

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Cost of Capital Summary (1)

Line No.	Class of Capital (A)	Historical Year 2011					Line No.
		Amount (2) (B)	Percent of Total (C)	Percent Cost (D)	Cost Reference (E)	Weighted Cost (F) = (C X D)	
1	Long-term Debt (3)	\$ 492,161,000	41.04%	4.62%	D-3	1.90%	1
2	Short-term Debt	\$ 5,865,000	0.49%	12.80%	D-2	0.06%	2
3	Common Equity	<u>701,123,000</u>	58.47%	10.75% (4)		<u>6.29%</u>	3
4	Total Capital	<u>\$ 1,199,149,000</u>				<u>8.25%</u>	4
Forecasted Year 2012 (5)							
Line No.	Class of Capital (A)	Amount (2) (B)	Percent of Total (C)	Percent Cost (D)	Cost Reference (E)	Weighted Cost (F) = (C X D)	Line No.
4	Long-term Debt (3)	\$ 521,445,000	41.12%	4.66%	D-3	1.92%	4
5	Short-term Debt	\$ 55,984,000	4.41%	1.92%	D-2	0.08%	5
6	Common Equity	<u>690,737,000</u>	54.47%	10.75% (4)		<u>5.86%</u>	6
7	Total Capital	<u>\$ 1,268,166,000</u>				<u>7.86%</u>	7
Test Year 2013							
Line No.	Class of Capital (A)	Amount (2) (B)	Percent of Total (C)	Percent Cost (D)	Cost Reference (E)	Weighted Cost (F) = (C X D)	Line No.
7	Long-term Debt (3)	\$ 613,839,000	43.81%	4.58%	D-3	2.01%	7
8	Short-term Debt	\$ 92,896,000	6.63%	1.57%	D-2	0.10%	8
9	Common Equity	<u>694,478,000</u>	49.56%	10.75% (4)		<u>5.33%</u>	9
10	Total Capital	<u>\$ 1,401,213,000</u>				<u>7.44%</u>	10

- Notes: (1) The weights and costs of the components of the capital structure do not differ from total company data.  
(2) 12-month average balances of long-term debt, short-term debt, and common equity  
(3) Includes long-term debt due within one year (if any).  
(4) Cost of common equity requested in this filing.  
(5) Based on zero months of actual data and twelve months of forecasted data.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Cost of Short Term Debt

Line No.	Month	Balance of Short Term Debt (1) [A]	Balance of Total CWIP [B]	Balance of CWIP Accruing AFUDC [C]	Net Amount Outstanding Calculation 1 [D]=[A]-[B]*C	Net Amount Outstanding Calculation 2 [E]=[A-C]	Greater of [D] and [E] Net Amount Outstanding [F]	Short-Term Interest Expense [G]	Amortization of Upfront Credit Facility Fees [H]	Total Interest Requirements [I]=[G+H]	Average Interest Rate [J]=[G/F]	Cost of Short Term Debt [K]=[I/F]	Line No.
1	<u>Historical Year Ended December 31, 2011</u>												
2	Jan	0	\$9,898,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$41,000	\$42,000			1
3	Feb	0	7,636,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$41,000	41,000			2
4	Mar	0	8,057,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$96,000	96,000			3
5	Apr	0	7,122,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$59,000	59,000			4
6	May	0	8,313,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$65,000	65,000			5
7	Jun	0	14,340,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$57,000	57,000			6
8	Jul	0	15,311,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$64,000	64,000			7
9	Aug	0	16,361,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$64,000	64,000			8
10	Sep	1,475,000	19,474,000	0	1,475,000	1,475,000	1,475,000	\$0	\$64,000	64,000			9
11	Oct	27,500,000	19,356,000	0	27,500,000	27,500,000	27,500,000	\$2,000	\$64,000	66,000			10
12	Nov	4,400,000	21,368,000	0	4,400,000	4,400,000	4,400,000	\$1,000	\$64,000	65,000			11
13	Dec	37,000,000	17,556,000	0	37,000,000	37,000,000	37,000,000	\$3,000	\$65,000	68,000			12
14							<u>\$5,865,000</u> (2)	<u>\$7,000</u>	<u>\$744,000</u>	<u>\$751,000</u>	<u>0.12%</u>	<u>12.80%</u>	13
15	<u>Forecasted Year Ending December 31, 2012 (3)</u>												
16	Jan	\$70,069,000	\$1,510,000	\$0	\$70,069,000	\$70,069,000	\$70,069,000	\$25,000	\$64,000	\$89,000			14
17	Feb	\$15,849,000	\$2,794,000	0	15,849,000	15,849,000	15,849,000	\$24,000	\$64,000	88,000			15
18	Mar	\$0	\$4,576,000	0	0	0	0	\$6,000	\$64,000	70,000			16
19	Apr	\$4,024,000	\$8,561,000	0	4,024,000	4,024,000	4,024,000	\$1,000	\$64,000	65,000			17
20	May	\$8,369,000	\$13,216,000	0	8,369,000	8,369,000	8,369,000	\$3,000	59,000	62,000			18
21	Jun	\$27,181,000	\$18,181,000	0	27,181,000	27,181,000	27,181,000	\$9,000	59,000	68,000			19
22	Jul	\$48,025,000	\$16,334,000	0	48,025,000	48,025,000	48,025,000	\$19,000	59,000	78,000			20
23	Aug	\$75,731,000	\$19,576,000	0	75,731,000	75,731,000	75,731,000	\$31,000	59,000	90,000			21
24	Sep	\$102,699,000	\$22,181,000	0	102,699,000	102,699,000	102,699,000	\$48,000	59,000	107,000			22
25	Oct	\$128,516,000	\$23,580,000	0	128,516,000	128,516,000	128,516,000	\$66,000	59,000	125,000			23
26	Nov	\$60,717,000	\$19,349,000	0	60,717,000	60,717,000	60,717,000	\$57,000	59,000	116,000			24
27	Dec	\$130,630,000	\$9,095,000	0	130,630,000	130,630,000	130,630,000	\$57,000	59,000	116,000			25
28							<u>\$55,984,000</u> (2)	<u>\$346,000</u>	<u>\$728,000</u>	<u>\$1,074,000</u>	<u>0.62%</u>	<u>1.92%</u>	26
29	<u>Test Year Ending December 31, 2013 (3)</u>												
30	Jan	\$67,170,000	\$2,943,000	\$0	\$67,170,000	\$67,170,000	\$67,170,000	\$59,000	\$59,000	\$118,000			27
31	Feb	\$0	\$3,098,000	0	0	0	0	\$19,000	\$59,000	78,000			28
32	Mar	\$0	\$3,334,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$59,000	59,000			29
33	Apr	\$0	\$7,723,000	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$58,000	58,000			30
34	May	\$14,149,000	\$13,199,000	0	14,149,000	14,149,000	14,149,000	\$4,000	\$58,000	62,000			31
35	Jun	\$74,821,000	\$22,681,000	0	74,821,000	74,821,000	74,821,000	\$29,000	\$58,000	87,000			32
36	Jul	\$133,629,000	\$33,348,000	0	133,629,000	133,629,000	133,629,000	\$70,000	\$58,000	128,000			33
37	Aug	\$204,616,000	\$43,681,000	0	204,616,000	204,616,000	204,616,000	\$117,000	\$58,000	175,000			34
38	Sep	\$80,053,000	\$52,527,000	0	80,053,000	80,053,000	80,053,000	\$100,000	\$58,000	158,000			35
39	Oct	\$121,439,000	\$54,331,000	0	121,439,000	121,439,000	121,439,000	\$72,000	\$58,000	130,000			36
40	Nov	\$201,461,000	\$8,773,000	0	201,461,000	201,461,000	201,461,000	\$120,000	\$58,000	178,000			37
41	Dec	\$217,411,000	\$182,000	0	217,411,000	217,411,000	217,411,000	\$167,000	\$58,000	225,000			38
42							<u>\$92,896,000</u> (2)	<u>\$757,000</u>	<u>\$699,000</u>	<u>\$1,456,000</u>	<u>0.81%</u>	<u>1.57%</u>	39

Notes: (1) Intercompany Loans, Inter-Utility Loans, or Commercial Paper  
(2) 12-month average of month-end balances.  
(3) Includes zero months of actual data and twelve months of forecasted data.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt

Net Proceeds Method  
Test Year Ending December 31, 2013

Line No.	Debt Issue Type, Coupon Rate [A]	Date Issued [B]	Maturity Date [C]	Date Reacquired [D]	Principal Amount at Issuance [E]	Twelve Month Average			Carrying Value [I]=[F-G-H]	Annual Coupon Interest [J]=[A*F]	Annualized Amort. Of Discount or (Premium) (3) [K]	Annualized Amort. Of Debt Expense (3) [L]	Annualized Interest Expense [M]=[J+K+L]	Line No.
						Face Amount Outstanding [F]	Unamortized Discount or (Premium) [G]	Unamortized Debt Expense (Gain) [H]						
<b>Test Year Ending December 31, 2013</b>														
1	First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds:													1
2	Series KK 5.00%	(1) 02/06/03	02/01/33	-	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 449,000	\$ 1,287,000	\$ 48,264,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 23,000	\$ 66,000	\$ 2,589,000	2
3	Series NN-2 4.625%	(1) 04/29/03	05/01/13	-	75,000,000	25,000,000	-	5,000	(2) 24,995,000	1,156,000	2,000	51,000	1,209,000	3
4	Series QQ 4.875%	(1) 11/25/03	11/01/38	-	75,000,000	75,000,000	-	1,437,000	73,563,000	3,656,000	-	57,000	3,713,000	4
5	Series RR 4.30%	(1) 06/01/05	06/01/35	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	758,000	49,242,000	2,150,000	-	35,000	2,185,000	5
6	Series SS 7.00%	11/03/08	11/01/13	-	45,000,000	37,500,000	-	36,000	37,464,000	2,625,000	-	96,000	2,721,000	6
7	Series TT 8.00%	11/03/08	11/01/18	-	5,000,000	5,000,000	-	34,000	4,966,000	400,000	-	6,000	406,000	7
8	Series UU 4.63%	09/30/09	09/01/19	-	75,000,000	75,000,000	-	476,000	74,524,000	3,473,000	-	78,000	3,551,000	8
9	Series VV 2.125%	(1) 08/18/10	03/01/30	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	615,000	49,385,000	1,063,000	-	37,000	1,100,000	9
10	Series WW 2.625%	(1) 10/05/10	02/01/33	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	535,000	49,465,000	1,313,000	-	27,000	1,340,000	10
11	Series XX 2.21%	11/01/11	11/01/16	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	368,000	49,632,000	1,105,000	-	112,000	1,217,000	11
12	New Issue 4.05%	11/01/12	11/01/22	-	100,000,000	100,000,000	-	976,000	99,024,000	4,050,000	-	105,000	4,155,000	12
13	New Issue 4.95%	09/01/13	09/01/23	-	200,000,000	66,667,000	-	555,000	66,112,000	3,300,000	-	57,000	3,357,000	13
14	Sub-Total				825,000,000	634,167,000	449,000	7,082,000	626,636,000	26,791,000	25,000	727,000	27,543,000	14
15	Less: Amortization of Losses on Reacquired Bonds													15
16	Series X 6.875%	(1) 03/01/85	02/01/33	03/14/03	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,133,000	\$ (1,133,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 58,000	\$ 58,000	16
17	Series Y 7.50%	(1) 03/01/85	02/01/33	04/03/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
18	Series GG Variable Rate	(1) 03/01/00	02/01/33	03/27/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
19	Series LL 3.75%	(1) 02/20/03	02/01/33	10/04/10	-	-	-	2,413,000	(5) (2,413,000)	-	-	123,000	(5) 123,000	19
20	Series Z 7.50%	(1) 03/01/85	03/01/15	04/03/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
21	Series HH 4.75%	(1) 03/01/00	03/01/30	08/18/10	-	-	-	1,657,000	(6) (1,657,000)	-	-	100,000	(6) 100,000	21
22	Series AA 10.25%	(1) 03/01/85	06/01/35	08/01/95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
23	Series FF 6.10%	(1) 06/01/95	06/01/35	06/02/05	-	-	-	2,218,000	(7) (2,218,000)	-	-	101,000	(7) 101,000	23
24	Series BB 50% 8.10%	(1) 05/01/90	10/01/37	05/01/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
25	Series II Variable Rate	(1) 03/01/00	10/01/37	11/12/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
26	Series JJ 36% Variable Rate	(1) 03/01/00	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
27	Series OO Variable Rate	(1) 10/09/03	10/01/37	08/18/11	-	-	-	2,044,000	(8) (2,044,000)	-	-	84,000	(8) 84,000	27
28	Series BB 50% 8.10%	(1) 05/01/90	10/01/37	05/01/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
29	Series JJ 64% Variable Rate	(1) 03/01/00	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
30	Series EE Variable Rate	(1) 12/01/93	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
31	Series PP Variable Rate	(1) 10/09/03	10/01/37	04/17/08	-	-	-	1,567,000	(9) (1,567,000)	-	-	65,000	(9) 65,000	31
32	Series DD 5.75%	(1) 12/01/93	11/01/38	12/01/03	-	-	-	1,765,000	(1,765,000)	-	-	70,000	70,000	32
33	Sub-Total				-	-	-	12,797,000	(12,797,000)	-	-	601,000	601,000	33
34	Total				\$ 825,000,000	\$ 634,167,000	\$ 449,000	\$ 19,879,000	\$ 613,839,000	\$ 26,791,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 1,328,000	\$ 28,144,000	34

35 Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt (M / I)

4.58% (10) 35

- Notes: (1) Tax-exempt bonds.  
(2) Includes \$2,000 for the unamortized debt expense related to an interest rate swap on these bonds.  
(3) Annualized amounts were created using the 12/31/11 amortization amounts multiplied by 12 months.  
(4) Total costs amortized based on life of the debt.  
(5) Refinancing Series combined (Y, GG, and LL). Lines 17 through 19.  
(6) Refinancing Series combined (Z and HH). Lines 20 and 21.  
(7) Refinancing Series combined (AA and FF). Lines 22 and 23.  
(8) Refinancing Series combined (BB, II, JJ 36%, and OO). Lines 24 through 27.  
(9) Refinancing Series combined (BB, JJ 64%, EE, and PP). Lines 28 through 31.  
(10) Proposed embedded cost of debt requested in this filing.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt

