Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The recorded fair values of derivatives at December 31, 2008 were as follows:

(In millions)	Power Contracts Sales	Power Contracts Purchases	Gas Contracts -Kern River	Coal Purchase Contracts - PRB	Interest Rate Swaps	FTRs	Gross Derivatives	Netting	Net Derivatives	FTR Obligation (a)	Collateral	Balance Sheet Derivatives
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:												
Derivative assets:												
Current	$ 73.8	$ 0.3	$ —	$ —	$ —	$ —	$ 74.1	$ 22.6	$ 96.7	$ —	$ —	$ 96.7
Total derivative assets	73.8	0.3	—	—	—	—	74.1	22.6	96.7	—	—	96.7
Derivative liabilities:												
Current	—	(21.6)	—	—	—	—	(21.6)	13.4	(8.2)	—	—	(8.2)
Long-term	—	(3.4)	—	—	—	—	(3.4)	(0.5)	(3.9)	—	—	(3.9)
Total derivative liabilities	—	(25.0)	—	—	—	—	(25.0)	12.9	(12.1)	—	—	(12.1)
Total designated	73.8	(24.7)	—	—	—	—	49.1	35.5	84.6	—	—	84.6
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:												
Derivative assets:												
Current	53.4	0.2	18.0	15.5	—	189.8	276.9	(70.7)	206.2	(189.8)	—	16.4
Long-term	—	—	10.6	—	—	—	10.6	(0.8)	9.8	—	—	9.8
Total derivative assets	53.4	0.2	28.6	15.5	—	189.8	287.5	(71.5)	216.0	(189.8)	—	26.2
Derivative liabilities:												
Current	(2.3)	(40.5)	—	—	(6.1)	—	(48.9)	34.7	(14.2)	—	0.2	(14.0)
Long-term	—	(1.3)	—	—	(8.0)	—	(9.3)	1.3	(8.0)	—	—	(8.0)
Total derivative liabilities	(2.3)	(41.8)	—	—	(14.1)	—	(58.2)	36.0	(22.2)	—	0.2	(22.0)
Total not designated	51.1	(41.6)	28.6	15.5	(14.1)	189.8	229.3	(35.5)	193.8	(189.8)	0.2	4.2
Total derivatives	$124.9	$ (66.3)	$ 28.6	$ 15.5	$ (14.1)	$189.8	$ 278.4	$ —	$ 278.4	$ (189.8)	$ 0.2	$ 88.8

(a) The FTR obligation at December 31, 2008 was $300.6 million and was payable to PJM in monthly installments through the PJM planning year ending May 31, 2009. Of this obligation, $189.8 million was netted against the FTR derivative asset balance and the remaining $110.8 million was included in non-derivative current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

The following table provides details on the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income ("OCI") relating to derivative assets and liabilities that qualified for cash flow hedge accounting.

(In millions)	2009	2008	2007
Accumulated OCI derivative gain (loss) at January 1 (before tax effect of $17.8 million, $(2.7) million and $0.2 million, respectively)	$ 45.8	$ (7.0)	$ 0.4
Effective portion of changes in fair value (before tax effect of $13.3 million, $14.7 million and $(0.5) million, respectively)	34.4	37.8	(1.3)
Reclassifications from accumulated OCI derivative loss to earnings (before tax effect of $(41.8) million, $5.8 million and $(2.4) million, respectively)	(107.8)	15.0	(6.1)
Accumulated OCI derivative gain (loss) at December 31 (before tax effect of $(10.7) million, $17.8 million and $(2.7) million, respectively)	$ (27.6)	$45.8	$(7.0)

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Derivative losses included in accumulated OCI in the amount of $16.6 million, before tax, are expected to be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve months.

The following table shows the location and amounts of gains (losses) on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:

(In millions)	2009	2008	2007
Gain (loss) recognized in OCI (effective portion)	$ 34.4	$ 37.8	$(1.3)
Gains (losses) reclassified from accumulated OCI into operating revenues (effective portion)	$107.8	$(15.0)	$ 6.1
Gain or (loss) recognized in operating revenues (ineffective portion)	$ 1.1	$ 3.2	$(0.4)

Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments not designated or qualifying as cash flow hedge instruments were as follows:

(In millions)	2009	2008	2007
Recorded in operating revenues:			
Interest rate swaps	$ 6.0	$ 5.1	$ 4.7
Mark-to-market power contracts	(12.1)	10.5	(1.2)
Gas contracts—Kern River	3.5	28.5	—
FTRs	33.2	(36.8)	
Recorded in fuel expense:			
Coal purchase contracts—PRB	(8.2)	8.2	—
Recorded in regulatory liabilities (assets):			
FTRs	15.9	(17.8)	—
Coal purchase contracts—PRB	(7.2)	7.2	—
Total	$ 31.1	$ 4.9	$ 3.5

Credit Related Contingent Features

Certain of Allegheny's derivative contracts contain collateral posting requirements tied to its credit ratings that would require posting of additional collateral in the event of a credit rating downgrade. The aggregate fair value of these derivative contracts that were in a liability position, disregarding any contractual netting arrangements, at December 31, 2009 was $21.4 million, for which Allegheny had posted collateral of $5.2 million. A one level downgrade in AE Supply's senior unsecured credit rating at December 31, 2009 would have required the posting of $12.1 million of collateral, in addition to the $5.2 million of posted collateral, for such derivative contracts in a liability position. A downgrade in AE Supply's senior unsecured credit rating at December 31, 2009 to below Standard & Poor's BB- or Moody's Ba3 would have required the posting of $15.5 million of collateral, in addition to the $5.2 million of posted collateral, for such derivative contracts in a liability position.

The aggregate fair value of these derivative contracts that were in a liability position, disregarding any contractual netting arrangements, at December 31, 2008 was $46.9 million, for which Allegheny had posted collateral of $17.2 million. A one level downgrade in AE Supply's senior unsecured credit rating at December 31, 2008 would have required the posting of $15.6 million of collateral, in addition to the $17.2 million of posted collateral, for such derivative contracts in a liability position. A downgrade in AE Supply's

Table of Contents

senior unsecured credit rating at December 31, 2008 to below Standard & Poor's BB- or Moody's Ba3 would have required the posting of $21.3 million of collateral, in addition to the $17.2 million of posted collateral, for such derivative contracts in a liability position.

Credit Exposure

Allegheny and its subsidiaries have credit exposure to energy trading counterparties. The majority of these exposures are the fair value of multi-year contracts for energy sales and purchases. If these counterparties fail to perform their obligations under such contracts, Allegheny and its subsidiaries would experience lower revenues or higher costs to the extent that replacement sales or purchases could not be made at the same prices as those under the defaulted contracts.

Allegheny's wholesale credit risk is the replacement cost for outstanding contracts and amounts owed to or due from counterparties for completed transactions. The replacement cost of open positions represents unrealized gains, net of any unrealized losses in circumstances in which Allegheny has a legally enforceable right of setoff. Allegheny and its subsidiaries have credit policies to manage credit risk, including the use of an established credit approval process, daily monitoring of counterparty positions and the use of master netting agreements. These agreements include credit mitigation provisions, such as margin, prepayment or other form of collateral acceptable to the counterparty. Allegheny may request additional credit assurance in the event that a counterparty's credit ratings fall below investment grade or its exposures exceed an established credit limit.

NOTE 14: PURCHASE OF HYDROELECTRIC GENERATION FACILITIES

In December 2009, Allegheny purchased two hydroelectric generation facilities located at Allegheny Lock and Dam 5 and 6 in Freeport, PA with a nominal maximum generation capacity of 13 MW. This purchase effectively settled existing power purchase agreements under which Allegheny purchased the power generated by these facilities through 2034. Accordingly, at the transaction closing date, Allegheny recorded a credit to purchased power expense in the amount of $10.6 million, representing the fair value of the power agreements at that date. The purchase of the facilities was accounted for as a business combination for which the total consideration was $12.6 million consisting of a cash payment of approximately $2.0 million plus the fair value of the power purchase agreements. The fair value of the net assets acquired exceeded the total consideration paid by $6.7 million, representing a bargain purchase that was credited to operations and maintenance expense.

NOTE 15: DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Under the terms of the AE Revolving Credit Facility, AE may pay quarterly cash dividends in an amount not to exceed 6.25% or 10% of Allegheny's net income for the four quarters ended with the quarter immediately preceding the quarter in which the dividend is paid, depending on Allegheny's leverage ratio on the last day of the preceding quarter. Under this restriction, AE's dividends during the first quarter 2010 may not exceed approximately $39.0 million. Additionally, under terms of its proposed merger with FirstEnergy, AE is prohibited from increasing its quarterly cash dividend.

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

NOTE 16: JOINTLY OWNED BATH COUNTY GENERATION FACILITY

AGC's sole asset is a 40% undivided interest in the Bath County, Virginia pumped-storage hydroelectric generation facility and its connecting transmission facilities. All of AGC's revenues are derived from sales of its 1,109 MW share of generation capacity from the Bath County generation facility to AE Supply and Monongahela. AGC records a prorated share of all expenditures related to its interest in the Bath County generation facility. AGC is consolidated by Allegheny through its subsidiary, AE Supply. AGC's investment and accumulated depreciation in its 40% interest in the Bath County generation facility, at December 31 were as follows:

(In millions)	2009	2008
Property, plant and equipment	$833.3	$830.0
Accumulated depreciation	$346.4	$329.3

NOTE 17: FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amounts of accounts receivable and accounts payable are representative of fair value because of their short-term nature. The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of long-term debt, including long-term debt due within one year, at December 31 were as follows:

	2009		2008	
(In millions)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Long-term debt	$4,557.8	$4,729.1	$4,209.8	$3,951.7

The fair value of long-term debt was estimated based on actual market prices or market prices of similar debt issues.

Allegheny also has certain assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value relating to pension plan assets and derivative instruments. See Note 11, "Pension Benefits and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions" and Note 13, "Fair Value Measurements, Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," for additional information.

