³⁸ Estimated based on Commercial Outdoor Lighting coincidence factor calculation from analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. Residential Outdoor Lighting is not provided in

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours$$

Where:

WattsBase = Based on lumens of CFL bulb and program year purchased:

Minimum Lumens	Maximum Lumens	Incandescent Equivalent Pre-EISA 2007 (Watts _{Base})	Incandescent Equivalent Post-EISA 2007 (Watts _{Base})	Effective date from which Post – EISA 2007 assumption should be used
1490	2600	100	72	June 2012
1050	1489	75	53	June 2013
750	1049	60	43	June 2014
310	749	40	29	June 2014

WattsEE = Actual wattage of CFL purchased

this data set.

ISR = In Service Rate or the percentage of units rebated that get installed.

Program	Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR)	2 nd year Installations	3 rd year Installations	Final Lifetime In Service Rate
Retail (Time of Sale)	87.5% ⁸³⁹	5.7%	4.8%	98.0% ⁸⁴⁰

Hours = Average hours of use per year

=1643 (4.5 hrs per day)⁸⁴¹

MID LIFE BASELINE ADJUSTMENT

During the lifetime of a CFL, a baseline incandescent bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. Since the baseline bulb changes over time the annual savings claim must be reduced within the life of the measure to account for this baseline shift.

For example, for 60W equivalent bulbs installed in 2012, the full savings (as calculated above in the Algorithm) should be claimed for the first two years, but a reduced annual savings claimed for the remainder of the measure life. The appropriate adjustment factors are provided below.

Lumen Range	Pre EISA WattsBase	Post EISA WattsBase	CFL Equivalent	Delta Watts Before EISA	Delta Watts After EISA	Mid Life Adjustment	Adjustment made from date
1490-2600	100	72	25	75	47	63%	N/A (2012 is already post EISA)
1050-1489	75	53	20	55	33	60%	June, 2013
750-1049	60	43	14	46	29	63%	June, 2014
310-749	40	29	11	29	18	62%	June, 2014

⁸³⁹ 1st year in service rate is based upon review of PY2-3 evaluations from ComEd (see 'IL RES Lighting ISR.xls' for more information. The average first year ISR was calculated weighted by the number of bulbs in the each year's survey.

⁸⁴⁰ The 98% Lifetime ISR assumption is consistent with the assumption for standard CFLs (in the absence of evidence that it should be different for this bulb type) based upon review of two evaluations:

'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study; "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009' and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report:, Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.' This implies that only 2% of bulbs purchased are never installed. The second and third year installations are based upon Ameren analysis of the Californian KEMA study showing that 54% of future installs occur in year 2 and 46% in year 3. The 2nd and 3rd year installations should be counted as part of those future program year savings.

⁸⁴¹ Updated results from above study, presented in 2005 memo;

http://publicservice.vermont.gov/energy/ee_files/efficiency/eval/marivtfinalresultsmemodelivered.pdf

For example, a 2 x 20W 1200 lumen lamp CFL fixture is purchased in 2012:

First Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((150 - 40) / 1000) * 0.875 * 1643 \\ &= 158 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

This value should be claimed in June 2012 – May 2013, but from June 2013 on savings for that same bulb should be reduced to (158 * 0.6) 94.8 kWh for the remainder of the measure life. Note these adjustments should be applied to kW and fuel impacts.

Second Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{2nd \text{ year}} &= ((106 - 40) / 1000) * 0.057 * 1643 \\ &= 6.2 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Note since this is now being installed in 2013 the baseline is adjusted to 2*53W due to EISA legislation

Third Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{3rd \text{ year}} &= ((106 - 40) / 1000) * 0.048 * 1643 \\ &= 5.2 \text{ kWh.} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CF} &= \text{Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.} \\ &= 0.4\%^{842} \end{aligned}$$

Other factors as defined above

⁸⁴² Estimated based on Commercial Outdoor Lighting coincidence factor calculation from analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. Residential Outdoor Lighting is not provided in this data set.

For example, a 2 x 20W 1200 lumen lamp CFL fixture is purchased in 2012:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{1st\ year} &= ((150 - 40) / 1000) * 0.875 * 0.004 \\ &= 0.0004\ kW \end{aligned}$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and baseline shift adjustment.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

In order to account for the shift in baseline due to the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, an equivalent annual levelized baseline replacement cost over the lifetime of the CFL is calculated (see 'RES CFL Fixture O&M calc.xls'). The key assumptions used in this calculation are documented below⁸⁴³:

	Standard Incandescent	Efficient Incandescent	CFL
Replacement Cost	\$0.50	\$1.50	\$2.50
Component Rated Life (hrs)	1000	1000 ⁸⁴⁴	8000

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below:

Lumen Range	NPV of replacement costs per bulb			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	
1490-2600	\$18.34	\$16.28	\$14.12	\$1.90
1050-1489	\$17.36	\$16.28	\$14.12	
750-1049	\$15.50	\$15.30	\$14.12	
310-749	\$15.50	\$15.30	\$14.12	

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented

⁸⁴³ See 'RES CFL Fixture O&M calc.xls' for more details.

⁸⁴⁴ The manufacturers of the new minimally compliant EISA Halogens are using regular incandescent lamps with halogen fill gas rather than halogen infrared to meet the standard and so the component rated life is equal to the standard incandescent.

below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement costs per bulb			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$2.86	\$2.54	\$2.20	\$0.30
1050-1489	\$2.71	\$2.54	\$2.20	
750-1049	\$2.42	\$2.39	\$2.20	
310-749	\$2.42	\$2.39	\$2.20	

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-EFIX-V01-120601

5.5.5 Interior Hardwired Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL) Fixture

DESCRIPTION

An ENERGY STAR lighting fixture wired for exclusive use with pin-based compact fluorescent lamps is installed in an interior residential setting. This measure could relate to either a fixture replacement or new installation (i.e. time of sale).

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 will require all general-purpose light bulbs between 40 and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than current incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ends in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W in 2013 and 60W and 40W in 2014. The baseline for this measure will therefore become bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard.

To account for these new standards and the expected delay in clearing retail inventory, the first year annual savings for this measure is reduced for 100W equivalent bulbs in June 2012, for 75W equivalent bulbs in June 2013 and for 60 and 40W equivalent bulbs in June 2014.

In addition, since during the lifetime of a CFL, the baseline bulb will be replaced multiple times, the annual savings claim must also be reduced within the life of the measure. For example, for 60W equivalent bulbs installed in 2012, the full savings (as calculated below in the Algorithm) should be claimed for the first two years, but a reduced annual savings based on the EISA-compliant baseline should be claimed for the remainder of the measure life. The appropriate adjustment factors are provided in the 'Mid Life Baseline Adjustment' section below.

Finally, a provision in the EISA regulations requires that by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, in essence making the baseline equivalent to a current day CFL. Therefore the measure life (number of years that savings should be claimed) should be reduced once the assumed lifetime of the bulb exceeds 2020. Due to expected delay in clearing retail inventory and to account for the operating life of a halogen incandescent potentially spanning over 2020, this shift is assumed not to occur until mid-2020.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is an ENERGY STAR lighting interior fixture for pin-based compact fluorescent lamps.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a standard incandescent interior fixture.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected life of an interior fixture is 20 years⁸⁴⁵. However due to the backstop provision in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 that requires by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, the baseline replacement would become equivalent to a CFL in that year. The expected measure life for CFL fixtures installed June 2012 – May 2013 is therefore assumed to be 8 years. For bulbs installed

⁸⁴⁵ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007 (<http://www.ctsavesenergy.org/files/Measure%20Life%20Report%202007.pdf>) gives 20 years for an interior fluorescent fixture.