Net Proceeds Method  
Forecasted Year Ending December 31, 2012

Line No.	Debt Issue Type, Coupon Rate [A]	Date Issued [B]	Maturity Date [C]	Date Reacquired [D]	Principal Amount at Issuance [E]	Twelve Month Average			Carrying Value [I]=[F-G-H]	Annual Coupon Interest [J]=[A*F]	Annual Amort. Of Discount or (Premium) (4) [K]	Annual Amort. Of Debt Expense (4) [L]	Annualized Interest Expense [M]=[J+K+L]	Line No.	
						Face Amount Outstanding [F]	Unamortized Discount or (Premium) [G]	Unamortized Debt Expense (Gain) [H]							
<b>Forecasted Year Ending December 31, 2012 (1)</b>															
1	First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds:													1	
2	Series KK 5.00%	(2)	02/06/03	02/01/33	-	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 472,000	\$ 1,352,000	\$ 48,176,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 23,000	\$ 66,000	\$ 2,589,000	2
3	Series NN-2 4.625%	(2)	04/29/03	05/01/13	-	75,000,000	75,000,000	5,000	98,000	(3) 74,897,000	3,469,000	6,000	153,000	3,628,000	3
4	Series QQ 4.875%	(2)	11/25/03	11/01/38	-	75,000,000	75,000,000	-	1,494,000	73,506,000	3,656,000	-	57,000	3,713,000	4
5	Series RR 4.30%	(2)	06/01/05	06/01/35	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	792,000	49,208,000	2,150,000	-	35,000	2,185,000	5
6	Series SS 7.00%	(2)	11/03/08	11/01/13	-	45,000,000	45,000,000	-	149,000	44,851,000	3,150,000	-	115,000	3,265,000	6
7	Series TT 8.00%	(2)	11/03/08	11/01/18	-	5,000,000	5,000,000	-	40,000	4,960,000	400,000	-	6,000	406,000	7
8	Series UU 4.63%	(2)	09/30/09	09/01/19	-	75,000,000	75,000,000	-	554,000	74,446,000	3,473,000	-	78,000	3,551,000	8
9	Series VV 2.125%	(2)	08/18/10	03/01/30	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	652,000	49,348,000	1,063,000	-	37,000	1,100,000	9
10	Series WW 2.625%	(2)	10/05/10	02/01/33	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	562,000	49,438,000	1,313,000	-	27,000	1,340,000	10
11	Series XX 2.21%	(2)	11/01/11	11/01/16	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	479,000	49,521,000	1,105,000	-	112,000	1,217,000	11
12	New Issue 4.05%	(2)	11/01/12	11/01/22	-	100,000,000	16,667,000	-	173,000	16,494,000	675,000	-	18,000	693,000	(5) 12
13	Sub-Total					625,000,000	541,667,000	477,000	6,345,000	534,845,000	22,954,000	29,000	704,000	23,687,000	13
14	Less: Amortization of Losses on Reacquired Bonds														14
15	Series X 6.875%	(2)	03/01/85	02/01/33	03/14/03	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,191,000	\$ (1,191,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 58,000	\$ 58,000	15
16	Series Y 7.50%	(2)	03/01/85	02/01/33	04/03/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
17	Series GG Variable Rate	(2)	03/01/00	02/01/33	03/27/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
18	Series LL 3.75%	(2)	02/20/03	02/01/33	10/04/10	-	-	-	2,537,000	(6) (2,537,000)	-	-	123,000	(6) 123,000	18
19	Series Z 7.50%	(2)	03/01/85	03/01/15	04/03/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
20	Series HH 4.75%	(2)	03/01/00	03/01/30	08/18/10	-	-	-	1,757,000	(7) (1,757,000)	-	-	100,000	(7) 100,000	20
21	Series AA 10.25%	(2)	03/01/85	06/01/35	08/01/95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
22	Series FF 6.10%	(2)	06/01/95	06/01/35	06/02/05	-	-	-	2,320,000	(8) (2,320,000)	-	-	101,000	(8) 101,000	22
23	Series BB 50% 8.10%	(2)	05/01/90	10/01/37	05/01/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
24	Series II Variable Rate	(2)	03/01/00	10/01/37	11/12/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
25	Series JJ 36% Variable Rate	(2)	03/01/00	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
26	Series OO Variable Rate	(2)	10/09/03	10/01/37	08/18/11	-	-	-	2,129,000	(9) (2,129,000)	-	-	84,000	(9) 84,000	26
27	Series BB 50% 8.10%	(2)	05/01/90	10/01/37	05/01/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
28	Series JJ 64% Variable Rate	(2)	03/01/00	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
29	Series EE Variable Rate	(2)	12/01/93	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
30	Series PP Variable Rate	(2)	10/09/03	10/01/37	04/17/08	-	-	-	1,632,000	(10) (1,632,000)	-	-	66,000	(10) 66,000	30
31	Series DD 5.75%	(2)	12/01/93	11/01/38	12/01/03	-	-	-	1,834,000	(1,834,000)	-	-	70,000	70,000	31
32	Sub-Total					-	-	-	13,400,000	(13,400,000)	-	-	602,000	602,000	32
33	Total					\$ 625,000,000	\$ 541,667,000	\$ 477,000	\$ 19,745,000	\$ 521,445,000	\$ 22,954,000	\$ 29,000	\$ 1,306,000	\$ 24,289,000	33
34	Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt (M / I)													4.66%	34

Notes: (1) Based on zero months of actual data and 12 months of forecasted data.  
(2) Tax-exempt bonds.  
(3) Includes \$35,000 for the unamortized debt expense related to an interest rate swap on these bonds.  
(4) Annualized amounts were created using the 12/31/11 amortization amounts multiplied by 12 months.  
(5) Total costs amortized based on life of the debt.  
(6) Refinancing Series combined (Y, GG, and LL). Lines 16 through 18.  
(7) Refinancing Series combined (Z and HH). Lines 19 and 20.  
(8) Refinancing Series combined (AA and FF). Lines 21 and 22.  
(9) Refinancing Series combined (BB, II, JJ 36%, and OO). Lines 23 through 26.  
(10) Refinancing Series combined (BB, JJ 64%, EE, and PP). Lines 27 through 30.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt

Net Proceeds Method

Historical Year Ended December 31, 2011

Line No.	Debt Issue Type, Coupon Rate	Date Issued	Maturity Date	Date Reacquired	Principal Amount at Issuance	Twelve Month Average			Carrying Value	Annual Coupon Interest	Annual Amort. Of Discount or (Premium) (3)	Annual Amort. Of Debt Expense (3)	Annual Interest Expense	Line No.
						Face Amount Outstanding	Unamortized Discount or (Premium)	Unamortized Debt Expense (Gain)						
	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	[I]=[F-G-H]	[J]=[A*F]	[K]	[L]	[M]=[J+K+L]	
<b>Historical Year Ended December 31, 2011</b>														
1	First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds:													1
2	Series KK 5.00%	(1) 02/06/03	02/01/33	-	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 495,000	\$ 1,418,000	\$ 48,087,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 23,000	\$ 66,000	\$ 2,589,000	2
3	Series NN-2 4.625%	04/29/03	05/01/13	-	75,000,000	75,000,000	11,000	221,000	(2) 74,768,000	3,469,000	6,000	151,000	3,626,000	3
4	Series OO Variable	10/09/03	10/01/37	08/18/11	51,000,000	29,750,000	-	524,000	29,226,000	137,000	-	23,000	160,000	
5	Series QQ 4.875%	(1) 11/25/03	11/01/38	-	75,000,000	75,000,000	-	1,550,000	73,450,000	3,656,000	-	57,000	3,713,000	5
6	Series RR 4.30%	(1) 06/01/05	06/01/35	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	827,000	49,173,000	2,150,000	-	35,000	2,185,000	6
7	Series SS 7.00%	11/03/08	11/01/13	-	45,000,000	45,000,000	-	264,000	44,736,000	3,150,000	-	115,000	3,265,000	7
8	Series TT 8.00%	11/03/08	11/01/18	-	5,000,000	5,000,000	-	47,000	4,953,000	400,000	-	6,000	406,000	8
9	Series UU 4.63%	09/30/09	09/01/19	-	75,000,000	75,000,000	-	632,000	74,368,000	3,473,000	-	78,000	3,551,000	9
10	Series VV 2.125%	(1) 08/18/10	03/01/30	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	689,000	49,311,000	1,063,000	-	37,000	1,100,000	10
11	Series WW 2.625%	(1) 10/05/10	02/01/33	-	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	590,000	49,410,000	1,313,000	-	27,000	1,340,000	11
12	Series XX 2.21%	11/01/11	11/01/16	-	50,000,000	8,333,000	-	173,000	8,160,000	184,000	-	19,000	203,000	12
13	Sub-Total				576,000,000	513,083,000	506,000	6,935,000	505,642,000	21,495,000	29,000	614,000	22,138,000	13
14	Less: Amortization of Losses on Reacquired Bonds													14
15	Series X 6.875%	(1) 03/01/85	02/01/33	03/14/03	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,249,000	\$ (1,249,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 58,000	\$ 58,000	15
16	Series Y 7.50%	(1) 03/01/85	02/01/33	04/03/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
17	Series GG Variable Rate	(1) 03/01/00	02/01/33	03/27/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
18	Series LL 3.75%	(1) 02/20/03	02/01/33	10/04/10	-	-	-	2,660,000	(4) (2,660,000)	-	-	123,000	(4) 123,000	18
19	Series Z 7.50%	(1) 03/01/85	03/01/15	04/03/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
20	Series HH 4.75%	(1) 03/01/00	03/01/30	08/18/10	-	-	-	1,856,000	(5) (1,856,000)	-	-	100,000	(5) 100,000	20
21	Series AA 10.25%	(1) 03/01/85	06/01/35	08/01/95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
22	Series FF 6.10%	(1) 06/01/95	06/01/35	06/02/05	-	-	-	2,421,000	(6) (2,421,000)	-	-	101,000	(6) 101,000	22
23	Series BB 50% 8.10%	(1) 05/01/90	10/01/37	05/01/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
24	Series II Variable Rate	(1) 03/01/00	10/01/37	11/12/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
25	Series JJ 36% Variable Rate	(1) 03/01/00	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
26	Series OO Variable Rate	(1) 10/09/03	10/01/37	08/18/11	-	-	-	1,689,000	(7) (1,689,000)	-	-	62,000	(7) 62,000	26
27	Series BB 50% 8.10%	(1) 05/01/90	10/01/37	05/01/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
28	Series JJ 64% Variable Rate	(1) 03/01/00	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
29	Series EE Variable Rate	(1) 12/01/93	10/01/37	10/14/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
30	Series PP Variable Rate	(1) 10/09/03	10/01/37	04/17/08	-	-	-	1,702,000	(8) (1,702,000)	-	-	85,000	(8) 85,000	30
31	Series DD 5.75%	(1) 12/01/93	11/01/38	12/01/03	-	-	-	1,904,000	(1,904,000)	-	-	70,000	70,000	31
32	Sub-Total				-	-	-	13,481,000	(13,481,000)	-	-	599,000	599,000	32
33	Total				\$ 576,000,000	\$ 513,083,000	\$ 506,000	\$ 20,416,000	\$ 492,161,000	\$ 21,495,000	\$ 29,000	\$ 1,213,000	\$ 22,737,000	33
34	Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt (M / I)												4.62%	34

Notes: (1) Tax-exempt bonds.

(2) Includes \$77,000 for the unamortized debt expense related to an interest rate swap on these bonds.

(3) Annualized amounts were created using the 12/31/11 amortization amounts multiplied by 12 months.

(4) Refinancing Series combined (Y, GG, and LL). Lines 16 through 18.

(5) Refinancing Series combined (Z and HH). Lines 19 and 20.

(6) Refinancing Series combined (AA and FF). Lines 21 and 22.

(7) Refinancing Series combined (BB, II, JJ 36%, and OO). Lines 23 through 26.

(8) Refinancing Series combined (BB, JJ 64%, EE, and PP). Lines 27 through 30.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Cost of Common Equity Workpapers

Line No.	Description	Work paper Reference
1	Annual Reports and 10Ks	None
	AGL Resources, Inc. 2011 Annual Report available at: <a href="http://www.aglresources.com/about/docs/AGL_AR_2011/2011AnnualReport.pdf">http://www.aglresources.com/about/docs/AGL_AR_2011/2011AnnualReport.pdf</a>	
	Atmos Energy Corporation 10K for the period ending September 30, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www.investquest.com/ig/a/ato/fin/10k/atok11.pdf">http://www.investquest.com/ig/a/ato/fin/10k/atok11.pdf</a>	
	Consolidated Edison, Inc. 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=61493&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDEwOTMxMjU0MTI1MDY5ODI4L3htbA%3d%3d">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=61493&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDEwOTMxMjU0MTI1MDY5ODI4L3htbA%3d%3d</a>	
	Laclede Group 10K for the period ending September 30, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www.snl.com/Cache/12162727.pdf?O=3&amp;IID=4002506&amp;OSID=9&amp;FID=12162727">http://www.snl.com/Cache/12162727.pdf?O=3&amp;IID=4002506&amp;OSID=9&amp;FID=12162727</a>	
	New Jersey Resources Corporation 10K for the period ending September 30, 2011 available at: <a href="http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/NJR/1522841230x0x356309-11-33/356309/filing.pdf">http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/NJR/1522841230x0x356309-11-33/356309/filing.pdf</a>	
	Northeast Utilities 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www.nu.com/investors/reports/2011_NU_Form_10K.pdf">http://www.nu.com/investors/reports/2011_NU_Form_10K.pdf</a>	
	Northwest Natural Gas 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www2.snl.com/Cache/12792125.pdf?O=3&amp;IID=4057132&amp;OSID=9&amp;FID=12792125">http://www2.snl.com/Cache/12792125.pdf?O=3&amp;IID=4057132&amp;OSID=9&amp;FID=12792125</a>	
	PEPCO Holdings, Inc. 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=62854&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDEwOTMxMjU0MTI1MDc1OTk5L3htbA%3d%3d">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=62854&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDEwOTMxMjU0MTI1MDc1OTk5L3htbA%3d%3d</a>	
	Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. 10K for the period ending October 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=76635&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDEwOTMxMjU0MTI1MDUxNDk0L3htbA%3d%3d">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=76635&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDEwOTMxMjU0MTI1MDUxNDk0L3htbA%3d%3d</a>	
	South Jersey Industries 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/91928/000144530512000502/sji-12311110x.htm">http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/91928/000144530512000502/sji-12311110x.htm</a>	
	Southwest Gas Corporation 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/92416/000119312512084423/d255241d10k.htm">http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/92416/000119312512084423/d255241d10k.htm</a>	
	UIL Holdings Corporation 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=102834&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDEwOTMxMjU0MTI1MDUxNDk0L3htbA%3d%3d">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=102834&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDEwOTMxMjU0MTI1MDUxNDk0L3htbA%3d%3d</a>	
	WGL Holdings, Inc. 2011 Annual Report available at: <a href="http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/WGL-IL/1098199085x0x535385/473e8ff4-4401-4c5c-add1-b12875f1b92e/WGL2011AR_1_.pdf">http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/WGL-IL/1098199085x0x535385/473e8ff4-4401-4c5c-add1-b12875f1b92e/WGL2011AR_1_.pdf</a>	
	Alliant Energy 2011 Annual Report available at: <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=71431&amp;p=irol-irhome">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=71431&amp;p=irol-irhome</a>	
	Black Hills Corp. 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1130464/000113046412000024/bkh10k12312011.htm">http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1130464/000113046412000024/bkh10k12312011.htm</a>	
	CMS Energy Corp. 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=101338&amp;p=irol-reportsAnnual">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=101338&amp;p=irol-reportsAnnual</a>	
	DTE Energy 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=68233&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDA5MzYzNDAtMTI1MDAwMDA0L3htbA%3d%3d">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=68233&amp;p=irol-SECText&amp;TEXT=aHR0cDovL2lyLmludC53ZXN0bGF3YnVzaW5lc3MuY29lL2RvY3VtZW50L3YxLzAwMDA5MzYzNDAtMTI1MDAwMDA0L3htbA%3d%3d</a>	
	Integrys Energy Group 2011 Annual Report available at: <a href="http://ir.integrysgroup.com/Cache/1500040433.PDF?D=&amp;O=PDF&amp;IID=4057067&amp;Y=&amp;T=&amp;FID=1500040433">http://ir.integrysgroup.com/Cache/1500040433.PDF?D=&amp;O=PDF&amp;IID=4057067&amp;Y=&amp;T=&amp;FID=1500040433</a>	
	MGE Energy 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www.mgeenergy.com/reports/html/10k_2011.htm">http://www.mgeenergy.com/reports/html/10k_2011.htm</a>	
	Vectren Corp. 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://www2.snl.com/Cache/1500040381.PDF?D=&amp;O=PDF&amp;IID=4057065&amp;Y=&amp;T=&amp;FID=1500040381">http://www2.snl.com/Cache/1500040381.PDF?D=&amp;O=PDF&amp;IID=4057065&amp;Y=&amp;T=&amp;FID=1500040381</a>	
	Wisconsin Energy 2011 Annual Report available at: <a href="http://www.wisconsinenergy.com/invest/annualreports/wec2011_annualreport.pdf">http://www.wisconsinenergy.com/invest/annualreports/wec2011_annualreport.pdf</a>	
	Xcel Energy Inc. 10K for the period ending December 31, 2011 available at: <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=89458&amp;p=irol-irhome">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=89458&amp;p=irol-irhome</a>	

