AIC Cross
Alexander #1

Response of the People of the State of Illinois and AARP
to Ameren Data Request AG/AARP 1.1
ICC Docket No. 12-0089
April 5, 2012

AIC-AARP/AG 1.01 Does Ms. Alexander agree the testimony and exhibits she offered in ICC Docket No. 11-0772 are substantially the same as the testimony and exhibits offered in the instant docket?

RESPONSE: Generally, yes. The one difference is that Ms. Alexander's testimony in this proceeding included the identification of a tariff provision that is objectionable based on her interpretation of the underlying statute. See page 15 of her testimony. This same issue arose during the hearing in ICC Docket No. 11-0772, subsequent to which Commonwealth Edison agreed to revise its tariff to reflect the statutory language in a manner acceptable to all the parties.

OFFICIAL FILE

ILL. C. C. DOCKET NO. 12-0089

AIC Cross Alexander Exhibit No. 1

Witness

Date 4-9-12 Reporter CB

Response of the People of the State of Illinois and AARP
to Ameren Data Request AG/AARP 1.1
ICC Docket No. 12-0089
April 5, 2012

AIC-AARP/AG 1.02 Does Ms. Alexander agree the recommendations she offered in ICC Docket No. 11-0772 are substantially the same as the recommendations offered in the instant docket?

RESPONSE: Generally, yes, but see the response to AIC-AARP/AG 1.01 for an explanation of an additional matter addressed by Ms. Alexander in her testimony in this proceeding that was not included in her written testimony in the referenced docket.