

ICC Docket No. 10-0467

**Commonwealth Edison Company's Response To
Administrative Law Judges (ALJs') Post Record Data Requests
ALJ Post Record 1 - 5
Date Ordered By ALJ: April 8, 2011
Date Served: April 14, 2011**

REQUEST ALJ POST RECORD 4:

Commonwealth Edison Company shall provide a set of schedules showing the rates, by rate classification, that would result, if the conclusions in the ALJPO regarding revenues, operating expenses, rate base, rate of return, cost of service and rate design were adopted.

RESPONSE:

The requested charges, by delivery class, are provided in ALJ Post Record 4_Attach 1. The revised alternative exemplar embedded cost of service study (Revised ECOSS) used to develop the aforementioned charges is provided in ALJ Post Record 4_Attach 2. The work paper supporting the Revised ECOSS is provided in ALJ Post Record 4_Attach 3 (CONFIDENTIAL) or ALJ Post Record 4_Attach 3 (PUBLIC).

Please note that the attachments reflect the Administrative Law Judges' Proposed Order (ALJPO) directives regarding (a) using the alternative rate design structure provided in ComEd Ex. 73.3 (ALJPO at 241), (b) limiting the movement to cost-based rates for the Railroad Delivery Class to 10% (ALJPO at 245), (c) limiting the movement to cost-based rates for the Extra Large Load Delivery Class and the High Voltage Delivery Class to 33% (ALJPO at 249), and (d) allowing ComEd to recover the allowed base rate revenue requirement of \$2,109,616,000 (ALJPO at 250 and Appendix A). However, ComEd also understands the ALJPO to conclude at page 250 that the amount of subsidy borne by the Small Load, Medium Load, Large Load, and Very Large Load delivery classes should be limited to 101.5% of EPEC. ComEd could not incorporate this directive because the limitation to 101.5% of EPEC reflected Staff's corresponding increased movement toward cost-based rates for the delivery classes currently receiving subsidies and is not attainable unless there is corresponding increased movement toward cost-based rates above 10% for the Railroad Delivery Class and above 33% for the Extra Large Load Delivery Class and High Voltage Delivery Class. As shown in ALJ Post Record 4_Attach 1 at page 2, the Small Load, Medium Load, Large Load, and Very Large Load delivery classes must be at 102.0% of EPEC in order for the four previously listed directives to be implemented into ComEd's rate design.

In summary, the charges listed in ALJ Post Record 4_Attach 1 provide for changes and revenue responsibilities by delivery class as shown in the following table.

Summary Statistics: Alternative Exemplar Rate Design Pursuant to ALJPO Docket No. 10-0467					
Delivery Class	Revenue Responsibility		Unit Recovery	EPEC	Change
	\$	%	¢/kWh	%	%
Single Family WO/Electric Heat	\$896,236,657	42.48	4.20	100.0	15.1
Multi Family WO/Electric Heat	\$232,817,261	11.04	5.21	100.0	7.9
Single Family W/Electric Heat	\$19,048,376	0.90	2.37	100.0	(6.7)
Multi Family W/Electric Heat	\$45,171,192	2.14	2.77	100.0	(8.9)
Watt-Hour	\$25,728,884	1.22	4.74	100.0	22.8
Small Load	\$272,165,858	12.90	2.34	102.0	17.6
Medium Load	\$165,788,172	7.86	1.56	102.0	(3.1)
Large Load	\$141,790,922	6.72	1.46	102.0	(2.0)
Very Large Load	\$242,125,944	11.48	1.34	102.0	2.3
Extra Large Load	\$31,312,053	1.48	0.81	71.5	22.7
High Voltage	\$13,811,473	0.65	0.28	84.9	3.7
Railroad	\$4,488,291	0.21	0.89	84.0	2.3
Fixture-Included Lighting	\$14,527,989	0.69	9.53	100.0	(26.6)
Dusk to Dawn Lighting	\$3,744,374	0.18	0.69	100.0	(51.4)
General Lighting	\$858,554	0.04	1.30	100.0	21.5
Overall Company	\$2,109,616,000		2.37		8.7

The Revised ECOSS is an update to ComEd Ex. 75.3 and incorporates the following pursuant to directives from the ALJPO:

- Four (4) residential classes
- Allocation factor of the AMI Pilot Program Costs for the Railroad Delivery Class set to zero (0)
- Railroad facilities cost allocation credit set at \$678,104
- City of Chicago Dusk to Dawn Lighting cost set at \$224,884 as described in ComEd's response to Data Request ALJ Post Record 3
- Costs, other than those related to the Illinois Electricity Distribution Tax, proportionally adjusted in order for the total cost to serve to be equal the ALJPO's base rate revenue amount of \$2,109,616,000; this adjustment reflects the method proposed by Staff witness Mr. Lazare (Staff Ex. 26.0, 25:585-26:596) for adjusting charges to conform to the approved revenue requirement