RGS Cross Ex. –

OFFICIAL FILE
ILL. C. C. DOCKET NO. 11-0279 + 11-0282
RGS CR Exhibit No. 7
Witness
Date 9-14-11 Reporter LP

ANNUAL REPORT ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL GAS MARKETS IN ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

JULY 2007

STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

July 3, 2007

The Honorable Rod R. Blagojevich
Governor
207 State House
Springfield, Illinois 62706

Dear Governor Blagojevich:

Enclosed is the Illinois Commerce Commission's report entitled "Annual Report on the Development of Natural Gas Markets in Illinois."

This report is submitted in compliance with Section 19-130 of the Public Utilities Act, Commission Study and Report (220 ILCS 5/19-100), the Alternative Gas Supplier Law.

Sincerely

Charles E. Box
Chairman

527 East Capitol Avenue, P.O. Box 19280, Springfield, Illinois 62794-9280, [TDD # (217) 782-7434]

ANNUAL REPORT ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL GAS MARKETS IN ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

JULY 2007

Printed by authority of the State of Illinois
135c. –July 2007- #583

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction 3
II. Bundled Utility Sales Service vs. Unbundled Gas Transportation Service 4
III. History of Natural Gas Industry Restructuring in Illinois 5
A. ICC Advocacy of Open Access at the Federal Level 5
B. Development of Retail Competition for Large Volume Customers in Illinois ... 6
C. Small Volume Transportation Programs 6
IV. Value of Unbundled Service to Small Volume Customers 7
V. Recent Developments in Retail Natural Gas Markets 9
A. AmerenIP Group Balancing Service 9
B. Nicor Transportation Tariffs 9
C. Alternative Retail Gas Supplier Offers to Small Customers 10
VI. 2004 Calendar Year Data, Summary and Conclusions 11

Appendix A - Customers Served and Therms Sold by Supplier and Utility
Appendix B - Supplier Market Data and Rankings

Introduction

This report fulfills the statutory requirement in Section 19-130, Commission Study and Report, of Article 19 (220 ILCS 5/19) of the Illinois Public Utilities Act ("Act"). Section 19-130 requires the Illinois Commerce Commission ("Commission") to prepare an annual report analyzing the status and development of the retail natural gas market in the State of Illinois, including data on volumes of natural gas sold to retail customers and the number of customers served by alternative gas suppliers and gas utilities. This report presents data relating to the condition of the Illinois retail natural gas market for the calendar year 2006. The required data are included in tables and attached as an appendix.

Traditional gas utility sales service ("Sales Service") is the sale of natural gas supply to retail customers at rates regulated by the Commission. The rates paid by sales service customers are separated into two parts. The delivery component recovers the cost to distribute gas, including the cost of utility-owned storage facilities, through rates that vary by customer class. The gas commodity component of sales service is regulated by the Commission to ensure that customers pay only for gas that is prudently purchased. Typically, the price for gas commodity fluctuates monthly, but it does not vary by customer class. Gas transportation service ("transportation service") allows unregulated suppliers to sell competitively priced natural gas commodity to retail customers. Customers that choose transportation service pay rates regulated by the Commission to the utility for the delivery of natural gas, but the prices they pay for the natural gas that they buy from alternative suppliers are not regulated by the Commission. It is believed that the wholesale commodity market is competitive, but the delivery function is a natural monopoly. Therefore, by unbundling the commodity from its delivery, retail customers can get direct access to the wholesale market. This has the potential to deliver lower prices, a wider array of services, and customized pricing, terms, and conditions of service to individual customers or groups of customers than is possible with sales service.

