

DIRECT TESTIMONY

of

ROCHELLE PHIPPS

Finance Department

Financial Analysis Division

Illinois Commerce Commission

Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois
Proposed General Increase in Electric and Natural Gas Rates

Docket Nos. 11-0279 and 11-0282 (Cons.)

June 29, 2011

Table of Contents

Witness Identification	1
Cost of Capital.....	2
Capital Structure	3
Cost of Short-Term Debt	8
Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt	12
Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock.....	15
Rate of Return on Rate Base	15

Schedules

Schedule 7.01	Cost of Capital Summary
Schedule 7.02	Short-Term Debt Balance
Schedule 7.03	Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt
Schedule 7.04	Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock
Schedule 7.05	Common Equity Balance

1 **Witness Identification**

2 **Q1. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A1. My name is Rochelle Phipps. My business address is 527 East Capital Avenue,
4 Springfield, Illinois 62701.

5 **Q2. What is your current position with the Illinois Commerce Commission?**

6 A2. I am a Senior Financial Analyst in the Finance Department of the Financial
7 Analysis Division.

8 **Q3. Please describe your qualifications and background.**

9 A3. I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Finance from Illinois College,
10 Jacksonville, Illinois. I received a Master of Business Administration degree from
11 the University of Illinois at Springfield. I have been employed by the Illinois
12 Commerce Commission ("Commission") since June 2000.

13 **Q4. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

14 A4. I will present the overall cost of capital and recommend a fair rate of return on
15 rate base for Ameren Illinois Company's ("AIC" or the "Company") electric and
16 gas delivery services. The overall cost of capital I present incorporates the cost
17 of common equity Staff witness Janis Freetly recommends in ICC Staff Exhibit
18 8.0.

19 **Q5. Please summarize your cost of capital findings.**

20 A5. The overall cost of capital for the Company's electric and gas delivery services
21 equals 8.63% and 8.20%, respectively, as presented on Schedule 7.01.

22

Cost of Capital

23 **Q6. Why must one determine an overall cost of capital for a public utility?**

24 A6. Under the traditional regulatory model, ratepayer and shareholder interests are
25 balanced when the Commission authorizes a rate of return on rate base equal to
26 the public utility's overall cost of capital, as long as that overall cost of capital is
27 not unnecessarily expensive.¹ If the authorized rate of return on rate base
28 exceeds the overall cost of capital, then ratepayers bear the burden of excessive
29 prices. Conversely, if the authorized rate of return on rate base is lower than the
30 overall cost of capital, the financial strength of the utility could deteriorate, making
31 it difficult for the utility to raise capital at a reasonable cost. Ultimately, the
32 utility's inability to raise sufficient capital would impair service quality. Therefore,
33 ratepayer interests are served best when the authorized rate of return on rate
34 base equals the utility's overall cost of capital.

35 In authorizing a rate of return on rate base equal to the overall cost of capital, all
36 costs of service are assumed reasonable and accurately measured, including the
37 costs and balances of the components of the capital structure. If unreasonable
38 costs continue to be incurred, or if any reasonable cost of service component is
39 measured inaccurately, then the allowed rate of return on rate base will not
40 balance ratepayer and investor interests.

41 **Q7. Please define the overall cost of capital for a public utility.**

¹ The remainder of the discussion assumes that the utility's overall cost of capital is not unnecessarily expensive; that is, the utility's cost of capital reflects a reasonable balance between financial strength and cost.

42 A7. The overall cost of capital for a public utility equals the sum of the costs of the
43 components of the capital structure (*i.e.*, debt, preferred stock and common
44 equity) after weighting each by its proportion to total capital.

45 **Capital Structure**

46 **Q8. What capital structure does the Company propose for setting rates for**
47 **electric and gas delivery services?**

48 A8. The Company proposes using a forecasted average 2012 capital structure that
49 comprises 0.2% short-term debt, 45.2% long-term debt, 1.7% preferred stock
50 and 53.0% common equity.²

51 **Q9. Did you make any capital structure adjustments to the AIC proposal that**
52 **affect more than one capital structure component?**

53 A9. Yes. The short-term debt calculation should also include a calculation for
54 remaining Construction Work in Progress (“CWIP”) accruing an Allowance for
55 Funds used During Construction (“AFUDC”). The Commission’s formula for
56 calculating AFUDC assumes short-term debt is the first source of funds financing
57 CWIP; however, it is not necessarily the only source. The Commission’s formula
58 also assumes that any CWIP not funded by short-term debt is funded
59 proportionately by the remaining sources of capital (*i.e.*, long-term debt, preferred
60 stock and common equity). Thus, to avoid double counting the portions of long-
61 term debt, preferred stock and common equity that the AFUDC formula assumes
62 is financing CWIP, the calculation of the average 2012 capital structure should

² Ameren Ex. 4.0, p. 4.

63 subtract \$41,893,910, from the long-term debt balance, \$1,556,991, from the
64 preferred stock balance and \$46,899,816, from the common equity balance.

65 **Q10. How did you calculate those amounts?**

66 A10. The Company forecasts a higher balance of CWIP than short-term debt during
67 the months of December 2011 through November 2012. Therefore, the AFUDC
68 formula assigns long-term sources of capital to the portion of CWIP that exceeds
69 the balance of short-term debt during those months. After adjusting the monthly
70 short-term debt balances to remove the portion of short-term debt reflected in the
71 calculation of AFUDC, I allocated any remaining amount of CWIP accruing
72 AFUDC according to the proportion of total long-term capital that each long-term
73 capital component represents. The average is \$90,522,710, as presented in
74 column (H) on Schedule 7.02. Long-term debt, preferred stock, and common
75 equity compose 46.28%, 1.72% and 51.81% of long-term capital, respectively,
76 based on their balances discussed hereafter. Thus, 46.28% of \$90,522,710, or
77 \$41,893,910, was subtracted from the long-term debt balance; 1.72% of
78 \$90,522,710, or \$1,556,991, was subtracted from the preferred stock balance;
79 and 51.81% of \$90,522,710, or \$46,899,816, was subtracted from the common
80 equity balance.