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Cost of Common Equity Workpapers

2	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.2	PGL Capitalization & Financial Statistics	WPD-6 (1)
3	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.3	Delivery Group Capitalization & Financial Statistics	WPD-6 (2)
4	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.4	Combination Group Capitalization & Financial Statistics	WPD-6 (3)
5	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.5	S&P Public Utilities Capitalization & Financial Statistics	WPD-6 (4)
6	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.6	Monthly Dividend Yields	WPD-6 (5)
7	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.7	Historical Growth Rates	WPD-6 (6)
8	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.8	Five-Year Projected Growth Rates	WPD-6 (7)
9	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.9	Public Utility Bond Yields	WPD-6 (8)
10	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.10	Historical Data: S&P Indexes, Corporate & Utility Bonds	WPD-6 (9)
11	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.10	Source Docs for PGL Ex. 3.10 Please note that the documents are of poor quality due to their age.	WPD-6 (10)
12	Delivery Group Market Capitalization	PGL Ex. 3.13C	WPD-6 (11)
13	Combination Group Market Capitalization	PGL Ex. 3.13C	WPD-6 (12)
14	Work Papers Supporting PGL Ex. 3.12	Source Docs. for DCF Result for the S&P 500 Composite	WPD-6 (13)
15	Earnings Estimates source documents	PGL Ex. 3.8	WPD-6 (14)
16	Work Papers Support PGL Ex. 3.12	Comparable Earnings	WPD-6 (15)
17	Comparable Earnings source documents	Supports PGL Ex. 3.12	WPD-6 (16)
18	Authoritative sources	References for Footnotes (3), (4), (5), (8), (10) and (11)	WPD-6 (17)

Support for PGL Ex. 3.11 are available among the workpapers supporting PGL Ex. 3.2 - 3.10, 3.12, and 3.13.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Comparative Financial Data  
(000s) except per share data

Line	Description [A]	Source [B]	Test Year	Forecasted Year			Historical Year Ended				
			Ending 12/31/2013 [C]	Ending 12/31/2012 (1) [D]	12/31/2011 [E]	12/31/2010 [F]	12/31/2009 [G]	12/31/2008 [H]	12/31/2007 [I]	12/31/2006 [J]	
1	<u>Funds from Operations</u>										
2	Net Income	FERC - Income Statement	\$ 41,743	\$ 61,349	\$ 56,605	\$ 42,066	\$ 27,627	\$ 38,135	\$ (13,748)	\$ 7,705	
3	Depreciation and Amortization	SEC Annual Report - Cash Flow	96,475	89,067	83,815	79,582	64,512	67,359	62,838	57,550	
4	Deferred Income Tax and Investment Tax Credits - Net	SEC Annual Report - Cash Flow	32,133	102,123	46,353	17,242	20,715	16,990	(15,072)	(24,374)	
5	AFUDC	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	Other Internal Sources:										
7	Pension Funding (Greater) Less Than Expensed	SEC Annual Report - Cash Flow	23,631	(55,829)	(5,460)	(962)	12,130	10,452	28,000	(5,995)	
8	Other Adjustments	SEC Annual Report - Cash Flow	76,379	37,350	30,216	59,914	55,524	52,372	(10,018)	(3,664)	
9	Total Funds from Operations	Line 2 + 3 + 4 - 5 + 7 + 8	\$ 270,361	\$ 234,060	\$ 211,529	\$ 197,842	\$ 180,508	\$ 185,308	\$ 52,000	\$ 31,222	
10	<u>Capital Structure</u>										
11	Short-term Debt	FERC - Balance Sheet [231, 233]	\$ 217,411	\$ 130,630	\$ 37,000	\$ -	\$ 16,185	\$ 278,485	\$ 187,436	\$ 80,404	
12	Long-term Debt	FERC - Balance Sheet [221, 226]	704,561	624,536	524,507	525,478	575,441	500,363	501,286	501,208	
13	Preferred Stock	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14	Common Equity:										
15	Common Equity Excluding AOCI	N/A	685,470	687,727	699,778	693,357	695,720	668,094	632,960	651,019	
16	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	FERC - Balance Sheet [219]	451	198	(735)	(679)	(321)	(1,101)	(435)	(1,202)	
17	Common Equity Including AOCI	FERC - Balance Sheet	\$ 685,921	\$ 687,925	\$ 699,043	\$ 692,678	\$ 695,399	\$ 666,993	\$ 632,525	\$ 649,817	
18	Total Capital Including AOCI	Line 11 + 12 + 13 + 17	\$ 1,607,893	\$ 1,443,091	\$ 1,260,550	\$ 1,218,156	\$ 1,287,025	\$ 1,445,841	\$ 1,321,247	\$ 1,231,429	
19	Total Capital Excluding AOCI	Line 11 + 12 + 13 + 15	\$ 1,607,442	\$ 1,442,893	\$ 1,261,285	\$ 1,218,835	\$ 1,287,346	\$ 1,446,942	\$ 1,321,682	\$ 1,232,631	
20	<u>Capital Structure Ratios - Including AOCI</u>										
21	Short-term Debt Ratio	Line 11 / Line 18	13.52%	9.05%	2.94%	0.00%	1.26%	19.26%	14.19%	6.53%	
22	Long-term Debt Ratio	Line 12 / Line 18	43.82%	43.28%	41.61%	43.14%	44.71%	34.61%	37.94%	40.70%	
23	Preferred Stock Ratio	Line 13 / Line 18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
24	Common Equity Ratio	Line 17 / Line 18	42.66%	47.67%	55.46%	56.86%	54.03%	46.13%	47.87%	52.77%	
25	<u>Capital Structure Ratios - Excluding AOCI</u>										
26	Short-term Debt Ratio	Line 11 / Line 19	13.53%	9.05%	2.93%	0.00%	1.26%	19.25%	14.18%	6.52%	
27	Long-term Debt Ratio	Line 12 / Line 19	43.83%	43.28%	41.59%	43.11%	44.70%	34.58%	37.93%	40.66%	
28	Preferred Stock Ratio	Line 13 / Line 19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29	Common Equity Ratio	Line 15 / Line 19	42.64%	47.66%	55.48%	56.89%	54.04%	46.17%	47.89%	52.82%	
30	<u>Capital Structure Ratios - Excluding Short Term Debt and AOCI</u>										
31	Long-term Debt Ratio	Line 12 / (Line 19 - Line 11)	50.69%	47.59%	42.84%	43.11%	45.27%	42.82%	44.20%	43.50%	
32	Preferred Stock Ratio	Line 13 / (Line 19 - Line 11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
33	Common Equity Ratio	Line 15 / (Line 19 - Line 11)	49.31%	52.41%	57.16%	56.89%	54.73%	57.18%	55.80%	56.50%	

Note: (1) Includes zero months actual data and twelve months of forecast data.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Comparative Financial Data  
(000s) except per share data

Line	Description [A]	Historical Source [B]	Test Year Ending 12/31/2013 [C]	Forecasted Year Ending 12/31/2012 (1) [D]	Historical Year Ended						
					12/31/2011 [E]	12/31/2010 [F]	12/31/2009 [G]	12/31/2008 [H]	12/31/2007 [I]	12/31/2006 [J]	
34	<b>Cost of Capital</b>										
35	Embedded Cost of Long-term Debt	Sch. D-3, State Reg. Quarterly Filings	4.58%	4.66%	4.64%	4.54%	4.81%	5.04%	4.74%	4.63%	
36	Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
37	<b>Earnings Ratios</b>										
38	Operating Income	FERC - Income Statement	\$ 71,098	\$ 86,312	\$ 79,149	\$ 66,838	\$ 55,218	\$ 70,369	\$ 18,071	\$ 33,358	
39	Earnings Available for Common Equity (EACE)	Line 2 - Line 61	\$ 41,743	\$ 61,349	\$ 56,605	\$ 42,066	\$ 27,627	\$ 38,135	\$ (13,748)	\$ 7,705	
40	Net Original Cost Rate Base	Sch. B-1, State Reg. Quarterly Filings	\$ 1,585,248	\$ 1,408,812	\$ 1,554,849	\$ 1,453,711	\$ 1,356,114	\$ 1,374,505	\$ 1,339,131	\$ 1,214,612	
41	AFUDC as a percentage of EACE	Line 5 / Line 39	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
42	Return on Net Original Cost Rate Base	Line 38 / Line 40	4.48%	6.13%	5.09%	4.60%	4.07%	5.12%	1.35%	2.75%	
43	Return on Average Common Equity Including AOCI	Line 39 / 2-Yr. Avg. Line 17	6.08%	8.85%	8.13%	6.06%	4.06%	5.87%	-2.14%	1.25%	
44	Return on Average Common Equity Excluding AOCI	Line 39 / 2-Yr. Avg. Line 15	6.08%	8.84%	8.13%	6.06%	4.05%	5.86%	-2.14%	1.23%	
45	<b>Fixed Charge Coverage</b>										
46	Pre-Tax Interest Coverage:										
47	Total Operating Income	FERC - Income Statement	\$ 71,098	\$ 86,312	\$ 79,149	\$ 66,838	\$ 55,218	\$ 70,369	\$ 18,071	\$ 33,358	
48	Income From Gas Plant Leased to Others	FERC - Income Statement [412, 413]	(17)	(17)	126	91	83	67	252	251	
49	Other Income and Deductions, net	FERC - Income Statement [408.2 - 426.5]	849	775	399	658	(160)	(453)	1,063	2,200	
50	Federal and State Income Taxes	FERC - Income Statement [409.1 - 411.4]	30,254	50,519	33,154	35,591	17,085	23,477	(12,069)	2,737	
51	AFUDC Equity Funds Portion	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
52	Pre-tax Income Before Interest Charges	Line 47 + 48 + 49 + 50 - 51	<u>\$ 102,184</u>	<u>\$ 137,589</u>	<u>\$ 112,828</u>	<u>\$ 103,178</u>	<u>\$ 72,226</u>	<u>\$ 93,460</u>	<u>\$ 7,317</u>	<u>\$ 38,546</u>	
53	Total Interest Charges	FERC - Income Statement [427 - 431]	<u>\$ 30,187</u>	<u>\$ 25,721</u>	<u>\$ 23,069</u>	<u>\$ 25,521</u>	<u>\$ 27,514</u>	<u>\$ 31,848</u>	<u>\$ 33,134</u>	<u>\$ 28,104</u>	
54	Pre-tax Interest Coverage	Line 52 / Line 53	3.4	5.3	4.9	4.0	2.6	2.9	0.2	1.4	
55	After-tax Fixed Charge Coverage:										
56	Total Operating Income	FERC - Income Statement	\$ 71,098	\$ 86,312	\$ 79,149	\$ 66,838	\$ 55,218	\$ 70,369	\$ 18,071	\$ 33,358	
57	Income From Gas Plant Leased to Others	FERC - Income Statement [412, 413]	(17)	(17)	126	91	83	67	252	251	
58	Other Income and Deductions, net	FERC - Income Statement [408.2 - 426.5]	849	775	399	658	(160)	(453)	1,063	2,200	
59	Income Before Interest Charges	Line 56 + 57 + 58	<u>\$ 71,930</u>	<u>\$ 87,070</u>	<u>\$ 79,674</u>	<u>\$ 67,587</u>	<u>\$ 55,141</u>	<u>\$ 69,983</u>	<u>\$ 19,386</u>	<u>\$ 35,809</u>	
60	Total Interest Charges	FERC - Income Statement [427 - 431]	\$ 30,187	\$ 25,721	\$ 23,069	\$ 25,521	\$ 27,514	\$ 31,848	\$ 33,134	\$ 28,104	
61	Preferred Dividends	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
62	After-tax Fixed Charge Coverage	Line 59 / (Line 60 + 61)	2.4	3.4	3.5	2.6	2.0	2.2	0.6	1.3	

Note: (1) Includes zero months actual data and twelve months of forecast data.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Comparative Financial Data  
(000s) except per share data

Line	Description [A]	Historical Source [B]	Test Year	Forecasted Year	Historical Year Ended						
			Ending 12/31/2013 [C]	Ending 12/31/2012 (1) [D]	12/31/2011 [E]	12/31/2010 [F]	12/31/2009 [G]	12/31/2008 [H]	12/31/2007 [I]	12/31/2006 [J]	
63	<u>Cash Flow Ratios</u>										
64	Funds from Operations	Line 9	\$ 270,361	\$ 234,060	\$ 211,529	\$ 197,842	\$ 180,508	\$ 185,308	\$ 52,000	\$ 31,222	
65	Cash Interest Paid	SEC Annual Report - Cash Flow	26,587	23,648	22,180	25,539	24,935	31,136	28,500	24,633	
66	Funds from Operation plus Cash Interest Paid	Line 64 + 65	<u>\$ 296,948</u>	<u>\$ 257,708</u>	<u>\$ 233,709</u>	<u>\$ 223,381</u>	<u>\$ 205,443</u>	<u>\$ 216,444</u>	<u>\$ 80,500</u>	<u>\$ 55,855</u>	
67	Total Interest Incurred	Line 53	\$ 30,187	\$ 25,721	\$ 23,069	\$ 25,521	\$ 27,514	\$ 31,848	\$ 33,134	\$ 28,104	
68	Funds Flow Interest Coverage	Line 66 / Line 67	9.8	10.0	10.1	8.8	7.5	6.8	2.4	2.0	
69	Average Short-term Debt	12 Month Average [231, 233]	\$ 92,896	\$ 55,984	\$ 5,865	\$ -	\$ 44,525	\$ 133,072	\$ 80,995	\$ 29,308	
70	Average Long-term Debt (2)	12 Month Average [221, 226]	\$ 633,717	\$ 541,190	\$ 512,577	\$ 533,798	\$ 525,406	\$ 471,411	\$ 501,250	\$ 501,172	
71	Funds Flow as % of Average Total Debt	Line 64 / (Line 69 + 70)	37.21%	39.19%	40.80%	37.06%	31.67%	30.66%	8.93%	5.89%	
72	Common Dividends	SEC Annual Report - Cash Flow	\$ 44,000	\$ 73,400	\$ 50,741	\$ 44,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,300	\$ 21,000	
73	Preferred Dividends	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
74	Cash Coverage of Common Dividends	(Line 64 - 73) / Line 72	6.1	3.2	4.2	4.5	-	-	12.1	1.5	
75	Gross Construction Expenditures	SEC Annual Report - Cash Flow	\$ 342,928	\$ 278,700	\$ 133,992	\$ 76,216	\$ 76,800	\$ 113,342	\$ 185,318	\$ 110,218	
76	Net Cash Flow as % of Construction Expenditures	(Line 64 - 72 - 73) / (Line 75 - 5)	66%	58%	120%	201%	235%	163%	26%	9%	
77	<u>Common Stock Related Data</u>										
78	Shares Outstanding - Calendar Year End	SEC Annual Report	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	
79	Shares Outstanding - Monthly Weighted Average	SEC Annual Report	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,357,566	25,087,560	
80	Earnings Per Share - Weighted Average	(Line 39 * 1,000) / Line 79	\$1.65	\$2.42	\$2.23	\$1.66	\$1.09	\$1.50	(\$0.54)	\$0.31	
81	Dividend Paid Per Share - Weighted Average	(Line 72 * 1,000) / Line 79	\$1.74	\$2.89	\$2.00	\$1.75	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.17	\$0.84	
82	Dividend Payout Ratio (Declared Basis)	Line 72 / Line 39	105%	120%	90%	106%	0%	0%	-31%	273%	