NOTE 18: GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Allegheny's recorded goodwill was $367.3 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008. There were no changes in recorded goodwill during 2009, 2008 or 2007. The recorded goodwill is attributable to the unregulated generation operations of AE Supply, a reporting unit that substantially comprised Allegheny's Merchant Generation segment at December 31, 2009.

Allegheny tests goodwill for possible impairment on an annual basis as of August 31 of each year and at any other time if an event occurs or circumstances change that would indicate that the fair value of the reporting unit is likely to have decreased below its carrying amount.

The first step of the goodwill impairment test consists of comparing the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value, including the goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, the reporting unit's goodwill is not considered to be impaired, and a second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If required, the second step of the test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill, determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination, to the carrying amount of such goodwill.

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Allegheny performed its annual goodwill impairment test as of August 31, 2009, and management concluded that the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeded its carrying value and, therefore, no impairment existed at that date. The fair value was estimated using both a discounted cash flow income approach and a market based approach. Both approaches involve management judgment, and estimated values are subject to change in relation to evolving market conditions and the business environment.

Intangible assets included in "Property, plant and equipment, net" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows:

	December 31, 2009		December 31, 2008	
(In millions)	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Land easements, amortized	$ 108.6	$ 32.1	$ 101.3	$ 30.8
Land easements, unamortized	32.3	—	32.2	—
Software	70.3	31.1	74.9	23.2
Total	$ 211.2	$ 63.2	$ 208.4	$ 54.0

Amortization expense for intangible assets was $12.6 million in 2009, $12.2 million in 2008 and $14.9 million in 2007.

Future amortization expense for intangible assets at December 31, 2009 is estimated as follows:

(In millions)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual amortization expense	$12.1	$11.6	$10.5	$8.9	$2.6

NOTE 19: ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS ("ARO")

Allegheny has AROs primarily related to ash landfills, underground and aboveground storage tanks, asbestos contained in its generation facilities, wastewater treatment lagoons and transformers containing polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs").

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending ARO liability for 2009 and 2008:

(In millions)	2009	2008
Balance at January 1	$48.9	$ 61.0
Accretion of ARO liability	4.8	6.1
Liabilities incurred in the current period:		
Ash landfill	3.5	—
Revisions in estimated cash flows:		
Ash landfills	—	(13.9)
Wastewater treatment lagoons	—	(2.4)
Asbestos	—	(1.2)
Liabilities settled:		
Ash disposal site	(0.1)	(0.1)
Asbestos removal	(1.8)	(0.5)
Other	—	(0.1)
Liability associated with assets held for sale	(0.1)	—
Balance at December 31	$55.2	$ 48.9

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Allegheny believes it is probable that it will recover the ARO costs incurred by its regulated companies in rates. Therefore, it records costs currently incurred for AROs as a reduction to the recorded regulatory liability or it establishes a regulatory asset depending on the rate recovery mechanism of the specific jurisdiction. See Note 6, "Regulatory Assets and Liabilities" for a discussion of the regulatory assets and liabilities associated with asset retirement and removal costs.

NOTE 20: ADVERSE POWER PURCHASE COMMITMENT LIABILITY

In May 1998, the Pennsylvania PUC issued an order approving a transition plan for West Penn. This order was amended by a settlement agreement approved by the Pennsylvania PUC in November 1998. West Penn recorded an extraordinary charge in 1998 to reflect the disallowances of certain costs in the order. This charge included an estimated amount for an adverse power purchase commitment reflecting the commitment to purchase power at above-market prices. The adverse power purchase commitment liability is being amortized over the life of the commitment based on a schedule of estimated electricity purchases used to determine the amount of the charge.

As of December 31, 2009, Allegheny's reserve for adverse power purchase commitments was $132.3 million, including a current liability of $17.9 million. Allegheny's liability for adverse power purchase commitments decreased as follows:

(In millions)	2009	2008	2007
Amortization of liability for adverse power purchase commitments	$17.5	$17.1	$17.3

These decreases in the reserve for adverse power purchase commitments are recorded as expense reductions in "Purchased power and transmission" on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

NOTE 21: OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET

Other income (expense), net, consisted of the following:

(In millions)	2009	2008	2007
Interest and dividend income	$1.8	$ 7.3	$15.2
Equity component of AFUDC	5.0	3.7	2.7
Cash received from a former trading executive's forfeited assets	—	1.6	—
Income from equity investment	—	1.3	—
Other	0.2	8.4	18.9
Total other income (expense), net	$7.0	$22.3	$36.8

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

NOTE 22: GUARANTEES AND LETTERS OF CREDIT

In connection with certain sales, acquisitions and financings, and in the normal course of business, AE and its subsidiaries enter into various agreements that may include guarantees or require the issuance of letters of credit. AE has a $376 million revolving credit facility, any unutilized portion of which is available to AE for the issuance of letters of credit. Additionally, subject to certain conditions, AE Supply is permitted to request letters of credit of up to $50 million in the aggregate, and AE is permitted to request, on behalf of AE Supply and its subsidiaries, letters of credit of up to $125 million in the aggregate, under AE's revolving credit facility.

	December 31, 2009		December 31, 2008	
(In millions)	Amounts Recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Total Guarantees and Letters of Credit	Amounts Recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Total Guarantees and Letters of Credit
Guarantees:				
Purchase, sale, exchange or transportation of wholesale natural gas, electric power and related services (a)	$ —	$ 95.2	$ —	$ 69.7
Loans and other financing-related matters	—	6.4	—	6.8
Lease agreement (b)	—	5.0	—	5.0
Other	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total Guarantees	$ 0.2	$ 106.8	$ 0.2	$ 81.7
Letters of Credit:				
Under AE's Revolving Facility (c)	$ —	$ 3.2	$ —	$ 3.3
Other (d)	—	—	—	3.0
Total Letters of Credit	$ —	$ 3.2	$ —	$ 6.3
Total Guarantees and Letters of Credit	$ 0.2	$ 110.0	$ 0.2	$ 88.0

(a) Includes AE guarantees on behalf of its subsidiaries of $95.2 million and $69.7 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
(b) Amounts represent AE guarantees on behalf of its subsidiaries.
(c) These amounts were comprised of a letter of credit issued in connection with a contractual obligation of Allegheny Ventures that will expire in July 2010.
(d) These amounts were not issued under either AE's credit facility or AE Supply's credit facility.

NOTE 23: VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

GAAP requires the primary beneficiary of a Variable Interest Entity ("VIE") to consolidate the entity and also requires majority and significant variable interest investors to provide certain disclosures. A VIE is an entity in which the equity investors do not have a controlling interest or in which the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance the entity's activities without receiving financial support from the other parties.

Independent Power Producer ("IPP") contracts. Potomac Edison and West Penn each have a long-term electricity purchase contract with unrelated independent power producers ("IPP"). Allegheny periodically requests from these IPPs the information necessary to determine whether they are VIEs and whether Allegheny is the primary beneficiary. Allegheny has been unable to obtain the requested information, which was determined by the IPPs to be proprietary.

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Potomac Edison and West Penn purchased power from these two IPPs in the amount of $96.4 million and $42.5 million, respectively, in 2009, $113.3 million and $40.8 million, respectively, in 2008, $104.6 million and $52.5 million, respectively, in 2007. Neither Potomac Edison nor West Penn is subject to any risk of loss associated with the applicable potential VIE, because neither VIE has any obligation to the applicable IPP other than to purchase the power that the IPP produces according to the terms of the applicable electricity purchase contract.

APS Constellation, LLC ("APS Constellation"). Allegheny Ventures, Inc., a non-utility subsidiary of AE, formed a partnership in 1995 with an unregulated business of Constellation Energy in a joint venture energy services company named APS Constellation. The business purpose of APS Constellation is the marketing, development, and implementation of energy conservation projects. APS Constellation, working under an Engineer/Procure/Construct agreement as a subcontractor for Potomac Edison, completed multiple energy conservation projects for Potomac Edison's government customers at Ft. Detrick, Maryland. The projects resulted in performance payments and other fees remitted to APS Constellation. APS Constellation securitized the future revenue streams from the projects through several financings and made a partnership distribution of the proceeds. Some of the project financings required Potomac Edison to provide ongoing guarantees.

In 2005, the joint venture operating agreement was amended to limit Allegheny's obligations and participation in APS Constellation.

The accounts of APS Constellation are not included in Allegheny's Consolidated Financial Statements because Allegheny does not expect to absorb a majority of the expected losses and/or residual returns based on an analysis of the services being provided under the joint venture operating agreement.

At December 31, 2009, Allegheny's maximum exposure to loss related to APS Constellation consisted of a $0.7 million equity investment in APS Constellation, a letter of credit guarantee of $3.2 million and recourse guarantees of $6.4 million. At December 31, 2008, Allegheny's maximum exposure to loss related to APS Constellation consisted of a $0.7 million equity investment in APS Constellation, a letter of credit guarantee of $3.3 million and recourse guarantees of $6.8 million. These guarantees are not recorded on Allegheny's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

PATH, LLC . As discussed at Note 5, "Transmission Expansion," in September 2007, Allegheny and AEP formed PATH, LLC to construct and operate PATH. The accounts of PATH, LLC and its operating subsidiaries, including PATH-WV (the jointly owned series of PATH, LLC) are included in Allegheny's consolidated financial statements for the years ended 2009, 2008, and 2007.

Although Allegheny has not yet completed its analysis regarding the application of a new accounting pronouncement related to variable interest entities, Allegheny expects to deconsolidate PATH-WV from its financial statements effective January 1, 2010. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, Allegheny's Balance Sheet primarily reflected property, plant and equipment associated with the construction of PATH-WV of approximately $35.8 million and $7.4 million, respectively, cash and cash equivalents of $3.4 million and $3.6 million, respectively, and noncontrolling interest related to AEP's ownership of approximately $14.9 million and $4.9 million, respectively. For the years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007, PATH-WV had total revenues of $10.8 million, $6.4 million and $0, respectively, total operating income of $4.4 million, $1.6 million and $0, respectively, net income of $2.6 million, $0.9 million and $0, respectively, and net income attributable to AEP's noncontrolling interest of $1.3 million, $0.4 million and $0, respectively. The possible deconsolidation of PATH-WV is not expected to impact net income attributable to Allegheny Energy, Inc.