81 **Q11. Do you recommend any adjustments to the Company's calculation of the**
82 **average 2012 short-term debt balance?**

83 A11. No.

84 **Q12. Do you recommend any adjustments to the balance of long-term debt?**

85 A12. Yes. I began with the average 2012 balance of \$1,633,534,069, as presented on
86 Schedule 7.03. That balance reflects the carrying value for the Company's long-
87 term debt, including unamortized loss of reacquired debt issues. Then, I
88 subtracted \$41,893,910, to reflect the amount of long-term debt already
89 incorporated in the calculation of AFUDC, as explained previously. This
90 adjustment results in a long-term debt balance of \$1,591,640,159.

91 **Q13. Do you recommend any adjustments to the balance of preferred stock?**

92 A13. Yes. As shown on Schedule 7.04, the Company's average 2012 balance of
93 preferred stock equals \$60,718,696, which reflects the net proceeds available to
94 the Company. Yet, the Company's calculation should also subtract \$1,556,991
95 to reflect the amount of preferred stock already incorporated in the AFUDC
96 calculation, as explained previously. This adjustment results in a preferred stock
97 balance of \$59,161,705.

98 **Q14. Do you recommend any adjustments to the balance of common equity?**

99 A14. Yes. I recommend three adjustments to the Company's calculation of the
100 average 2012 common equity balance. First, I removed \$411 million of goodwill
101 from the Company's common equity balance. The Company proposed to use
102 the September 30, 2009, balance of the purchase accounting adjustments
103 reflected in Account 114; however, that balance reflects bookkeeping entries to
104 Account 114 that do not affect the Company's common equity balance.
105 Therefore, I subtracted the entire goodwill balance to avoid including in rates any
106 purchase accounting adjustments that are not appropriate for ratemaking

107 purposes. Second, I adjusted the common equity balance to reflect Staff's
108 recommended rate increase instead of the Company's requested rate increase.
109 My calculation of the Company's average 2012 common equity balance is
110 presented on Schedule 7.05. Third, as explained previously, this amount should
111 be reduced by \$46,899,816 to reflect the amount of common equity already
112 incorporated into the AFUDC calculation. This adjustment results in a common
113 equity balance of \$1,781,510,184.

114 **Q15. How does capital structure affect the overall cost of capital?**

115 A15. Capital structure affects the value of a firm and, therefore, its cost of capital, to
116 the extent it affects the expected level of cash flows that accrue to parties other
117 than debt and equity holders. Employing debt as a source of capital reduces a
118 company's income taxes,³ thereby reducing the cost of capital; however, as
119 reliance on debt as a source of capital increases, so does the probability of
120 default. As the probability of default rises, expected payments to attorneys,
121 trustees and other outside parties increase. Further, expected cash flows decline
122 as the company forgoes investment that would have been available to it had its
123 financial condition been stronger, including the expected value of the income tax
124 shield from debt financing. Beyond a certain point, a growing dependence on
125 debt as a source of funds increases the overall cost of capital. Therefore, the

³ The tax advantage debt has over equity at the corporate level is partially offset at the individual investor level. Debt investors receive returns largely in the form of current income (*i.e.*, interest). In contrast, equity investors receive returns in the form of both current income (*i.e.*, dividends) and capital appreciation (*i.e.*, capital gains). Taxes on common dividends and capital gains are lower than taxes on interest because common dividend and capital gains tax rates are lower and taxes on capital gains are deferred until realized.

126 Commission should not determine the overall rate of return from a utility's actual
127 capital structure if the Commission concludes that capital structure adversely
128 affects the overall cost of capital.

129 An optimal capital structure would minimize the cost of capital and maintain a
130 utility's financial integrity. Unfortunately, determining whether a capital structure
131 is optimal remains problematic because (1) the cost of capital is a continuous
132 function of the capital structure, rendering its precise measurement along each
133 segment of the range of possible capital structures problematic; (2) the optimal
134 capital structure is a function of operating risk, which is dynamic; and (3) the
135 relative costs of the different types of capital vary with dynamic market
136 conditions. Consequently, one should determine whether the capital structure is
137 consistent with the financial strength necessary to access the capital markets
138 under most economic conditions and, if so, whether the cost of that financial
139 strength is reasonable.

140 **Q16. What capital structure do you propose for the Company?**

141 A16. With the adjustments I described above, my proposed capital structure for AIC
142 comprises 0.19% short-term debt, 46.28% long-term debt, 1.72% preferred stock
143 and 51.81% common equity, as shown on Schedule 7.01.

144 **Q17. How did you evaluate the Company's average 2012 capital structure?**

145 A17. I compared the Company's debt ratio to the Moody's Investors Service
146 ("Moody's") benchmark total debt to total capital ratio for regulated electric and
147 gas utilities. The Company's 46.5% debt ratio falls within the 45% - 55% debt

148 ratio range for Baa-rated regulated electric and gas utilities.⁴ According to
149 Moody's, an obligor rated 'Baa' is considered medium-grade and is subject to
150 moderate credit risk.⁵ This suggests that the Company's average 2012 capital
151 structure is commensurate with a strong but not excessive degree of financial
152 strength.