Note: (1) Includes zero months actual data and twelve months of forecast data.  
(2) Includes long-term debt due within one year and unamortized discount on long-term debt.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Comparative Financial Data  
(000s) except per share data

Line	Description [A]	Source [B]	Test Year	Forecasted Year	Historical Year Ended					
			Ending 12/31/2013 [C]	Ending 12/31/2012 (1) [D]	12/31/2011 [E]	12/31/2010 [F]	12/31/2009 [G]	12/31/2008 [H]	12/31/2007 [I]	12/31/2006 [J]
<u>Amounts Attributable to Non-Utility Subsidiaries</u>										
1	<u>Funds from Operations</u>									
2	Net Income	FERC - Income Statement [418.10]	\$ 59	\$ 34	\$ (4)	\$ 1	\$ 10	\$ (44)	\$ (796)	\$ 323
3	Depreciation and Amortization	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Deferred Income Tax and Investment Tax Credits - AFUDC	N/A	9	(23)	87	(15)	86	(78)	(695)	(16)
5	Other Internal Sources:	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Pension Funding (Greater) Less Than Expense	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Other Adjustments	SEC Annual Report - Cash Flow	20	57	94	410	(210)	(140)	(142)	(221)
8	Total Funds from Operations		<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ 68</u>	<u>\$ 177</u>	<u>\$ 396</u>	<u>\$ (114)</u>	<u>\$ (262)</u>	<u>\$ (1,633)</u>	<u>\$ 86</u>
9										
10	<u>Capital Structure</u>									
11	Short-term Debt	N/A	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Long-term Debt	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Preferred Stock	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Common Equity:									
15	Common Equity Excluding AOCI	N/A	4,805	4,747	4,695	4,694	4,683	4,728	5,449	5,329
16	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Common Equity Including AOCI	FERC - Balance Sheet [123.10]	<u>\$ 4,805</u>	<u>\$ 4,747</u>	<u>\$ 4,695</u>	<u>\$ 4,694</u>	<u>\$ 4,683</u>	<u>\$ 4,728</u>	<u>\$ 5,449</u>	<u>\$ 5,329</u>
18	Total Capital Including AOCI	Line 11 + 12 + 13 + 17	<u>\$ 4,805</u>	<u>\$ 4,747</u>	<u>\$ 4,695</u>	<u>\$ 4,694</u>	<u>\$ 4,683</u>	<u>\$ 4,728</u>	<u>\$ 5,449</u>	<u>\$ 5,329</u>
19	Total Capital Excluding AOCI	Line 11 + 12 + 13 + 15	<u>\$ 4,805</u>	<u>\$ 4,747</u>	<u>\$ 4,695</u>	<u>\$ 4,694</u>	<u>\$ 4,683</u>	<u>\$ 4,728</u>	<u>\$ 5,449</u>	<u>\$ 5,329</u>
20	<u>Earnings Ratios</u>									
21	Operating Income	N/A	52	27	(10)	(64)	(82)	(91)	(175)	(205)
22	Earnings Available for Common Equity (EACE)	Line 2 - Line 39	\$ 59	\$ 34	\$ (4)	\$ 1	\$ 10	\$ (44)	\$ (796)	\$ 323
23	Net Original Cost Rate Base	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	<u>Fixed Charge Coverage</u>									
25	Pre-Tax Interest Coverage:									
26	Total Operating Income	N/A	\$ 52	\$ 27	\$ (10)	\$ (64)	\$ (82)	\$ (91)	\$ (175)	\$ (205)
27	Income From Gas Plant Leased to Others	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Other Income and Deductions, net	FERC - Income Statement [418.10]	7	7	7	66	92	47	9	8
29	Federal and State Income Taxes	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	AFUDC Equity Funds Portion	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Pre-tax Income Before Interest Charges	Line 26 + 27 + 28 + 29 - 30	<u>\$ 59</u>	<u>\$ 34</u>	<u>\$ (3)</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ (44)</u>	<u>\$ (166)</u>	<u>\$ (197)</u>
32	Total Interest Charges									

Note: (1) Includes zero months actual data and twelve months of forecast data.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Comparative Financial Data  
(000s) except per share data

Line	Description [A]	Source [B]	Test Year Ending 12/31/2013 [C]	Forecasted Year Ending 12/31/2012 (1) [D]	Historical Year Ended					
					12/31/2011 [E]	12/31/2010 [F]	12/31/2009 [G]	12/31/2008 [H]	12/31/2007 [I]	12/31/2006 [J]
33	After-tax Fixed Charge Coverage:									
34	Total Operating Income	N/A	\$ 52	\$ 27	\$ (10)	\$ (64)	\$ (82)	\$ (91)	\$ (175)	\$ (205)
35	Income From Gas Plant Leased to Others	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	Other Income and Deductions, net	FERC - Income Statement [418.10]	7	7	7	66	92	47	9	8
37	Income Before Interest Charges	Line 34 + 35 + 36	<u>\$ 59</u>	<u>\$ 34</u>	<u>\$ (3)</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ (44)</u>	<u>\$ (166)</u>	<u>\$ (197)</u>
38	Total Interest Charges	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	Preferred Dividends	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	<u>Cash Flow Ratios</u>									
41	Funds from Operations	Line 9	\$ 88	\$ 68	\$ 177	\$ 396	\$ (114)	\$ (262)	\$ (1,633)	\$ 86
42	Cash Interest Paid	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	Funds from Operation plus Cash Interest Paid	Line 41 + 42	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ 68</u>	<u>\$ 177</u>	<u>\$ 396</u>	<u>\$ (114)</u>	<u>\$ (262)</u>	<u>\$ (1,633)</u>	<u>\$ 86</u>
44	Total Interest Incurred		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	Average Short-term Debt	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	Average Long-term Debt	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Common Dividends	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Preferred Dividends	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	Gross Construction Expenditures	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	<u>Common Stock Related Data</u>									
51	Shares Outstanding - Calendar Year End	N/A	3,041	3,041	3,041	3,041	3,041	3,041	3,041	9,705
52	Shares Outstanding - Monthly Weighted Average	N/A	3,041	3,041	3,041	3,041	3,041	3,041	9,150	9,705

Note: (1) Includes zero months actual data and twelve months of forecast data.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Security Quality Ratings

Line No.	Debt [A]	Moody's		Standard & Poors	
		Rating [B]	Date Assigned [C]	Rating [D]	Date Assigned [E]
1	Senior Secured Debt	A1	5/27/2010	A-	9/26/2002
2	(First Mortgage Bonds)	A2	6/9/2009		
3		A1	4/20/2006		
4	Short-Term Debt	P-2	6/9/2009	A-2	9/26/2002
5	(Commercial Paper)	P-1	9/30/1971		

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Provide a copy of all credit rating analyses or reports on the utility and its parent, in the utility's possession, published during the last 12 months that describe security rating changes and the rationale for those changes.

**No security rating changes were made during the last 12 months.**

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Provide a copy of all credit rating analyses or reports on the utility and its parent, in the utility's possession, published by each rating agency engaged by the utility to rate its securities that comprehensively describes the utility's operations, financial condition, and regulatory environment.

**Provided are Moody's reports for Integrys Energy Group, Inc. and The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company. Moodys does not publish such a report for Peoples Energy, LLC (formerly Peoples Energy Corporation). Also provided are Standard and Poor's reports for Integrys Energy Group, Inc., Peoples Energy, LLC, and The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company.**

**MOODY'S**  
INVESTORS SERVICE

**Announcement: Moody's Disclosures on Credit Ratings of Integrys Energy Group, Inc.**

---

Global Credit Research - 30 Mar 2012

New York, March 30, 2012 -- The following release represents Moody's Investors Service's summary credit opinion on Integrys Energy Group, Inc. and includes certain regulatory disclosures regarding its ratings. This release does not constitute any change in Moody's ratings or rating rationale for Integrys Energy Group, Inc. and its affiliates.

Moody's current ratings on Integrys Energy Group, Inc. and its affiliates are:

Senior Unsecured domestic currency ratings of Baa1

Junior Subordinate domestic currency ratings of Baa2

Senior Unsec. Shelf domestic currency ratings of (P)Baa1

Subordinate Shelf domestic currency ratings of (P)Baa2

Commercial Paper domestic currency ratings of P-2

North Shore Gas Company

First Mortgage Bonds domestic currency ratings of A1

Senior Secured MTN domestic currency ratings of (P)A1

LT Issuer Rating domestic currency ratings of A3

Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

First Mortgage Bonds domestic currency ratings of A1

Senior Secured MTN domestic currency ratings of (P)A1

LT Issuer Rating domestic currency ratings of A3

Commercial Paper domestic currency ratings of P-2

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation

First Mortgage Bonds domestic currency ratings of Aa3

Senior Secured domestic currency ratings of Aa3

LT Issuer Rating ratings of A2

Pref. Stock ratings of Baa1

Pref. Stock domestic currency ratings of Baa1

Senior Secured Shelf domestic currency ratings of (P)Aa3

Pref. Shelf domestic currency ratings of (P)Baa1

Commercial Paper domestic currency ratings of P-1

RATINGS RATIONALE

Moody's evaluates Integrys' consolidated financial performance relative to the Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities rating methodology (the methodology) published in August 2009. As depicted in the grid below, Integrys' indicated rating under this methodology is Baa1 compared to its current Baa1 senior unsecured rating. The indicated rating under the methodology considers Integrys' consolidated financial performance based on a three-year historical average.

Integrys' Baa1 senior unsecured rating is supported by the underlying cash flow stability provided by its six utility subsidiaries, a diverse, multi-state service territory, an improved business risk profile resulting from the restructuring of its non-regulated energy marketing business and an expectation that near-term financial metrics will remain appropriate for its rating. The rating, however, is tempered by the degree of holding company debt and a significant dividend payout.

#### Rating Outlook

The stable rating outlook reflects a reduced business risk profile associated with the restructuring of the company's non-regulated business and an expectation that Integrys' consolidated ratio of CFO pre-W/C to debt will exceed 20% for at least the near-term.

#### What Could Change the Rating – Up

Upward rating movement is not expected in the medium-term. Longer term, we would likely need to see Integrys' consolidated ratio of CFO pre-W/C to debt exceed 25% on a sustainable basis to consider an upgrade.

#### What Could Change the Rating - Down

Changes in regulatory supportiveness or an unexpected increase in leverage or decline in cash flow such that its ratio of CFO pre-W/C to debt falls below 17% on a sustainable basis.

The principal methodology used in these ratings was Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities published in August 2009. Please see the Credit Policy page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for a copy of this methodology.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

Although these credit ratings have been issued in a non-EU country which has not been recognized as endorsable at this date, the credit ratings are deemed "EU qualified by extension" and may still be used by financial institutions for regulatory purposes until 30 April 2012. Further information on the EU endorsement status and on the Moody's office that has issued a particular Credit Rating is available on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

Information sources used to prepare each of the ratings are the following: parties involved in the ratings, parties not involved in the ratings, public information, confidential and proprietary Moody's Investors Service information, and confidential and proprietary Moody's Analytics information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entities, obligations or credits satisfactory for the purposes of issuing these ratings.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning the ratings is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for general disclosure on potential conflicts of interests.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for information on (A) MCO's major shareholders (above 5%) and for (B) further information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities as well as (C) the names of entities that hold ratings from MIS that have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%. A member of the board of directors of this rated entity may also be a member of the board of directors of a shareholder of Moody's Corporation; however, Moody's has not independently verified this matter.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for the last rating action and the rating history. The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for further information.

Please see [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Scott Solomon  
Vice President - Senior Analyst  
Infrastructure Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
U.S.A.

JOURNALISTS: 212-553-0376  
SUBSCRIBERS: 212-553-1653

William L. Hess  
MD - Utilities  
Infrastructure Finance Group  
JOURNALISTS: 212-553-0376  
SUBSCRIBERS: 212-553-1653

Releasing Office:  
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
U.S.A.  
JOURNALISTS: 212-553-0376  
SUBSCRIBERS: 212-553-1653

**MOODY'S**  
**INVESTORS SERVICE**

© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE**

**SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the

heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.



**Credit Opinion: Integrys Energy Group, Inc.**

Global Credit Research - 18 May 2012

*Chicago, Illinois, United States*

**Ratings**

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Senior Unsecured	Baa1
Jr Subordinate	Baa2
Commercial Paper	P-2
<b>Wisconsin Public Service Corporation</b>	
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	A2
First Mortgage Bonds	Aa3
Senior Secured	Aa3
Pref. Stock	Baa1
Commercial Paper	P-1
<b>Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company</b>	
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	A3
First Mortgage Bonds	A1
Senior Secured MTN	(P)A1
Commercial Paper	P-2
<b>North Shore Gas Company</b>	
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	A3
First Mortgage Bonds	A1
Senior Secured MTN	(P)A1

**Contacts**

Analyst	Phone
Scott Solomon/New York City	212.553.4358
William L. Hess/New York City	212.553.3837

**Key Indicators**

[1]Integrys Energy Group, Inc.

	2011	2010	2009	2008
(CFO Pre-W/C + Interest) / Interest Expense	6.7x	6.0x	5.5x	5.3x
(CFO Pre-W/C) / Debt	28%	27%	27%	18%
(CFO Pre-W/C - Dividends) / Debt	21%	21%	20%	13%
Debt / Book Capitalization	41%	44%	45%	52%

[1] All ratios calculated in accordance with the Global Regulated Electric Utilities Rating Methodology using Moody's standard adjustments.

*Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying User's Guide.*

## Opinion

### Rating Drivers

Utility subsidiaries operate in diverse and relatively supportive regulatory environments

Repositioning of non-regulated businesses

Strong financial performance

Large capital spending program

Significant holding company debt and above average dividend payout

### Corporate Profile

Integrys Energy Group, Inc. (Integrys: Baa1 senior unsecured, stable outlook) is a diversified energy holding company headquartered in Chicago, Illinois that was created through the February 2007 merger between WPS Resources and Peoples Energy, LLC (PEC).

Integrys owns six regulated utilities, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (WPSC: A2 Issuer Rating), The Peoples Gas, Light and Coke Company (PGL: A3 Issuer Rating), North Shore Gas Company (NSG: A3 Issuer Rating), Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC: not rated), Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation (MGUC: not rated) and Upper Peninsula Power Corporation (UPPCO: not rated) that in the aggregate serve approximately 1.7 million gas and 500,000 electric customers in Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, and Minnesota. The most sizable utilities are WPSC, a vertically-integrated electric utility headquarter in Green Bay, Wisconsin and PGL, a local natural gas distribution company(LDC) that operates in and around Chicago.

Integrys also has an approximate 34% ownership interest in the American Transmission Company (ATC: A1 senior unsecured).

Integrys' non-regulated retail energy marketing business is focused on marketing natural gas and electricity to commercial, industrial and residential customers primarily in the northeastern quadrant of the United States. Moody's estimates Integrys' non-regulated energy marketing business currently accounts for 10- 15% of the company's annual cash flow .

### Rating Rationale

Moody's evaluates Integrys' consolidated financial performance relative to the Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities rating methodology (the methodology) published in August 2009. As depicted in the grid below, Integrys' indicated rating under this methodology is Baa1 compared to its current Baa1 senior unsecured rating. The indicated rating under the methodology considers Integrys' consolidated financial performance based on a three-year historical average.

Integrys is well positioned in the Baa1 rating category. The company's rating is supported by the underlying cash flow stability provided by its six regulated utility subsidiaries, a diverse, multi-state service territory and strong historical financial performance. The rating, however, is tempered by the degree of holding company debt, the risk profile of its non-regulated business and an above average dividend payout.

### DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

The primary drivers for the rating and outlook are as follows:

Diverse and reasonably supportive regulatory environments

Integrys has successfully reduced the business risk profile of the enterprise through the acquisition of four regulated gas utilities, MGUC in April 2006, MERC in June 2006 and NSG and PGL in February 2007 followed by a restructuring of its non-regulated business in 2009-2010. As a result, Integrys' regulated utilities (including its investment in ATC) which operates in four states, typically account for approximately 85-90% of its annual consolidated cash flow.

Generally speaking, Integrys' regulated LDC utilities operate in relatively supportive regulatory environments that provide PGL, NSG, MGU and MERC with rate mechanisms to pass gas costs directly to their customers and to recover bad debts. Furthermore, PGL, NSG and MGU have been granted decoupling mechanisms to offset the financial impact of declining usage. MERC requested a decoupling mechanism in its recent rate case filing and we expect the request to be approved in a final order expected in the second quarter. An offset to these allowed recovery mechanisms by regulators, a credit positive, is the below average allowed return on equity (9.45%) granted to PGL and NSG.

The supportive regulatory environments in which the LDC's operate combined with the strong regulatory environment provided in Wisconsin supports a high-Baa rating factor for Factor 1: Regulatory Framework within Moody's methodology. That being said, we have notched this rating factor downward to reflect the higher risk profile of Integrys' remaining non-regulated business; however, a high-Baa rating factor has been assigned for Factor 2: Ability to Recover Costs and Earn Returns.

#### Reduced scale and scope of non-regulated energy marketing business

Integrys substantially reduced the scale and scope of its non-regulated energy marketing businesses in 2009-2010 largely by selling several businesses with substantial collateral requirements.

Integrys' remaining non-regulated business is focused on marketing electricity and natural gas in the retail market serving commercial, industrial, direct and aggregated small commercial and residential customers primarily in the northeastern quadrant of the United States. Integrys manages the supply risk of its natural gas marketing business through a multi-year natural gas supply agreement with a creditworthy counterparty. Specifically, this agreement provides Integrys with sufficient capacity to meet the natural gas requirements of its energy marketing business and includes a contractually set limitation on collateral support requirements.

Integrys has always provided collateral support on behalf of its non-regulated energy marketing businesses. As this business grew in scale, so did the collateral requirements, thereby pressuring Integrys' liquidity profile. The downsizing of this business segment, however, has resulted in significantly reduced collateral requirements. Guarantees and other forms of corporate support provided by Integrys on behalf of its non-regulated operations to support its commodity transactions declined to less than \$600 million as of March 31, 2012 from \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2008. Cash collateral provided to third parties declined to \$64 million from \$256 million during the same timeframe. Furthermore, the collateral requirement associated with a hypothetical downgrade of Integrys' rating to below investment grade has declined to a more manageable \$271 million at March 31, 2012 from approximately \$700 million at December 31, 2008.

#### Strong key financial metrics

Integrys achieved CFO-pre WC to debt of approximately 28% and cash flow coverage of interest expense of 6.7 times for 2011 compared to 27% and 6.0 times, respectively, in 2010. Integrys' strong financial metrics in these years were driven in part by the impact of bonus depreciation. Specifically, Integrys received a federal tax refund of \$80 million in 2011 and \$2 million in 2010. Without bonus depreciation, Moody's estimates that Integrys' key financial metrics would have ranged between 22-26% and 5-6 times, respectively, during this two-year timeframe.

The company anticipates a significant reduction in taxes again in 2012 due to bonus depreciation. Our rating and outlook assumes a normalization of depreciation and an expectation that Integrys maintains consolidated CFO-pre WC to debt in the 20-25% range and interest coverage in excess of 5.0 times over the next several years.

Integrys consolidated capital expenditure program for the three-year period 2012 through 2014 is significant at an estimated \$2.3 billion (compared to \$1.0 billion for the three year period ended 2011). The primary drivers for the increase in capital spending are PGL's accelerated cast iron replacement program and environmental controls on WPS's coal plant facilities. Both utilities are expected to file frequent rate cases to ensure timely recovery of these investments.

Integrys' subsidiaries are expected to fund their respective capital expenditure programs with internally generated funds, incremental debt and parent equity contributions. Integrys anticipates an incremental holding company debt offering in the 2012-2014 timeframe and may issue equity to fund in part its capital expenditure program.

#### Significant holding company debt and above average dividend payout

Integrys' rating reflects in part the significant amount of holding company debt and the current high dividend payout

ratio, which are the primary drivers for the two-notch rating difference between it and the senior unsecured rating assigned to WPSC, its largest regulated subsidiary. At 12/31/2011 long-term holding company debt was \$708 million (adjusted for a \$270M hybrid security that currently receives 25% equity and 75% debt treatment for financial leverage purposes by Moody's) or approximately 30% of consolidated long-term balance sheet debt.

Integrys' dividend payout to its shareholders in 2011 was approximately \$206 million or 90% of consolidated net income. That said, the company's earnings are somewhat influenced by mark-to-market accounting at its energy marketing business. For example, in 2011, the company earnings were skewed by \$48 million (after-tax) of net unrealized losses on non-regulated energy contracts. Ignoring this non-cash impact, Integrys' dividend payout in 2011 was approximately 74%, which is slightly higher than industry average of 65-70%.

### Liquidity Profile

Integrys proactively manages its liquidity profile to ensure access to funds in an amount comfortably in excess of all potential requirements.

Integrys' parent's external sources of liquidity include \$1,210 million of unsecured revolving credit facilities commitments (\$735 million due April 2013, \$275 million due in May 2014 and \$200 million due in May 2016) to support the issuance of letters of credit, to meet short-term funding requirements and to provide alternate liquidity for its commercial paper program. Terms of the syndicated revolving credit facilities include a representation that no material adverse change has occurred on the facilities' effective date (but not at any other times throughout the facility's term). The sole financial covenant is a 65% limitation on the debt component of Integrys' capital structure. The company has substantial headroom under the capital structure covenant ; we estimate that Integrys' debt-to-capitalization for the purpose of this covenant is currently at approximately 45%.

Integrys had approximately \$92 million of commercial paper outstanding and \$34 million of letters of credit issued under its credit facilities at December 31, 2011. The average amount of parent commercial paper outstanding during fiscal year 2011 was \$75 million. The company's most near-term debt maturity is \$100 million in December 2012.

Availability under Integrys' credit facilities are more than adequate to meet the potential \$271 million collateral requirement associated with a hypothetical downgrade of Integrys' rating to below investment grade. We anticipate Integrys will extend the maturity of its \$735 million facility due April 2013 during the second quarter.

Separately, WPSC and PGL have access to three credit facilities totaling \$500 million in commitments to support their respective business requirements.

### Rating Outlook

The stable rating outlook reflects a reduced business risk profile associated with the completed restructuring of the company's non-regulated businesses and an expectation that Integrys' consolidated ratio of CFO pre-W/C to debt will continue to exceed 20% for the near-to- medium term.

### What Could Change the Rating - Up

Upward rating movement is not expected in the medium-term. Longer term, we would likely need to see Integrys' consolidated ratio of CFO pre-W/C to debt exceed 25% without the benefit of any temporary items such as bonus depreciation on a sustainable basis to consider an upgrade.

### What Could Change the Rating - Down

Changes in regulatory supportiveness or an unexpected increase in leverage or decline in cash flow such that its ratio of CFO pre-W/C to debt falls below 17% on a sustainable basis.

### Rating Factors

Integrys Energy Group, Inc.

Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities Industry [1][2]	Current 12/31/2011	Moody's 12-18 month
--	-----------------------	---------------------------

			Forward View* As of May 2012	
	Measure	Score	Measure	Score
<b>Factor 1: Regulatory Framework (25%)</b>				
a) Regulatory Framework		Baa		Baa
<b>Factor 2: Ability To Recover Costs And Earn Returns (25%)</b>				
a) Ability To Recover Costs And Earn Returns		Baa		Baa
<b>Factor 3: Diversification (10%)</b>				
a) Market Position (10%)		A		A
b) Generation and Fuel Diversity (0%)		Baa		Baa
<b>Factor 4: Financial Strength, Liquidity And Key Financial Metrics (40%)</b>				
a) Liquidity (10%)		Baa		Baa
b) CFO pre-WC + Interest/ Interest (3 Year Avg) (7.5%)	6.0x	A	5.0x-6.0x	A
c) CFO pre-WC / Debt (3 Year Avg) (7.5%)	27.6%	A	20-25%	Baa
d) CFO pre-WC - Dividends / Debt (3 Year Avg) (7.5%)	20.7%	A	15-17%	Baa
e) Debt/Capitalization (3 Year Avg) (7.5%)	43.3%	A	40-45%	A
<b>Rating:</b>				
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		Baa1		Baa1
b) Actual Rating Assigned		Baa1		Baa1

\* THIS REPRESENTS MOODY'S FORWARD VIEW; NOT THE VIEW OF THE ISSUER; AND UNLESS NOTED IN THE TEXT DOES NOT INCORPORATE SIGNIFICANT ACQUISITIONS OR DIVESTITURES

[1] All ratios are calculated using Moody's Standard Adjustments. [2] As of 12/31/2011; Source: Moody's Financial Metrics



© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make

any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

# MOODY'S

## INVESTORS SERVICE

### Credit Opinion: Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Global Credit Research - 15 May 2012

Chicago, Illinois, United States

#### Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	A3
First Mortgage Bonds	A1
Senior Secured MTN	(P)A1
Commercial Paper	P-2
<b>Ult Parent: Integrys Energy Group, Inc.</b>	
Outlook	Stable
Senior Unsecured	Baa1
Jr Subordinate	Baa2
Commercial Paper	P-2

#### Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Scott Solomon/New York City	212.553.4358
William L. Hess/New York City	212.553.3837

#### Opinion

##### Rating Drivers

Fairly supportive regulatory environment

Low business risk profile

Improving financial performance

Increased capital spending program

Illinois Appellate Court's reversal of Rider ICR is a credit negative

##### Corporate Profile

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company (PGL: A3 Issuer Rating) is a regulated local gas distribution utility (LDC) that purchases, stores, distributes, sells and transports natural gas to approximately 826,000 customers through a 4,460-mile distribution and transmission system serving Chicago, Illinois. The customer base includes residential, commercial, industrial and transportation customers. Transportation customers acquire their gas elsewhere and pay PGL for the use of its distribution system. PGL had revenue of slightly more than \$1B in 2011, which accounted for 22% of Integrys' consolidated revenue.

North Shore Gas Company (NSG: A3 Issuer Rating) is also a regulated LDC, albeit smaller than PGL. It purchases, stores, distributes, sells and transports natural gas to approximately 158,000 customers through a 2,330-mile distribution and transmission system serving 54 communities in northeastern Illinois. The customer base includes residential, commercial, industrial and transportation customers. NSG had revenue of \$201 million in 2011, which accounted for 4% of Integrys' consolidated revenue.

PGL and NSG are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Peoples Energy LLC (PEC), which is a subsidiary of Integrys Energy Group, Inc. (Integrys: Baa1 senior unsecured, stable outlook). PEC and WPS Resources merged to form Integrys in February 2007.

### Rating Rationale

Moody's evaluates PGL and NSG's consolidated financial performance relative to the Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities rating methodology (the methodology) published in August 2009. As depicted in the grid below, PGL and NSG's indicated ratings under this methodology are A3 compared to their current A3 Issuer Rating. The indicated rating under the methodology considers their respective consolidated financial performance based on a three-year historical average and our projections for the next 18 months.

PGL and NSG's A3 Issuer Ratings are supported by their low risk business profiles, a fairly supportive regulatory environment and strong financial performance. Since acquiring PGL and NSG, Integrys has improved their respective profitability through rate case filings and cost management efforts. In 2011, PGL and NSG earned net income of \$56.6 million and \$9.9 million, respectively, compared to a loss of \$13.7 million and net income of \$ 7.9 million, respectively, during 2007.

### DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

#### Supportive regulatory environment

PGL and NSG have been biennial rate filers. The most recent rate case decision received in January 2012 was less supportive than previous decisions. Specifically, the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) granted PGL a \$57.8 million and NSG a \$1.9 million rate increase premised on a 9.45% ROE and a common equity ratio of 49% (50% for NSG). This compares negatively to the parameters authorized by the ICC in its January 2010 order that authorized PGL a 10.23% ROE (10.33% for NSG) based on a 56% common equity ratio.

The 9.45% allowed ROE was calculated by averaging the companies' requested ROE (10.85%), the ICC Staff's recommended ROE (8.85%) and the recommended ROE from a consortium of government and commercial interveners (8.94%). The resulting average ROE of 9.55% was then reduced by the ICC by 10 basis points to reflect the reduction in risk associated with approval of a rider that provides recovery of uncollectible accounts receivables.

Importantly, the 2012 rate case decisions for PGL and NSG included the permanent approval of an existing decoupling mechanism. This mechanism, which initially became effective March 1, 2008 as a four-year pilot program, allows PGL and NSG to adjust rates going forward to recover or refund the difference between the actual and authorized margin impact of variations in distributed volumes. Since the implementation of the pilot program, decoupling has resulted in ratepayer refunds of approximately \$28 million.

PGL and NSG have historically earned significantly less than their allowed ROE. For example, in 2009, PGL and NSG earned ROEs (from a GAAP perspective) of approximately 4% and 4.5%, respectively. Management has undertaken initiatives including workforce reductions and frequent rate case filings in an effort to move PGL and NSG closer to their allowed returns. As a result, PGL's earned ROE improved to slightly more than 8% in 2011 (NSG's increased to more than 10%).

Moody's has viewed the last few rate outcomes for PGL and NSG's as supportive and continues to score PGL and NSG a high-Baa for Factor 1: Regulatory Framework. For Factor 2: Ability to Recover Costs and Earn Returns, we also score PGL and NSG a high-Baa to reflect the permanent approval of decoupling and increased earned returns.

While noting that Illinois has been a challenging regulatory environment, past political interference has been focused mostly on electric utilities. Furthermore, LDC's are generally considered to have lower business risk than electric utilities and tend to have less contentious issues with their regulators.

#### Limited exposure to commodity prices and industrial customers

PGL and NSG typically record approximately 60% of their respective revenues during the heating season: from November through March. In addition to a rate charged for the distribution of natural gas, PGL and NSG also bill their customers a Gas Charge. The Gas Charge represents the companies' cost of gas, transportation, storage service and gains, losses and costs incurred under their respective hedging programs, thereby limiting their exposure to commodity prices. The ICC conducts annual Gas Charge proceedings which review and determine

the prudence of all gas costs passed through to ratepayers through the Gas Charge. If the ICC were to find that the reconciliation was inaccurate or any gas costs were imprudently incurred, the ICC would order the utility to provide ratepayers a refund.

PGL and NSG benefit from a limited reliance on industrial customers. At December 31, 2011, industrial and commercial customers only accounted for approximately 10% of total customer throughput (residential and transportation customers combined accounted for approximately 90%). For this reason, PGL and NSG map to a high-Baa rating factor for Factor 3: Market Position.

#### Improved financial performance

PGL's key financial metrics (incorporating Moody's standard analytical adjustments) remain well positioned within the A rating category as outlined in the methodology. Specifically, PGL reported CFO pre W/C to debt of approximately 29%, CFO pre W/C interest coverage exceeded 8 times and a debt-to-capitalization ratio of 38% during the 12-months ended December 31, 2011, which was in-line with metrics achieved in 2010. Drivers for PGL's strong financial performance include improved earnings due to biennial rate increases and, to a lesser extent, a reduction in income taxes due to bonus depreciation.

Similarly, NSG's financial metrics remained strong in 2011. Specifically, the utility's ratio of CFO pre W/C to debt was approximately 30% and CFO pre W/C interest coverage exceeded 7 times.