Table of Contents

Energy Insurance Services, Inc. Allegheny has entered into an insurance arrangement with Energy Insurance Services, Inc. ("EIS") whereby EIS writes policies for Allegheny in a segregated cell, referred to as Mutual Business Program No. 2 (the "Program"). The Program is governed by a Participation Agreement that limits claims paid on policies that are not reinsured to premium payments made by Allegheny, contributions to surplus and any investment returns on those premiums less expenses. The accounts of EIS are included in Allegheny's Consolidated Financial Statements because Allegheny is the sole beneficiary of the Program. At December 31, 2009, total assets were $18.5 million, consisting primarily of investments, and total liabilities were $13.7 million, consisting primarily of claim reserves. At December 31, 2009, Allegheny's maximum exposure to loss related to EIS consisted of a $4.8 million equity investment in EIS recorded on its Consolidated Balance Sheet. At December 31, 2008, total assets were $15.3 million, consisting primarily of investments, and total liabilities were $12.1 million, consisting primarily of claim reserves. At December 31, 2008, Allegheny's maximum exposure to loss related to EIS consisted of a $3.2 million equity investment in EIS recorded on its Consolidated Balance Sheet.

NOTE 24: ACQUISITION OF NONCONTROLLING INTEREST IN AE SUPPLY

On January 25, 2008, Allegheny and Merrill Lynch entered into a settlement agreement that resolved litigation between the two parties related to a dispute regarding Allegheny's purchase of Merrill Lynch's energy marketing and trading business in 2001. As a result of this settlement, Allegheny reversed its previously recorded accrued interest liability of $54.7 million through a credit to interest expense during the fourth quarter of 2007.

On March 31, 2008, in accordance with the settlement agreement, Allegheny made a cash payment to Merrill Lynch in the amount of $50 million, and Merrill Lynch conveyed to Allegheny its approximately 1.5% equity interest in AE Supply. Allegheny recorded the acquisition of Merrill Lynch's noncontrolling interest in AE Supply using the purchase method of accounting. Under the purchase method of accounting, the purchase price was allocated to individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the fair values of such assets and liabilities. The purchase accounting adjustments will be amortized against income over the estimated lives of the individual assets and liabilities, ranging from 3 years to 30 years. No goodwill was recorded. The effects of the purchase accounting adjustments are not expected to materially impact Allegheny's financial results for any period. Allegheny ceased recording expense relating to the noncontrolling interest in AE Supply as of January 1, 2008.

NOTE 25: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Allegheny is involved in a number of significant legal proceedings. In certain cases, plaintiffs seek to recover large and sometimes unspecified damages, and some matters may be unresolved for several years. Allegheny cannot currently determine the outcome of the proceedings described below or the ultimate amount of potential losses. Management provides for estimated losses to the extent that information becomes available indicating that losses are probable and that the amounts are reasonably estimable. Additional losses may have an adverse effect on Allegheny's results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Environmental Matters and Litigation

The operations of Allegheny's owned facilities, including its generation facilities, are subject to various federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations as to air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters, some of which may be uncertain. Compliance may require Allegheny to incur substantial additional costs to modify or replace existing and proposed equipment and facilities.

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Global Climate Change . The United States relies on coal-fired power plants for more than 48% of its energy. However, coal-fired power plants have come under scrutiny due to their emission of gases implicated in climate change, primarily carbon dioxide, or "CO_2."

Allegheny produces approximately 95% of its electricity at coal-fired facilities and currently produces approximately 45 million tons of CO_2 annually through its energy production. While there are many unknowns concerning the final regulation of greenhouse gases in the United States, federal and/or state legislation and implementing regulations addressing climate change, including limits on emissions of CO_2, likely will be adopted some time in the future. Thus, CO_2 legislation and regulation, if not reasonably designed, could have a significant impact on Allegheny's operations. On June 26, 2009, the U.S. House of Representatives passed the American Clean Energy and Security Act. The U.S. Senate released its draft of the bill, the Clean Energy Jobs and American Power Act, on September 30, 2009. Additionally, on December 7, 2009, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") announced its Greenhouse Gas Endangerment Finding, stating that greenhouse gas emissions from cars and light trucks, when mixed in the atmosphere, endanger public health. The finding provides the EPA with a basis on which to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from vehicle tailpipes under the provisions of the Clean Air Act of 1970 (the "Clean Air Act"). Once a pollutant is regulated under the Clean Air Act for one source category, the EPA has authority to apply similar regulations to other source categories, and the EPA has announced its intention to do so. Hence, with the Endangerment Finding finalized, the EPA will have the authority to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from stationary sources such as electric generating units. Allegheny can provide no assurance that limits on CO_2 emissions, if imposed by legislation or otherwise, will be set at levels that can accommodate its generation facilities absent the installation of controls.

Moreover, there is a gap between desired reduction levels in the current proposed legislation and the current capabilities of technology; no current commercial-scale technology exists to enable many of the reduction levels in national, regional and state proposals. Such technology may not become available within a timeframe consistent with the implementation of any future climate control legislation or at all. To the extent that such technology does become available, Allegheny can provide no assurance that it will be suitable for installation at Allegheny's generation facilities on a cost effective basis or at all. Based on estimates from a 2007 U.S. Department of Energy National Electric Technology Laboratory report and announced projects by other entities, it could cost as much as $5,500 per kW to replace existing coal-based power generation with fossil fuel stations capable of capturing and sequestering CO_2 emissions. However, exact estimates are difficult because of the variance in the legislative proposals and the current lack of deployable technology.

Allegheny supports federal legislation and believes that the United States must commit to a response to climate change that both encourages the development of technology and creates a workable control system. Regardless of the eventual mechanism for limiting CO2 emissions, however, compliance will be a major and costly challenge for Allegheny, its customers and the region in which it operates. Most notable will be the potential impact on customer bills and disproportionate increases in energy cost in areas that have built their energy and industrial infrastructure over the past century based on coal-fired electric generation.

Because the legislative process and applicable technology each is in its infancy, it is difficult for Allegheny to aggressively implement greenhouse gas emission expenditures until the exact nature and requirements of any regulation are known and the capabilities of control or reduction technologies are more fully understood. Allegheny's current strategy in response to climate change initiatives focuses on six tasks:

- maintaining an accurate CO_2 emissions data base;
- improving the efficiency of its existing coal-burning generation facilities;
- following developing technologies for clean-coal energy and for CO_2 emission controls at coal-fired power plants, including carbon sequestration;

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- participating in CO_2 sequestration efforts (e.g. reforestation projects) both domestically and abroad;
- analyzing options for future energy investment (e.g. renewables, clean-coal, etc.); and
- improving demand-side efficiency programs, as evidenced by customer conservation outreach plans and Allegheny's Watt Watchers initiatives.

Allegheny's energy portfolio also includes approximately 1,180 MWs of renewable hydroelectric and pumped storage power generation. Allegheny obtained a permit to allow for a limited use of bio-mass (wood chips and saw dust) at one of its coal-fired power stations in West Virginia and currently has approval to use waste-tire derived fuel at another of its coal-based power stations in West Virginia.

Allegheny intends to engage in the dialogue that will shape the regulatory landscape surrounding CO_2 emissions. Additionally, Allegheny intends to pursue proven and cost-effective measures to manage its emissions while maintaining an affordable and reliable supply of electricity for its customers.

Clean Air Act Compliance . Allegheny currently meets applicable standards for particulate matter emissions at its generation facilities through the use of high-efficiency electrostatic precipitators, cleaned coal, flue-gas conditioning, optimization software, fuel combustion modifications and, at times, through other means. From time to time, minor excursions of stack emission opacity that are normal to fossil fuel operations are experienced and are accommodated by the regulatory process.

Allegheny's compliance with the Clean Air Act has required, and may require in the future, that Allegheny install control technologies on many of its generation facilities at significant cost. The Clean Air Interstate Rule ("CAIR") promulgated by the EPA on March 10, 2005 may accelerate the need to install this equipment by phasing out a portion of the currently available allowances. The EPA is revising certain portions of CAIR that were invalidated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. The EPA has cautioned that it is reviewing whether or not to have an annual NO_x trading program (non-Ozone Season) beyond 2010.

On March 15, 2005, the EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule ("CAMR"), establishing a cap and trade system designed to reduce mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. On February 8, 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the rule in its entirety. The State of West Virginia subsequently suspended its rule for implementing CAMR. Pennsylvania and Maryland, however, took the position that their mercury rules, which are discussed below, survived this ruling. In addition, the EPA has announced plans to propose a new maximum achievable control technology rule for hazardous air pollutant emissions from electric utility steam generating units. The EPA is expected to finalize the new rule by November 2011. Accordingly, Allegheny is monitoring the EPA's efforts to promulgate hazardous air pollutant rules that will include, but will not be limited to, mercury limits. To establish these standards with respect to mercury, the EPA must identify the best performing 12% of sources in each source category and, to that end, has issued an information request to members of the fossil fuel-fired generating industry that includes a requirement to conduct extensive stack emissions testing on selected generating units. Allegheny is required to conduct stack testing for nine of its generating units. Depending on the final hazardous air pollution limits set by the EPA, Allegheny could incur significant costs for additional control equipment.

The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (the "PA DEP") promulgated a more aggressive mercury control rule on February 17, 2007. Pennsylvania's proposed shortened compliance schedule and more aggressive emissions limits might result in the installation of additional emission controls at any of Allegheny's three Pennsylvania coal-fired facilities or in a change in fuel specifications. Controls might include additional Scrubbers, activated carbon injection, selective catalytic reduction or other currently emerging technologies. On

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

September 15, 2008, PPL Corporation filed a challenge to the PA DEP's mercury rule in Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court. The Commonwealth Court overturned the Pennsylvania mercury rule on January 30, 2009. On December 23, 2009, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court affirmed the Commonwealth Court's holding that the rule is invalid.