153 **Cost of Short-Term Debt**

154 **Q18. Please describe the bank loan rate calculation.**

155 A18. The Company's projected short-term debt balances comprise 100% bank loans.
156 Since short-term borrowings are generally made on a 30-day basis,⁶ my bank
157 loan interest rate calculation begins with the 0.19% one-month LIBOR rate on
158 June 3, 2011.⁷ Then, I added the applicable margin to the LIBOR rate, which
159 varies according to the borrower's senior unsecured credit rating. Currently,
160 Ameren Illinois has senior unsecured credit ratings of Baa3/BBB- from Moody's
161 and Standard & Poor's ("S&P").⁸ According to the AIC credit facility, AIC is a
162 Level IV borrower and pays a short-term borrowing rate that equals LIBOR, plus

⁴ Moody's Investors Service, "Rating Methodology: Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities," August 2009.

⁵ Moody's Investors Service, "Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions," March 2007, p. 8.

⁶ Company responses to ICC Staff DR RMP-1.13R and Gas Deficiency 7 from the March 23, 2011 Deficiency Letter in Docket Nos. 11-0279/11-0282.

⁷ The Wall Street Journal Money Rates on June 3, 2011 (<http://online.wsj.com>).

⁸ Moody's Investors Service, "Rating Action: Moody's affirms ratings of Ameren Illinois Company upon reorganization," October 5, 2010; Standard & Poor's, "Ameren Illinois Co.," December 29, 2010.

163 a 2.05% margin.⁹ Thus, the Company's cost of short-term bank loans would
164 equal 2.24%, before bank commitment fees.

165 **Q19. Please explain how you calculated the bank commitment fees.**

166 A19. Ameren Corporation ("Ameren") established three credit facilities in September
167 2010 – the \$800 million Ameren Illinois credit facility (which covers AIC and
168 Ameren), the \$800 million Ameren Missouri credit facility, and the \$500 million
169 Ameren Energy Generating Company ("Genco") credit facility. I calculated one-
170 time arrangement and upfront fees for Ameren Illinois to maintain its bank lines of
171 credit as set forth in the July 29, 2010 Arrangers Fee Letters for the three credit
172 facilities that Ameren established in 2010.¹⁰

173 For the three credit facilities, combined, one-time arrangement fees equal
174 \$1,300,000 and miscellaneous fees to syndicate equal \$377,562. The maximum
175 available capacity under the Ameren Illinois credit facility is \$800 million, and the
176 aggregate capacity under the three 2010 credit facilities is \$2.1 billion. As such,
177 for the Ameren Illinois credit facility, the pro rata share of the arrangement fee
178 equals \$495,238, and the miscellaneous fee equals \$143,833. Upfront fees vary
179 from 0.25% to 0.875% of the aggregate amount of each lender's commitments
180 under the three credit facilities. It is my understanding that Section 9-230 of the
181 Public Utilities Act (the "Act") prohibits including in a utility's allowed rate of return
182 any increased cost of capital which is the direct or indirect result of the public

⁹ Company response to ICC Staff DR RMP-1.04.

¹⁰ Company responses to ICC Staff DRs RMP-1.04 and RMP-2.01.

183 utility's affiliation with unregulated or non-utility companies.¹¹ Therefore, I
184 calculated upfront fees of \$2,000,000, based on each lender's commitments
185 under the Ameren Illinois credit facility only.

186 I divided one-time costs between Ameren Illinois and Ameren according to
187 borrower sub-limits under the 2010 credit facility (*i.e.*, \$300 million for Ameren
188 and \$800 million for Ameren Illinois). Although the borrower sub-limits total \$1.1
189 billion, combined credit facility borrowings cannot exceed \$800 million. Given
190 Ameren can borrow up to \$300 million, the credit facility could at times effectively
191 reduce the Ameren Illinois sub-limit to \$500 million, or 62.5% of the \$800 million
192 credit facility. On this basis, I allocated 62.5% arrangement, miscellaneous and
193 upfront fees to Ameren Illinois. Since the arrangement, miscellaneous and
194 upfront fees to Ameren Illinois are one-time fees, I annualized the amount over
195 the three-year period for which the credit facility is effective.

196 There are two annual fees associated with the Illinois Facility: (1) the annual
197 administrative fee of \$25,000, for which AIC's share equals \$15,625; and (2) the
198 annual facility fee, which is based on AIC's unsecured credit ratings. I calculated
199 the annual facility fee for Ameren Illinois by multiplying its applicable facility fee
200 rate by \$500 million, which is the sub-limit reserved exclusively for Ameren
201 Illinois. As a Level IV borrower, Ameren Illinois' credit facility fee rate would
202 equal 0.45%. Therefore, Ameren Illinois' recoverable annual facility fee equals
203 \$2,250,000.

¹¹ 220 ILCS 5/9-230.

204 I determined annual bank commitment fees (annualized one-time fees, plus the
 205 annual fees) of \$2,815,432 for Ameren Illinois. To calculate the cost of bank
 206 commitment fees that should be added to Ameren Illinois' cost of capital, I
 207 divided total bank commitment fees by total capitalization. Thus, I recommend
 208 adding 8 basis points to Ameren Illinois' overall cost of capital for bank
 209 commitment fees. This calculation is summarized in Table 2, below.