#### Increased capital spending program

PGL's capital expenditures over the next three-year period are expected to significantly exceed historical levels. Specifically, PGL's capital expenditures for the three-year period 2012 through 2014 are estimated to be \$876 million compared to the \$287 million spent during the period 2009 through 2011. The planned increase is driven by the company's accelerated cast iron replacement program, a 20-year project that began in 2011 and involves the replacement of cast iron pipes with steel and polyethylene pipes.

In 2010, the ICC approved an infrastructure cost recovery mechanism (ICR) for PGL. The purpose of the ICR is to eliminate regulatory lag by allowing PGL to recover costs associated with its gas main replacement program outside of a rate case. Specifically, an 8.6% interim ROE is to be recovered until the next rate case. At that time, those property additions would be added to the rate base wherein the latest authorized ROE would take effect.

Charges relating to the ICR began to appear on customers' bills in April 2011. However, on September 30, 2011, the Illinois Appellate Court reversed the ICC's approval of Rider ICR, concluding it was improper single issue ratemaking. PGL and the ICC filed for leave to appeal with the Illinois Supreme Court, but their requests were denied and PGL stopped charging customers. In March 2012, the Illinois Appellate Court remanded the matter to the ICC for a further proceeding consistent with its September 30, 2011 decision. The matter is currently pending with the ICC.

The Appellate Courts' decision is a credit negative and is likely to delay cost recovery associated with PGL's substantial gas main replacement program and may cause the company to increase its frequency of rate filings.

PGL anticipates being free cash flow negative over the next several years due to the gas main replacement program and expects to meet its funding requirements with a combination of debt issuances and equity contributions from Integrys. PGL currently anticipates issuing \$75 million of incremental long-term debt in 2012.

The increase in debt levels are expected to pressure PGL's key financial metrics relative to recent levels. Specifically, we expect the company's CFO pre W/C to debt to range between 20-25% and CFO pre W/C interest coverage to range between 6-7 times through 2014.

NSG's capital expenditures over the next three-year period are also expected to increase significantly. Specifically, NSG's capital expenditures for the three-year period 2012 through 2014 are estimated to be \$85 million compared to \$40 million during the period 2009 through 2011.

#### Liquidity:

PGL's external liquidity source is through a 3-year \$250 million unsecured revolving credit facility that expires in April 2013 to support a similarly sized commercial paper program and for general corporate purposes. Terms and conditions include a representation that no material adverse change has occurred but only on the facility's effective

date. The sole financial covenant is a 65% limitation on the debt component of PGL's capital structure. The company has substantial headroom under the capital structure covenant. Usage of the revolving credit facility mirrors the seasonality of PGL and NSG's business with borrowings typically peaking in the 4th quarter that are repaid in full during the spring months. PGL has the ability to borrow \$150 million from PEC through an inter-company credit facility approved by the ICC, and may borrow or lend \$50 million to NSG.

NSG does not have its own revolving credit facility or commercial paper program. Instead, it has the ability to borrow up to \$50 million from PEC and to loan or borrow from PGL up to \$50 million. PGL's long-term debt consists entirely of first mortgage bonds totaling \$525 million. The most near-term maturities are two series totaling \$120 million maturing in 2013. NSG's long-term debt consists of three series of first mortgage bonds totaling \$75 million. Its most near-term maturities are two series totaling \$46.5 million maturing in 2013.

PGL had \$37 million of commercial paper outstanding as of December 31, 2011. Its average daily amount of commercial paper outstanding during 2011 was \$2.3 million. NSG had \$8.9 million of loans outstanding from PGL as of December 31, 2011.

### Rating Outlook

PGL and NSG's stable rating outlooks reflect an expectation for the continuation of strong financial performance and credit metrics consistent with their ratings. The outlook also reflects the reduced risk profile of Integrys given the recent restructuring of its non-regulated business.

### What Could Change the Rating - Up

Upward rating pressure for PGL and NSG could be triggered by significant improvement in financial metrics such that the ratio of pre W/C to debt exceeds 26% on a sustainable basis.

### What Could Change the Rating - Down

Unanticipated additional capital expenditure requirements without appropriate regulatory support, or changes in the regulatory framework that results in a decline in PGL and NSG financial metrics; such that the ratio of CFO pre-W/C to debt falls below 20% for an extended period.

### Rating Factors

#### Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities Industry [1][2]	Current 12/31/2011		Moody's 12-18 month Forward View* As of May 2012	
	Measure	Score	Measure	Score
<b>Factor 1: Regulatory Framework (25%)</b>				
a) Regulatory Framework		Baa		Baa
<b>Factor 2: Ability To Recover Costs And Earn Returns (25%)</b>				
a) Ability To Recover Costs And Earn Returns		Baa		Baa
<b>Factor 3: Diversification (10%)</b>				
a) Market Position (10%)		Baa		Baa
b) Generation and Fuel Diversity (0%)		n.a		n.a.
<b>Factor 4: Financial Strength, Liquidity And Key Financial Metrics (40%)</b>				
a) Liquidity (10%)		Baa		Baa
b) CFO pre-WC + Interest/ Interest (3 Year Avg) (7.5%)		Aa		Aa
c) CFO pre-WC / Debt (3 Year Avg) (7.5%)		A		A
d) CFO pre-WC - Dividends / Debt (3 Year Avg) (7.5%)		A		A
e) Debt/Capitalization (3 Year Avg) (7.5%)		A		A

<b>Rating:</b>			
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		A3	A3
b) Actual Rating Assigned		A3	A3

\* THIS REPRESENTS MOODY'S FORWARD VIEW; NOT THE VIEW OF THE ISSUER; AND UNLESS NOTED IN THE TEXT DOES NOT INCORPORATE SIGNIFICANT ACQUISITIONS OR DIVESTITURES

[1] All ratios are calculated using Moody's Standard Adjustments. [2] As of 12/31/2011; Source: Moody's Financial Metrics

**MOODY'S**  
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such

information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

February 10, 2012

## IntegrYS Energy Group Inc.

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Gabe Grosberg, New York (1) 212-438-6043; gabe\_grosberg@standardandpoors.com

**Secondary Contact:**

Gerrit Jepsen, CFA, New York (1) 212-438-2529; gerrit\_jepsen@standardandpoors.com

### Table Of Contents

---

Major Rating Factors

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

# Integrys Energy Group Inc.

## Major Rating Factors

### Strengths:

- Mostly lower-risk monopolistic, rate-regulated electric and gas businesses;
- Management's proactive efforts to decrease regulatory risk;
- Restructured, smaller size, lower-risk nonutility businesses; and
- Historically improved financial measures.

**Corporate Credit Rating**  
A-/Stable/A-2

### Weaknesses:

- A continued weak economy and slow growth could weaken cash flow over the medium term; and
- Increased capital spending over the medium term.

## Rationale

The ratings on Integrys Energy Group Inc. reflect its "excellent" business risk profile and "significant" financial risk profile. (For more on business risk and financial risk, see "Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded," published May 27, 2009, on RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal.)

Integrys's rate-regulated electric and gas utility subsidiaries include:

- Wisconsin Public Service Corp. (WPS);
- Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co. (PG), a subsidiary of intermediate holding company Peoples Energy Corp. (PE);
- North Shore Gas Co. (NSG), also a subsidiary of PE;
- Upper Peninsula Power Co.;
- Minnesota Energy Resources Corp.;
- Michigan Gas Utilities Corp.; and
- Rate-regulated American Transmission Co., of which Integrys owns 34%.

Integrys also owns nonutility Integrys Energy Services Inc. (ESI), a retail energy marketing company, and recently purchased a compressed natural gas refueling business.

Integrys's excellent business risk profile reflects the company's lower-risk monopolistic rate-regulated businesses, partially offset by nonutility businesses. Integrys has continued to effectively manage its regulatory risk--including its recent rate case orders for PG and NSG that will collectively raise rates by almost \$60 million--which we view as credit supportive. We expect that the company will continue to effectively manage its regulatory risk over the medium term with the goal of further reducing its regulatory lag.

Over the past three years, Integrys has successfully implemented its strategic initiative to reduce its exposure to the nonutility businesses. Fundamentally, we anticipate that these improvements will be maintained over the intermediate term and expect that the nonutility businesses will account for about 10% of consolidated funds from operations (FFO) and the remaining 90% will represent the more stable cash flows of the regulated utility businesses. The nonutility energy marketing businesses operate in a highly competitive industry that is characterized by minimal barriers to entry, low margins, and volatile cash flows. The primary risks are matching supply to

variable loads or estimated sales volumes and maintaining sufficient liquidity for collateral and margin calls. Although the company did reduce the size and scope of its energy marketing business, it did recently announce the purchase of a compressed natural gas refueling business and will increase its solar project investments through its partnership with Duke Energy. While we view the company's expansion into compressed natural gas and solar as a diversification of its nonutility businesses, we do not view these developments as a material overall reduction of the nonutility risk portfolio.

Integrys's significant financial risk profile reflects the company's improved financial measures, despite the recession and the restructuring of its ESI business.

Over the past three years, Integrys has significantly improved its financial measures primarily by increasing cash flow from its regulated subsidiaries, implementing effective cost management initiatives, and using bonus depreciation. For the 12 months ended Sept. 30, 2011, adjusted consolidated FFO to total debt improved to 29.3% from 25.2% at the end of 2010, adjusted debt to EBITDA improved to 3.5x from 3.8x, and adjusted debt to total capital strengthened to 47.8% from 50.7%.

Under our base-case scenario, while we forecast weaker financial measures over the intermediate term because of the continued slow economy and the phase-out of bonus depreciation, we expect that Integrys will maintain financial measures that are consistent with the significant financial risk profile, albeit with less cushion. Over the medium term, we forecast adjusted FFO to debt of about 21%, adjusted debt to EBITDA at about 4.0x, and adjusted debt to total capital at approximately 51%.

Integrys had positive discretionary cash flow in 2010 partially because of increased deferred taxes and reduced capital spending. However, over the intermediate term, we expect that discretionary cash flow will revert to negative primarily because of increased capital spending for environmental capital expenditures and the company's natural gas main replacement program. We expect that Integrys will meet these cash shortfalls in a manner that is at least credit neutral.

### Liquidity

Our short-term rating on Integrys is 'A-2'. The company has adequate liquidity and can more than cover its needs for the next year, even if FFO declines. (For more on liquidity, see "Standard & Poor's Standardizes Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers," published July 2, 2010.)

We base our liquidity assessment on the following factors and assumptions:

- We expect the company's liquidity sources (including cash, FFO, and credit facility availability) over the next 12 months to exceed uses by about 1.7x.
- Debt maturities are manageable over the intermediate term, with about \$250 million, \$315 million, and \$100 million maturing during 2012-2014.
- Even if the availability under the existing credit facilities and FFO declined by 25%, we believe net sources would total more than 1.2x cash requirements.
- The company has good relationships with its banks, in our assessment, and has a good standing in the credit markets, having successfully issued debt during the 2009 credit crisis.

In our analysis, we assumed liquidity of about \$1.9 billion over the next 12 months, primarily consisting of cash, FFO, and availability under the credit facilities. We estimate the company will use about \$1.1 billion over the same

period for capital spending, debt maturities, working capital needs, and shareholder dividends.

Integrys's credit agreements include a financial covenant requiring that the consolidated ratio of total debt to total capital be no more than 65%. As of Sept. 30, 2011, the company had adequate cushion against this covenant and could increase total debt by more than 75% without violating it.

## Outlook

The stable outlook on Integrys reflects Standard & Poor's baseline forecast that consolidated adjusted FFO to debt and debt to total capital will approximate 21% and 51%, respectively, over the intermediate term. Significant risks to the forecast include higher-than-anticipated capital costs, a weaker-than-expected economy, or materially lower rate case increases than predicted. We could lower the rating if the nonutility business disproportionately grows to greater than 15% of the consolidated company or FFO to debt weakens to below 18% on a consistent basis. We consider a ratings upgrade to be highly unlikely but could occur if the company's FFO to debt is consistently greater than 30%, its debt to total capital is lower than 45%, and Integrys maintains its excellent business risk profile.

## Related Criteria And Research

- Standard & Poor's Standardizes Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Sept. 28, 2011
- Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded, May 27, 2009
- 2008 Corporate Criteria: Analytical Methodology, April 15, 2008

Table 1

Integrys Energy Group Inc. -- Peer Comparison					
Industry Sector: Combo					
	Integrys Energy Group Inc.	Alliant Energy Corp.	American Electric Power Co. Inc.	Dominion Resources Inc.	Wisconsin Energy Corp.
Rating as of Feb. 6, 2012	A-/Stable/A-2	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB/Stable/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2
--Average of past three fiscal years--					
<b>(Mil. \$)</b>					
Revenues	8,916.9	3,510.2	13,871.7	14,902.3	4,272.3
EBITDA	719.2	874.9	4,190.0	4,487.5	1,026.0
Net income from cont. oper.	92.7	239.1	1,314.7	1,886.0	448.1
Funds from operations (FFO)	806.6	777.3	3,256.9	3,141.0	974.4
Capital expenditures	453.9	1,052.4	3,182.0	3,624.2	788.6
Free operating cash flow	312.9	(338.6)	(568.1)	(549.2)	186.3
Discretionary cash flow	103.2	(506.5)	(1,330.7)	(1,676.2)	(19.3)
Cash and short-term investments	159.2	227.2	767.0	36.7	99.8
Debt	3,565.0	3,418.7	20,743.2	19,057.0	5,410.6
Equity	3,207.3	2,953.9	12,672.8	12,374.8	3,964.4
<b>Adjusted ratios</b>					
EBITDA margin (%)	8.1	24.9	30.2	30.1	24.0

**Table 1**

<b>Integrus Energy Group Inc. -- Peer Comparison (cont.)</b>					
EBITDA interest coverage (x)	4.0	4.5	3.6	4.6	4.1
EBIT interest coverage (x)	2.9	3.0	2.5	3.6	3.1
Return on capital (%)	7.0	8.1	7.7	10.3	7.4
FFO/debt (%)	22.6	22.7	15.7	16.5	18.0
Free operating cash flow/debt (%)	8.8	(9.9)	(2.7)	(2.9)	3.4
Debt/EBITDA (x)	5.0	3.9	5.0	4.2	5.3
Total debt/debt plus equity (%)	52.6	53.6	62.1	60.6	57.7

**Table 2**

<b>Integrus Energy Group Inc. -- Financial Summary</b>					
<b>Industry Sector: Combo</b>					
<b>--Fiscal year ended Dec. 31--</b>					
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Rating history	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB+/Negative/A-2	A-/Negative/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2	A/Watch Neg/A-1
<b>(Mil. \$)</b>					
Revenues	5,203.2	7,499.8	14,047.8	10,292.4	6,890.7
EBITDA	860.2	773.6	523.9	624.1	409.4
Net income from continuing operations	223.8	(70.6)	124.8	181.1	151.6
Funds from operations (FFO)	822.3	860.2	737.3	388.5	304.2
Capital expenditures	294.9	495.3	571.6	432.3	362.3
Dividends paid	196.8	217.6	214.6	187.9	97.6
Debt	3,264.7	3,371.6	4,058.7	3,157.3	2,343.2
Preferred stock	175.6	175.6	175.6	175.6	175.6
Equity	3,170.3	3,176.6	3,275.2	3,411.4	1,709.2
Debt and equity	6,435.0	6,548.2	7,333.8	6,568.7	4,052.4
<b>Adjusted ratios</b>					
EBITDA margin (%)	16.5	10.3	3.7	6.1	5.9
EBIT interest coverage (x)	3.7	3.1	2.0	2.6	2.7
FFO int. cov. (x)	5.7	5.5	5.2	3.0	3.3
FFO/debt (%)	25.2	25.5	18.2	12.3	13.0
Discretionary cash flow/debt (%)	11.4	27.7	(24.5)	(11.3)	(15.5)
Net cash flow/capex (%)	212.1	129.7	91.4	46.4	57.1
Debt/debt and equity (%)	50.7	51.5	55.3	48.1	57.8
Return on capital (%)	8.6	7.8	4.8	8.4	9.4
Return on common equity (%)	7.6	(2.7)	3.6	7.4	10.4
Common dividend payout ratio (un-adj.) (%)	94.6	(280.7)	167.5	99.4	64.6