Additionally, Maryland passed the Healthy Air Act in early 2006. This legislation imposes state-wide emission caps on SO_2 and NO_x, requires greater reductions in mercury emissions more quickly than required by CAMR and mandates that Maryland join the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative ("RGGI") and participate in that coalition's regional efforts to reduce CO_2 emissions. On April 20, 2007, Maryland's governor signed on to RGGI, as a result of which Maryland became the 10th state to join the Northeast regional climate change and energy efficiency program. The Healthy Air Act provides a conditional exemption for the R. Paul Smith power station for NO_x, SO_2 and mercury, based on a PJM declaration that the station is vital to reliability in the Baltimore/Washington DC metropolitan area, which PJM determined in 2006. Pursuant to the legislation, the Maryland Department of the Environment (the "MDE") passed alternate NO_x and SO_2 limits for R. Paul Smith, which became effective in April 2009. The MDE still expects R. Paul Smith to meet the Healthy Air Act mercury reductions of 80% beginning in 2010. The statutory exemption does not extend to R. Paul Smith's CO_2 emissions. Maryland issued final regulations to implement RGGI requirements in February 2008. Among other things, under RGGI, the MDE now auctions 100% of CO_2 allowances associated with Maryland's power plants, and Allegheny is participating in RGGI auctions.

AE Supply and Monongahela comply with current SO_2 emission standards through a system-wide plan combining the use of emission controls, low sulfur fuel and emission allowances. Allegheny continues to evaluate and implement options for compliance. It completed the elimination of a partial bypass of Scrubbers at its Pleasants generating facility in December 2007 and the construction of Scrubbers at its Hatfield's Ferry and Fort Martin generating facilities in 2009. Allegheny now has Scrubbers installed on all 10 of the units at its four supercritical generating facilities and at Mitchell Unit 3.

Allegheny's NO_x compliance plan functions on a system-wide basis, similar to its SO_2 compliance plan. AE Supply and Monongahela also have the option, in some cases, to purchase alternate fuels or NO_x allowances, if needed, to supplement their compliance strategies.

On January 8, 2010, the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (the "WVDEP") issued a Notice of Violation for opacity emissions at Allegheny's Pleasants generating facility. Allegheny is evaluating certain control system operations for opacity reduction. Although a system has not yet been selected, the cost to install any such system would be significant.

Clean Air Act Litigation . In August 2000, AE received a letter from the EPA requesting that it provide information and documentation relevant to the operation and maintenance of the following ten electric generation facilities, which collectively include 22 generation units: Albright, Armstrong, Fort Martin, Harrison, Hatfield's Ferry, Mitchell, Pleasants, Rivesville, R. Paul Smith and Willow Island. AE Supply and/or Monongahela own these generation facilities. The letter requested information under Section 114 of the Clean Air Act to determine compliance with the Clean Air Act and related requirements, including potential application of the New Source Review ("NSR") standards of the Clean Air Act, which can require the installation of additional air emission control equipment when the major modification of an existing facility results in an increase in emissions. AE has provided responsive information to this and a subsequent request.

If NSR requirements are imposed on Allegheny's generation facilities, in addition to the possible imposition of fines, compliance would entail significant capital investments in emission control technology.

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

On May 20, 2004, AE, AE Supply, Monongahela and West Penn received a Notice of Intent to Sue Pursuant to Clean Air Act §7604 (the "Notice") from the Attorneys General of New York, New Jersey and Connecticut and from the PA DEP. The Notice alleged that Allegheny made major modifications to some of its West Virginia facilities in violation of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") provisions of the Clean Air Act at the following coal-fired facilities: Albright Unit No. 3; Fort Martin Units No. 1 and 2; Harrison Units No. 1, 2 and 3; Pleasants Units No. 1 and 2 and Willow Island Unit No. 2. The Notice also alleged PSD violations at the Armstrong, Hatfield's Ferry and Mitchell generation facilities in Pennsylvania and identifies PA DEP as the lead agency regarding those facilities. On September 8, 2004, AE, AE Supply, Monongahela and West Penn received a separate Notice of Intent to Sue from the Maryland Attorney General that essentially mirrored the previous Notice.

On January 6, 2005, AE Supply and Monongahela filed a declaratory judgment action against the Attorneys General of New York, Connecticut and New Jersey in federal District Court in West Virginia ("West Virginia DJ Action"). This action requests that the court declare that AE Supply's and Monongahela's coal-fired generation facilities in Pennsylvania and West Virginia comply with the Clean Air Act. The Attorneys General filed a motion to dismiss the West Virginia DJ Action.

On June 28, 2005, the PA DEP and the Attorneys General of New York, New Jersey, Connecticut and Maryland filed suit against AE, AE Supply and the Distribution Companies in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania (the "PA Enforcement Action"). This action alleges NSR violations under the federal Clean Air Act and the Pennsylvania Air Pollution Control Act at the Hatfield's Ferry, Armstrong and Mitchell facilities in Pennsylvania. The PA Enforcement Action appears to raise the same issues regarding Allegheny's Pennsylvania generation facilities that are before the federal District Court in the West Virginia DJ Action, except that the PA Enforcement Action also includes the PA DEP and the Maryland Attorney General. On January 17, 2006, the PA DEP and the Attorneys General filed an amended complaint. On May 30, 2006, the District Court denied Allegheny's motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On July 26, 2006, at a status conference, the Court determined that discovery would proceed regarding liability issues, but not remedies. Discovery on the liability phase closed on December 31, 2007, and summary judgment briefing was completed during the first quarter of 2008. On November 18, 2008, the District Court issued a Memorandum Order denying all motions for summary judgment and establishing certain legal standards to govern at trial. In December 2009, a new trial judge was assigned to the case and has since entered an order granting a motion to reconsider the rulings in the November 2008 Memorandum Order. A ruling on those issues is expected within the first quarter of 2010. Trial has been tentatively scheduled to begin on September 13, 2010.

In addition to this lawsuit, on September 21, 2007, Allegheny received a Notice of Violation ("NOV") from the EPA alleging NSR and PSD violations under the federal Clean Air Act, as well as Pennsylvania and West Virginia state laws. The NOV was directed to AE, Monongahela and West Penn and alleges violations at the Hatfield's Ferry and Armstrong generation facilities in Pennsylvania and the Fort Martin and Willow Island generation facilities in West Virginia. The projects identified in the NOV are essentially the same as the projects at issue for these four facilities in the May 20, 2004 Notice, the West Virginia DJ Action and the PA Enforcement Action.

Allegheny intends to vigorously pursue and defend against the Clean Air Act matters described above but cannot predict their outcomes.

Clean Water Act Compliance . In 2004, the EPA issued a final rule requiring all existing power plants with once-through cooling water systems withdrawing more than 50 million gallons of water per day to meet certain standards to reduce mortality of aquatic organisms pinned against the water intake screens or, in some cases, drawn through the cooling water system. The standards varied based on the type and size of the water bodies from which the plants draw their cooling water.

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

In January 2007, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals issued a decision on appeal that remanded a significant portion of the rule to the EPA. As a result, the EPA suspended the rule, except for a requirement, which existed prior to the EPA's adoption of the 2004 rule, that permitting agencies use best professional judgment ("BPJ") to determine the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impacts for existing facility cooling water intakes. Pending re-issuance of the 2004 rule by the EPA, permitting agencies thus will rely on BPJ determinations during permit renewal at existing facilities.

On April 1, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the appeals court decision and upheld EPA's authority to use cost/benefit analysis. The EPA has indicated that it plans to issue a proposed rule addressing the issues remanded by the Court by mid-2010 and to issue a final rule in 2012. Depending on the standards set by the EPA when it reissues this rule, Allegheny could incur significant costs for additional control equipment.

Monongahela River Water Quality . In late 2008, the PA DEP imposed water quality criteria for certain effluents, including total dissolved solid and sulfate concentrations in the Monongahela River, on new and modified sources, including the Scrubber project at the Hatfield's Ferry generation facility. These criteria are reflected in the current PA DEP water discharge permit for that project. AE Supply has appealed the PA DEP's permitting decision, which would require it to incur significant costs or negatively impact its ability to operate the Scrubbers. Preliminary studies indicate an initial capital investment of approximately $62 million in order to install technology to meet the total dissolved solid and sulfate limits in the permit. The permit has been independently appealed by Environmental Integrity Project and Citizens Coal Council who seek to impose more stringent technology-based effluent limitations. Those same parties have intervened in the appeal filed by AE Supply, and both appeals have been consolidated for discovery purposes. An order has been entered that stays the permit limits that AE Supply has challenged while the appeal is pending. No hearing date has been set. AE Supply intends to vigorously pursue these issues but cannot predict the outcome of these appeals. On November 7, 2009, the PA DEP published proposed amendments to the PA Chapter 95 rules that include an end-of-pipe limit for total dissolved solids for new and modified sources. The PA DEP's proposed rule was open for public comment until February 12, 2010.

In October, 2009, the WVDEP issued the water discharge permit for the Fort Martin generation facility. Similar to the Hatfield's Ferry water discharge permit issued for the Scrubber project, the Fort Martin permit imposes effluent limitations for total dissolved solid and sulfate concentrations. The permit also imposes temperature limitations and other effluent limits for heavy metals that are not contained in the Hatfield's Ferry water permit. Concurrent with the issuance of the Fort Martin permit, WVDEP also issued an administrative order that sets deadlines for Monongahela to meet certain of the effluent limits that are effective immediately under the terms of the permit. Monongahela has appealed the Fort Martin permit and the administrative order. The appeal includes a request to stay certain of the conditions of the permit and order while the appeal is pending. The request to stay has been granted pending a final decision on appeal and subject to WVDEP moving to dissolve the stay. The appeals have been consolidated and a hearing is likely to be scheduled for May 2010. The current terms of the Fort Martin permit would require Monongahela to incur significant costs or negatively impact operations at Fort Martin. Preliminary information indicates an initial capital investment in excess of the capital investment that may be needed at Hatfield's Ferry in order to install technology to meet the total dissolved solid and sulfate limits in the Fort Martin permit, which technology may also meet certain of the other effluent limits in the permit. Additional technology may be needed to meet certain other limits in the permit. Monongahela intends to vigorously pursue these issues but cannot predict the outcome of these appeals.

