

ICC Docket No. 10-0467

**Commonwealth Edison Company's Response to  
People of the State of Illinois ("AG") Data Requests  
AG 5.01 – 5.12**

Date Received: September 7, 2010

Date Served: September 24, 2010

REQUEST NO. AG 5.10:

**Ref: ComEd Ex. 7.0 (Subbakrishna Lead Lag "Experience").** In his "Appendix Curriculum Vitae of Nagendra Subbachrishna" a listing of 10 lead/lag studies are said to have been "Prepared (or provided assistance toward the preparation of) lead/lag studies for:" Please provide the following information for each of these listed lead/lag studies:

- A summary of the summary schedule that compiled the lead/lag study's results and quantified the asserted cash working capital requirement.
- Identify each of the listed studies where the study and related testimony was sponsored by Mr. Subbakrishna.
- Provide an electronic copy of the testimony supporting the listed lead/lag study.
- Identify and describe the methodology used to quantify the revenue collection lag in each of the listed studies, indicating each instance where receivables aging data was used, as referenced at lines 196 to 200 of ComEd Ex. 7.0 (if any).

RESPONSE:

A summary of the response to the requested information is provided in the Table below and in the accompanying attachments referenced in the Table.

Name of Company	Docket Numbers	Summary Schedule Reference	Did Mr. Subbakrishna sponsor the study and/or testimony?	Electronic Copy of Testimony	Methodology used to quantify Collection Lags
Central Illinois Lighting Company, Illinois	06-0070 et.al	See AG 5.10_ Attach 1	No. Mr. Subbakrishna performed the Study but did not sponsor testimony.	N/A. Mr. Subbakrishna did not sponsor testimony in this proceeding.	The Company, at the time, had maintained a report which showed daily receivable balances and daily sales. Thus, a standard Days Sales Outstanding (or DSO) was performed.

**OFFICIAL FILE**ICC DOCKET NO. 10-0467Amended Exhibit No. 10

Witness \_\_\_\_\_

Date 11/3/11 Reporter \_\_\_\_\_

Central Illinois Public Service and Union Electric Company, Illinois	03-0008 and 03-0009 <b>Consolidated</b>	See AG 5.10_Attach 2, Schedule B	Yes.	See AG 5.10_Attach 9a, 9b1, 9b2, and 9c	The Company, at the time, had maintained a report which showed daily receivable balances and daily sales. Thus, a standard Days Sales Outstanding (or DSO) was performed.
Hydro One Distribution, Ontario, Canada	EB-2009-0096	See AG 5.10_Attach 3	No. Mr. Subbakrishna performed the Study and prepared a Report for the Company. The Report was accepted as filed.	N/A. No testimony was filed.	Identical to that used in this instant proceeding without the exceptions listed in response to Part c. of the response to AG 3.27
Hydro One Transmission, Ontario, Canada	EB-2006-0501	See AG 5.10_Attach 4	No. Mr. Subbakrishna performed the Study and prepared a report for the Company. The Report was accepted as filed.	N/A. No testimony was filed.	Identical to that used in this instant proceeding without the exceptions listed in response to Part c. of the response to AG 3.27
Illinois Power, Illinois	04-0476	See AG 5.10_Attach 5	No. Mr. Subbakrishna performed the Study but did not sponsor testimony.	N/A. Mr. Subbakrishna did not sponsor testimony in this proceeding.	The Company, at the time, had maintained a report which showed daily receivable balances and daily sales. Thus, a standard Days Sales Outstanding (or DSO) analysis was performed to determine the collections lag.

Missouri Gas Energy, Missouri	GR-2006-0422	See AG 5.10_Attach 6	No. Mr. Subbakrishna performed the Study but did not sponsor testimony.	N/A. Mr. Subbakrishna did not sponsor testimony in this proceeding.	Identical to that used in this instant proceeding without the exceptions listed in response to Part c. of the response to AG 3.27
Peoples Gas Light Coke and the North Shore Gas Company, Illinois	07-0241 and 07-0242	See Schedule B-8 in AG 5.10_Attach 7a and 7b for the summary exhibit. See AG 5.10_Attach 7c (p. 7 of 36) and 7d (p. 7 of 99) for work-papers on how collections lag was calculated	No. Mr. Subbakrishna performed the Study but did not sponsor testimony.	N/A. Mr. Subbakrishna did not sponsor testimony in this proceeding.	Identical to that used in this instant proceeding without the exceptions listed in response to Part c. of the response to AG 3.27
Toronto Hydro Electric System Limited, Ontario, Canada	EB-2007-0680	See AG 5.10_Attach 8	No. Mr. Subbakrishna performed the Study and prepared a report for the Company. The report was accepted as filed.	N/A. No testimony was filed.	Identical to that used in this instant proceeding without, in part, the exceptions listed in response to Part c. of the response to AG 3.27