June 2013 – May 2014, this would be reduced to 7 years and should be reduced each year⁸⁴⁶.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for an interior fixture is assumed to be \$32⁸⁴⁷.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS⁸⁴⁸

Residential and in-unit Multi Family:

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below:

Lumen Range	NPV of replacement costs per bulb			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$8.44	\$7.41	\$6.32	\$0.00 (No replacements within measure life)
1050-1489	\$8.44	\$7.41	\$6.32	
750-1049	\$7.50	\$7.41	\$6.32	
310-749	\$7.50	\$7.41	\$6.32	

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement costs per bulb			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$1.32	\$1.16	\$0.99	\$0.00 (No replacements within measure life)
1050-1489	\$1.32	\$1.16	\$0.99	
750-1049	\$1.17	\$1.16	\$0.99	
310-749	\$1.17	\$1.16	\$0.99	

Multi Family common areas:

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below:

⁸⁴⁶ Due to expected delay in clearing stock from retail outlets and to account for the operating life of a halogen incandescent potentially spanning over 2020, this shift is assumed not to occur until mid-2020.

⁸⁴⁷ ENERGY STAR Qualified Lighting Savings Calculator default incremental cost input for interior fixture (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/LightingCalculator.xlsx?b299-55ae&b299-55ae)

⁸⁴⁸ See 'RES CFL Fixture O&M calc.xls' for more details.

Lumen Range	NPV of replacement costs			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$57.47	\$51.35	\$44.90	\$4.89
1050-1489	\$52.62	\$51.35	\$44.90	
750-1049	\$47.08	\$46.50	\$44.90	
310-749	\$47.08	\$46.50	\$44.90	

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement cost savings			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$8.97	\$8.02	\$7.01	\$0.76
1050-1489	\$8.22	\$8.02	\$7.01	
750-1049	\$7.35	\$7.26	\$7.01	
310-749	\$7.35	\$7.26	\$7.01	

LOADSHAPE

- Loadshape R06 - Residential Indoor Lighting
- Loadshape C06 - Commercial Indoor Lighting⁸⁴⁹

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 9.5%⁸⁵⁰ for Residential and in-unit Multi Family bulbs and 75%⁸⁵¹ for Multi Family common area bulbs.

⁸⁴⁹ For Multi Family common area lighting.

⁸⁵⁰ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. "ComEd Residential Energy Star Lighting Program Metering Study: Overview of Study Protocols" <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303835.pdf>

"Memo RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Date: May 27, 2011, To: David Nichols and ComEd Residential Lighting Interested Parties, From: Amy Buege and Jeremy Eddy; Navigant Evaluation Team" <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

⁸⁵¹ Coincidence factor is based on healthcare/clinic value (used as proxy for multi family common area lighting with similar hours of use) developed using Equest models for various building types averaged across 5 climate zones for Illinois for the following building types.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours * WHFe$$

Where:

WattsBase = Based on lumens of CFL bulb and program year purchased:

Minimum Lumens	Maximum Lumens	Incandescent Equivalent Pre-EISA 2007 (Watts _{Base})	Incandescent Equivalent Post-EISA 2007 (Watts _{Base})	Effective date from which Post – EISA 2007 assumption should be used
1490	2600	100	72	June 2012
1050	1489	75	53	June 2013
750	1049	60	43	June 2014
310	749	40	29	June 2014

WattsEE = Actual wattage of CFL purchased

ISR = In Service Rate or the percentage of units rebated that get installed.

Program	Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR)	2 nd year Installations	3 rd year Installations	Final Lifetime In Service Rate
Retail (Time of Sale)	87.5% ⁸⁵²	5.7%	4.8%	98.0% ⁸⁵³

⁸⁵² 1st year in service rate is based upon review of PY2-3 evaluations from ComEd (see 'IL RES Lighting ISR.xls' for more information. The average first year ISR was calculated weighted by the number of bulbs in the each year's survey.

⁸⁵³ The 98% Lifetime ISR assumption is consistent with the assumption for standard CFLs (in the absence of evidence that it should be different for this bulb type) based upon review of two evaluations: 'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study; "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009' and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report:, Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.' This implies that only 2% of bulbs purchased are never installed. The second and third year installations are based upon Ameren analysis of the Californian KEMA study showing that 54% of future installs occur in year 2 and 46% in year 3. The 2nd and 3rd year installations should be counted as part of those future program year savings.

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential and in-unit Multi Family	938 ⁸⁵⁴
Multi Family Common Areas	5950 ⁸⁵⁵

WHFe = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling energy savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFe
Interior single family or unknown location	1.06 ⁸⁵⁶
Multi family in unit	1.04 ⁸⁵⁷
Multi family common area	1.04 ⁸⁵⁸
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

MID LIFE BASELINE ADJUSTMENT

During the lifetime of a CFL, a baseline incandescent bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. Since the baseline bulb changes over time the annual savings claim must be reduced within the life of the measure to account for this baseline shift.

⁸⁵⁴ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

⁸⁵⁵ Multi family common area lighting assumption is 16.3 hours per day (5950 hours per year) based on Focus on Energy Evaluation, ACES Deemed Savings Desk Review, November 2010.

⁸⁵⁶ The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP$) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey;

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.9%20Air%20Conditioning%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

⁸⁵⁷ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average);

<http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>

⁸⁵⁸ Ibid.

For example, for 60W equivalent bulbs installed in 2012, the full savings (as calculated above in the Algorithm) should be claimed for the first two years, but a reduced annual savings claimed for the remainder of the measure life. The appropriate adjustment factors are provided below.

Lumen Range	Pre EISA WattsBase	Post EISA WattsBase	CFL Equivalent	Delta Watts Before EISA	Delta Watts After EISA	Mid Life Adjustment	Adjustment made from date
1490-2600	100	72	25	75	47	63%	N/A (2012 is already post EISA)
1050-1489	75	53	20	55	33	60%	June, 2013
750-1049	60	43	14	46	29	63%	June, 2014
310-749	40	29	11	29	18	62%	June, 2014

For example, a 2 x 20W 1200 lumen lamp CFL fixture is purchased in 2012 and installed in single family interior location:

First Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((150 - 40) / 1000) * 0.875 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 95.7 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

This value should be claimed in June 2012 – May 2013, but from June 2013 on savings for that same bulb should be reduced to $(95.7 * 0.6 =) 57.4 \text{ kWh}$ for the remainder of the measure life. Note these adjustments should be applied to kW and fuel impacts.