Solid Waste . The EPA is reviewing its waste regulations relating to coal combustion byproducts ("CCB") partly in response to a Tennessee Valley Authority ash spill in Kingston, Tennessee on December 22, 2008. CCB includes bottom ash, boiler slag, fly ash and Scrubber byproducts including gypsum. CCB has historically been

Table of Contents

designated and managed as a non-hazardous waste and the EPA has twice determined it is not appropriate to regulate it as a hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"). The EPA is reconsidering those earlier determinations and intends to issue new regulations for the management and disposal of CCB. The EPA has not yet reached a final decision on whether to regulate CCB as hazardous (RCRA Title C) or non-hazardous (RCRA Title D) or as a hybrid, but hopes to reach that decision during the first quarter of 2010. Should the EPA elect to designate CCB as hazardous at any point in its generation, storage, transportation or disposal cycle, it could significantly increase Allegheny's cost of managing CCB materials. In addition to potential additional management costs, CCB generators could expect to see a reduction in options for beneficial reuse of CCB in applications such as mine reclamation, cement manufacture and agriculture, further increasing costs, as such materials will then enter landfills rather than beneficial reuse. The EPA might also designate CCB as hazardous only when it is destined for wet storage impoundments, which would reduce Allegheny's potential waste management exposure.

Global Warming Class Action . On April 9, 2006, AE, along with numerous other companies with coal-fired generation facilities and companies in other industries, was named as a defendant in a class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi. On behalf of a purported class of residents and property owners in Mississippi who were harmed by Hurricane Katrina, the named plaintiffs allege that the emission of greenhouse gases by the defendants contributed to global warming, thereby causing Hurricane Katrina and plaintiffs' damages. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages. On December 6, 2006, AE filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' complaint on jurisdictional grounds and then joined a motion filed by other defendants to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim. At a hearing on August 30, 2007, the Court granted the motion to dismiss that AE had joined and dismissed all of the plaintiffs' claims against all defendants. Plaintiffs appealed that ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. On October 6, 2009, the assigned panel of the appellate court issued a written opinion that reversed the judgment entered by the District Court in favor of the defendants with respect to certain of the plaintiffs' claims and remanded the case to the District Court for further proceedings. On November 25, 2009, AE and others filed a petition to have all of the judges of the Fifth Circuit rehear the issues addressed in the panel's October 6, 2009 opinion. There has been no ruling on that petition. AE intends to vigorously defend against this action but cannot predict its outcome.

Other Litigation and Contingencies

Nevada Power Contracts . On December 7, 2001, Nevada Power Company ("NPC") filed a complaint with the FERC against AE Supply seeking action by the FERC to modify prices payable to AE Supply under three trade confirmations between Merrill Lynch and NPC. NPC's claim was based, in part, on the assertion that dysfunctional California spot markets had an adverse effect on the prices NPC was able to negotiate with Merrill Lynch under the contracts. NPC filed substantially identical complaints against a number of other energy suppliers. On December 19, 2002, the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") issued findings that no contract modification was warranted. The ALJ determined in favor of NPC that AE Supply, rather than Merrill Lynch, was a proper subject of NPC's complaint. On June 26, 2003, the FERC affirmed the ALJ's decision upholding the long-term contracts negotiated between NPC and Merrill Lynch, among others. The FERC did not decide whether AE Supply, rather than Merrill Lynch, was the real party in interest. On November 10, 2003, the FERC issued an order, on rehearing, affirming its conclusion that the long-term contracts should not be modified. Snohomish County, NPC and other parties filed petitions for review of the FERC's June 26, 2003 order with the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (the "NPC Petitions"). The NPC Petitions were consolidated in the Ninth Circuit. On December 19, 2006, the Ninth Circuit issued an opinion remanding the case to the FERC to determine, in accordance with the guidance set forth in the Ninth Circuit's opinion, whether the FERC utilized the appropriate standard of review in deciding various claims, including NPC's complaint. On May 3, 2007, AE Supply and others filed a petition to appeal the Ninth Circuit's ruling to the United States Supreme Court. On

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

June 26, 2008, the United States Supreme Court issued an opinion that rejected the Ninth Circuit's reasoning, with instructions that the case be remanded to the FERC for amplification or clarification of its findings on two issues set forth in the opinion. The case has been remanded to the FERC, and the FERC issued an order on December 18, 2008 that provides for a paper hearing on the two issues identified by the United States Supreme Court, with initial filings due within 90 days and reply submissions within 90 days thereafter. However, the order holds those deadlines in abeyance, contingent upon settlement discussions between the parties, and a subsequent order lifting that stay has not been entered.

Allegheny intends to vigorously defend against this action but cannot predict its outcome.

Claims by California Parties. On October 5, 2006, several California governmental and utility parties presented AE Supply with a settlement proposal to resolve alleged overcharges for power sales by AE Supply to the California Energy Resource Scheduling division of the California Department of Water Resources ("CDWR") during 2001. The settlement proposal claims that CDWR is owed approximately $190 million for these alleged overcharges. This proposal was made in the context of mediation efforts by the FERC and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in pending proceedings to resolve all outstanding refund and other claims, including claims of alleged price manipulation in the California energy markets during 2000 and 2001. The Ninth Circuit has since remanded one of those proceedings to the FERC, which arises out of claims previously filed with the FERC by the California Attorney General on behalf of certain California parties against various sellers in the California wholesale power market, including AE Supply (the Lockyer case). A judge has been assigned to the Lockyer case, and a hearing is now set for April 20, 2010, with an initial decision date of September 4, 2010. AE Supply and several other sellers have filed motions to dismiss the Lockyer case that are now pending before the assigned judge. On June 2, 2009, the California Attorney General, on behalf of certain California parties, filed a second lawsuit with FERC against various sellers, including AE Supply (the Brown case), again seeking refunds for trades in the California energy markets during 2000 and 2001. The above-noted trades with CDWR are the basis for the joining of AE Supply in this new lawsuit. AE Supply has filed a motion to dismiss the Brown case that is pending before FERC. No scheduling order has been entered in the Brown case.

Allegheny intends to vigorously defend against these claims but cannot predict their outcome.

Claims Related to Alleged Asbestos Exposure . The Distribution Companies have been named as defendants, along with multiple other defendants, in pending asbestos cases alleging bodily injury involving multiple plaintiffs and multiple sites. These suits have been brought mostly by seasonal contractors' employees and do not involve allegations of the manufacture, sale or distribution of asbestos-containing products by Allegheny. These asbestos suits arise out of historical operations and are related to the installation and removal of asbestos-containing materials at Allegheny's generation facilities. Allegheny's historical operations were insured by various foreign and domestic insurers, including Lloyd's of London. Asbestos-related litigation expenses have to date been reimbursed in full by recoveries from these historical insurers, and Allegheny believes that it has sufficient insurance to respond fully to the asbestos suits. Certain insurers, however, have contested their obligations to pay for the future defense and settlement costs relating to the asbestos suits. Allegheny is currently involved in two asbestos and/or environmental insurance-related actions, Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London et al. v. Allegheny Energy, Inc. et al., Case No. 21-C-03-16733 (Washington County, Md.) and Monongahela Power Company et al. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London and London Market Companies, et al ., Civil Action No. 03-C-281 (Monongalia County, W.Va.). Allegheny and Liberty Mutual Insurance Company resolved their dispute and, therefore, Civil Action No. 07-3168-BLS was voluntarily dismissed. The parties are seeking a declaration of coverage under the policies for asbestos-related and environmental claims.

Allegheny does not believe that the existence or pendency of either the asbestos suits or the actions involving its insurance will have a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

cash flows. As of December 31, 2009, Allegheny's total number of claims alleging exposure to asbestos was 861 in West Virginia and four in Pennsylvania. Allegheny intends to vigorously pursue these matters but cannot predict their outcomes.

PJM Calculation Error. In September 2009, PJM reported that it had discovered a modeling error in the market-to-market power flow calculations between PJM and the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator ("MISO"). The error, which dates back to April 2005, was a result of the incorrect modeling of certain generation resources that have an impact on power flows across the PJM/MISO border. Allegheny currently is participating in FERC settlement discussions on this issue. Although the amount of the error is subject to dispute, PJM estimated in September 2009 the magnitude of the error to be approximately $77 million. Should a payment by PJM to MISO relating to this modeling error be required, the method by which PJM would allocate any such payment to PJM participants, including Allegheny, is uncertain at this time.

Shareholder Actions . Purported AE shareholders have filed derivative and class action lawsuits in state courts in Pennsylvania and Maryland against AE and each of the members of AE's Board of Directors that seek to enjoin Allegheny's proposed merger with FirstEnergy and, in some cases, damages in the event that the merger is completed. Allegheny intends to vigorously defend against these claims but cannot predict their outcome.

Ordinary Course of Business . AE and its subsidiaries are from time to time involved in litigation and other legal disputes in the ordinary course of business.

Leases

Allegheny has capital and operating lease agreements with various terms and expiration dates, primarily for vehicles, computer equipment, communication lines and buildings.