Transportation service continues to evolve. The first tariffs simply removed the utility's gas supply charge from the transportation customer's bill, with limited or no access to utility storage assets. More recently, transportation service is more sophisticated. It offers customers a number of alternatives to traditional utility sales service, including allocations of utility storage and flexible delivery and storage withdrawal terms. In 2006, transportation service accounted for 43.2% of retail natural gas sales in Illinois, up from the 2005 level when transportation service accounted for 42.3% of retail natural gas sales in Illinois. Most gas transportation volume can be attributed to large volume industrial and commercial customers served by more than 60 alternative retail suppliers. No alternative retail gas supplier had market share greater than 6% in calendar year 2006.

Transportation service is available to small commercial and residential customers in three utility service territories in Northern Illinois. The market for small volume customers continues to mature and grow. Small customers and suppliers remain interested in these programs. Overall, the number of small transportation customers

increased by a substantial 28,768 in 2005 (a 12.7% increase). Overall, the number of transporting customers increased by 26,175 (a 10.7% increase).

I. Bundled Utility Sales Service vs. Unbundled Gas Transportation Service

Shippers of natural gas, including gas utilities (also referred to as Local Distribution Companies or LDCs), alternative gas suppliers, and other end-users, purchase gas supply at competitive prices at various points along a vast network of federally-regulated interstate pipelines. Shippers contract for the delivery of natural gas to retail customers via interstate pipelines and state-regulated LDC distribution systems. LDCs take receipt of natural gas at points called "citygates", where their distribution systems connect with interstate pipelines. Large LDCs may connect to multiple interstate pipelines with numerous citygates on each pipeline. Small LDCs may connect to only one pipeline with fewer citygate connections. Once an LDC takes receipt of gas at a citygate, it becomes responsible for delivering that gas to retail customers connected to the LDC's system.

The Commission regulates thirteen Illinois LDCs with customer bases ranging in size from a few thousand customers to over two million customers. In Illinois, LDCs must offer "bundled" or sales service to all retail customers on their system. Sales Service customers pay a regulated rate that includes the cost of natural gas supply, interstate pipeline services, and local distribution service. Gas costs, including the price of natural gas supply, the cost of leased interstate pipeline services (including storage services) required to deliver the commodity to the LDC's system and hedging costs to moderate price swings in the gas market, flow through a Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause ("PGA"). A single PGA commodity price is charged to all customers on sales service.

The Commission performs an annual PGA prudence review for each utility to determine whether it purchased gas in a prudent manner and to reconcile the costs of delivered gas with the revenues collected from customers for that gas. The cost of prudently procured gas is intended to equal the revenues received for that gas. If costs and revenues are not equal, a surcharge or refund to customers is required. If an LDC's gas purchases are deemed imprudent, then the Commission disallows recovery of the imprudent costs associated with those purchases. PGA rates vary monthly since gas prices are variable. Also, the LDC may need to raise or lower charges monthly to ensure that cost recovery keeps pace with the costs incurred over time. The PGA charge simply reflects the cost of the gas that the LDC buys to meet the needs of all sales service customers.

Transportation service allows retail customers to purchase competitively-priced natural gas supply from alternative gas suppliers. It also offers customers the ability to buy gas under terms that more closely reflect their individual needs. Alternative retail gas suppliers arrange for the delivery of transportation gas via interstate pipelines to the city gate. Or they may purchase supply at the city gate from wholesale suppliers. The LDC then receives that gas and delivers it to the suppliers'

customers at a Commission-regulated delivery rate. All Illinois LDCs offer transportation service to industrial and commercial customers as an alternative to sales service.[1] Three Illinois LDCs also offer transportation services designed specifically for small commercial and residential customers.

Transportation service, unlike gas supply service, requires regulation of natural monopoly infrastructure such as distribution networks. Natural gas supply, on the other hand, is competitive. Allowing customers to independently buy gas offers the opportunity for lower prices and an increased variety of service offerings for transportation customers. Since there are significant scale economies in the distribution system, the duplication of delivery system infrastructure is uneconomical and results in higher costs for delivery service. However, since the wholesale gas market is competitive, it is reasonable to expect a range of beneficial outcomes from allowing multiple shippers to sell natural gas supply to retail customers. In particular, lower prices for natural gas supply may result, and a broader set of services may be offered to consumers. These benefits can mean that the different consumers' preferences are better satisfied, since suppliers can tailor pricing and terms and conditions of service to better meet the needs of individual customers or niche markets.

