

Date: 2/17/2010 5:49:38 PM
Subject: Amcor Flexibles, Inc.
From: <michael.pabian@exeloncorp.com>
Recipients: <paulneilan@dereglaw.com>;
Attachments:

1) ltr to comed re billing dispute final 20100202.pdf (576 KB) - PDFPlusReader.Document
Dear Paul,

Your letter of February 2 to Darryl Bradford (attached) has been referred to me for response. Cynthia Bartel's letter of December 8 (a copy of which is attached to your letter) accurately relates the facts involved in this situation, with the exception of the statement that the account was underbilled "by almost one-third". That should have said that the account was underbilled "by a factor of 3".

By way of further explanation, I would note the following:

For ordinary Commercial and Industrial customers, ComEd currently utilizes two types of metering systems. For services at or less than 200 amps, ComEd typically installs a "self-contained" meter. For services at over 200 amps, ComEd typically installs a "transformer-rated" meter. The "self contained" and "transformer-rated" meters produce distinct data which ComEd uses to bill the account.

When power is utilized at a premises, a disk within the meter spins. Data pulses are recorded as the meter disk spins. This is known as Contact Per Revolution ("CPR"). The CPR indicates to ComEd how many pulses are recorded for each revolution of the meter disk.

When a meter is installed at a customer's premises, ComEd manually programs a CPR value based on the meter type. For self-contained meters, the proper CPR value is 4. For transformer-rated meters, it is 12.

In 2005, ComEd installed a transformer-rated meter on the premises. The meter number is 140384879. We recently discovered that, apparently, at the time the meter was installed, it was incorrectly programmed with a CPR value of 4 instead of the correct value of 12 for a transformer-rated meter. This meant that the meter was under-counting the pulses and under-register the usage flowing through the meter by a factor of 3. As a result, Amcor was underbilled for the service that it actually used, resulting in the need for corrective rebilling in accordance with the Commission's rules.

I hope this clarifies any questions that you or your client may have about the situation. Obviously, feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Mike
Michael S. Pabian
Assistant General Counsel
Exelon Legal Services
(312) 394-5831

 Please consider the environment before printing.

***** This e-mail and any of its attachments may contain Exelon Corporation proprietary information, which is privileged, confidential, or subject to copyright belonging to the Exelon Corporation family of Companies. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or action taken in relation to the contents of and attachments to this e-mail is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copy of this e-mail and any printout. Thank You. *****