

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ILLINOIS-AMERICAN WATER) DOCKET NO.
COMPANY) 10-0481
)
Petition for approval of)
an agreement with)
American Lake Water)
Company, an "Affiliated)
Interest," in accordance)
with Section 7-101.)

Springfield, Illinois
Wednesday, August 17, 2011

Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 P.M.

BEFORE:

MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. MARK DeMONTE
JONES DAY
77 West Wacker
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Ph. (312)782-3939

(Appearing via teleconference
on behalf of Petitioner
Illinois-American Water Company)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY
By Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
CSR No. 084-002710

1 APPEARANCES: (CONT'D)

2 MS. JESSICA CARDONI
3 Office of General Counsel
4 160 North LaSalle
5 Suite C-800
6 Chicago, Illinois 60601
7 Ph. (312)793-3305

8 (Appearing via teleconference
9 on behalf of Staff of the
10 Illinois Commerce Commission)

11 MS. SUSAN L. SATTER
12 Illinois Attorney General's Office
13 11th Floor
14 100 West Randolph
15 Chicago, Illinois 60601

16 (Appearing via teleconference on
17 behalf of the People of the State
18 of Illinois)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE JONES: Good afternoon. I call for hearing Docket Number 10-0481. This is titled in part Illinois-American Water Company, petition for approval of an agreement with American Lake Water Company, an affiliated interest, in accordance with Section 7-101.

At this time we will take the appearances orally for the record. If you have appeared previously at a hearing in this matter, you need not restate your business address or phone number or spell your name unless any of those things have changed or you simply prefer to do so. We will start with the appearance or appearances on behalf of the petitioner Illinois-American Water Company.

MR. DeMONTE: Your Honor, Mark DeMonte, D-E-M-O-N-T-E, on behalf of petitioner.

JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Other appearances?

MS. CARDONI: On behalf of Staff witnesses, Jessica Cardoni.

JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Others?

MS. SATTER: On behalf of the People of the

1 State of Illinois, Susan L. Satter.

2 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other
3 appearances?

4 (No response.)

5 Let the record show there are not.

6 It is my understanding that the
7 parties have been in communication with regard to the
8 procedural advancement of this case and may have some
9 scheduling proposed at this time, is that correct?

10 MR. DeMONTE: That's correct, Your Honor. This
11 is Mark DeMonte. At this time the parties have
12 conferred and would propose that on August 25 that
13 the company would file supplemental rebuttal
14 reflecting ongoing conversations between the parties,
15 and on September 12 would be a date on or by that
16 Staff and the AG's office could file supplemental
17 rebuttal, if any, and then there would be a status
18 hearing set on September 23 at 10 o'clock.

19 JUDGE JONES: Now, is it the intent that the
20 23rd could also be used as a final hearing in the
21 event there are no contested issues or, if there are,
22 that there is no cross examination? Is that what you

1 prefer to have happen here or is that not the case?

2 MR. DeMONTE: I believe if it is appropriate at
3 the time, yes. And the parties will have a better
4 sense of that, I believe, after the September 12
5 filing.

6 JUDGE JONES: Okay, thank you. Do other
7 parties have any points of clarification with regard
8 to that scheduling that was just read into the
9 record?

10 MS. CARDONI: Not from Staff. Thank you.

11 MS. SATTER: No, thank you.

12 JUDGE JONES: All right. At this time let the
13 record show that the above-referenced scheduling is
14 hereby put into place. The two filing dates were
15 read into the record. I will not repeat those. The
16 status hearing date on September 23 at 10:00 a.m., I
17 believe the intent of the parties is that could also
18 be a final hearing date in the event that there are
19 no contested issues at that point in time or, even if
20 there are, that there is no cross examination of
21 witnesses.

22 In light of the above, I believe it is

1 also the intent of the parties that participation at
2 the September 23 hearing, whichever direction that
3 takes, will be by telephone.

4 Was that the plan, that that would be
5 by phone?

6 MS. SATTER: Yes, that would be great.

7 MR. DeMONTE: That would be great, Judge.

8 MS. CARDONI: Yes, please.

9 JUDGE JONES: So the parties will be permitted
10 to participate on the 23rd by phone, whether that is
11 simply a status or whether it is a final hearing at
12 which there will be no cross examination of witnesses
13 for one reason or the other.

14 As the parties noted, there are some
15 filings in the meantime, and more will be known about
16 the actual use of that September 23 hearing date as
17 that date draws closer and parties will be in
18 communication with each other with respect to all
19 that.

20 I think that's it then. Does anyone
21 have anything else to note for the record or raise
22 for the record before we conclude the status hearing

1 today?

2 (No response.)

3 All right. Let the record show they
4 do not. At this time let the record show that
5 today's status hearing is concluded. Our thanks to
6 Mr. DeMonte for circulating the call-in number. In
7 accordance with the above, this matter is continued
8 to a hearing date of September 23 at the hour of
9 10:00 a.m.

10 (Whereupon the hearing in this
11 matter was continued until
12 September 23, 2011, at 10:00
13 a.m. in Springfield, Illinois.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22