Second Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{2nd \text{ year}} &= ((106 - 40) / 1000) * 0.057 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 3.7 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Note since this is now being installed in 2013 the baseline is adjusted to 2*53W due to EISA legislation

Third Year Installs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{3rd \text{ year}} &= ((106 - 40) / 1000) * 0.048 * 938 * 1.06 \\ &= 3.1 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated building:

$$\Delta kWh^{859} = - (((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours * HF) / \eta_{Heat}$$

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
 = 49%⁸⁶⁰ for interior or unknown location
 = 0% for unheated location
- η_{Heat} = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
 = actual. If not available use⁸⁶¹:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

For example, a 2 x 20W 1200 lumen lamp CFL is purchased in 2012 and installed in home with 2.0 COP Heat Pump:

$$\Delta kWh_{1st\ year} = - (((150 - 40) / 1000) * 0.875 * 938 * 0.49) / 2.0$$

$$= - 22\ kWh$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and baseline shift adjustment

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = ((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1\ 000) * ISR * WHFd * CF$$

Where:

⁸⁵⁹ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁸⁶⁰ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁸⁶¹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family or unknown location	1.11 ⁸⁶²
Multi family in unit	1.07 ⁸⁶³
Multi family common area	1.07 ⁸⁶⁴
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Interior single family or unknown location	9.5% ⁸⁶⁵
Multi family in unit	9.5% ⁸⁶⁶
Multi family common area	75% ⁸⁶⁷

Other factors as defined above

For example, a 2 x 20W 1200 lumen lamp CFL is purchased in 2012 and installed in home with 2.0 COP Heat Pump:

$$\Delta kW_{1st\ year} = ((150 - 40) / 1000) * 0.875 * 1.11 * 0.095$$

$$= 0.01\ kW$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and baseline shift adjustment.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms^{868} = - (((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412) / \eta_{Heat}$$

⁸⁶² The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as 1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁸⁶³ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average); <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls> .

⁸⁶⁴ Ibid

⁸⁶⁵ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. "ComEd Residential Energy Star Lighting Program Metering Study: Overview of Study Protocols"

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303835.pdf>

"Memo RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Date: May 27, 2011, To: David Nichols and ComEd Residential Lighting Interested Parties, From: Amy Buege and Jeremy Eddy; Navigant Evaluation Team"

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

⁸⁶⁶ Ibid.

⁸⁶⁷ Coincidence factor is based on healthcare/clinic value (used as proxy for multi family common area lighting with similar hours of use) developed using Equest models for various building types averaged across 5 climate zones for Illinois for the following building types.

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
= 49%⁸⁶⁹ for interior or unknown location
= 0% for unheated location
- 0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms
- η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
= 70%⁸⁷⁰

For example, a 2 x 20W 1200 lumen lamp CFL is purchased in 2012 and installed in home with gas heat at 70% efficiency:

$$\Delta \text{Therms}_{\text{1st year}} = -((150 - 40) / 1000) * 0.875 * 938 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.7$$

$$= - 2.2 \text{ Therms}$$

Second and third year savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and baseline shift adjustment

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

In order to account for the shift in baseline due to the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, an equivalent annual levelized baseline replacement cost over the lifetime of the CFL is calculated (see 'RES CFL Fixture O&M calc.xls'). The key assumptions used in this calculation are documented below:

	Standard Incandescent	Efficient Incandescent	CFL
Replacement Cost	\$0.50	\$1.50	\$2.50

⁸⁶⁸ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁸⁶⁹ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁸⁷⁰ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>))

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$

Component Rated Life (hrs)	1000	1000 ⁸⁷¹	8000 (or 10,000 for multifamily common areas)
----------------------------	------	---------------------	---

Residential and in-unit Multi Family:

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below:

Lumen Range	NPV of replacement costs per bulb			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$8.44	\$7.41	\$6.32	\$0.00 (No replacements within measure life)
1050-1489	\$8.44	\$7.41	\$6.32	
750-1049	\$7.50	\$7.41	\$6.32	
310-749	\$7.50	\$7.41	\$6.32	

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement costs per bulb			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$1.32	\$1.16	\$0.99	\$0.00 (No replacements within measure life)
1050-1489	\$1.32	\$1.16	\$0.99	
750-1049	\$1.17	\$1.16	\$0.99	
310-749	\$1.17	\$1.16	\$0.99	

Multi Family common areas:

The Net Present Value of the baseline replacement costs for each CFL lumen range and installation year (2012 - 2016) are presented below:

Lumen Range	NPV of replacement costs			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$57.47	\$51.35	\$44.90	\$4.89
1050-1489	\$52.62	\$51.35	\$44.90	

⁸⁷¹ The manufacturers of the new minimally compliant EISA Halogens are using regular incandescent lamps with halogen fill gas rather than halogen infrared to meet the standard and so the component rated life is equal to the standard incandescent.

750-1049	\$47.08	\$46.50	\$44.90	
310-749	\$47.08	\$46.50	\$44.90	

The annual levelized baseline replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 5.23% are presented below:

Lumen Range	Levelized annual replacement cost savings			
	Baseline			Efficient
	June 2012 - May 2013	June 2013 - May 2014	June 2014 - May 2015	All
1490-2600	\$8.97	\$8.02	\$7.01	\$0.76
1050-1489	\$8.22	\$8.02	\$7.01	
750-1049	\$7.35	\$7.26	\$7.01	
310-749	\$7.35	\$7.26	\$7.01	

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-IFIX-V01-120601

5.5.6 LED Downlights

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from a variety of LED downlight lamp types. Other LED lamp types are currently available (e.g. A-lamps) but the significant incremental cost and minimal efficacy improvements over CFLs mean that they are unlikely to represent a viable measure at this time. As prices continue to drop and improvements in efficacy continue, this will be revisited in future versions.

This characterization assumes that the LED lamp or fixture is installed in a residential location. Where the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g. an upstream retail program) evaluation data could be used to determine an appropriate residential v commercial split. If this is not available, it is recommended to use this residential characterization for all installs in unknown locations to be appropriately conservative in savings assumptions.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be an ENERGY STAR LED lamp or fixture.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be an incandescent/halogen lamp for all lamp types.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is given in the following table.⁸⁷²

Bulb Type	Measure Life (yr)
PAR20, PAR30, PAR38 screw-in lamps	10
MR16/PAR16 pin-based lamps	10
Recessed downlight luminaries	15
Track lights	15

⁸⁷² Limited by persistence. NEEP EMV Emerging Technologies Research Report (December 2011)

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The price of LED lamps is falling quickly. Where possible the actual cost should be used and compared to the baseline cost provided below. If the incremental cost is unknown, assume the following⁸⁷³:

Bulb Type	Baseline Cost	LED Cost	Incremental Cost
PAR20, PAR30, PAR38 screw-in lamps	\$4.00	\$44.00	\$40.00
MR16/PAR16 pin-based lamps	\$3.00	\$28.00	\$25.00
Recessed downlight luminaries	\$4.00	\$94.00	\$90.00
Track lights	\$4.00	\$60.00	\$56.00

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

The life of the baseline bulb and the cost of its replacement is presented in the following table:

Lamp Type	Baseline Lamp Life (hours)	Baseline Life (years) (Single Family and in unit Multifamily - 1010 hours)	Baseline Life (years) (Common Area Multifamily - 5950 hours)	Baseline Replacement Cost
PAR20, PAR30, PAR38 screw-in lamps	2000	2.0	0.3	\$4.00
MR16/PAR16 pin-based lamps	2000	2.0	0.3	\$3.00
Recessed downlight luminaries	2000	2.0	0.3	\$4.00
Track lights	2000	2.0	0.3	\$4.00

LOADSHAPE

- Loadshape R06 - Residential Indoor Lighting
- Loadshape R07 - Residential Outdoor Lighting
- Loadshape C06 - Commercial Indoor Lighting⁸⁷⁴

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to be 9.5%⁸⁷⁵ for Residential and in-unit Multi Family bulbs and 75%⁸⁷⁶ for Multi Family common area bulbs.