210 Table 2: Bank Facility Fees Calculation

211

	Combined Credit Facilities	Illinois Facility	AIC Portion of Illinois Facility (62.5%)
Amount of Credit Facility	\$2,100,000,000	\$800,000,000	\$500,000,000
<u>One Time Fees:</u>			
Arrangement	\$1,300,000	\$495,238	\$309,524
Miscellaneous	\$377,562	\$143,833	\$89,896
Upfront Syndication	\$13,968,750	\$2,000,000	\$1,250,000
Total One-Time Fees			\$1,649,420
Annualized One-Time Fees for 3-year Credit Facility			\$549,807
<u>Annual Fees:</u>			
Administrative Agency Facility (based on AIC credit rating)	\$75,000	\$25,000	\$15,625
Total Annual Fees			\$2,265,625
Combined Annualized One-Time Fees and Annual Fees			\$2,815,432
AIC Total Capitalization			\$3,438,785,246
Total Weighted Bank Facility Fee			0.08%

212

Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt

213 **Q20. What is your estimate of the Company's embedded cost of long-term debt?**

214 A20. The embedded cost of long-term debt equals 7.39%, as shown on Schedule
215 7.03.

216 **Q21. Please describe your adjustments to the Company's long-term debt**
217 **schedule.**

218 A21. First, I changed various dates to conform to Ameren Illinois' 2010 Form 21
219 annual report and set the annual amortization of expense, premium or discount,
220 and loss or gain for each debt issue using a rate that recovers those debt costs in
221 equal monthly amounts between the embedded cost of debt measurement date
222 and the end of the applicable amortization period.

223 Second, I reduced the balance of the \$400 million 9.75% bonds that AmerenIP
224 issued during October 2008 to \$350 million, which recognizes that the
225 Commission's Order from the Ameren Illinois Utilities' most recent rate case,
226 Docket Nos. 09-0306 through 09-0311 (Cons.), concluded that AmerenIP issued
227 \$50 million more long-term debt than required for its utility operations during
228 October 2008.¹² In lieu of removing the disallowed \$50 million of the 9.75%
229 bonds in conjunction with removing \$58 million from AmerenIP's common equity
230 balance, as the Commission authorized in AmerenIP's 2009 rate cases, I set the
231 coupon rate for the remaining \$50 million of AmerenIP's 9.975% bonds equal to
232 AIC's embedded cost of long-term debt. This adjustment eliminates the effect

¹² Order, Docket Nos. 09-0360 et al. (Cons.), April 29, 2010, p. 143.

233 the \$50 million of disallowed 9.975% bonds would have on AIC's embedded cost
234 of debt. In contrast, removing \$50 million in 9.975% bonds from AIC's balance of
235 long-term debt would have the perverse result of a disallowance that increased
236 AIC's rate of return on rate base due to a shift in the capital structure weights
237 from lower cost debt to higher cost common equity.

238 Next, I adjusted the interest rate and the issuance expense and premium for the
239 \$150 million bonds that the Company expects to issue during October 2012. To
240 estimate the interest rate for those bonds, I added 129 basis points to the current
241 10-year Treasury bond yield of 3.11%, which equals the current spread over
242 treasuries for 10-year Baa1/BBB+ rated utility bonds.¹³

243 Finally, consistent with the Commission's Order in Docket Nos. 09-0309 through
244 09-0311 (Cons.), I adjusted the interest rate on the 8.875% bonds that
245 AmerenCILCO issued during December 2008, to reflect the Commission's
246 conclusion that the 6.24% coupon rate effectively removes the incremental cost
247 resulting from AmerenCILCO's non-utility affiliates, as required by Section 9-230
248 of the Act.¹⁴

249 **Q22. Why is adjusting the 8.875% coupon rate of AmerenCILCO's \$150 million**
250 **bonds maturing in 2013 necessary and appropriate in this case?**

251 A22. The Company claims that since Fitch Ratings lowered AmerenCILCO's credit
252 rating in May 2010 and Moody's affirmed AmerenCILCO's Baa3 rating following

¹³ Reuters Corporate Spreads for Utilities, June 14, 2011, and Federal Reserve Bulletin, Selected Interest Rates-H.15, Release date June 15, 2011. The Company's senior secured bond rating is Baa1/BBB+.

¹⁴ Order, Docket Nos. 09-0360 et al. (Cons.), April 29, 2010, pp. 150-151.

253 the transfer of AERG to another Ameren Corporation subsidiary, that one could
254 conclude AmerenCILCO's ownership of AmerenEnergy Resources Generating
255 Company ("AERG") did not adversely affect AmerenCILCO's credit ratings or
256 increase AmerenCILCO's borrowing cost.¹⁵ However, this adjustment, which the
257 Commission adopted, was based on adjusting the business risk profiles Moody's
258 and S&P assign to AmerenCILCO to remove the effect of its non-utility affiliates,
259 as required by Section 9-230 of the Act, in order to reflect the typical business
260 risk profile for transmission and distribution utilities. Under the Moody's pre-
261 August 2009 rating methodology, the business risk profile was changed from
262 "medium" (the typical business risk profile for integrated utilities) to "low" (the
263 typical business risk profile for less risky transmission and distribution utilities).
264 Similarly, under the S&P rating methodology, AmerenCILCO's S&P business risk
265 profile was changed from "Satisfactory," which S&P stated reflected
266 AmerenCILCO's non-regulated businesses, to "Strong," which was the business
267 risk profile that S&P assigned to AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP.

268 Moreover, Fitch Ratings acknowledged that the transfer of AERG to Ameren
269 Energy Resources Company lowered the business risk of CILCO and, at the
270 same time it lowered CILCO's issuer default rating to BBB- from BBB, it affirmed
271 the BBB- issuer default ratings of CIPS and IP. Fitch Ratings stated that
272 commingling all the monies of CIPS, IP and CILCO supports equalization of the
273 ratings given bondholders would share in a single pool of cash flow. Importantly,
274 Fitch Ratings explained that CILCO's downgrade reflects the Commission's April

¹⁵ Ameren Ex. 4.0, pp. 14-15.