Suppliers offer a wider array of pricing options and other services to transportation customers than the utility's sales service. The products include contracts with fixed prices, variable market-based prices (monthly, quarterly, seasonally and annually), a fixed bill for twelve months, market-based prices with the option to lock in a fixed price or a ceiling price, discounts off of the LDC's PGA rate, and market-based prices in conjunction with a storage hedge. Most suppliers offer contracts with fixed prices for one to three year terms.

II. History of Natural Gas Industry Restructuring in Illinois

A. ICC Advocacy of Open Access at the Federal Level

The Commission supports the development of federal policies that enable wholesale competition. The Commission focuses most of its attention on key proceedings at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). Starting as far back as 1983, the Commission intervened in numerous FERC proceedings to argue pro-competitive positions. The Commission consistently advocates the position that competitive forces, where viable, best protect consumers' interests. Non-discriminatory interstate pipeline transportation is the crucial link between the competitive supply market and natural gas consumers. Accordingly, the Commission supports policies that promote non-discriminatory access to interstate pipelines.

[1] Transportation service is also referred to as "unbundled" service, since the transportation services are provided and billed separately from the gas supply service.

B. Development of Retail Competition for Large Volume Customers in Illinois

The Commission has been approving unbundled gas transportation tariffs filed by Illinois LDCs for over two decades that are found to be just and reasonable. That process continues today. The LDC tariffs, along with interstate FERC-approved pipeline tariffs, create the rules and structure needed to establish competitive retail supply markets for commercial and industrial customers. There are many gas suppliers and marketers that can meet the needs of retail customers that choose to transport their own gas rather than purchasing PGA gas directly from their LDC under bundled tariffs. In 2006, transportation gas accounted for approximately 3.49 billion therms or about 43 percent of all gas delivered to retail customers in Illinois. This is somewhat less than the amount transported during 2005. The number of transportation customers increased by 26,175 in 2006, going from 254,401 to 280,576. The number of larger transportation customers, however, decreased by 10.3 percent in 2006, going from 27,077 in 2005 to 24,484 in 2006.

The Commission supports efforts to expand and improve gas transportation programs as long as sales customers do not subsidize the programs and sales service reliability is not impaired. Charges for transportation services recoup the cost for providing a firm LDC service level requested by transportation customers. In the early stages of transportation service in Illinois, rate design amounted to deducting the PGA price from transported volumes. When transportation customers consumed LDC-supplied gas, they paid the regular PGA or bundled rate. Transportation tariffs have become more sophisticated, since utilities now supply storage services to transportation customers, while penalizing transporters for deviating from planned deliveries. When customers' gas usage differs from the level contracted for, various penalty charges or above-market rates may apply. The penalty charges try to prevent large-scale imbalances above the level the LDC is prepared to accommodate as specified in the tariff. They also protect against cross subsidization of transportation customers by bundled sales customers. Such penalties are more practical than curtailing service to transportation customers when their gas supply does not reach the city gate. This advantage is especially important when customers number in the thousands as they do in the small volume transportation programs.

C. Small Volume Transportation Programs

Small volume transportation programs for small commercial and residential customers are an important component of Illinois retail natural gas markets. They are also termed aggregation programs. Like transportation programs for large volume customers, aggregation programs give small volume customers the opportunity to purchase competitively priced natural gas commodity from alternative retail gas suppliers rather than traditional bundled utility service. However, the cost for advanced meters that can measure daily usage renders the service uneconomical for small volume customers. Small volume transportation programs allow suppliers to aggregate customer load and estimate their daily usage for

balancing purposes instead of directly measuring daily usage with advanced meters. The Commission has approved three small volume transportation programs. In December 2005, 170,939 residential customers and 56,385 commercial customers were served under small volume transportation programs in Illinois.