⁸⁷³ Costs are provided as the best estimate from VEIC and are based on review of available product and of price reports provided to Efficiency Vermont by a number of manufacturers and retailers.

⁸⁷⁴ For Multi Family common area lighting.

⁸⁷⁵ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

“ComEd Residential Energy Star Lighting Program Metering Study: Overview of Study Protocols”

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303835.pdf>

“Memo RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Date: May 27, 2011, To: David Nichols and ComEd Residential Lighting Interested Parties, From: Amy Buege and Jeremy Eddy; Navigant Evaluation Team”

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

⁸⁷⁶ Coincidence factor is based on healthcare/clinic value (used as proxy for multi family common area lighting with similar hours of use) developed using Equest models for various building types averaged across 5 climate zones for Illinois for the following building types.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours * WHFe$$

Where:

WattsBase = Baseline lamp wattage of equivalent lumens, see “Bulb Types” table – default baseline assumption (incandescent/halogen) is in bold and highlighted yellow

WattsEE = Actual wattage of energy efficient LED lamp purchased

Bulb Type	Efficacy (lumen/Watt) ⁸⁷⁷	Lumens	LED Watts (WattsEE)	Incandescent/ Halogen Watts	EISA compliant Incandescent Watts	CFL Watts
PAR20 screw-in lamps	10-15 (incandescent/halogen) 35-45 (CFL reflector) 40-60 (LED)	460-810	13	46		18
PAR30 screw-in lamps		600-1005	15	67		20
PAR38 screw-in lamps		630-1170	18	78		23
MR16/PAR16 pin-based lamps	15-25 (Incandescent) 50 (LED)	300-500	8	20		
		525-875	14	35		
		750-1250	20	50		
Recessed downlight luminaries	35 (fixture efficacy with a CFL lamp) 42-86 (LED fixture)	540	11	50		15
		500-650	12	65		18
		1000	13	100		25
Track lights (R20)	10-15 ⁸⁷⁸	320-675	8	45		10
Track lights (BR30 and BR40)	(incandescent/halogen) 35-45 (CFL reflector) 40-60 (LED)	440-975	11	65		18

⁸⁷⁷ Data source for most efficacies: Energy Savings Estimates of Light Emitting Diodes in Niche Lighting Applications, Navigant Consulting, January 2011, http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/publications/pdfs/ssl/nichefinalreport_january2011.pdf

⁸⁷⁸ The exemption to EISA for reflector bulbs is expected to expire in 2014 for the following wattage and bulb type: 45 W (R20 and BR 19); 50W (R30, ER 30, BR 40, and ER 40); 65W (BR30, BR40, and ER 404)

ISR = In Service Rate or the percentage of units rebated that get installed⁸⁷⁹

Bulb Type	ISR
PAR20, PAR30, PAR38 screw-in lamps	0.95
MR16/PAR16 pin-based lamps	0.95
Recessed downlight luminaires	1.0
Track lights	1.0

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential and in-unit Multi Family	1,010 ⁸⁸⁰
Multi Family Common Areas	5950 ⁸⁸¹

WHFe = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFe
Interior single family or unknown location	1.06 ⁸⁸²
Multi family in unit	1.04 ⁸⁸³
Multi family common area	1.04 ⁸⁸⁴
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is installed in place of a 46W PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp installed in single family interior location:

$$\Delta kWh = ((46 - 13) / 1000) * 0.95 * 1010 * 1.06$$

⁸⁷⁹ NEEP EMV Emerging Technologies Research Report (December 2011)

⁸⁸⁰ NEEP EMV Emerging Technologies Research Report (December 2011)

⁸⁸¹ Multi family common area lighting assumption is 16.3 hours per day (5950 hours per year) based on Focus on Energy Evaluation, ACES Deemed Savings Desk Review, November 2010.

⁸⁸² The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP$) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey;

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.9%20Air%20Conditioning%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

⁸⁸³ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average);

<http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>

⁸⁸⁴ Ibid.

= 33.6 kWh

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta kWh^{885} = - (((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * Hours * HF) / \eta Heat$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated

= 49%⁸⁸⁶ for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior location

$\eta Heat$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual. If not available use.⁸⁸⁷

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta Heat$ (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is installed in place of a 46W PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp installed in single family interior location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= - ((46 - 13) / 1000) * 0.95 * 1010 * 0.49 / 2.26 \\ &= - 6.87 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = ((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * ISR * WHFd * CF$$

Where:

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

⁸⁸⁵ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁸⁸⁶ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁸⁸⁷ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family or unknown location	1.11 ⁸⁸⁸
Multi family in unit	1.07 ⁸⁸⁹
Multi family common area	1.07 ⁸⁹⁰
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure, see above for values.

Bulb Location	CF
Interior single family or unknown location	9.5% ⁸⁹¹
Multi family in unit	9.5% ⁸⁹²
Multi family common area	75% ⁸⁹³

Other factors as defined above

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is installed in place of a 46W PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp installed in single family interior location:

$$\Delta kW = ((46 - 13) / 1000) * 0.95 * 1.11 * 0.095$$

$$= 0.0033 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if Natural Gas heated home, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{therms} = -(((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

⁸⁸⁸ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as 1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁸⁸⁹ As above but using estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average); <http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>.

⁸⁹⁰ Ibid

⁸⁹¹ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY3 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. "ComEd Residential Energy Star Lighting Program Metering Study: Overview of Study Protocols"

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303835.pdf>

"Memo RE: Lighting Logger Study Results – Version 2, Date: May 27, 2011, To: David Nichols and ComEd Residential Lighting Interested Parties, From: Amy Buege and Jeremy Eddy; Navigant Evaluation Team"

<http://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/303834.pdf>

⁸⁹² Ibid.

⁸⁹³ Coincidence factor is based on healthcare/clinic value (used as proxy for multi family common area lighting with similar hours of use) developed using Equest models for various building types averaged across 5 climate zones for Illinois for the following building types.

- HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.
 = 49%⁸⁹⁴ for interior or unknown location
 = 0% for exterior location
- 0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms
- η Heat = Average heating system efficiency.
 = 0.70⁸⁹⁵

Other factors as defined above

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is installed in place of a 46W PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp installed in single family interior location with gas heating at 70% total efficiency:

$$\Delta \text{therms} = - ((46 - 13) / 1000) * 0.95 * 1010 * 0.49 * 0.03412 / 0.70$$

$$= - 0.756 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

The life of the baseline bulb and the cost of its replacement is presented in the following table:

Lamp Type	Baseline Lamp Life (hours)	Baseline Life (Single Family and in unit Multifamily - 1010 hours)	Baseline Life (Common Area Multifamily - 5950 hours)	Baseline Replacement Cost
PAR20, PAR30, PAR38 screw-in lamps	2000	2.0	0.3	\$4.00
MR16/PAR16 pin-based lamps	2000	2.0	0.3	\$3.00
Recessed downlight luminaries	2000	2.0	0.3	\$4.00

⁸⁹⁴ Average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes

⁸⁹⁵ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$

Track lights	2000	2.0	0.3	\$4.00
--------------	------	-----	-----	--------

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDD-V01-120601

5.5.7 LED Exit Signs

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the savings associated with installing a Light Emitting Diode (LED) exit sign in place of a fluorescent or incandescent exit sign in a MultiFamily building. Light Emitting Diode exit signs have a string of very small, typically red or green, glowing LEDs arranged in a circle or oval. The LEDs may also be arranged in a line on the side, top or bottom of the exit sign. LED exit signs provide the best balance of safety, low maintenance, and very low energy usage compared to other exit sign technologies.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is assumed to be an exit sign illuminated by LEDs.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a fluorescent or incandescent model.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 16 years⁸⁹⁶.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be \$30⁸⁹⁷.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

The annual O&M Cost Adjustment savings is calculated using component costs and lifetimes presented below.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape C53 - Flat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 100%⁸⁹⁸.

⁸⁹⁶ 2008 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2008.2.05, "Effective/Remaining Useful Life Values", California Public Utilities Commission, December 16, 2008.

⁸⁹⁷ NYSERDA Deemed Savings Database, Labor cost assumes 25 minutes @ \$18/hr.

⁸⁹⁸ Assuming continuous operation of an LED exit sign, the Summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to equal 1.0.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * HOURS * WHF_e$$

Where:

WattsBase = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume the following:

Baseline Type	WattsBase
Incandescent	35W ⁸⁹⁹
Fluorescent	11W ⁹⁰⁰
Unknown (e.g. time of sale)	11W

WattsEE = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume 2W⁹⁰¹

HOURS = Annual operating hours
= 8766

WHF_e = Waste heat factor for energy; accounts for cooling savings from efficient lighting.
= 1.04⁹⁰² for multi family buildings

Default if replacing incandescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (35 - 2)/1000 * 8766 * 1.04 \\ &= 301 kWh \end{aligned}$$

⁸⁹⁹ Based on review of available product.

⁹⁰⁰ Efficiency Vermont Technical Reference User Manual (TRM) Measure Savings Algorithms and Cost Assumptions, February, 19, 2010

⁹⁰¹ Efficiency Vermont Technical Reference User Manual (TRM) Measure Savings Algorithms and Cost Assumptions, February, 19, 2010

⁹⁰² The value is estimated at 1.04 (calculated as 1 + (0.45*(0.27 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 3.1 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm (-0.02 * SEER2) + (1.12 * SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP) and estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average);

<http://205.254.135.7/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC7.1%20Air%20Conditioning%20by%20Housing%20Unit%20Type.xls>

Default if replacing fluorescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= (11 - 2)/1000 * 8766 * 1.04 \\ &= 82 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated building (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta\text{kWh}^{903} = -(((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF}) / \eta\text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
 = 49%⁹⁰⁴

ηHeat = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
 = Actual. If not available use.⁹⁰⁵

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006	7.7	2.26
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00

For example, a 2.0COP Heat Pump heated building:

If incandescent fixture: $\Delta\text{kWh} = -((35 - 2)/1000 * 8766 * 0.49) / 2$
 = -71 kWh

If fluorescent fixture $\Delta\text{kWh} = -((11 - 2)/1000 * 8766 * 0.49) / 2$
 = -19 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{WHF}_d * \text{CF}$$

⁹⁰³ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁹⁰⁴ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁹⁰⁵ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Where:

WHF_d = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting. The cooling savings are only added to the summer peak savings.

= 1.07⁹⁰⁶ for multi family buildings

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 1.0

Default if incandescent fixture

$$\Delta kW = (35 - 2)/1000 * 1.07 * 1.0$$

$$= 0.035 \text{ kW}$$

Default if fluorescent fixture

$$\Delta kW = (11 - 2)/1000 * 1.07 * 1.0$$

$$= 0.0096 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if Natural Gas heated building, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{therms} = - ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412 / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.

= 49%⁹⁰⁷

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

ηHeat = Average heating system efficiency.

= 0.70⁹⁰⁸

⁹⁰⁶ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.45 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁹⁰⁷ Average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes

⁹⁰⁸ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to

Other factors as defined above

Default if incandescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{therms} &= - ((35 - 2) / 1000) * 8766 * 0.49 * 0.03412 / 0.70 \\ &= -6.9 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

Default if fluorescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{therms} &= - ((11 - 2) / 1000) * 8766 * 0.49 * 0.03412 / 0.70 \\ &= -1.9 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

The annual O&M Cost Adjustment savings should be calculated using the following component costs and lifetimes.

	Baseline Measures	
Component	Cost	Life (yrs)
Lamp	\$7.00 ⁹⁰⁹	1.37 years ⁹¹⁰

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDE-V01-120601

Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

⁹⁰⁹ Consistent with assumption for a Standard CFL bulb with an estimated labor cost of \$4.50 (assuming \$18/hour and a task time of 15 minutes).

⁹¹⁰ Assumes a lamp life of 12,000 hours and 8766 run hours 12000/8766 = 1.37 years.

5.6 Shell End Use

5.6.1 Air Sealing

DESCRIPTION

Thermal shell air leaks are sealed through strategic use and location of air-tight materials. Leaks are detected and leakage rates measured with the assistance of a blower-door. The algorithm for this measure can be used when the program implementation does not allow for more detailed forecasting through the use of residential modeling software.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Air sealing materials and diagnostic testing should meet all eligibility program qualification criteria. The initial and final tested leakage rates should be performed in such a manner that the identified reductions can be properly discerned, particularly in situations wherein multiple building envelope measures may be implemented simultaneously.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing air leakage should be determined through approved and appropriate test methods using a blower door. The baseline condition of a building upon first inspection significantly impacts the opportunity for cost-effective energy savings through air-sealing.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 15 years.⁹¹¹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual capital cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second

⁹¹¹ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)
 = 91.5%⁹¹²

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
 = 46.6%⁹¹³

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to air sealing

$$= \left[\frac{((CFM50_{existing} - CFM50_{new}) / N_{cool}) * 60 * 24 * CDD * DUA * 0.018}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})} \right] * LM$$

$CFM50_{existing}$ = Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door before air sealing.

= Actual

$CFM50_{new}$ = Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door after air sealing.

= Actual

⁹¹² Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹¹³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

N_cool = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions
 =Dependent on exposure:⁹¹⁴

Climate Zone	Exposure	N-Factor
Zone 2	Well Shielded	22.2
	Normal	18.5
	Exposed	16.7
Zone 3	Well Shielded	25.8
	Normal	21.5
	Exposed	19.4

60 * 24 = Converts Cubic Feet per Minute to Cubic Feet per Day

CDD = Cooling Degree Days
 = Dependent on location⁹¹⁵:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
1 (Rockford)	820
2 (Chicago)	842
3 (Springfield)	1,108
4 (Belleville)	1,570
5 (Marion)	1,370

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75⁹¹⁶

0.018 = Specific Heat Capacity of Air (BTU/ft³*°F)

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

⁹¹⁴ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and exposure of the home to wind (impacts of stack effect based on height of building will not be significant because of reduced delta T during the cooling season) , based on methodology developed by Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL). N-factor values copied from J. Krigger, C. Dorsi; “Residential Energy: Cost Savings and Comfort for Existing Buildings”, p284.