275 2010 rate order and the consolidation of CIPS, IP and CILCO, as well as
276 management's plan to transfer AERG to an affiliate that owns other merchant
277 generation assets.¹⁶ Since several factors contributed to the downgrade of
278 CILCO's issuer default rating, it is not possible to separate the net effect of one
279 factor from other factors.

280 **Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock**

281 **Q23. Do you agree with the Company's embedded cost of preferred stock**
282 **calculation?**

283 A23. Yes. The Company's embedded cost of preferred stock equals 4.98%.

284 **Rate of Return on Rate Base**

285 **Q24. What is the rate of return on rate base you recommend for Ameren Illinois**
286 **Company?**

287 A24. I recommend an 8.63% rate of return on rate base for Ameren Illinois Company's
288 electric delivery services, which incorporates the 9.72% rate of return on
289 common equity that Staff witness Janis Freetly recommends for the Company's
290 electric operations.¹⁷ I recommend an 8.20% rate of return on rate base for
291 Ameren Illinois Company's gas delivery services, which incorporates the 8.90%

¹⁶ Fitch Ratings, "Fitch Downgrades Central Illinois Light's IDR to 'BBB-', " May 20, 2010.

¹⁷ ICC Staff Ex. 8.0, Schedule 8.09.

292 rate of return that Ms. Freetly recommends for the Company's gas operations.¹⁸

293 My rate of return recommendations are presented on Schedule 7.01.

294 **Q25. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?**

295 A25. Yes, it does.

¹⁸ ICC Staff Ex. 8.0, Schedule 8.09.

Ameren Illinois Company
 Cost of Capital Summary
 Average 2012

AIC Electric Delivery Services

Capital Component	Balance	Percent of Total Capital	Cost	Weighted Cost
Short-Term Debt	\$ 6,473,198	0.19%	2.24%	0.00%
Long-Term Debt	1,591,640,159	46.28%	7.39%	3.42%
Preferred Stock	59,161,705	1.72%	4.98%	0.09%
Common Equity	1,781,510,184	51.81%	9.72%	5.04%
Bank Facility Fees				0.08%
Total	<u>\$ 3,438,785,246</u>	<u>100.00%</u>		<u>8.63%</u>

AIC Gas Delivery Services

Capital Component	Balance	Percent of Total Capital	Cost	Weighted Cost
Short-Term Debt	\$ 6,473,198	0.19%	2.24%	0.00%
Long-Term Debt	1,591,640,159	46.28%	7.39%	3.42%
Preferred Stock	59,161,705	1.72%	4.98%	0.09%
Common Equity	1,781,510,184	51.81%	8.90%	4.61%
Bank Facility Fees				0.08%
Total	<u>\$ 3,438,785,246</u>	<u>100.00%</u>		<u>8.20%</u>

Ameren Illinois Company
 Short-Term Debt Balance
 Average 2012

End of Month Balance							
Date (A)	Gross Short-term Debt Outstanding (B)	CWIP (C)	CWIP Accruing AFUDC (D)	Net Short-term Debt Outstanding (E)	Monthly Average of Net Short-term Debt Outstanding (F)	Remaining CWIP Accruing AFUDC (G)	Monthly Average of Remaining CWIP Accruing AFUDC (H)
Dec-11	\$ 55,446,000	\$ 97,241,000	\$ 96,154,317	\$ 619,618		\$ 41,327,935	
Jan-12	-	113,225,000	77,942,699	\$ -	309,809	\$ 77,942,699	59,635,317
Feb-12	-	129,417,000	93,612,102	\$ -	-	\$ 93,612,102	85,777,401
Mar-12	-	144,512,000	109,632,907	\$ -	-	\$ 109,632,907	101,622,505
Apr-12	-	160,603,000	124,369,528	\$ -	-	\$ 124,369,528	117,001,218
May-12	-	176,578,000	140,955,117	\$ -	-	\$ 140,955,117	132,662,323
Jun-12	54,486,188	149,256,000	156,551,870	\$ -	-	\$ 102,065,682	121,510,400
Jul-12	104,503,385	160,999,000	126,545,144	\$ 22,363,770	11,181,885	\$ 44,405,529	73,235,605
Aug-12	93,753,583	173,622,000	137,869,492	\$ 19,305,881	20,834,826	\$ 63,421,790	53,913,660
Sep-12	154,000,781	184,158,000	150,136,970	\$ 28,449,838	23,877,859	\$ 24,586,027	44,003,908
Oct-12	12,937,979	189,856,000	160,141,288	\$ 2,024,947	15,237,392	\$ 149,228,256	86,907,141
Nov-12	39,753,177	189,929,000	164,969,628	\$ 5,224,133	3,624,540	\$ 130,440,584	139,834,420
Dec-12	154,110,375	148,819,000	164,007,046	\$ -	2,612,066	\$ 9,896,671	70,168,627
					<u>\$ 6,473,198</u>		<u>\$ 90,522,710</u>

Sources:
 Schedules D-2 and WPD-2

Ameren Illinois Company
Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt
Average 2012