In 1997, Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company ("Peoples") filed small volume transportation tariffs that later become known as the "Choices For You" program. In 2000, the Commission approved Peoples' filing to institute a permanent unbundling program for all non-residential customers with an annual consumption of less than 50,000 therms. In 2002, the Commission approved Peoples and North Shore's plan to phase in residential "Choices For You" as a pilot program from May 2002 through April 2005. The participation limits on the "Choices For You" program were eliminated in 2005. Peoples Gas and North Shore filed for several changes in the "Choices For You" program in their rate case in March 2007.

In 1997, the Commission approved Nicor's "Customer Select" pilot program and Supplier Aggregation Service. These tariffs allowed Nicor to offer a pilot transportation program to small volume industrial and commercial customers. Nicor received Commission approval to expand the availability of its program to approximately 65,000 industrial and commercial customers and 80,000 residential customers in September 1998. Residential customers were allowed to choose their own natural gas supplier in Nicor's service territory starting in May 1999. In July 2001, the Commission allowed Nicor to make Customer Select permanent, and on March 1, 2002, Customer Select was available to all of the nearly two million residential, commercial, and industrial customers in Nicor's service territory.

III. Value of Unbundled Service to Small Volume Customers

Program benefits depend on the prices and services offered by each supplier and the value that each customer derives from their chosen service option. As mentioned in Section II above, suppliers offer a wide array of pricing options and other services to small volume transportation customers. In order to evaluate their effects on customers' welfare, there are two questions that need to be answered.

First, are the prices available through third-party suppliers lower or higher than PGA charges? Second, what is the value to customers of the price programs and services that suppliers provide? The PGA is the Company's actual cost of gas. Since a utility's commodity costs fluctuate with the market, the PGA also fluctuates with the market. Supplier offerings differ in several ways from the PGA charges. For example, a customer might enter into a fixed price contract with a supplier. The customer's gas costs might be higher or lower than the PGA depending on how market prices behave. If market prices (and presumably PGA charges) fall, then remaining on bundled rates is the lower cost option. On the other hand, if prices (and PGA rates) rise, then PGA rates are likely higher. However, even if a customer enters into a fixed price contract and gas prices subsequently drop below the level of the fixed price, it may be that the customer's welfare was improved by the fixed price

contract. This is true because the customer benefits from the reduced uncertainty from a fixed price relative to the PGA charge.

There is no precise dollar estimate for the benefits that small volume customers receive from the programs. The Citizens Utility Board ("CUB") has a "CUB Gas Market Monitor" on its web site.[2] It estimates the savings provided or increased costs imposed for each Alternative Retail Gas Supplier's ("ARGS") offer relative to the PGA. According to this site (at the time of this report), only 2% of all suppliers' current offers have lower rates than the PGA rate, ex post. A quarter of the plans that have expired, however, did generate savings for customers, according to this web site. But the site does not estimate the value that consumers derived from price mitigation programs such as fixed price programs. In addition, the programs' continued popularity evinces a belief by small volume customers that they derive benefits from the option to choose ARGS. More than 256,000 customers participated in the programs in calendar year 2006, a 12.7% increase in participation. Table A shows the Nicor, Peoples, and North Shore data for small volume transportation programs as of December 2006, December 2005, and December 2004.