Total capital and operating lease rent payments of $18.6 million, $19.1 million and $18.5 million were recorded as rent expense in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Allegheny's estimated future minimum lease payments for capital and operating leases, with annual payments exceeding $100,000 and initial or remaining lease terms in excess of one year are:

(In millions)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter	Total	Less: amount representing interest and fees	Present value of net minimum capital lease payments
Capital Leases	$10.7	$8.7	$6.3	$4.7	$4.0	$ 2.7	$37.1	$ 9.1	$ 28.0
Operating Leases	$ 6.6	$6.0	$5.4	$5.5	$5.4	$ 8.6	$37.5	$ —	$ —

The carrying amount of assets recorded under capitalized lease agreements included in "Property, plant and equipment, net" at December 31, consisted of the following:

(In millions)	2009	2008
Equipment	$40.6	$48.6
Building	0.2	0.1
Property held under capital leases	$40.8	$48.7

PURPA

The Energy Policy Act of 2005 (the "Energy Policy Act") amended PURPA significantly. Most notably, as of the effective date of the Energy Policy Act on August 8, 2005, electric utilities are no longer required to enter into any new contractual obligation to purchase energy from a qualifying facility if FERC finds that the facility

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

has non-discriminatory access to a functioning wholesale market and open access transmission. This amendment has no impact on Allegheny's current long-term power purchase agreements under PURPA.

Allegheny's regulated utilities are committed to purchasing the electrical output from 466 MWs of qualifying PURPA capacity. PURPA expense pursuant to these contracts in 2009, 2008 and 2007 was $213.2 million, $222.2 million and $224.5 million, respectively. The average cost of these power purchases was approximately 6.8, 6.3 and 5.9 cents per kilowatt-hour ("kWh") in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The table below reflects Allegheny's estimated commitments for energy and capacity purchases under PURPA contracts as of December 31, 2009. The commitments were calculated based on expected PURPA purchased power prices at December 31, 2009, without giving effect to possible price changes that could occur as a result of any future CO_2 emissions regulation or legislation. Actual values can vary substantially depending upon future conditions.

(In millions)	kWhs	Amount
2010	3,741.4	$ 274.3
2011	3,740.5	277.6
2012	3,759.1	278.4
2013	3,749.2	284.1
2014	3,804.5	293.8
Thereafter	48,817.7	3,926.8
Total	67,612.4	$ 5,335.0

Fuel Purchase and Transportation Commitments

Allegheny has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement and transportation of fuel (primarily coal) and lime to supply its generation facilities. In most cases, these contracts contain provisions for price escalations, minimum purchase levels and other financial commitments. Allegheny's fuel expense was $886.6 million, $1,080.9 million and $930.8 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, of which, $823.1 million, $1,000.2 million and $831.5 million, respectively, related to coal and lime expense. In 2009, Allegheny purchased approximately 25.0% of its coal from one vendor. Total estimated long-term fuel purchase and transportation commitments at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

(In millions)	Total
2010	$ 941.8
2011	1,065.5
2012	739.3
2013	709.2
2014	717.5
Thereafter	1,815.6
Total	$5,988.9

Other Purchase Obligations

Electronic Data Systems Corporation and EDS Information Services, LLC perform certain technology functions for Allegheny under a contract that expires on December 31, 2012. Expected cash payments under this contract are as follows:

(In millions)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter	Total
Other purchase obligations	$25.6	$23.8	$23.0	$—	$—	$ —	$72.4

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

NOTE 26: QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Unaudited)

(In millions, except per share amounts)	2009 Quarter Ended (a)				2008 Quarter Ended (a)			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Operating revenues	$ 957.2	$814.7	$ 793.7	$ 861.1	$ 875.0	$953.5	$ 849.6	$ 707.8
Operating income	$ 289.8	$179.1	$ 205.9	$ 245.0	$ 246.8	$298.3	$ 186.9	$ 77.4
Net income attributable to Allegheny Energy, Inc.	$ 133.9	$ 72.6	$ 77.0	$ 109.3	$ 136.1	$154.1	$ 89.0	$ 16.2
Basic income per common share	$ 0.79	$ 0.43	$ 0.45	$ 0.64	$ 0.81	$ 0.92	$ 0.53	$ 0.10
Diluted income per common share	$ 0.79	$ 0.43	$ 0.45	$ 0.64	$ 0.80	$ 0.91	$ 0.52	$ 0.10

(a) Quarterly amounts may not total to full-year results due to rounding.

NOTE 27: SUBSEQUENT EVENT—MERGER AGREEMENT

On February 10, 2010, AE, FirstEnergy, and Element Merger Sub, Inc., a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of FirstEnergy ("Merger Sub"), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement").

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, which has been unanimously approved by the boards of directors of AE and FirstEnergy, Merger Sub will merge with and into AE (the "Merger"), with AE continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of FirstEnergy. The Merger is intended to qualify as a tax-free reorganization under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and be tax-free to AE stockholders.

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, upon the closing of the Merger, each issued and outstanding share of AE common stock, including grants of restricted common stock, will automatically be converted into the right to receive 0.667 of a share of common stock of FirstEnergy (the "Exchange Ratio").

All options to purchase shares of AE common stock under AE's stock plans, whether vested or unvested, will automatically be converted into options to acquire a number of shares of FirstEnergy common stock as adjusted for the Exchange Ratio at an equitably adjusted option price and otherwise on the same terms and conditions. All awards of AE restricted stock that have not already vested in accordance with their terms as of immediately prior to the closing of the Merger will automatically be converted into the right to receive similarly restricted shares of FirstEnergy common stock based on the Exchange Ratio. Likewise, any performance shares and restricted stock units that have not already vested in accordance with their terms as of immediately prior to the closing of the Merger will automatically be converted into performance shares or stock units in respect of FirstEnergy common stock based on the Exchange Ratio as equitably adjusted as appropriate to reflect resulting changes in their underlying terms.

Upon the closing of the Merger, FirstEnergy's Board of Directors will be increased from 11 to 13 members, and two of AE's current Board members will be appointed to FirstEnergy's Board. Paul J. Evanson, currently Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of AE, will become the Executive Vice Chairman of FirstEnergy, upon the closing.

Completion of the Merger is subject to various customary conditions, including, among others, (i) requisite approvals of AE and FirstEnergy stockholders, (ii) effectiveness of the registration statement for the FirstEnergy common stock to be issued in the Merger, (iii) expiration or termination of the applicable Hart-Scott-Rodino Act

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

waiting period, (iv) receipt of all required regulatory approvals from, among others, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and certain state public service and utility commissions, (v) the absence of any governmental action challenging or seeking to prohibit the Merger, and (vi) the absence of any material adverse effect with respect to either AE or FirstEnergy.

The Merger Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants of AE and FirstEnergy, including, among others, covenants (i) to conduct their respective businesses in the ordinary course during the interim period between the execution of the Merger Agreement and completion of the Merger, (ii) not to engage in certain kinds of transactions during this interim period, (iii) to hold a stockholder meeting to put these matters before their stockholders for their consideration and (iv) to use their reasonable best efforts to take all actions necessary to obtain all governmental and regulatory approvals, subject to certain limitations. Each of AE and FirstEnergy is also subject to a “no shop” restriction on its ability to solicit alternative acquisition proposals, provide information and engage in discussion with third parties, except under limited circumstances to permit AE’s or FirstEnergy’s board of directors to comply with its fiduciary duties.

The Merger Agreement contains certain termination rights for both AE and FirstEnergy and further provides that, upon termination of the Merger Agreement under specified circumstances, AE may be required to pay FirstEnergy a termination fee of $150 million and FirstEnergy may be required to pay AE a termination fee of $350 million and, in each case, reimburse the other party for up to $45 million of its reasonable out-of-pocket transaction expenses. The Merger Agreement also provides that under specified circumstances where a termination fee is not otherwise payable, AE or FirstEnergy may be required to reimburse the non-terminating party for up to $45 million of its reasonable out-of-pocket transaction expenses.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Allegheny Energy, Inc.
Greensburg, PA

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Allegheny Energy, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 listed in the Index at Item 8. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits. The consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2007 were audited by other auditors whose report, dated February 27, 2008 (except for the effects of changing the manner in which the Company presents noncontrolling interests as discussed in Note 2 and the change in composition of reportable segments as discussed in Note 12 to which the date is March 1, 2010), expressed an unqualified opinion and included an explanatory paragraph concerning a change in the manner in which the Company accounts for uncertain tax positions as of January 1, 2007 as discussed in Note 7.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such 2009 and 2008 consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Allegheny Energy, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, when considered in relation to basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 1, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Pittsburgh, PA
March 1, 2010

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Allegheny Energy, Inc.
Greensburg, PA

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Allegheny Energy, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 of the Company and our report dated March 1, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Pittsburgh, PA
March 1, 2010

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
of Allegheny Energy, Inc.

In our opinion, the consolidated statement of income, equity and comprehensive income and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007 present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of Allegheny Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules for the year ended December 31, 2007 present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audit. We conducted our audit of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 7, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for uncertain tax positions as of January 1, 2007.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
February 27, 2008, except for the effects of changing the manner in which the Company presents noncontrolling interests as discussed in Note 2 and the change in the composition of reportable segments discussed in Note 12 to which the date is March 1, 2010.

Table of Contents

S-1

SCHEDULE I

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. (Parent Company)

Condensed Financial Statements

Statements of Income:

(In millions)	Year ended December 31, 2009	2008	2007
Operating revenues	$ —	$ —	$ —
Operating expenses	5.8	6.1	5.8
Operating loss	(5.8)	(6.1)	(5.8)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	402.8	399.1	384.9
Other income (expenses), net	0.8	2.9	4.4
Interest expense (benefit)	2.2	3.1	(48.6)
Income before income taxes	395.6	392.8	432.1
Income tax expense (benefit)	2.8	(2.6)	19.9
Net income	$ 392.8	$ 395.4	$412.2

Statements of Cash Flows:

(In millions)	Year ended December 31, 2009	2008	2007
Net cash provided by operating activities	$ 117.9	$ 197.9	$ 97.1
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Notes receivable from subsidiaries	(76.6)	81.1	(72.3)
Contributions to subsidiaries	(25.0)	(123.8)	(17.1)
Other investments	—	(50.0)	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(101.6)	(92.7)	(89.4)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Notes payable to subsidiaries	50.0	—	—
Issuance of long term debt	120.0	—	—
Repayment of long term debt	(120.0)	—	—
Return of parent company contribution	—	6.0	—
Stock units	0.1	(7.4)	—
Stock options	14.6	12.2	—
Exercise of stock options	2.3	25.3	26.4
Cash dividends paid on common stock	(101.7)	(101.1)	(25.0)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(34.7)	(65.0)	1.4
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(18.4)	40.2	9.1
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	59.0	18.8	9.7
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ 40.6	$ 59.0	$ 18.8
Cash dividends received from subsidiaries	$ 94.9	$ 205.3	$ 67.6

Balance Sheets:

(In millions)	As of December 31, 2009	2008
ASSETS		
Current assets	$ 174.1	$ 102.3
Investment in subsidiaries	3,149.6	2,862.7
Other noncurrent assets	2.1	3.3
Total assets	$3,325.8	$2,968.3
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities	$ 220.0	$ 126.0
Deferred credits and other liabilities	(7.4)	(8.5)
Stockholders' equity	3,113.2	2,850.8
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	$3,325.8	$2,968.3

See accompanying Note to Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. (Parent Company)
NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the unconsolidated condensed financial statements of Allegheny Energy, Inc. (AE) do not reflect all of the information and notes normally included with financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Therefore, these condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Form 10-K.