Debt Issue Type, Coupon Rate (A)	Date Issued (B)	Maturity Date (C)	Principal Amount (D)	Face Amount Outstanding (E)	Unamortized Debt		Carrying Value (H)	Coupon Interest Expense (I)	Amortization of Debt		Annual Interest Expense (L)	
					Discount or (Premium) (F)	Expense (G)			Discount or (Premium) (J)	Expense (K)		
<u>First Mortgage Bonds</u>												
AIC												
4.400%	New Issue	10/15/12	10/15/22	31,250,000	31,250,000	244,510	262,747	30,742,743	1,375,000	1,648	1,771	1,378,419
CIPS												
6.125%	Series AA	12/15/98	12/15/28	60,000,000	60,000,000	222,310	316,122	59,461,568	3,675,000	13,473	19,159	3,707,632
6.700%	Series CC	06/14/06	06/15/36	61,500,000	61,500,000	271,008	492,192	60,736,800	4,120,500	11,292	20,508	4,152,300
CILCO												
6.200%	Senior Secured Notes	06/14/06	06/15/16	54,000,000	54,000,000	76,224	235,152	53,688,624	3,348,000	19,288	59,505	3,426,793
6.700%	Senior Secured Notes	06/14/06	06/15/36	42,000,000	42,000,000	184,981	463,104	41,351,915	2,814,000	7,708	19,296	2,841,004
6.240%	Series CC	12/09/08	12/15/13	150,000,000	150,000,000	1,350	387,918	149,610,732	9,360,000	941	270,367	9,631,308
IP												
6.250%	Senior Sec Notes	06/14/06	06/15/16	75,000,000	75,000,000	57,264	420,140	74,522,596	4,687,500	14,491	106,316	4,808,306
6.125%	Senior Sec Notes	11/20/07	11/15/17	250,000,000	250,000,000	148,915	1,353,235	248,497,850	15,312,500	27,492	249,828	15,589,820
6.250%	Senior Sec Notes	04/08/08	04/01/18	337,000,000	337,000,000	451,398	1,412,430	335,136,172	21,062,500	78,504	245,640	21,386,644
9.750%	Senior Sec Notes	10/23/08	11/15/18	350,000,000	350,000,000	3,516,369	2,206,801	344,276,831	34,125,000	548,006	343,917	35,016,923
7.390%	Senior Sec Notes	10/23/08	11/15/18	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	-	50,000,000	3,695,000	-	-	3,695,000
<u>Pollution Control Bonds</u>												
CIPS												
5.500%	Series 2000A	03/09/00	03/01/14	51,100,000	51,100,000	-	116,880	50,983,120	2,810,500	-	70,128	2,880,628
5.950%	Series C1	08/15/93	08/15/26	35,000,000	35,000,000	-	443,200	34,556,800	2,082,500	-	31,285	2,113,785
5.700%	Series C2	08/15/93	08/15/26	25,000,000	7,500,000	-	40,800	7,459,200	427,500	-	2,880	430,380
CILCO												
6.200%	PCB Series G	08/01/92	11/01/12	833,333	833,333	100	1,350	831,883	43,056	144	1,944	45,144
5.900%	PCB Series H	08/01/93	08/01/23	32,000,000	32,000,000	-	148,029	31,851,971	1,888,000	-	13,356	1,901,356
IP												
5.700%	PCB Series 1994 A	02/01/94	02/01/24	35,615,000	35,615,000	2,576,782	706,676	32,331,542	2,030,055	222,456	61,008	2,313,519
5.400%	PCB Series 1998 A	03/06/98	03/01/28	18,700,000	18,700,000	-	305,500	18,394,500	1,009,800	-	19,500	1,029,300
5.400%	PCB Series 1998 B	03/06/98	03/01/28	33,755,000	33,755,000	-	308,696	33,446,304	1,822,770	-	19,704	1,842,474
Total Mortgage and Pollution Control Bonds				\$ 1,692,753,333	\$ 1,675,253,333	\$ 7,751,210	\$ 9,620,971	\$ 1,657,881,152	\$ 115,689,181	\$ 945,442	\$ 1,556,111	\$ 118,190,734

Ameren Illinois Company
Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt
Average 2012

Debt Issue Type, Coupon Rate	Date Issued	Maturity Date	Principal Amount	Face Amount Outstanding	Unamortized Debt		Carrying Value	Coupon Interest Expense	Amortization of Debt		Annual Interest Expense	
					Discount or (Premium)	Expense			Discount or (Premium)	Expense		
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	
Net (Gain)/Loss on Recquired Debt												
<u>Central Illinois Public Service Company Legacy Recquired Debt:</u>												
7.610%	Series 97-2	9/15/10	6/1/17	-	-	-	\$ 543,095	\$ (543,095)	-	-	\$ 110,460	\$ 110,460
Variable	2004 Series	4/17/08	7/1/25	-	-	-	700,752	(700,752)	-	-	53,904	53,904
13.625%	FMB Series U	3/31/86	1/1/16	-	-	-	204,576	(204,576)	-	-	58,450	58,450
9.000%	FMB Series D	3/31/90	2/1/14	-	-	-	33,719	(33,719)	-	-	21,296	21,296
Variable	FMB Series A	3/31/90	4/1/13	-	-	-	5,843	(5,843)	-	-	7,790	7,790
9.125%	FMB Series T	5/31/92	5/1/22	-	-	-	616,210	(616,210)	-	-	62,665	62,665
8.500%	FMB Series W	12/15/98	4/1/21	-	-	-	915,317	(915,317)	-	-	104,608	104,608
6.375%	PCB Series B	1/1/93	5/1/28	-	-	-	201,605	(201,605)	-	-	12,733	12,733
6.750%	PCB Series C	6/1/93	6/1/28	-	-	-	88,856	(88,856)	-	-	5,583	5,583
5.850%	PCB Series A	8/1/93	8/1/26	-	-	-	69,491	(69,491)	-	-	4,934	4,934
6.375%	PCB Series 1993A	12/22/04	6/1/25	-	-	-	299,788	(299,788)	-	-	23,209	23,209
5.900%	PCB Series B-2	12/20/04	5/1/28	-	-	-	279,879	(279,879)	-	-	17,677	17,677
5.700%	PCB Series C-2	12/20/04	8/1/26	-	-	-	209,661	(209,661)	-	-	14,887	14,887
<u>Central Illinois Light Company Legacy Recquired Debt:</u>												
Variable	PCB Series 2004	4/17/08	10/1/39	-	-	-	\$ 322,422	\$ (322,422)	-	-	\$ 11,832	\$ 11,832
Variable	PCB Series 2004	4/17/08	10/1/26	-	-	-	91,314	(91,314)	-	-	6,408	6,408
7.730%	FMB	7/17/06	6/1/16	-	-	-	205,400	(205,400)	-	-	52,443	52,443
7.730%	FMB	7/17/06	6/1/36	-	-	-	319,614	(319,614)	-	-	13,364	13,364
9.625%	FMB	2/20/92	1/1/22	-	-	-	256,158	(256,158)	-	-	26,964	26,964
9.250%	FMB	3/2/92	1/1/22	-	-	-	247,836	(247,836)	-	-	26,088	26,088
9.250%	FMB	2/20/92	1/1/22	-	-	-	167,466	(167,466)	-	-	17,628	17,628
11.375%	PCB Series C	9/1/92	2/1/18	-	-	-	111,756	(111,756)	-	-	20,016	20,016
10.800%	PCB Series D	11/2/92	11/1/12	-	-	-	913	(913)	-	-	1,314	1,314
6.125%	PCB Series B	9/12/93	8/1/23	-	-	-	44,023	(44,023)	-	-	3,972	3,972
6.200%	PCB Series A	10/1/93	8/1/23	-	-	-	38,038	(38,038)	-	-	3,432	3,432
8.200%	FMB	4/30/03	1/1/22	-	-	-	1,327,479	(1,327,479)	-	-	139,735	139,735
7.800%	FMB	4/30/03	2/1/23	-	-	-	247,312	(247,312)	-	-	23,368	23,368
6.500%	PCB Series E	12/22/04	10/1/39	-	-	-	230,208	(230,208)	-	-	8,448	8,448
6.500%	PCB Series F	12/22/04	10/1/26	-	-	-	24,282	(24,282)	-	-	1,704	1,704