Table A - Small Volume Transportation Customers by LDC

	Residential			Commercial			Total		
Program	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Nicor - Customer Select	147,933	157,096	166,332	49,575	48,024	45,814	197,508	205,120	212,146
Peoples - Choices For You	5,103	10,965	28,093	7,246	7,794	9,218	12,349	18,759	37,311
North Shore - Choices For You	2,431	2,878	3,792	331	567	838	2,762	3,445	4,630
Total	155,467	170,939	200,223	57,152	56,385	57,876	212,619	227,324	256,092

The data indicates that small volume residential and commercial customers will participate in competitive markets where aggregation tariffs are available. Also, these programs are evolving and being continually improved so as to meet customer needs. For example, Nicor's program has only been available on a permanent basis to all customers in Nicor's service territory since March 1, 2002. Peoples' and North Shore's small volume transportation programs became available on a pilot basis to a limited number of residential customers for the first time beginning on May 1, 2002. The small volume transportation programs have been available on either a permanent or pilot basis for at least four years as of December 2006. Assessing the level of competition for residential and small volume commercial customers in these service territories can be problematic. However, the participation rates by

[2] See http://www.citizensutilityboard.org/GasMarketMonitor.php. The latest offers evaluated on the site date from the end of May 2007.

customers, as well as continued supplier interest, are encouraging news, particularly for residential customers.

While Peoples Gas saw a big increase in "Choices For You" participation in 2006, it has generally had lower participation levels than Nicor's small volume transportation programs. The number of suppliers has increased in 2006, with six selling to residential customers up from four the previous year. And seven market to small commercial customers up from five the year before. However, in Nicor's territory, there are 15 suppliers serving small volume customers.

Participation in competitive markets by small volume residential customers has expanded as suppliers and customers become more familiar with small volume markets in Northern Illinois. While, the number of small volume commercial customers has increased, it has not been uniform. The number of customers participating in Nicor's small volume commercial program has fallen, while the number of small commercial transportation customers of North Shore and Peoples has increased. At the same time that the small volume program has seen increases in the number of commercial customers, the number of traditional transport customers has stagnated. This also varies by utility. Nicor's larger volume transportation program has increased the number of customers, while Peoples has lost customers in its larger volume transportation program.

IV. Recent Developments in Retail Natural Gas Markets

A. AmerenIP Group Balancing Service

On May 17, 2005, the Commission issued a Final Order in Docket No. 04-0476 AmerenIP's proposed General Increases in Natural Gas Rates. During the course of the proceeding, AmerenIP agreed to proposals by Commission Staff to implement a group balancing service for commercial and industrial customers in the AmerenIP service territory. The parties also agreed that the tariff should be effective for the winter of 2005. AmerenIP filed a tariff in compliance with the Commission's Order. AmerenIP worked with Staff to improve the balancing provisions in its tariffs.

Group Balancing allows suppliers or agents representing multiple transportation customers to aggregate customers' accounts when nominating and balancing gas deliveries to the utility and managing customers' storage accounts. Group balancing should reduce transaction costs for multiple transportation customer accounts while reducing penalty charges assessed to transportation customers without impacting utility service.

B. Nicor Transportation Tariffs

Nicor proposed changes in the terms and conditions for its gas transportation services in Docket No. 04-0779. In the September 20, 2005 Order, the Commission approved several changes to its gas transportation tariffs for all commercial and industrial customers. Among the changes in the tariffs were adjustments to storage

allocations and availability, and the rules governing nominations. In addition, for the Customer Select program, the formula for daily deliveries was made more flexible, the monthly tolerance level between deliveries and usage was increased, and the cap on the size of the group in balancing was increased.

C. Peoples and North Shore's Proposed Transportation Tariffs

North Shore and Peoples filed rate cases on March 2007 and are being litigated in Docket Nos. 07-0241 and 07-0242, respectively. The gas companies have proposed terms and conditions in their transportation tariffs largely along the line with Nicor's latest changes. In general, marketers are granted more storage and delivery flexibility and some charges are assessed directly on the customers rather than to marketers.

D. Alternative Retail Gas Supplier Offers to Small Customers

In Docket No. 03-0592, the CUB filed a complaint with the Commission alleging certain violations of the law with respect to disclosure of terms and conditions and other aspects of Peoples Energy Services' supplier offer to residential transportation customers. The Commission ruled in favor of CUB's complaint on a number of issues, but the case is currently under appeal.