⁹¹⁵ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

⁹¹⁶ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; “Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research”, p31.

η_{Cool} = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)
 = Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following⁹¹⁷:

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
After 2006	13

LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand
 = dependent on location:⁹¹⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	LM
1 (Rockford)	8.5
2 (Chicago)	6.2
3 (Springfield)	6.6
4 (St. Louis, MO)	5.8
5 (Evansville, IN)	6.6

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to air sealing

$$= (((CFM50_{existing} - CFM50_{new}) / N_{heat}) * 60 * 24 * HDD * 0.018) / (\eta_{Heat} * 3,412)$$

⁹¹⁷ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁹¹⁸ The Latent Multiplier is used to convert the sensible cooling savings calculated to a value representing sensible and latent cooling loads. The values are derived from Harriman et al "Dehumidification and Cooling Loads From Ventilation Air", ASHRAE Journal, by adding the latent and sensible loads to determine the total, then dividing the total by the sensible load. Where this specialized data was not available, a nearby city was chosen.

N_{heat} = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions

= Based on climate zone, building height and exposure level:⁹¹⁹

		# Stories:	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 2	Well Shielded		22.2	20.0	17.8	15.5
	Normal		18.5	16.7	14.8	13.0
	Exposed		16.7	15.0	13.3	11.7
Zone 3	Well Shielded		25.8	23.2	20.6	18.1
	Normal		21.5	19.4	17.2	15.1
	Exposed		19.4	17.4	15.5	13.5

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:⁹²⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 65
1 (Rockford)	6,569
2 (Chicago)	6,339
3 (Springfield)	5,497
4 (Belleville)	4,379
5 (Marion)	4,476

⁹¹⁹ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location, height of building (stack effect) and exposure of the home to wind, based on methodology developed by Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL). N-factor values copied from J. Krigger, C. Dorsi; "Residential Energy: Cost Savings and Comfort for Existing Buildings", p284.

⁹²⁰ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F. The base temperature was selected to account for the fact that homes receiving airsealing efforts are likely to be more leaky homes where the inside and outside air temperature is more consistent and therefore is more likely to require heating as temperatures drop below 65 degrees. Using this base temperature also reconciles the resulting savings estimates with the results of more sophisticated modeling software.

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below⁹²¹:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate)= (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006	7.7	1.92
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

For example, a well shielded, 2 story single family home in Chicago with 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2 (1.92 including distribution losses), has pre and post blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating} \\ &= [(((3,400 - 2,250) / 22.2) * 60 * 24 * 842 * 0.75 * 0.018) / (1000 * 10.5)) * 6.2] + [((3,400 - 2,250) / 17.8) * 60 * 24 * 6339 * 0.018 / (1.92 * 3,412)] \\ &= 501 + 1620 \\ &= 2,121 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

⁹²¹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} / FLH_{cooling}) * CF$$

Where:

FLH_{cooling} = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location⁹²²:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)

= 91.5%⁹²³

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)

= 46.6%⁹²⁴

Other factors as defined above

⁹²² Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in “Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting”, http://ilsag.org/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/ComEd_PY2_CACES_Evaluation_Report_2010-10-18.299122020.pdf p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH.

⁹²³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹²⁴ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

For example, a well shielded, 2 story single family home in Chicago with 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2.0, has pre and post blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 501 / 570 * 0.915$$

$$= 0.804 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = 501 / 570 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.410 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((\text{CFM50_existing} - \text{CFM50_new}) / \text{N_heat}) * 60 * 24 * \text{HDD} * 0.018) / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 100,000)$$

Where:

N_heat = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions

= Based on climate zone, building height and exposure level⁹²⁵:

		# Stories:	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 2	Well Shielded		22.2	20.0	17.8	15.5
	Normal		18.5	16.7	14.8	13.0
	Exposed		16.7	15.0	13.3	11.7
Zone 3	Well Shielded		25.8	23.2	20.6	18.1
	Normal		21.5	19.4	17.2	15.1
	Exposed		19.4	17.4	15.5	13.5

⁹²⁵ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location, height of building (stack effect) and exposure of the home to wind, based on methodology developed by Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL). N-factor values copied from J. Krigger, C. Dorsi; "Residential Energy: Cost Savings and Comfort for Existing Buildings", p284.

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = dependent on location⁹²⁶:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 65
1 (Rockford)	6,569
2 (Chicago)	6,339
3 (Springfield)	5,497
4 (Belleville)	4,379
5 (Marion)	4,476

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual⁹²⁷. If not available use 70%⁹²⁸.

Other factors as defined above

For example, a well shielded, 2 story single family home in Chicago with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, has pre and post blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((3,400 - 2,250) / 17.8) * 60 * 24 * 6339 * 0.018 / (0.7 * 100,000)$$

$$= 152 \text{ therms}$$

⁹²⁶ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004..

⁹²⁷ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute:

(<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf> or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁹²⁸ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/Hc6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>)

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AIRS-V01-120601

5.6.2 Basement Sidewall Insulation

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to a basement or crawl space. Insulation added above ground in conditioned space is modeled the same as wall insulation. Below ground insulation is adjusted with an approximation of the thermal resistance of the ground. Insulation in unconditioned spaces is modeled by reducing the degree days to reflect the smaller but non-zero contribution to heating and cooling load. Cooling savings only consider above grade insulation, as below grade has little temperature difference during the cooling season.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure requires a member of the implementation staff or a participating contractor to evaluate the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no basement wall or ceiling insulation.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years⁹²⁹.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

⁹²⁹ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)
 = 91.5%⁹³⁰

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
 = 46.6%⁹³¹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{old_AG}} - \frac{1}{R_{added} + R_{old_AG}} \right) * L_{basement_wall_total} * H_{basement_wall_AG} * (1 - Framing_factor) \right) * 24 * CDD * DUA / (1000 * \eta_{Cool})$$

R_{added} = R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.

R_{old_AG} = R-value value of foundation wall above grade.
 = 2.25⁹³²

$L_{basement_wall_total}$ = Length of basement wall around the entire insulated perimeter (ft)

$H_{basement_wall_AG}$ = Height of insulated basement wall above grade (ft)

$Framing_factor$ = Adjustment to account for area of framing when cavity insulation is used
 = 0% if Spray Foam or External Rigid Foam
 = 15% if studs and cavity insulation⁹³³

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days
 = Dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:⁹³⁴

⁹³⁰ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility's peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹³¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹³² ORNL Builders Foundation Handbook, crawl space data from Table 5-5: Initial Effective R-values for Uninsulated Foundation System and Adjacent Soil, 1991, <http://www.ornl.gov/sci/roofs+walls/foundation/ORNLCON-295.pdf>

⁹³³ Based on Oak Ridge National Lab, Technology Fact Sheet for Wall Insulation.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned CDD 65	Unconditioned CDD 65 ⁹³⁵
1 (Rockford)	820	263
2 (Chicago)	842	281
3 (Springfield)	1,108	436
4 (Belleville)	1,570	538
5 (Marion)	1,370	570
Weighted Average ⁹³⁶	947	325

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

$$= 0.75^{937}$$

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:⁹³⁸

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Estimate
Before 2006	10
After 2006	13

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= [((1/R_{old_AG} - 1/(R_{added}+R_{old_AG})) * L_{basement_wall_total} * H_{basement_wall_AG} * (1-Framing_factor)) + ((1/R_{old_BG} - 1/(R_{added}+R_{old_BG})) * L_{basement_wall_total} * (H_{basement_wall_total} - H_{basement_wall_AG}) * (1-Framing_factor))] * 24 * HDD / (3,412 * \eta_{Heat})$$

R_{old_BG} = R-value value of foundation wall below grade (including thermal resistance of

⁹³⁴ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹³⁵ Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp from DegreeDays.net were used in this table because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC used elsewhere are not available at base temps above 72F.