AE has accounted for the earnings of its subsidiaries under the equity method in these unconsolidated condensed financial statements. Stockholders' equity reflects accumulated other comprehensive loss of $89.9 million and $43.3 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

S-2

SCHEDULE II

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts
For Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

		Additions			
Description	**Balance at Beginning of Period**	**Charged to Costs and Expenses (a)**	**Charged to Other Accounts (b)**	**Deductions (c)**	**Balance at End of Period**
Allowance for uncollectible accounts:					
Year Ended December 31, 2009	$ 13,279,774	$ 15,663,668	$ 3,870,943	$ 18,773,715	$ 14,040,670
Year Ended December 31, 2008	$ 14,252,059	$ 16,770,586	$ 3,744,337	$ 21,487,208	$ 13,279,774
Year Ended December 31, 2007	$ 14,590,972	$ 17,324,986	$ 3,571,084	$ 21,234,983	$ 14,252,059

(a) Amount charged to bad debt expense.
(b) Collection of accounts previously written off.
(c) Uncollectible accounts written off during the year.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. AE maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the chief executive officer ("CEO") and chief financial officer ("CFO"), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our management, with the participation of our CEO and CFO, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) of the Exchange Act. This evaluation included consideration of the various processes carried out under the direction of our disclosure committee. Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that AE's disclosure controls and procedures were effective, at the reasonable assurance level, to ensure that material information relating to AE is (a) accumulated and made known to its management, including our CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and (b) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

As an accelerated filer, AE is required to meet the requirements under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. See "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting," below.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting: During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, there have been no changes in AE's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting . AE's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. AE's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. AE's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

(1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of AE's assets;

(2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP and that AE's receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of its management and directors; and

(3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the AE's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

AE's management assessed the effectiveness of AE's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. In making this assessment, AE's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in "Internal Control-Integrated Framework."

Based on this assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, AE's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The effectiveness of AE's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which appears herein.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The information required by this Item (other than the information set forth below) is contained in AE's Proxy Statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the captions "Board of Directors and Election of Directors," "Executive Compensation" and "Security Ownership—Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," and is incorporated herein by reference.

Executive Officers

The information required by this Item with respect to the registrant's executive officers is contained in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-K under the section "Executive Officers."

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Allegheny maintains a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for its directors, officers and employees in order to promote honest and ethical conduct and compliance with the laws and regulations to which Allegheny is subject. All directors, officers and employees of Allegheny are expected to be familiar with the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and to adhere to its principles and procedures. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available free of charge on Allegheny's website at *http://www.alleghenyenergy.com* . Allegheny intends to satisfy the disclosure requirements of the SEC regarding amendments to, or waivers from, the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics by posting such information on the website listed above.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is contained in AE's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the captions "Board of Directors and Election of Directors" and "Executive Compensation" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item is contained in AE's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the captions "Board of Directors and Election of Directors" and "Executive Compensation" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by this Item is contained in AE's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the captions "Board of Directors and Election of Directors" and "Executive Compensation" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is contained in AE's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the captions "Board of Directors and Election of Directors" and "Executive Compensation" and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1)(2) The financial statements and financial statement schedules filed as part of this Report are set forth under Item 8. Reference is made to the index on page 192.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC.

By: /s/ Paul J. Evanson
(Paul J. Evanson, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer)

Date: March 1, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant, in the capacities and on the date indicated.

	Signature	Title	Date
(i)	Principal Executive Officer:		
	/s/ Paul J. Evanson **(Paul J. Evanson)**	Chairman and President, Chief Executive Officer	March 1, 2010
(ii)	Principal Financial Officer:		
	/s/ Kirk R. Oliver **(Kirk R. Oliver)**	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	March 1, 2010
(iii)	Principal Accounting Officer:		
	/s/ William F. Wahl, III **(William F. Wahl, III)**	Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	March 1, 2010
(iv)	Directors:		
	/s/ H. Furlong Baldwin **(H. Furlong Baldwin)**	/s/ Ted J. Kleisner **(Ted J. Kleisner)**	
	/s/ Eleanor Baum **(Eleanor Baum)**	/s/ Christopher D. Pappas **(Christopher D. Pappas)**	
	/s/ Paul J. Evanson **(Paul J. Evanson)**	/s/ Steven H. Rice **(Steven H. Rice)**	March 1, 2010
	/s/ Cyrus F. Freidheim, Jr. **(Cyrus F. Freidheim, Jr.)**	/s/ Gunnar E. Sarsten **(Gunnar E. Sarsten)**	
	/s/ Julia L. Johnson **(Julia L. Johnson)**	/s/ Michael H. Sutton **(Michael H. Sutton)**	

Table of Contents

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-160988 on Form S-3 and Registration Statement Nos. 333-65657, 333-31610, 33-40432, 333-113660, 333-117117, 333-151647, and 333-119397 on Form S-8 of our reports dated March 1, 2010, relating to the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules of Allegheny Energy, Inc. and subsidiaries and the effectiveness of Allegheny Energy, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting, appearing in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Allegheny Energy, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
March 1, 2010

Table of Contents

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-65657, 333-31610, 33-40432, 333-113660, 333-117117, 333-151647, and 333-119397) of Allegheny Energy, Inc. of our report dated February 27, 2008, except for the effects of changing the manner in which the Company presents noncontrolling interests as discussed in Note 2 and the change in the composition of reportable segments discussed in Note 12 to which the date is March 1, 2010, relating to the financial statements and financial statement schedules, which appear in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
March 1, 2010

Table of Contents

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT the undersigned directors of Allegheny Energy, Inc., a Maryland corporation, do hereby constitute and appoint PAUL J. EVANSON and KIRK R. OLIVER , and each of them, a true and lawful attorney in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign his or her name to the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and to any and all amendments, of said company, and to cause the same to be filed with the SEC, granting unto said attorneys and each of them full power and authority to do and perform any act and thing necessary and proper to be done in the premises, as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned could do if personally present, and the undersigned hereby ratifies and confirms all that said attorneys or any one of them shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Dated: March 1, 2010

/s/ H. Furlong Baldwin **(H. Furlong Baldwin)**	/s/ Ted J. Kleisner **(Ted J. Kleisner)**
/s/ Eleanor Baum **(Eleanor Baum)**	/s/ Christopher D. Pappas **(Christopher D. Pappas)**
/s/ Paul J. Evanson **(Paul J. Evanson)**	/s/ Steven H. Rice **(Steven H. Rice)**
/s/ Cyrus F. Freidheim, Jr. **(Cyrus F. Freidheim, Jr.)**	/s/ Gunnar E. Sarsten **(Gunnar E. Sarsten)**
/s/ Julia L. Johnson **(Julia L. Johnson)**	/s/ Michael H. Sutton **(Michael H. Sutton)**

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX
(Rule 601(a))

	Documents	Incorporation by Reference
2.1**	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 10, 2010, by and among FirstEnergy Corp., Element Merger Sub, Inc. and Allegheny Energy, Inc.	Form 8-K filed February 11, 2010, ex. 2.1
3.1	Articles of Restatement, dated September 4, 2008	Form 10-Q filed November 6, 2008, ex. 3.1
3.2	Amended & Restated By-laws of the Company adopted December 3, 2009	Form 8-K filed December 9, 2009, ex. 3.1
10.1	Amended and Restated Revised Plan for Deferral of Compensation of Directors	Form 8-K filed October 6, 2006, ex. 99.1
10.2	Amended and Restated Revised Plan for Deferral of Compensation of Directors	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.4
10.3	Allegheny Energy Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	Form 10-K filed December 31, 2005, ex. 10.4
10.4	Allegheny Energy Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.8
10.5	Executive Life Insurance Program and Collateral Assignment Agreement	Form 10-K filed December 31, 1994, ex. 10.5
10.6	Restricted Stock Plan for Outside Directors	Form 10-K filed December 31, 1998, ex. 10.7
10.7	Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Plan for Outside Directors	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.3
10.8	Deferred Stock Unit Plan for Outside Directors	Form 10-K filed December 31, 1997, ex. 10.8
10.9	Allegheny Energy, Inc. 2004 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan	Schedule 14A Definitive Proxy Statement filed April 4, 2004, Annex A
10.10	Allegheny Energy, Inc. Annual Incentive Plan	Schedule 14A Definitive Proxy Statement filed April 4, 2004, Annex B
10.11	Allegheny Energy, Inc. Amended and Restated Annual Incentive Plan	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.7
10.12	Form of Stock Option Agreement	Form 10-K filed December 31, 2004 ex. 10.12
10.13	Stock Unit Plan	Form 10-K filed December 31, 2004, ex. 10.13
10.14	Amended and Restated Stock Unit Plan	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.7
10.15	Form of Stock Unit Agreement	Form 10-K filed December 31, 2004, ex. 10.14
10.16	Allegheny Energy, Inc. 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan revised as of January 1, 2004	Form 10-Q filed March 31, 2004, ex. 10.1
10.17	Allegheny Energy, Inc. 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan amended and restated as of January 1, 2008	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.5
10.18	Allegheny Energy, Inc. 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan	Schedule 14A Definitive Proxy Statement filed March 20, 2008, Annex B
10.19	Executive Severance Plan	Form 8-K filed July 16, 2008, ex. 10.1