Ameren Illinois Company
Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt
Average 2012

Debt Issue Type, Coupon Rate (A)	Date Issued (B)	Maturity Date (C)	Principal Amount (D)	Face Amount Outstanding (E)	Unamortized Debt		Carrying Value (H)	Coupon Interest Expense (I)	Amortization of Debt		Annual Interest Expense (L)	
					Discount or (Premium) (F)	Expense (G)			Discount or (Premium) (J)	Expense (K)		
<u>Illinois Power Company Legacy Reacquired Debt:</u>												
Refunded by 6.25% Senior Secured Notes												
Series 1997 A,B,C	5/28/08	3/1/18	-	-	-	-	1,682,964	(1,682,964)	-	-	296,994	296,994
Series 2001 Non-AMT	5/20/08	3/1/18	-	-	-	-	1,620,915	(1,620,915)	-	-	286,044	286,044
Series 2001 AMT	5/20/08	3/1/18	-	-	-	-	656,745	(656,745)	-	-	115,896	115,896
Refunded by 5.4% PCB Series A												
6.000% PCB B due 5/2007	3/6/98	3/1/28	-	-	-	-	99,862	(99,862)	-	-	6,374	6,374
Refunded by 5.4% PCB Series B												
8.300% PCB I due 4/2017	3/6/98	3/1/28	-	-	-	-	180,258	(180,258)	-	-	11,506	11,506
Refunded by variable rate Series P,Q & R PCB due 4/2032												
7.625% PCB F,G & H due 2016	6/2/97	4/1/32	-	-	-	-	1,367,016	(1,367,016)	-	-	69,216	69,216
Refunded by 9.875% MB due 7/1/2016												
9.875% MB due 2004	7/1/86	7/1/16	-	-	-	-	54	(54)	-	-	13	13
12.625% MB due 2010	8/4/86	7/1/16	-	-	-	-	23,427	(23,427)	-	-	5,857	5,857
9.875% MB due 2016	11/25/90	7/1/16	-	-	-	-	416	(416)	-	-	104	104
9.875% MB due 2016	11/26/90	7/1/16	-	-	-	-	2,647	(2,647)	-	-	662	662
Refunded by 9.375% Series MB due 9/1/2016												
14.500% IPF Deb due 1989	9/8/86	9/1/16	-	-	-	-	34,320	(34,320)	-	-	8,237	8,237
12.000% MB due 2012	9/12/86	9/1/16	-	-	-	-	539,040	(539,040)	-	-	129,370	129,370
14.500% MB due 1990	9/12/86	9/1/16	-	-	-	-	352,240	(352,240)	-	-	84,538	84,538
Refunded by Series I PCB due 4/1/2017												
8.300% PCB E due 3/1/2015	7/29/87	4/1/17	-	-	-	-	379,392	(379,392)	-	-	79,872	79,872
12.000% MB due 11/15/2012	1/4/88	11/15/12	-	-	-	-	4,084	(4,084)	-	-	4,860	4,860
Refunded by \$200 million 7.5% NMB due 7/15/2025												
8.250% MB due 2007	8/16/93	7/1/25	-	-	-	-	264,368	(264,368)	-	-	20,336	20,336
10.000% MB due 1998	8/16/93	7/1/25	-	-	-	-	106,692	(106,692)	-	-	8,207	8,207
7.500% MB due 2025	4/1/96	7/1/25	-	-	-	-	(172,692)	172,692	-	-	(13,284)	(13,284)
Refunded by \$111,770,000 Variable PCB Series A,B & C due 11/1/2028												
10.750% PCB C due 2013	12/15/93	11/1/28	-	-	-	-	1,209,908	(1,209,908)	-	-	74,076	74,076