The Commission cannot discuss the details of the issues in this case because the case remains under appeal, but in general the Commission has authority, per Section 19-130 of the Act, over the disclosure of terms and conditions that alternative retail gas suppliers include in their supplier offers to retail customers. The Commission is not aware of pervasive violations of the disclosure requirements amongst ARGS, and the vast majority of ARGS who intend to provide long-term service are likely to comply with the disclosure requirements, but the Commission is aware of some instances in which allegations of violations are leveled against ARGS. The most notable recent example is the Attorney General's suit filed on April 26, 2005, in Cook County Circuit Court against Illinois Natural Gas Corporation ("INGC"). The lawsuit charged Illinois Natural Gas Savings Corporation, doing business as Illinois Natural Gas Corporation, with violating the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act and other laws intended to protect consumers. The Attorney General and INGC reached a settlement in the case. No customers were ever switched to INGC. And on January 4, 2006, the Commission granted INGC's request to cancel its certificate of service authority. The Attorney General has also filed suit against Santanna Energy Services for reneging on fixed price offers on October 13, 2005 and switching customers to a variable priced contract. Also on that date, Santanna filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. The Attorney General settled this suit on November 1, 2006. While in bankruptcy, Santanna continued to provide service to customers. As part of the settlement, Santanna agreed to refund $3.3 million to Illinois fixed-price customers, refund a $3 per month charge paid over certain periods of time and waive it prospectively, reduce the gas cost for current customers and pay $200,000 to the Office of

Attorney General. Further, it agreed to honor its contracts going forward. The settlement was filed and approved by the bankruptcy court. The settlement did not require Santanna to admit wrongdoing.

In Docket No. 06-0337, CUB filed a complaint against U.S. Energy Savings Corporation ("USESC") for misrepresenting itself and information about natural gas markets. USESC was also accused of transferring customers without their consent to Nicor's Customer Select program. The matters at issue in the docket were settled, and the docket was dismissed with prejudice on December 6, 2006. It appears that the settlement was confidential, since the parties did not place it in the record of Docket No. 06-0337.

V. 2006 Calendar Year Data, Summary and Conclusions

Section 19-130, Commission Study and Report, of Article 19 (220 ILCS 5/19) of the Act requires this report to include the following data:

> (1) the aggregate annual demand of retail natural gas customers in the State of Illinois in the preceding calendar year;
>
> (2) the total annual therms delivered and sold to retail customers in the State of Illinois by each gas utility and each alternative gas supplier in the preceding calendar year;
>
> (3) the percentage of therms delivered and sold to customers in the State of Illinois in the preceding calendar year by each gas utility and each alternative gas supplier;
>
> (4) the total number of customers in the State of Illinois served in the preceding calendar year by each gas utility and each alternative gas supplier;

Appendix A and Appendix B attached to this document provide the data required in Section 19-130 for calendar year 2006. Appendix A sets forth gas utility market data and rankings, and total supplier market data. Appendix B sets forth total supplier market data and rankings. Most transportation customers have a designated agent that acts as a liaison between the utility and the transportation customer. This agent may be an alternative gas supplier as defined in Section 19-105 of the Act, or the agent may be a consultant that arranges for an alternative gas supplier to deliver gas to the city gate on behalf of one or more transportation customers. The latter never takes title to the gas and, therefore, does not offer gas for sale, lease, or in exchange for other value received to one or more customers or engage in the furnishing of gas to one or more customers. Rather, the entity essentially acts as a gas-purchasing consultant. In 2006, utilities better differentiated between actual alternative gas suppliers as defined in Section 19-105 and agents that represented customers but never took title to gas delivered to the utilities' systems.

