

From: Drewes, Dan
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 10:30 AM
To: Leka, Kathy
Cc: Laffey, Stephen; VonDeBur, Joe
Subject: FW: T10-0116
Attachments: OTTAWA SUBDIVISION DOT CROSSING INVENTORY.pdf; LASALLE SUB DOT CROSSING INVENTORY.pdf; ROCKFORD SUBDIVISION DOT CROSSING INVENTORY.pdf; OREGON SUBDIVISION DOT CROSSING INVENTORY.pdf

Kathy, this is the 2nd time around for the Forms. I'd say if they are close to being ok, let's go with them! I'm returning the original hard copy Forms you gave me.

From: Henry Musgrave [mailto:hmusgrave@omnitrax.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2012 2:01 PM
To: Drewes, Dan
Subject: RE: T10-0116

Dan,
Attached are the updated DOT crossing inventory forms.

Thanks
Henry Musgrave

From: Drewes, Dan [mailto:dandrewe@icc.illinois.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2012 12:57 PM
To: Henry Musgrave
Subject: FW: T10-0116

Henry, have you completed the Forms for resubmission?

From: Henry Musgrave [mailto:hmusgrave@omnitrax.com]
Sent: Friday, June 29, 2012 8:02 AM
To: Drewes, Dan
Subject: RE: T10-0116

Dan,
I will have them all completed by mid-week of next week.

Thanks
Henry

From: Drewes, Dan [mailto:dandrewe@icc.illinois.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2012 9:44 AM
To: Henry Musgrave
Subject: FW: T10-0116

Henry, can you update me on this?

From: Drewes, Dan
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2012 4:15 PM
To: Henry Musgrave

Subject: FW: T10-0116

Henry, how are you coming along with correcting the Forms and addressing our concerns?

From: Drewes, Dan
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2012 4:34 PM
To: 'Henry Musgrave'
Cc: Laffey, Stephen; VonDeBur, Joe; Leka, Kathy
Subject: FW: T10-0116

Henry, while reviewing the DOT Forms for insertion into our database and e-docket, numerous errors, discrepancies and oddities were found as noted below.

Oregon Sub

1. Page 1 and Page 2 DOT #'s do not match.
2. Page 1 – all xings marked “in Oregon” for the city location.
3. Page 2 – Physical Characteristics. All crossings marked residential, 30 degrees-59 degrees, 1 traffic lane, no paved road surfaces, asphalt and concrete surface and on the state highway system.

Rockford Sub

1. Substantially the same as above except for “City”.
2. Xing DOT 070 070F – You did not submit a Form for this crossing. Photos in our database indicate the roadway has a gate across it. However, our database and the FRA website list the crossing as public. Please provide us with as much history as you can on this crossing.

LaSalle Sub

1. Much the same as the above subs except some missing entries for “City”.
Page 2 – Physical Characteristics. Most marked “Industrial” under Type of Development, all marked 0 degrees-29 degrees, 1 traffic lane, paved road surface marked “yes” and “no” for same xing and all xing surfaces asphalt.

Ottawa Sub

1. Page 1 and Page 2 DOT #'s match.
2. No entries for “City”.
3. Xing DOT 065 147F – as you will recall, this crossing was changed from public to private. As such, GCPF monies cannot be spent on it and IDOT should not be billed for the materials.
4. In general, the data for this sub appears more accurate than the other subs although a curious number of changes were made to highway pavement, crossing angle, type of development and commercial power.

In view of the above, we our returning the Forms to be corrected and resubmitted. For the sake of

expediency, just keep the current FRA information and update the Forms only with data pertaining to the xbuck/YIELD sign installations.

From: Henry Musgrave [mailto:hmusgrave@omnitrax.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:13 PM
To: Drewes, Dan
Cc: VonDeBur, Joe
Subject: RE: T10-0116

Dan,
Attached are the DOT forms I' sorry for the delay.

From: Drewes, Dan [mailto:dandrewe@icc.illinois.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 10:16 AM
To: Henry Musgrave
Cc: VonDeBur, Joe
Subject: FW: T10-0116

Henry, these forms are long overdue. We need an update from you.

From: Drewes, Dan
Sent: Monday, December 05, 2011 4:44 PM
To: 'Henry Musgrave'
Subject: FW: T10-0116

Henry, are the DOT forms being processed?

From: Drewes, Dan
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2011 3:31 PM
To: 'Henry Musgrave'
Subject: FW: T10-0116

Henry, what is the status of the DOT Forms?

From: Drewes, Dan
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 5:10 PM
To: 'Henry Musgrave'
Cc: VonDeBur, Joe; Leka, Kathy
Subject: RE: T10-0116

Henry, we will treat this as your completion report. DOT Form 6180.71 can be obtained at <http://www.fra.dot.gov/us/content/801>.

From: Henry Musgrave [mailto:hmusgrave@omnitrax.com]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 3:27 PM
To: Drewes, Dan
Subject: RE: T10-0116

Dan,
The xbuck/yield project was completed on 6/25/2011.
Were do I get the DOT forms an completion reports?

Henry Musgrave
Regional Road Master
IR, CRL, MJ, NOW, NSR
430 W.Madison Street
Ottawa, IL. 61350
815-431-0940 office
815-488-9866 cell
866-351-2566 fax

From: Drewes, Dan [mailto:dandrewe@icc.illinois.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 12:26 PM
To: Henry Musgrave
Subject: T10-0116

Henry, the Commission Order in this case requires that the xbuck/YIELD project be completed by 7/1/11 and that DOT Forms and a completion report be submitted within 5 days following completion. Please let us know as to the status of the work.

Thanks,
Dan Drewes