**Table 3**

**Reconciliation Of Integrus Energy Group Inc. Reported Amounts With Standard & Poor's Adjusted Amounts (Mil. \$)**

--Fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2010--

**Integrus Energy Group Inc. reported amounts**

	Debt	Shareholders' equity	Revenues	EBITDA	Operating income	Interest expense	Cash flow from operations
Reported	2,648.5	2,957.0	5,203.2	759.1	493.3	147.9	725.2
<b>Standard &amp; Poor's adjustments</b>							
Operating leases	43.6	--	--	2.7	2.7	2.7	8.0
Intermediate hybrids reported as debt	(150.0)	150.0	--	--	--	(9.2)	9.2
Intermediate hybrids reported as equity	25.6	(25.6)	--	--	--	1.6	(1.6)
Postretirement benefit obligations	365.7	--	--	11.2	11.2	--	89.5
Capitalized interest	--	--	--	--	--	0.3	(0.3)
Share-based compensation expense	--	--	--	22.4	--	--	--
Power purchase agreements	303.1	--	--	53.1	16.7	16.7	36.4
Asset retirement obligations	192.5	--	--	11.7	11.7	11.7	(3.4)
Reclassification of nonoperating income (expenses)	--	--	--	--	91.6	--	--
Reclassification of working-capital cash flow changes	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Debt - Other	(164.3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Equity - Other	--	88.9	--	--	--	--	--
Total adjustments	616.2	213.3	0.0	101.1	133.9	23.8	137.9

**Standard & Poor's adjusted amounts**

	Debt	Equity	Revenues	EBITDA	EBIT	Interest expense	Cash flow from operations
Adjusted	3,264.7	3,170.3	5,203.2	860.2	627.2	171.7	863.1

**Ratings Detail (As Of February 10, 2012)**

**Integrus Energy Group Inc.**

Corporate Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
Local Currency	A-2
Junior Subordinated (1 Issue)	BBB
Senior Unsecured (3 Issues)	BBB+

**Corporate Credit Ratings History**

24-Jan-2012	A-/Stable/A-2
21-Jan-2011	BBB+/Positive/A-2
26-Jan-2010	BBB+/Stable/A-2
05-Mar-2009	BBB+/Negative/A-2
25-Nov-2008	A-/Negative/A-2
13-Nov-2007	A-/Stable/A-2

**Ratings Detail (As Of February 10, 2017) (cont.)**

21-Feb-2007	A-/Negative/A-2
<b>Business Risk Profile</b>	Excellent
<b>Financial Risk Profile</b>	Significant
<b>Related Entities</b>	
<b>North Shore Gas Co.</b>	
Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/NR
Senior Secured (2 Issues)	A
<b>Peoples Energy Corp.</b>	
Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/NR
<b>The Peoples Gas Light &amp; Coke Co.</b>	
Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
<i>Local Currency</i>	A-2
Senior Secured (7 Issues)	A-
Senior Secured (1 Issue)	AA+/Negative
<b>Wisconsin Public Service Corp.</b>	
Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
<i>Local Currency</i>	A-2
Preferred Stock (5 Issues)	BBB
Senior Secured (8 Issues)	A

\*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country.

Copyright © 2012 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

# Global Credit Portal<sup>®</sup>

## RatingsDirect<sup>®</sup>

February 10, 2012

## Peoples Energy Corp.

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Gabe Grosberg, New York (1) 212-438-6043; gabe\_grosberg@standardandpoors.com

**Secondary Contact:**

Gerrit Jepsen, CFA, New York (1) 212-438-2529; gerrit\_jepsen@standardandpoors.com

### Table Of Contents

---

Major Rating Factors

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

# Peoples Energy Corp.

## Major Rating Factors

### Strengths:

- Lower-risk monopolistic, rate-regulated gas businesses;
- Management's proactive efforts to decrease regulatory risk; and
- Historically improved consolidated financial measures.

Corporate Credit Rating

A-/Stable/NR

### Weaknesses:

- A continued weak economy and slow growth could weaken consolidated cash flow over the medium term; and
- Affiliation with Integrys's higher-risk non-utility businesses.

## Rationale

The ratings on Peoples Energy Corp. (PE) reflect parent company Integrys Energy Group Inc.'s consolidated credit profile. The ratings also reflect PE's "excellent" business risk profile and Integrys's "significant" financial risk profile. (For more on business risk and financial risk, see "Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded," published May 27, 2009, on RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal.)

PE is the intermediate holding company for Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co. (PG) and North Shore Gas Co. (NSG).

The excellent business risk profile for PE reflects its lower-risk monopolistic gas distribution operations. PE's rate-regulated Illinois gas companies, NSG and PG, collectively serve about 980,000 gas customers in Chicago and the northeastern part of the state. The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) regulates the company's distribution rates. In addition, we view the gas distribution businesses as having lower risk than a fully integrated electric utility, which includes the higher-risk electric generation component.

PE has continued to effectively manage its regulatory risk--including its recent rate case orders for PG and NSG that will collectively raise rates by almost \$60 million--which we view as credit supportive. We fundamentally expect that the company will continue to effectively manage its regulatory risk over the medium term. In addition, the company has diligently worked toward gradually reducing its regulatory lag by filing forward-looking rate cases, employing decoupling, a fuel recovery mechanism, and a bad debt rider. Ultimately, we expect that these regulatory mechanisms will, over time, make the company's cash flows more stable and predictable.

Marginally affecting PE's business risk profile is the Illinois Appellate Court ruling that the ICC did not have the authority to approve the infrastructure cost-recovery rider, which allows the company to recover the costs and earn a return on its gas main replacement program for costs above the annual baseline. The company has since filed a request with the Illinois Supreme Court to hear an appeal. We expect the cash impact will be minimal over the short term and that the company will continue to effectively manage its regulatory risk so as to minimize any potential cash impact over the longer term.

Integrys's significant financial risk profile reflects the company's improved financial measures, despite the recession and the restructuring of its non-utility business.

Over the past three years, Integrys has significantly improved its financial measures primarily by increasing cash flow from its regulated subsidiaries, implementing effective cost management initiatives, and using bonus depreciation. For the 12 months ended Sept. 30, 2011, adjusted consolidated FFO to total debt improved to 29.3% from 25.2% at the end of 2010, adjusted debt to EBITDA improved to 3.5x from 3.8x, and adjusted debt to total capital strengthened to 47.8% from 50.7%.

Under our base-case scenario, while we forecast weaker financial measures over the intermediate term because of the continued slow economy and the phase-out of bonus depreciation, we expect that Integrys will maintain financial measures that are consistent with the significant financial risk profile, albeit with less cushion. Over the medium term, we forecast adjusted FFO to debt approximates 21%, adjusted debt to EBITDA at about 4.0x, and adjusted debt to total capital approximates 51%.

Integrys had positive discretionary cash flow in 2010 partially because of increased deferred taxes and reduced capital spending. However, over the intermediate term, we expect that discretionary cash flow will revert to negative primarily because of increased capital spending for environmental capital expenditures and the company's natural gas main replacement program. We expect that Integrys will meet these cash shortfalls in a manner that is at least credit neutral.

### Liquidity

Our short-term rating on Integrys is 'A-2'. The company has adequate liquidity and can more than cover its needs for the next year, even if FFO declines. (For more on liquidity, see "Standard & Poor's Standardizes Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers," published on July 2, 2010.)

We base our liquidity assessment on the following factors and assumptions:

- We expect the company's liquidity sources (including cash, FFO, and credit facility availability) over the next 12 months to exceed uses by about 1.7x.
- Debt maturities are manageable over the intermediate term, with about \$250 million, \$315 million, and \$100 million maturing during 2012-2014.
- Even if the availability under the existing credit facilities and FFO declined by 25%, we believe net sources would total more than 1.2x cash requirements.
- The company has good relationships with its banks, in our assessment, and has a good standing in the credit markets, having successfully issued debt during the 2009 credit crisis.

In our analysis, we assumed liquidity of about \$1.9 billion over the next 12 months, primarily consisting of cash, FFO, and availability under the credit facilities. We estimate the company will use about \$1.1 billion over the same period for capital spending, debt maturities, working capital needs, and shareholder dividends.

Integrys's credit agreements include a financial covenant requiring that the consolidated ratio of total debt to total capital be no more than 65%. As of Sept. 30, 2011, the company had adequate cushion against this covenant and could increase total debt by more than 75% without violating it.

### Outlook

The stable outlook on Integrys and Peoples Energy reflects Standard & Poor's baseline forecast that consolidated adjusted FFO to debt and debt to total capital will approximate 21% and 51%, respectively, over the intermediate

term. Significant risks to the forecast include higher-than-anticipated capital costs, a weaker-than-expected economy, or materially lower rate case increases than predicted. We could lower the rating if the nonutility business disproportionately grows to greater than 15% of the consolidated company or FFO to debt weakens to below 18% on a consistent basis. We consider a ratings upgrade to be highly unlikely but could occur if the company's FFO to debt is consistently greater than 30%, its debt to total capital is lower than 45%, and Integrys maintains its excellent business risk profile.

## Related Criteria And Research

- Standard & Poor's Standardizes Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Sept. 28, 2011
- Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded, May 27, 2009
- 2008 Corporate Criteria: Analytical Methodology, April 15, 2008

Table 1

<b>Integrys Energy Group Inc. -- Peer Comparison</b>					
<b>Industry Sector: Combo</b>					
	<b>Integrys Energy Group Inc.</b>	<b>Alliant Energy Corp.</b>	<b>American Electric Power Co. Inc.</b>	<b>Dominion Resources Inc.</b>	<b>Wisconsin Energy Corp.</b>
Rating as of Feb. 6, 2012	A-/Stable/A-2	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB/Stable/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2
<b>--Average of past three fiscal years--</b>					
<b>(Mil. \$)</b>					
Revenues	8,916.9	3,510.2	13,871.7	14,902.3	4,272.3
EBITDA	719.2	874.9	4,190.0	4,487.5	1,026.0
Net income from cont. oper.	92.7	239.1	1,314.7	1,886.0	448.1
Funds from operations (FFO)	806.6	777.3	3,256.9	3,141.0	974.4
Capital expenditures	453.9	1,052.4	3,182.0	3,624.2	788.6
Free operating cash flow	312.9	(338.6)	(568.1)	(549.2)	186.3
Discretionary cash flow	103.2	(506.5)	(1,330.7)	(1,676.2)	(19.3)
Cash and short-term investments	159.2	227.2	767.0	36.7	99.8
Debt	3,565.0	3,418.7	20,743.2	19,057.0	5,410.6
Equity	3,207.3	2,953.9	12,672.8	12,374.8	3,964.4
<b>Adjusted ratios</b>					
EBITDA margin (%)	8.1	24.9	30.2	30.1	24.0
EBITDA interest coverage (x)	4.0	4.5	3.6	4.6	4.1
EBIT interest coverage (x)	2.9	3.0	2.5	3.6	3.1
Return on capital (%)	7.0	8.1	7.7	10.3	7.4
FFO/debt (%)	22.6	22.7	15.7	16.5	18.0
Free operating cash flow/debt (%)	8.8	(9.9)	(2.7)	(2.9)	3.4
Debt/EBITDA (x)	5.0	3.9	5.0	4.2	5.3
Total debt/debt plus equity (%)	52.6	53.6	62.1	60.6	57.7

Table 2

<b>Integrus Energy Group Inc. -- Financial Summary</b>					
<b>Industry Sector: Combo</b>					
	<b>--Fiscal year ended Dec. 31--</b>				
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Rating history	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB+/Negative/A-2	A-/Negative/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2	A/Watch Neg/A-1
<b>(Mil. \$)</b>					
Revenues	5,203.2	7,499.8	14,047.8	10,292.4	6,890.7
EBITDA	860.2	773.6	523.9	624.1	409.4
Net income from continuing operations	223.8	(70.6)	124.8	181.1	151.6
Funds from operations (FFO)	822.3	860.2	737.3	388.5	304.2
Capital expenditures	294.9	495.3	571.6	432.3	362.3
Dividends paid	196.8	217.6	214.6	187.9	97.6
Debt	3,264.7	3,371.6	4,058.7	3,157.3	2,343.2
Preferred stock	175.6	175.6	175.6	175.6	175.6
Equity	3,170.3	3,176.6	3,275.2	3,411.4	1,709.2
Debt and equity	6,435.0	6,548.2	7,333.8	6,568.7	4,052.4
<b>Adjusted ratios</b>					
EBITDA margin (%)	16.5	10.3	3.7	6.1	5.9
EBIT interest coverage (x)	3.7	3.1	2.0	2.6	2.7
FFO int. cov. (x)	5.7	5.5	5.2	3.0	3.3
FFO/debt (%)	25.2	25.5	18.2	12.3	13.0
Discretionary cash flow/debt (%)	11.4	27.7	(24.5)	(11.3)	(15.5)
Net cash flow/capex (%)	212.1	129.7	91.4	46.4	57.1
Debt/debt and equity (%)	50.7	51.5	55.3	48.1	57.8
Return on capital (%)	8.6	7.8	4.8	8.4	9.4
Return on common equity (%)	7.6	(2.7)	3.6	7.4	10.4
Common dividend payout ratio (un-adj.) (%)	94.6	(280.7)	167.5	99.4	64.6

Table 3

<b>Reconciliation Of Integrus Energy Group Inc. Reported Amounts With Standard &amp; Poor's Adjusted Amounts (Mil. \$)</b>								
<b>--Fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2010--</b>								
<b>Integrus Energy Group Inc. reported amounts</b>								
	<b>Debt</b>	<b>Shareholders' equity</b>	<b>Revenues</b>	<b>EBITDA</b>	<b>Operating income</b>	<b>Interest expense</b>	<b>Cash flow from operations</b>	<b>Cash flow from operations</b>
Reported	2,648.5	2,957.0	5,203.2	759.1	493.3	147.9	725.2	725.2
<b>Standard &amp; Poor's adjustments</b>								
Operating leases	43.6	--	--	2.7	2.7	2.7	8.0	8.0
Intermediate hybrids reported as debt	(150.0)	150.0	--	--	--	(9.2)	9.2	9.2
Intermediate hybrids reported as equity	25.6	(25.6)	--	--	--	1.6	(1.6)	(1.6)
Postretirement benefit obligations	365.7	--	--	11.2	11.2	--	89.5	89.5

Table 3

Reconciliation Of Integrys Energy Group Inc. Reported Amounts With Standard & Poor's Adjusted Amounts (Mil. \$) (cont.)								
Capitalized interest	--	--	--	--	--	0.3	(0.3)	(0.3)
Share-based compensation expense	--	--	--	22.4	--	--	--	--
Power purchase agreements	303.1	--	--	53.1	16.7	16.7	36.4	36.4
Asset retirement obligations	192.5	--	--	11.7	11.7	11.7	(3.4)	(3.4)
Reclassification of nonoperating income (expenses)	--	--	--	--	91.6	--	--	--
Reclassification of working-capital cash flow changes	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(40.8)
Debt - Other	(164.3)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Equity - Other	--	88.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total adjustments	616.2	213.3	0.0	101.1	133.9	23.8	137.9	97.1

**Standard & Poor's adjusted amounts**

	Debt	Equity	Revenues	EBITDA	EBIT	Interest expense	Cash flow from operations	Funds from operations
Adjusted	3,264.7	3,170.3	5,203.2	860.2	627.2	171.7	863.1	822.3

**Ratings Data (As Of February 10, 2012)**

**Peoples Energy Corp.**

Corporate Credit Rating A-/Stable/NR

**Corporate Credit Ratings History**

24-Jan-2012	A-/Stable/NR
21-Jan-2011	BBB+/Positive/NR
26-Jan-2010	BBB+/Stable/NR
05-Mar-2009	BBB+/Negative/NR
25-Nov-2008	A-/Negative/NR
07-Dec-2007	A-/Stable/NR
13-Nov-2007	A-/Stable/A-2

**Business Risk Profile**

Excellent

**Financial Risk Profile**

Significant

**Related Entities**

**Integrys Energy Group Inc.**

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
Local Currency	A-2
Junior Subordinated (1 Issue)	BBB
Senior Unsecured (3 Issues)	BBB+

**North Shore Gas Co.**

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/NR
Senior Secured (2 Issues)	A

**Ratings Detail (As Of January 10, 2012) (cont.)**

**The Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co.**

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
<i>Local Currency</i>	A-2
Senior Secured (7 Issues)	A-
Senior Secured (1 Issue)	AA+/Negative

**Wisconsin Public Service Corp.**

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
<i>Local Currency</i>	A-2
Preferred Stock (5 Issues)	BBB
Senior Secured (8 Issues)	A

\*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country.