The aggregate annual demand of Illinois retail natural gas customers in 2006 was approximately 8.1 billion therms. More than 280,000 transportation customers purchased 43% of the total gas sold to Illinois retail customers from almost 70 alternative retail gas suppliers. The remaining 57% was delivered by Illinois LDCs and sold at regulated PGA rates to 3.8 million Illinois retail natural gas customers. The greatest market share among alternative gas suppliers was just below 6% of the total volumes delivered to both transportation and sales service customers. Only one other alternative gas supplier had a market share greater than 5% in 2006. The number of participating suppliers and low supplier market shares reflect a significant level of statewide retail competition, especially for large volume customers, which account for the majority of transportation volumes in Illinois.

Section 19-130 requires that market share data, based on the total number of therms delivered and sold to all retail customers in Illinois (sales service and transportation), be included in this report. Data measuring each supplier's market share of the transportation market, rather than the entire Illinois retail market, provides a different perspective on the level of competition by focusing on competition between alternative gas suppliers rather than the broader measure of total deliveries that includes both utility bundled sales and alternative gas supplier sales. Table B shows the 2005 top ten suppliers' market share of transportation volumes in 2006, market share of transportation volumes in 2005, and their 2005 market share ranking. A comparison of 2006 and 2005 numbers shows little change in the total share of the top ten producers. The top ten suppliers served 63.7% of the transportation market in 2006, while in 2005 the top ten suppliers served 62.4% of the market. Overall, the level of market concentration remained low in 2005 reflecting a market with significant competition.

Table B - Market Shares and Rank of 2006 Top Ten Suppliers in 2005

Top Ten Suppliers in 2006	Rank of 2006 Top Ten Suppliers in 2005	Market Share of Transportation Volumes in 2006	Market Share of Transportation Volumes in 2005
1	2	13.9%	12.7%
2	1	13.2%	13.9%
3	3	10.4%	9.1%
4	5	5.9%	6.1%
5	4	5.3%	6.8%
6	6	3.4%	4.5%
7	10	3.2%	2.3%
8	8	2.9%	2.7%
9	12	2.8%	1.7%
10	7	2.7%	2.7%

	Totals	**63.7%**	**62.4%**

The number of residential customers taking transportation service continues to rise, while the number of small volume commercial customers taking transportation service increased, and remains at high levels. However, the growth is not uniform, since Nicor has seen decreases and Peoples Gas increases in the number of small volume commercial customers. It appears that transportation service remains attractive to many customers.

Appendix A

Gas Utility Market Data, Rankings and Total Supplier Market Data				
Utility	Rank	2006 Volumes - Therms	2006 Customers	2006 Total Market Share Volumes
Nicor Gas Company	1	2,326,921,887	1,923,059	28.83%
Peoples Gas Light & Coke Company	2	989,785,500	775,497	12.26%
Ameren IP	3	454,612,582	430,620	5.63%
AmerenCILCO	4	291,167,421	216,967	3.61%
North Shore Gas Company	5	214,836,680	151,285	2.66%
AmerenCIPS	6	180,745,813	189,358	2.24%
MidAmerican Energy Company	7	71,033,872	65,738	0.88%
Atmos	8	22,141,068	23,018	0.27%
Illinois Gas Company	9	9,892,238	10,061	0.12%
South Beloit Gas Water & Electric	10	8,837,963	8,219	0.11%
Interstate Power & Light	11	6,369,439	5,647	0.08%
Consumers Gas Company	12	5,716,690	5,730	0.07%
Mt. Carmel Public Utility Company	13	3,476,505	3,646	0.04%
Total Utility		4,585,537,658	3,808,845	56.81%
Total Supplier		3,485,534,532	280,576	43.19%
Total		8,071,072,190	4,089,421	100.00%