⁹³⁶ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹³⁷ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁹³⁸ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

the earth)⁹³⁹

= dependent on depth of foundation (H_{basement_wall_total} - H_{basement_wall_AG}):

Below Grade R-value									
Depth below grade (ft)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Earth R-value (°F-ft ² -h/Btu)	2.44	4.50	6.30	8.40	10.44	12.66	14.49	17.00	20.00
Average Earth R-value (°F-ft ² -h/Btu)	2.44	3.47	4.41	5.41	6.42	7.46	8.46	9.53	10.69
Total BG R-value (earth + R-2.25 foundation)	4.69	5.72	6.66	7.66	8.67	9.71	10.71	11.78	12.94

H_{basement_wall_total} = Total height of basement wall (ft)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned⁹⁴⁰:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned HDD 60	Unconditioned HDD 50
1 (Rockford)	5,352	3,322
2 (Chicago)	5,113	3,079
3 (Springfield)	4,379	2,550
4 (Belleville)	3,378	1,789
5 (Marion)	3,438	1,796
Weighted Average ⁹⁴¹	4,860	2,895

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below⁹⁴²:

⁹³⁹ Adapted from Table 1, page 24.4, of the 1977 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook

⁹⁴⁰ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F for a conditioned basement and 50°F for an unconditioned basement), consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹⁴¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁴² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006	7.7	1.92
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

For example, a home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} \\ &= [(((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 281 * 0.75)/(1000 * 10.5)] + \\ &\quad [(((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0)) + ((1 / 8.67 - 1 / (13 + 8.67)) * \\ &\quad (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1-0))) * 24 * 3079) / (3412 * 1.92)] \\ &= 49.3 + 1435 \\ &= 1480 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} / FLH_{\text{cooling}}) * CF$$

Where:

FLH_{cooling} = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = dependent on location⁹⁴³:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁹⁴⁴	629	564

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)

$$= 91.5\%^{945}$$

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)

$$= 46.6\%^{946}$$

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 49.3 / 570 * 0.915$$

$$= 0.0791 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = 49.3 / 570 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.0403 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

⁹⁴³ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in “Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting”, http://ilsag.org/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/ComEd_PY2_CACES_Evaluation_Report_2010-10-18.299122020.pdf, p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹⁴⁴ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁴⁵ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹⁴⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = ((1/R_{\text{old_AG}} - 1/(R_{\text{added}}+R_{\text{old_AG}})) * L_{\text{basement_wall_total}} * H_{\text{basement_wall_AG}} * (1-\text{Framing_factor}) + (1/(R_{\text{old_BG}} - 1/(R_{\text{added}}+R_{\text{old_BG}})) * L_{\text{basement_wall_total}} * (H_{\text{basement_wall_total}} - H_{\text{basement_wall_AG}}) * (1-\text{Framing_factor})) * 24 * \text{HDD}) / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 100,067)$$

- η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
- = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
- = Actual. If unknown assume 70%⁹⁴⁷

Other factors as defined above

For example, a home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 70% efficient furnace:

$$= ((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0) + (1/8.67 - 1/(13 + 8.67)) * (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 3079 / (0.7 * 100,067)$$

= 134 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-BINS-V01-120601

⁹⁴⁷ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey: <http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>))
 In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:
 $(0.24*0.92) + (0.76*0.8) * (1-0.15) = 0.70$

5.6.3 Floor insulation above crawlspace

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to the floor above a vented crawl space that does not contain pipes or HVAC equipment. If there are pipes, HVAC, or a basement, it is desirable to keep them within the conditioned space by insulating the crawl space walls and ground. Insulating the floor separates the conditioned space above from the space below the floor, and is only acceptable when there is nothing underneath that could freeze or would operate less efficiently in an environment resembling the outdoors. Even in the case of an empty, unvented crawl space, it is still considered best practice to seal and insulate the crawl space perimeter rather than the floor. Not only is there generally less area to insulate this way, but there are also moisture control benefits. There is a “Basement Insulation” measure for perimeter sealing and insulation. This measure assumes the insulation is installed above an unvented crawl space and should not be used in other situations.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure requires a member of the implementation staff or a participating contractor to evaluate the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no insulation on any surface surrounding a crawl space.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years⁹⁴⁸.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

- Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling
- Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat
- Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to

⁹⁴⁸ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

$$CF_{SSP} = \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)}$$

$$= 91.5\%^{949}$$

$$CF_{PJM} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)}$$

$$= 46.6\%^{950}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

Electric ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \text{If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation}$$

$$= \left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{added} + R_{old}} \right) * \text{Area} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}) \right) * 24 * \text{CDD} * \text{DUA} / (1000 * \eta_{Cool})$$

$$R_{old} = \text{R-value value of floor before insulation, assuming 3/4" plywood subfloor and carpet with pad}$$

$$= 4.94^{951}$$

$$R_{added} = \text{R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.}$$

$$\text{Area} = \text{Total floor area to be insulated}$$

$$\text{Framing_factor} = \text{Adjustment to account for area of framing}$$

$$= 15\%^{952}$$

$$24 = \text{Converts hours to days}$$

⁹⁴⁹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹⁵⁰ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹⁵¹ Based on 2005 ASHREA Handbook – Fundamentals: assuming 2x8 joists, 16" OC, 3/4" subfloor, 1/2" carpet with rubber pad, and accounting for a still air film above and below: 0.85 cavity share of area * (0.68 + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68) + 0.15 framing share * (0.68 + 7.5" * 1.25 R/in + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68) = 4.94

⁹⁵² Based on Oak Ridge National Lab, Technology Fact Sheet for Wall Insulation.

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned CDD ⁹⁵³
1 (Rockford)	263
2 (Chicago)	281
3 (Springfield)	436
4 (Belleville)	538
5 (Marion)	570
Weighted Average ⁹⁵⁴	325

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

$$= 0.75^{955}$$

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η Cool = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:⁹⁵⁶

Age of Equipment	η Cool Estimate
Before 2006	10
After 2006	13

Δ kWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= ((1/R_{old} - 1/(R_{added} + R_{old})) * Area * (1-Framing_factor) * 24 * HDD) / (3,412 * \eta_{Heat})$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days⁹⁵⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned HDD
-----------------------------------	----------------------

⁹⁵³ Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp from DegreeDays.net were used in this table because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC used elsewhere are not available at base temps above 72F.

