MidAmerican Cross Exhibit 1

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
DATA REQUEST

Requestor:	Citizens Utility Board
Utility Company:	MidAmerican Energy Company
Docket No.:	05-0237
Date of Response:	June 9, 2005
Utility Representative:	Teresa M. Anderson

OFFICIAL FILE
I.C.C. DOCKET NO. 06-0703
MidAmerican Exhibit No. 1
Witness
Date 5/25/11 Reporter

1.05 With regard to the proposed change to 280.60, which would allow a utility to require a deposit from current residential customers under certain conditions:

f. Provide the average number of residential customer deposits that were demanded from customers under the current 24-month rule for years 2003 and 2004;

g. Based on current payment histories of the utility's residential customers, estimate the number of residential customers that would be eligible for a demand for a deposit after the effective date of the proposed change based on 2003 and 2004 data;

h. If not otherwise provided in response to (b), state the number of residential customers for each month in 2003 and 2004 who, during the prior 12 months, paid late four times if billed monthly;

i. Estimate the dollar amount of deposits from residential customers that could be demanded by utilities if the proposed change to 280.60(a) was adopted and the impact such deposit demands would have on utility revenues, the volume of residential customer disconnection, and bad debt expense.

Response:

f. MidAmerican has not separately tracked the number of instances in the past where deposits have been requested based on the current 24-month rule as opposed to when they have been requested at time of service application. MidAmerican was holding 443 deposits at the end of 2003 for Illinois customers and 517 deposits at the end of 2004.

g. In reviewing the past 12 months of payment history for current Illinois customers, MidAmerican estimates that it could request deposits from approximately 3,500 customers after the effective date of the proposed

rule change. This number represents about 4 percent of MidAmerican's Illinois residential customers.

h. MidAmerican was not able to gather the information by month during 2003 and 2004. MidAmerican was only able to review late payments from current customers during the past 12 months. See the answer to g. for that result.

i. In reviewing the deposit information for those deposits currently being held for Illinois residential customers and basing an average deposit amount on that information, MidAmerican estimates that it could ask for an additional $805,000 in security deposits under the proposed changes to 280.60(a). These dollars would not impact utility revenue until they are billed to the customer. The number of residential customer disconnections could be increased from the number of current service disconnects if the deposits are not paid by the customer. Security deposits, if paid, would help reduce bad debt expense only if the customer happens to leave MidAmerican's service territory with an outstanding final bill.