Appendix B

Supplier Market Data & Rankings					
Supplier	Rank	2006 Volumes in Therms	2006 Customers	2006 Total Market Share by Volume	2006 Transportation Only Market Share by Volume
Transport w/out Agent		500,422,245	86	6.20%	14.36%
1	1	484,208,032	247	6.00%	13.89%
2	2	459,942,449	23,242	5.70%	13.20%
3	3	361,515,686	1,937	4.48%	10.37%
4	4	205,876,449	3,406	2.55%	5.91%
5	5	185,958,300	4,310	2.30%	5.34%
6	6	117,117,790	64,422	1.45%	3.36%
7	7	111,450,768	2,377	1.38%	3.20%
8	8	101,073,820	764	1.25%	2.90%
9	9	97,742,326	80,283	1.21%	2.80%
10	10	93,703,134	854	1.16%	2.69%
11	11	76,641,694	424	0.95%	2.20%
12	12	71,571,754	25,157	0.89%	2.05%
13	13	69,098,483	7	0.86%	1.98%
14	14	53,148,337	287	0.66%	1.52%
15	15	52,429,430	302	0.65%	1.50%
16	16	41,361,705	80	0.51%	1.19%
17	17	36,686,816	55	0.45%	1.05%
18	18	33,002,863	2,589	0.41%	0.95%
19	19	31,219,174	376	0.39%	0.90%
20	20	30,328,270	1	0.38%	0.87%
21	21	26,821,414	22,287	0.33%	0.77%
22	22	22,853,901	8	0.28%	0.66%
23	23	22,758,213	10,622	0.28%	0.65%
24	24	22,721,550	439	0.28%	0.65%
25	25	19,849,256	7	0.25%	0.57%
26	26	14,357,013	22,858	0.18%	0.41%
27	27	11,808,276	48	0.15%	0.34%
28	28	10,653,327	791	0.13%	0.31%
29	29	10,182,559	355	0.13%	0.29%
30	30	9,646,345	25	0.12%	0.28%
31	31	8,424,613	4	0.10%	0.24%
32	32	8,411,866	1	0.10%	0.24%
33	33	8,343,179	7,346	0.10%	0.24%
34	34	7,034,906	2	0.09%	0.20%
35	35	6,695,450	30	0.08%	0.19%
36	36	6,233,556	-	0.08%	0.18%
37	37	4,450,175	2,608	0.06%	0.13%
38	38	4,216,250	2	0.05%	0.12%
39	39	4,130,010	9	0.05%	0.12%

Supplier Market Data & Rankings					
Supplier	Rank	2005 Volumes in Therms	2005 Customers	2005 Total Market Share by Volume	2005 Transportation Only Market Share by Volume
40	40	3,955,260	143	0.05%	0.11%
41	41	3,540,260	1	0.04%	0.10%
42	42	3,513,466	122	0.04%	0.10%
43	43	3,411,981	1,115	0.04%	0.10%
44	44	3,346,512	213	0.04%	0.10%
45	45	2,785,947	2	0.03%	0.08%
46	46	2,349,794	-	0.03%	0.07%
47	47	2,176,290	19	0.03%	0.06%
48	48	2,082,006	209	0.03%	0.06%
49	49	1,823,219	-	0.02%	0.05%
50	50	1,566,590	2	0.02%	0.04%
51	51	1,506,279	10	0.02%	0.04%
52	52	1,494,447	-	0.02%	0.04%
53	53	1,292,737	-	0.02%	0.04%
54	54	1,291,742	-	0.02%	0.04%
55	55	1,058,443	22	0.01%	0.03%
56	56	720,944	25	0.01%	0.02%
57	57	712,826	10	0.01%	0.02%
58	58	533,937	4	0.01%	0.02%
59	59	482,335	1	0.01%	0.01%
60	60	413,891	-	0.01%	0.01%
61	61	337,820	-	0.00%	0.01%
62	62	269,530	1	0.00%	0.01%
63	63	261,918	1	0.00%	0.01%
64	64	234,687	1	0.00%	0.01%
65	65	219,838	24	0.00%	0.01%
66	66	60,451	2	0.00%	0.00%
Total Supplier		3,485,534,532	280,576		