Copyright © 2012 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).



February 10, 2012

## The Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co.

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Gabe Grosberg, New York (1) 212-438-6043; gabe\_grosberg@standardandpoors.com

**Secondary Contact:**

Gerrit Jepsen, CFA, New York (1) 212-438-2529; gerrit\_jepsen@standardandpoors.com

### Table Of Contents

---

Major Rating Factors

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

# The Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co.

## Major Rating Factors

### Strengths:

- Lower-risk, monopolistic rate-regulated gas businesses;
- Management's proactive efforts to decrease regulatory risk; and
- Historically improved consolidated financial measures.

Corporate Credit Rating

A-/Stable/A-2

### Weaknesses:

- A continued weak economy and slow growth could weaken consolidated cash flow over the medium term; and
- Affiliation with Integrys's higher-risk nonutility businesses.

## Rationale

The ratings on Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co. (PG) reflect parent company Integrys Energy Group Inc.'s consolidated credit profile. The ratings also reflect PG's "excellent" business risk profile and Integrys's "significant" financial risk profile. (For more on business risk and financial risk, see "Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded," published May 27, 2009, on RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal.)

The excellent business risk profile for PG reflects its lower-risk monopolistic gas distribution operations. PG distributes gas to about 819,000 gas customers in the city of Chicago. The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) regulates the company's distribution rates. In addition, we view the gas distribution businesses as having lower risk than a fully integrated electric utility, which includes the higher-risk electric generation component.

PG has continued to effectively manage its regulatory risk--including its recent rate case order that will raise rates by almost \$58 million--which we view as credit supportive. We fundamentally expect that the company will continue to effectively manage its regulatory risk over the medium term. In addition, the company has diligently worked toward gradually reducing its regulatory lag by filing forward-looking rate cases, employing decoupling, a fuel recovery mechanism, and a bad debt rider. Ultimately, we expect that these regulatory mechanisms will, over time, make the company's cash flows more stable and predictable.

Marginally affecting PG's business risk profile is the Illinois Appellate Court ruling that the ICC did not have the authority to approve the infrastructure cost recovery rider, which allows the company to recover the costs and earn a return on its gas main replacement program for costs above the annual baseline. The company has since filed a request with the Illinois Supreme Court to hear an appeal. We expect the cash impact will be minimal over the short-term and that the company will continue to effectively manage its regulatory risk so as to minimize any potential cash impact over the longer term.

Integrys's significant financial risk profile reflects the company's improved financial measures, despite the recession and the restructuring of its nonutility business.

Over the past three years, Integrys has significantly improved its financial measures primarily by increasing cash flow from its regulated subsidiaries, implementing effective cost management initiatives, and using bonus depreciation. For the 12 months ended Sept. 30, 2011, adjusted consolidated FFO to total debt improved to 29.3%

from 25.2% at the end of 2010, adjusted debt to EBITDA improved to 3.5x from 3.8x, and adjusted debt to total capital strengthened to 47.8% from 50.7%.

Under our base-case scenario, while we forecast some weakening of the financial measures over the intermediate term because of the continued weak economy and the phase-out of bonus depreciation, we nevertheless expect that Integrys will maintain financial measures that are consistent with the significant financial risk profile, albeit with less cushion. Over the medium term, we forecast adjusted FFO to debt approximates 21%, adjusted debt to EBITDA at about 4.0x, and adjusted debt to total capital at approximately 51%.

Integrys had positive discretionary cash flow in 2010 partially because of increased deferred taxes and reduced capital spending. However, over the intermediate term, we expect that discretionary cash flow will revert to negative primarily because of increased capital spending for environmental capital expenditures and the company's natural gas main replacement program. We expect that Integrys will meet these cash shortfalls in a manner that is at least credit neutral.

### Liquidity

Our short-term rating on Integrys and PG is 'A-2'. The company has adequate liquidity and can more than cover its needs for the next year, even if FFO declines. (For more on liquidity, see "Standard & Poor's Standardizes Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers," published on July 2, 2010.)

We base our liquidity assessment on the following factors and assumptions:

- We expect the company's liquidity sources (including cash, FFO, and credit facility availability) over the next 12 months to exceed uses by about 1.7x.
- Debt maturities are manageable over the intermediate term, with about \$250 million, \$315 million, and \$100 million maturing during 2012-2014.
- Even if the availability under the existing credit facilities and FFO declined by 25%, we believe net sources would total more than 1.2x cash requirements.
- The company has good relationships with its banks, in our assessment, and has a good standing in the credit markets, having successfully issued debt during the 2009 credit crisis.

In our analysis, we assumed liquidity of about \$1.9 billion over the next 12 months, primarily consisting of cash, FFO, and availability under the credit facilities. We estimate the company will use about \$1.1 billion over the same period for capital spending, debt maturities, working capital needs, and shareholder dividends.

Integrys's credit agreements include a financial covenant requiring that the consolidated ratio of total debt to total capital be no more than 65%. As of Sept. 30, 2011, the company had adequate cushion against this covenant and could increase total debt by more than 75% without violating it.

### Recovery analysis

We assign recovery ratings to first mortgage bonds (FMBs) issued by investment-grade U.S. utilities, which can result in issue ratings being notched above a utility's corporate credit rating (CCR), depending on the CCR category and the extent of the collateral coverage. We base our investment-grade FMB recovery methodology on the ample historical record of nearly 100% recovery for secured bondholders in utility bankruptcies and on our view that the factors that supported those recoveries (limited size of the creditor class, and the durable value of utility rate-based assets during and after a reorganization, given the essential service provided and the high replacement cost) will

persist in the future. Under our notching criteria, when assigning issue ratings to utility FMBs, we consider the limitations of FMB issuance under the utility's indenture relative to the value of the collateral pledged to bondholders, management's stated intentions on future FMB issuance, as well as the regulatory limitations on bond issuance. FMB ratings can exceed a utility's CCR by up to one notch in the 'A' category, two notches in the 'BBB' category, and three notches in speculative-grade categories.

PG's FMBs benefit from a first-priority lien on substantially all of the utility's real property owned or subsequently acquired. Collateral coverage of 1.3x supports a recovery rating of a '1' and an issue rating that is the same as the CCR.

## Outlook

The stable outlook on Integrys and PG reflects Standard & Poor's baseline forecast that consolidated adjusted FFO to debt and debt to total capital will approximate 21% and 51%, respectively, over the intermediate term. Significant risks to the forecast include higher-than-anticipated capital costs, a weaker-than-expected economy, or materially lower rate case increases than predicted. We could lower the rating if the nonutility business disproportionately grows to greater than 15% of the consolidated company or FFO to debt weakens to below 18% on a consistent basis. We consider a ratings upgrade to be highly unlikely but could occur if the company's FFO to debt is consistently greater than 30%, its debt to total capital is lower than 45%, and Integrys maintains its excellent business risk profile.

## Related Criteria And Research

- Standard & Poor's Standardizes Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Sept. 28, 2011
- Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded, May 27, 2009
- 2008 Corporate Criteria: Analytical Methodology, April 15, 2008
- Changes To Collateral Requirements For '1+' Recovery Rating On U.S. Utility First Mortgage Bongs, Sept. 6, 2007.

**Table 1**

<b>Integrys Energy Group Inc. -- Peer Comparison</b>					
<b>Industry Sector: Combo</b>					
	<b>Integrys Energy Group Inc.</b>	<b>Alliant Energy Corp.</b>	<b>American Electric Power Co. Inc.</b>	<b>Dominion Resources Inc.</b>	<b>Wisconsin Energy Corp.</b>
Rating as of Feb. 6, 2012	A-/Stable/A-2	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB/Stable/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2
<b>--Average of past three fiscal years--</b>					
<b>(Mil. \$)</b>					
Revenues	8,916.9	3,510.2	13,871.7	14,902.3	4,272.3
EBITDA	719.2	874.9	4,190.0	4,487.5	1,026.0
Net income from cont. oper.	92.7	239.1	1,314.7	1,886.0	448.1
Funds from operations (FFO)	806.6	777.3	3,256.9	3,141.0	974.4
Capital expenditures	453.9	1,052.4	3,182.0	3,624.2	788.6
Free operating cash flow	312.9	(338.6)	(568.1)	(549.2)	186.3

**Table 1**

<b>Integrus Energy Group Inc. -- Peer Comparison (cont.)</b>					
Discretionary cash flow	103.2	(506.5)	(1,330.7)	(1,676.2)	(19.3)
Cash and short-term investments	159.2	227.2	767.0	36.7	99.8
Debt	3,565.0	3,418.7	20,743.2	19,057.0	5,410.6
Equity	3,207.3	2,953.9	12,672.8	12,374.8	3,964.4
<b>Adjusted ratios</b>					
EBITDA margin (%)	8.1	24.9	30.2	30.1	24.0
EBITDA interest coverage (x)	4.0	4.5	3.6	4.6	4.1
EBIT interest coverage (x)	2.9	3.0	2.5	3.6	3.1
Return on capital (%)	7.0	8.1	7.7	10.3	7.4
FFO/debt (%)	22.6	22.7	15.7	16.5	18.0
Free operating cash flow/debt (%)	8.8	(9.9)	(2.7)	(2.9)	3.4
Debt/EBITDA (x)	5.0	3.9	5.0	4.2	5.3
Total debt/debt plus equity (%)	52.6	53.6	62.1	60.6	57.7

**Table 2**

<b>Integrus Energy Group Inc. -- Financial Summary</b>					
<b>Industry Sector: Combo</b>					
<b>--Fiscal year ended Dec. 31--</b>					
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Rating history	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB+/Negative/A-2	A-/Negative/A-2	A-/Stable/A-2	A/Watch Neg/A-1
<b>(Mil. \$)</b>					
Revenues	5,203.2	7,499.8	14,047.8	10,292.4	6,890.7
EBITDA	860.2	773.6	523.9	624.1	409.4
Net income from continuing operations	223.8	(70.6)	124.8	181.1	151.6
Funds from operations (FFO)	822.3	860.2	737.3	388.5	304.2
Capital expenditures	294.9	495.3	571.6	432.3	362.3
Dividends paid	196.8	217.6	214.6	187.9	97.6
Debt	3,264.7	3,371.6	4,058.7	3,157.3	2,343.2
Preferred stock	175.6	175.6	175.6	175.6	175.6
Equity	3,170.3	3,176.6	3,275.2	3,411.4	1,709.2
Debt and equity	6,435.0	6,548.2	7,333.8	6,568.7	4,052.4
<b>Adjusted ratios</b>					
EBITDA margin (%)	16.5	10.3	3.7	6.1	5.9
EBIT interest coverage (x)	3.7	3.1	2.0	2.6	2.7
FFO int. cov. (x)	5.7	5.5	5.2	3.0	3.3
FFO/debt (%)	25.2	25.5	18.2	12.3	13.0
Discretionary cash flow/debt (%)	11.4	27.7	(24.5)	(11.3)	(15.5)
Net cash flow/capex (%)	212.1	129.7	91.4	46.4	57.1
Debt/debt and equity (%)	50.7	51.5	55.3	48.1	57.8

**Table 2**

<b>Integrus Energy Group Inc. -- Financial Summary (cont.)</b>					
Return on capital (%)	8.6	7.8	4.8	8.4	9.4
Return on common equity (%)	7.6	(2.7)	3.6	7.4	10.4
Common dividend payout ratio (un-adj.) (%)	94.6	(280.7)	167.5	99.4	64.6

**Table 3**

**Reconciliation Of Integrus Energy Group Inc. Reported Amounts With Standard & Poor's Adjusted Amounts (Mil. \$)**

--Fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2010--

**Integrus Energy Group Inc. reported amounts**

	Debt	Shareholders' equity	Revenues	EBITDA	Operating income	Interest expense	Cash flow from operations	Cash flow from operations
Reported	2,648.5	2,957.0	5,203.2	759.1	493.3	147.9	725.2	725.2
<b>Standard &amp; Poor's adjustments</b>								
Operating leases	43.6	--	--	2.7	2.7	2.7	8.0	8.0
Intermediate hybrids reported as debt	(150.0)	150.0	--	--	--	(9.2)	9.2	9.2
Intermediate hybrids reported as equity	25.6	(25.6)	--	--	--	1.6	(1.6)	(1.6)
Postretirement benefit obligations	365.7	--	--	11.2	11.2	--	89.5	89.5
Capitalized interest	--	--	--	--	--	0.3	(0.3)	(0.3)
Share-based compensation expense	--	--	--	22.4	--	--	--	--
Power purchase agreements	303.1	--	--	53.1	16.7	16.7	36.4	36.4
Asset retirement obligations	192.5	--	--	11.7	11.7	11.7	(3.4)	(3.4)
Reclassification of nonoperating income (expenses)	--	--	--	--	91.6	--	--	--
Reclassification of working-capital cash flow changes	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(40.8)
Debt - Other	(164.3)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Equity - Other	--	88.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total adjustments	616.2	213.3	0.0	101.1	133.9	23.8	137.9	97.1

**Standard & Poor's adjusted amounts**

	Debt	Equity	Revenues	EBITDA	EBIT	Interest expense	Cash flow from operations	Funds from operations
Adjusted	3,264.7	3,170.3	5,203.2	860.2	627.2	171.7	863.1	822.3

**Ratings Detail (As Of February 10, 2012)**

**The Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co.**

Corporate Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
Local Currency	A-2

**Ratings Detail (As Of February 10, 2012) (cont.)**

Senior Secured (7 Issues)	A-
Senior Secured (1 Issue)	AA+/Negative

**Corporate Credit Ratings History**

24-Jan-2012	A-/Stable/A-2
21-Jan-2011	BBB+/Positive/A-2
26-Jan-2010	BBB+/Stable/A-2
05-Mar-2009	BBB+/Negative/A-2
25-Nov-2008	A-/Negative/A-2
13-Nov-2007	A-/Stable/A-2

**Business Risk Profile**

Excellent

**Financial Risk Profile**

Significant

**Related Entities**

**Integrys Energy Group Inc.**

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
<i>Local Currency</i>	A-2
Junior Subordinated (1 Issue)	BBB
Senior Unsecured (3 Issues)	BBB+

**North Shore Gas Co.**

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/NR
Senior Secured (2 Issues)	A

**Peoples Energy Corp.**

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/NR
----------------------	--------------

**Wisconsin Public Service Corp.**

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2
Commercial Paper	
<i>Local Currency</i>	A-2
Preferred Stock (5 Issues)	BBB
Senior Secured (8 Issues)	A

\*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country.

Copyright © 2012 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).