⁹⁵⁴ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁵⁵ Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁹⁵⁶ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁹⁵⁷ National Climatic Data Center, Heating Degree Days with a base temp of 50°F to account for lower impact of unconditioned space on heating system. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

1 (Rockford)	3,322
2 (Chicago)	3,079
3 (Springfield)	2,550
4 (Belleville)	1,789
5 (Marion)	1,796
Weighted Average ⁹⁵⁸	2,895

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below:⁹⁵⁹

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006	7.7	1.92
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

Other factors as defined above

For example, a home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

$$= (((1/4.94 - 1/(30 + 4.94)) * (20 * 25) * (1 - 0.15) * 24 * 281 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + ((1/4.94 - 1/(30 + 4.94)) * (20 * 25) * (1 - 0.15) * 24 * 3079) / (3412 * 1.92)$$

$$= 35.6 + 833$$

$$= 869 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} / FLH_{cooling}) * CF$$

Where:

$$FLH_{cooling} = \text{Full load hours of air conditioning}$$

⁹⁵⁸ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁵⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

= Dependent on location⁹⁶⁰:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁹⁶¹	629	564

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)

= 91.5%⁹⁶²

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)

= 46.6%⁹⁶³

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 35.6 / 570 * 0.915$$

$$= 0.057 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 35.6 / 570 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.029 \text{ kW}$$

⁹⁶⁰ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in “Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting”, http://ilsag.org/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/ComEd_PY2_CACES_Evaluation_Report_2010-10-18.299122020.pdf, p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹⁶¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁶² Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹⁶³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (1/R_{\text{old}} - 1/(R_{\text{added}}+R_{\text{old}})) * \text{Area} * (1-\text{Framing_factor}) * 24 * \text{HDD} / (100,000 * \eta_{\text{Heat}}) *$$

- η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
- = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
- = Actual. If unknown assume 70%⁹⁶⁴

Other factors as defined above

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 70% efficient furnace:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (1 / 4.94 - 1 / (30 + 4.94)) * (20 * 25) * (1 - 0.15) * 24 * 3079 / (100,000 * 0.70)$$

$$= 78.0 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-FINS-V01-120601

⁹⁶⁴ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey: <http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/HC6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>))

In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:
 $(0.24*0.92) + (0.76*0.8) * (1-0.15) = 0.70$

5.6.4 Wall and Ceiling/Attic Insulation

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to wall cavities, and/or attic. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure requires a member of the implementation staff or a participating contractor to evaluate the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be empty wall cavities and little or no attic insulation.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years⁹⁶⁵.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's Forward Capacity Market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)

⁹⁶⁵ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

$$= 91.5\%^{966}$$

$$CF_{PJM} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)} \\ = 46.6\%^{967}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= [((1/R_{old} - 1/R_{wall}) * A_{wall} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}) + (1/R_{old} - 1/R_{attic}) * A_{attic} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}/2)) * 24 * CDD * DUA] / (1000 * \eta_{Cool})$$

R_{wall} = R-value of new wall assembly (including all layers between inside air and outside air).

R_{attic} = R-value of new attic assembly (including all layers between inside air and outside air).

R_{old} = R-value value of existing assemble and any existing insulation.

(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies⁹⁶⁸)

A_{wall} = Total area of insulated wall (ft²)

A_{attic} = Total area of insulated ceiling/attic (ft²)

Framing_factor = Adjustment to account for area of framing

$$= 15\%^{969}$$

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

⁹⁶⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility's peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹⁶⁷ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹⁶⁸ An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

⁹⁶⁹ Based on Oak Ridge National Lab, Technology Fact Sheet for Wall Insulation. Factor is used directly for walls, but reduced by 1/2 for attics, assuming that the average joist is 5.5" and R-38 requires 11" of cellulose, therefore at each joist, 1/2 the thickness of insulation has been added as between the joists.

= dependent on location⁹⁷⁰:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
1 (Rockford)	820
2 (Chicago)	842
3 (Springfield)	1,108
4 (Belleville)	1,570
5 (Marion)	1,370
Weighted Average ⁹⁷¹	947

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75⁹⁷²

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following⁹⁷³:

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Estimate
Before 2006	10
After 2006	13

kWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

= $[(1/R_{old} - 1/R_{wall}) * A_{wall} * (1 - Framing_factor) + (1/R_{old} - 1/R_{attic}) * A_{attic} * (1 - Framing_factor/2)] * 24 * HDD / (\eta_{Heat} * 3412)$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location⁹⁷⁴:

⁹⁷⁰ National Climatic Data Center, Cooling Degree Days are based on a base temp of 65°F. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹⁷¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁷² This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁹⁷³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁹⁷⁴ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
1 (Rockford)	5,352
2 (Chicago)	5,113
3 (Springfield)	4,379
4 (Belleville)	3,378
5 (Marion)	3,438
Weighted Average ⁹⁷⁵	4,860

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below⁹⁷⁶:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006	7.7	1.92
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-11 and 700 ft² of R-5 attic insulated to R-38, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh} &= \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} \\
 &= [(((1/5 - 1/11) * 990 * (1-0.15)) + ((1/5 - 1/38) * 700 * (1-0.15/2)) * 842 * 0.75 * 24) / (1000 * 10.5)] + [(((1/5 - 1/11) * 990 * (1-0.15)) + (1/5 - 1/38) * 700 * (1-0.15/2)) * 5113 * 24) / (1.92 * 3412) \\
 &= 295 + 3826 \\
 &= 4120 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} / \text{FLH}_{\text{cooling}}) * \text{CF}$$

State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹⁷⁵ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁷⁶ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

Where:

FLH_{cooling} = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location as below⁹⁷⁷:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁹⁷⁸	629	564

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
 = 91.5%⁹⁷⁹

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)
 = 46.6%⁹⁸⁰

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-11 and 700 ft² of R-5 attic insulated to R-38, 10.5SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{SSP} &= 295 / 570 * 0.915 \\ &= 0.474 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{PJM} &= 295 / 570 * 0.466 \\ &= 0.241 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

⁹⁷⁷ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY Star with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹⁷⁸ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁷⁹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility's peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁹⁸⁰ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (((1/R_{\text{old}} - 1/R_{\text{wall}}) * A_{\text{wall}} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}) + (1/R_{\text{old}} - 1/R_{\text{attic}}) * A_{\text{attic}} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}/2)) * 24 * \text{HDD}) / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 100,067 \text{ Btu/therm})$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location⁹⁸¹:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
1 (Rockford)	5,352
2 (Chicago)	5,113
3 (Springfield)	4,379
4 (Belleville)	3,378
5 (Marion)	3,438
Weighted Average ⁹⁸²	4,860

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual⁹⁸³. If unknown assume 70%⁹⁸⁴.

Other factors as defined above

⁹⁸¹ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004. There is a county mapping table in the Appendix providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹⁸² Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁹⁸³ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute:

(<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁹⁸⁴ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:

<http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/xls/H6.9%20Space%20Heating%20in%20Midwest%20Region.xls>). In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-11 and 700 ft² of R-5 attic insulated to R-38, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 66%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= \Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} \\ &= (((1/5 - 1/11) * 990 * (1-0.15) + (1/5 - 1/38) * 700 * (1-0.15/2)) * 24 * 5113) / \\ &\quad (0.66 * 100,067) \\ &= 380 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AINS-V01-120601