

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION) DOCKET NO.
On Its Own Motion) 11-0341
-vs-)
AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY d/b/a)
Ameren Illinois)
Reconciliation of revenues)
collected under Rider EDR with the)
actual costs associated with)
energy efficiency and)
demand-response plans.)
Reconciliation of revenues)
collected under Rider GER with the)
actual costs associated with)
natural gas energy efficiency)
plans.)

Springfield, Illinois
Tuesday, June 26, 2012

Met, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
CSR #084-002710

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
17
18
19
20
21
22

I N D E X

WITNESS

DIRECT

CROSS

REDIRECT

RECROSS

(None)

EXHIBITS

MARKED

ADMITTED

(None)

1 JUDGE JONES: Good morning. Other appearances?

2 (No response.)

3 Let the record show there are no other
4 appearances, at least at this time.

5 Have you been contacted by anyone from
6 the other parties with respect to whether they plan
7 to participate this morning? Anyone?

8 MR. DE MONTE: Yes, Your Honor, this is Mark
9 DeMonte. I have had the opportunity to speak with
10 Karen Lusson at the Attorney General's Office, and I
11 have corresponded with Ms. Kristin Munsch at CUB. It
12 is my understanding that CUB will not be able to
13 attend today's status conference, and it was unclear
14 to me if the AG was going to be able to participate,
15 though I have discussed with both of them what I
16 understand to be a proposed schedule that the Staff
17 will be proposing today.

18 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Is there a scheduling
19 to be proposed at this time?

20 MR. SAGONE: Yes, Your Honor, this is John
21 Sagone. We had discussed a filing of Staff's
22 intervenor rebuttal on September 21 and then a quick

1 status hearing to follow on September 24, the
2 afternoon of the 24th.

3 JUDGE JONES: Mr. DeMonte, is that acceptable
4 to you?

5 MR. DE MONTE: Yes, Your Honor, for the Company
6 it is. I did want to add, I generally spoke to
7 Ms. Lusson and corresponded with Ms. Munsch who are
8 generally okay with the September filing. I was not
9 able to confirm with them the status date that we are
10 proposing. However, it was generally understood that
11 we were able to proceed with scheduling a status
12 shortly after the filing. So I can take the
13 responsibility to reach out to them and confirm,
14 after we do scheduling. If we want to change that
15 date, we will submit something. But it is fine for
16 the Company, and I just wanted to clarify that one
17 point.

18 JUDGE JONES: Okay, thank you. Regarding the
19 afternoon of the 24th, is 2:00 p.m. an acceptable
20 time?

21 MR. SAGONE: 2:00 p.m., Your Honor?

22 JUDGE JONES: Yes, sir, 2:00 p.m.

1 MR. SAGONE: That works for Staff.

2 MR. DE MONTE: That works for me, Judge.

3 JUDGE JONES: All right. Let the record show
4 that the above-referenced scheduling is hereby put
5 into place. That includes the date for any Staff and
6 Intervenor rebuttal. It also includes a status
7 hearing to be held on the afternoon of September 24
8 at 2:00 p.m.

9 In the event that the date or time of
10 that status hearing needs to be revisited in the view
11 of one party or another, the parties are welcome to
12 propose any agreed-to adjustments to that schedule
13 after communicating with each other, or any party, of
14 course, is free to file a motion, if that is deemed
15 necessary, to change that date in the event that any
16 efforts to change it by agreement are not successful.

17 Any further comments or questions
18 regarding the schedule?

19 MR. SAGONE: Nothing from Staff.

20 MR. DE MONTE: Nothing from the Company, Your
21 Honor.

22 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you, all. So

1 our thanks to Mr. DeMonte for circulating the call-in
2 number for today. The status hearing on September 24
3 is a hearing at which participation by telephone will
4 again be permitted.

5 At this time let the record show that
6 today's status hearing is concluded. In accordance
7 with the above, this matter is continued to a status
8 hearing date of September 24 at 2:00 p.m.

9 (Whereupon the hearing in this
10 matter was continued until
11 September 24, 2012, at 2:00 p.m.
12 in Springfield, Illinois.)

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22