Ameren Illinois Company
 Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt
 Average 2012

Debt Issue Type, Coupon Rate (A)	Date Issued (B)	Maturity Date (C)	Principal Amount (D)	Face Amount Outstanding (E)	Unamortized Debt		Carrying Value (H)	Coupon Interest Expense (I)	Amortization of Debt		Annual Interest Expense (L)										
					Discount or (Premium) (F)	Expense (G)			Discount or (Premium) (J)	Expense (K)											
Refunded by \$235 million 8% NMB due 2/15/2023																					
9.375% MB due 2016	3/22/93	2/1/23		-	-	1,423,642	(1,423,642)	-	-	134,517	134,517										
8.875% MB due 2008	3/22/93	2/1/23		-	-	717,767	(717,767)	-	-	67,821	67,821										
Refunded by \$35,615,000 5.7% FMB due 2/1/2024																					
11.625% FMB due 2014	5/1/94	2/1/24		-	-	293,568	(293,568)	-	-	25,344	25,344										
Refunded by \$84,150,000 7.4% FMB due 12/1/2024																					
10.750% FMB due 2015	3/1/95	12/1/24		-	-	500,491	(500,491)	-	-	40,308	40,308										
Refunded by \$111,770,000 Variable PCB Series Non-AMT 2001 due 11/1/2028																					
Variable FMB due 2028	5/1/01	11/1/28		-	-	836,724	(836,724)	-	-	51,228	51,228										
Refunded by \$75 million Variable PCB Series due 3/1/2017																					
Variable PCB due 2017	5/1/01	3/1/17		-	-	161,448	(161,448)	-	-	34,596	34,596										
IP Capital MIPS	5/30/00	12/1/43		-	-	2,070,484	(2,070,484)	-	-	65,904	65,904										
IP Financing I TOPRS	9/30/01	1/1/45		-	-	2,188,290	(2,188,290)	-	-	67,332	67,332										
Total Net (Gain)/Loss on Reacquired Debt				\$	-	\$	-	\$	24,347,083	\$	(24,347,083)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,530,838	\$	2,530,838		
Total Long-Term Debt				\$	1,692,753,333	\$	1,675,253,333	\$	7,751,210	\$	33,968,054	\$	1,633,534,069	\$	115,689,181	\$	945,442	\$	4,086,950	\$	120,721,572
Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt											<u>7.39%</u>										

Notes: Column (H) = Columns (E) + (F) + (G)

Column (L) = Columns (I) + (J) + (K)

Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt = Column (L) ÷ (H)

Ameren Illinois Company
 Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock
 Average 2012

Stock Issue Type, Dividend Rate (A)	Date Issued (B)	Shares Outstanding (C)	Amount Outstanding (D)	Premium or (Discount) (E)	Issue Expense (F)	Net Proceeds (G)	Annual Dividends (H)
\$ 5.160 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	1-Nov-59	50,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 9,709	\$ 34,665	\$ 4,975,044	\$ 258,000
\$ 4.920 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	1-Oct-52	49,289	4,928,900	123,223	116,416	4,935,707	242,502
\$ 4.900 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	1-Nov-62	73,825	7,382,500			7,382,500	361,743
\$ 4.250 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	1-May-54	50,000	5,000,000			5,000,000	212,500
\$ 4.000 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	1-Nov-46	144,275	14,427,500		493,719	13,933,781	577,100
\$ 6.625 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	1-Oct-93	124,274	12,427,375		490,787	11,936,588	823,314
\$ 4.080 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	24-Apr-50	45,224	4,522,400	89,734		4,612,134	184,514
\$ 4.260 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	1-Nov-50	16,621	1,662,100	3,317		1,665,417	70,805
\$ 4.700 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	10-Mar-52	18,429	1,842,900			1,842,900	86,616
\$ 4.420 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	11-Feb-53	16,190	1,619,000			1,619,000	71,560
\$ 4.200 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	23-Sep-54	23,655	2,365,500			2,365,500	99,351
\$ 7.750 Series, Perpetual, \$100 Par	21-Jun-94	4,542	454,200	(4,075)		450,125	35,201
		616,324	\$ 61,632,375	\$ 221,908	\$ 1,135,587	\$ 60,718,696	\$ 3,023,205

Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock = 4.98%

Notes:

Column (G) = Columns (D) + (E) - (F)

Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock = Column (H) / Column (G)

Sources:

Company responses to ICC Staff data requests RMP 1.03, 1.14 and 2.07; and December 31, 2010 Form 21 ILCC annual report, pp. 45-46.

Ameren Illinois Company
 Common Equity Balance (in thousands)
 Average 2012

	Dec-11	Jan-12	Feb-12	Mar-12	Apr-12	May-12	Jun-12	Jul-12	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12
Common Stock	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943	306,943
Paid In Capital	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697	1,712,697
Retained Earnings	311,829	324,546	236,885	240,870	169,217	172,828	187,984	217,728	174,176	189,480	152,793	160,074	171,964
Effects of Rate Increase	-	2,344	4,688	7,032	9,376	11,720	14,063	16,407	18,751	21,095	23,439	25,783	28,127
Goodwill	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)	(411,000)
Total Common Equity	1,920,469	1,935,530	1,850,213	1,856,542	1,787,233	1,793,188	1,810,687	1,842,775	1,801,567	1,819,215	1,784,872	1,794,497	1,808,731
Monthly Averages		1,927,999	1,892,871	1,853,377	1,821,887	1,790,210	1,801,938	1,826,731	1,822,171	1,810,391	1,802,044	1,789,685	1,801,614

Average Balance = 1,828,410