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX
(Rule 601(a))

	Documents	Incorporation by Reference
10.20	Executive Change in Control Severance Plan	Form 8-K filed July 16, 2008, ex. 10.2
10.21	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Chief Executive Officer	Form 8-K filed July 10, 2009, ex. 10.1
10.22	Employment Agreement of Vice President, Human Resources	Form 8-K filed January 6, 2006, ex. 10.1
10.23	Amended and Restated Non-Employee Director Stock Plan	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.2
10.24	Amended and Restated Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.9
10.25	Amendment to Employment Agreement of Vice President	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.11
10.26	Credit Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2009, among Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, certain lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent.	Form 10-Q filed November 9, 2009, ex. 10.4
10.27	EPC Agreement No. 1001 dated July 12, 2006, between Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC and The Babcock & Wilcox Company covering Flue Gas Desulfurization Project at Hatfield's Ferry Units 1, 2 and 3	Form 10-Q filed August 8, 2006, ex. 10.1
10.28	EPC Agreement No. 1002 dated July 13, 2006, between Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC and Washington Group International covering Balance of Plant for Flue Gas Desulfurization Project at Hatfield's Ferry Units 1, 2 and 3	Form 10-Q filed August 8, 2006, ex. 10.2
10.29*	Alliance Agreement for Engineering, Construction and Project Management for the Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Project, dated February 28, 2007, by and between Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company and Kenny Construction Company	Form 10-Q filed May 8, 2007, ex. 10.1
10.30*	Limited Liability Agreement of Potomac-Appalachian Transmission Highline, LLC, dated as of September 1, 2007	Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2007, ex. 10.1
10.31	Credit Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2009, among Monongahela Power Company, certain lenders party thereto and The Bank of Nova Scotia as Administrative Agent	Form 8-K filed December 23, 2009, ex. 10.1
10.32	Credit Agreement, dated as of January 25, 2010, among Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, certain lenders party thereto and BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent.	Form 8-K filed January 28, 2010, ex. 10.1
10.33	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 15, 2008, among Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, certain lenders party thereto and Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent	Form 10-Q filed November 6, 2008, ex. 10.1
10.34	Equity Commitment Agreement, dated as of August 15, 2008, between Allegheny Energy, Inc. and Union Bank of California, as Collateral Agent	Form 10-Q filed November 6, 2008, ex. 10.2 and Form 10-Q filed November 9, 2009, ex. 10.10
10.35	Pledge Agreement, dated as of August 15, 2008, between Allegheny Energy, Inc. and Union Bank of California, as Collateral Agent	Form 10-Q filed November 6, 2008, ex. 10.3 and Form 10-Q filed November 9, 2009, ex. 10.11
10.37	Amendment Letter, dated as of February 10, 2010, in respect of the Credit Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2009, among Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, the Lenders party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent.	Form 8-K filed February 11, 2010, ex. 10.1

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX
(Rule 601(a))

	Documents	Incorporation by Reference
10.38	Amendment Letter, dated as of February 10, 2010, in respect of the Credit Agreement, dated as of May 22, 2006, among Allegheny Energy, Inc., Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, the Lenders party thereto, and Citicorp North America, Inc. as Administrative Agent.	Form 8-K filed February 11, 2010, ex. 10.2
10.39	Amendment Letter, dated as of February 10, 2010, in respect of the Credit Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2009, among Monongahela Power Company, the Lenders party thereto, and The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Administrative Agent.	Form 8-K filed February 11, 2010, ex. 10.3
10.40	Amendment Letter, dated as of February 10, 2010, in respect of the Credit Agreement, dated as of January 25, 2010, among Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, the Lenders party thereto, and BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent.	Form 8-K filed February 11, 2010, ex. 10.4
10.41	Subsidiaries' Indentures described below	
12	Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges	Filed herewith
21	Subsidiaries of AE:	
	Name of Company	**State of Organization**
	Allegheny Energy Service Corporation—100%	Maryland
	Allegheny Ventures, Inc.—100%	Delaware
	Monongahela Power Company—100%	Ohio
	The Potomac Edison Company—100%	Maryland and Virginia
	West Penn Power Company—100%	Pennsylvania
	Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC—100%	Delaware
	Allegheny Energy Supply Hunlock Creek, LLC—100%	Delaware
	Allegheny Energy Transmission, LLC—100%	Delaware
	Green Valley Hydro, LLC—100%	Virginia
	Ohio Valley Electric Corporation—3.50%	Ohio
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	See page 191 herein.
23.2	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	See page 192 herein.
24	Powers of Attorney	See page 193 herein.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Filed herewith
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	

* Confidential treatment has been requested from the commission for portions of this document.

** Schedules have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. Allegheny will furnish the omitted schedules to the SEC upon request by the Commission.

Exhibit 12

ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
COMPUTATION IN SUPPORT OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

	Years Ended December 31,				
(Dollar amounts in thousands)	**2009**	**2008**	**2007**	**2006**	**2005**
Earnings:					
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	$635,672	$599,856	$666,840	$496,012	$144,574
Exclude amounts reflected in line above:					
Amortization of capitalized interest	3,828	2,413	1,923	1,644	2,695
Loss (income) from unconsolidated equity investees	(126)	(1,795)	(334)	(325)	770
Add fixed charges (see below)	324,486	273,823	216,835	286,769	453,559
Less amounts included in fixed charges:					
Capitalized interest	(25,922)	(34,583)	(20,028)	(6,943)	(3,065)
Preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiary (a)	—	—	(1,123)	(1,739)	(7,284)
Total Earnings (as defined)	$937,938	$839,714	$864,113	$775,418	$591,249
Fixed charges:					
Interest expensed including amortization of debt issuance costs	$291,078	$231,937	$187,226	$270,265	$436,447
Interest capitalized including allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	29,228	37,431	23,903	10,218	4,340
Estimated interest component of rental expense	4,180	4,455	4,584	4,547	5,488
Preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiary (a)	—	—	1,123	1,739	7,284
Total Fixed Charges (as defined)	$324,486	$273,823	$216,836	$286,769	$453,559
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (b)	2.89x	3.07x	3.99x	2.70x	1.30x

(a) The preference security dividend requirement represents the amount of pre-tax earnings required to pay the dividends on preference securities of a subsidiary.

(b) The ratio of earnings to fixed charges excludes interest expense (benefit) on uncertain tax positions of $1.0 million, $(4.5) million and $0.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, which was recorded as a component of income tax expense.

Exhibit 31.1

CERTIFICATION

I, PAUL J. EVANSON, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC.

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 1, 2010

/s/ Paul J. Evanson

Paul J. Evanson
Principal Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2

CERTIFICATION

I, KIRK R. OLIVER, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC.

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 1, 2010

/s/ Kirk R. Oliver

Kirk R. Oliver
Principal Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, the undersigned officer of ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. (the "Company"), hereby certifies, to such officer's knowledge, that the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

March 1, 2010

/s/ Paul J. Evanson

Paul J. Evanson
Principal Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, the undersigned officer of ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC. (the "Company"), hereby certifies, to such officer's knowledge, that the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

March 1, 2010

/s/ Kirk R. Oliver

Kirk R. Oliver
Principal Financial Officer

BLACK HILLS CORP /SD/

FORM 10-K
(Annual Report)

Filed 02/26/10 for the Period Ending 12/31/09

Address	625 9TH STREET PO BOX 1400 RAPID CITY, SD 57709
Telephone	6057212343
CIK	0001130464
Symbol	BKH
SIC Code	4911 - Electric Services
Industry	Electric Utilities
Sector	Utilities
Fiscal Year	01/28

http://pro.edgar-online.com
© Copyright 2010, EDGAR Online, Inc. All Rights Reserved.
Distribution and use of this document restricted under EDGAR Online, Inc. Terms of Use.

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
Form 10-K

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-31303

BLACK HILLS CORPORATION

Incorporated in South Dakota IRS Identification Number 46-0458824

625 Ninth Street
Rapid City, South Dakota 57701

Registrant's telephone number, including area code
(605) 721-1700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common stock of $1.00 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files).
Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes ☐ No ☒

State the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant.

At June 30, 2009 $883,231,314

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at January 31, 2010
Common stock, $1.00 par value	38,961,358 shares

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement being prepared for the solicitation of proxies in connection with the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 25, 2010, are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			P age
		GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	3
		ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS	6
		WEBSITE ACCESS TO REPORTS	7
		FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION	7
Part I	ITEMS 1. and 2.	BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES	10
	ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	49
	ITEM 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	62
	ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	62
	ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	62
	ITEM 4A.	EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	62
Part II	ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	64
	ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	66
	ITEMS 7. and 7A.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	68
	ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	127
	ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	212
	ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	212
	ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	212
Part III	ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	213
	ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	213
	ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	213
	ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	214
	ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	214
	ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	215
		SIGNATURES	226
		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	227

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

The following terms and abbreviations appear in the text of this report and have the definitions described below:

Acquisition Facility	Our $1.0 billion single-draw, senior unsecured facility from which a $383 million draw was used to provide part of the funding for our Aquila Transaction
AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
Aquila	Aquila, Inc.
Aquila Transaction	Our July 14, 2008 acquisition of five utilities from Aquila
ARO	Asset Retirement Obligations
Basin Electric	Basin Electric Power Cooperative
Bbl	Barrel
Bcf	Billion cubic feet
Bcfe	Billion cubic feet equivalent
BHC Pension Plan	The Pension Plan of Black Hills Corporation
BHCCP	Black Hills Corporation Credit Policy
BHCRPP	Black Hills Corporation Risk Policies and Procedures
BHEP	Black Hills Exploration and Production, Inc., a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Non-regulated Holdings
Black Hills Colorado IPP	Black Hills Colorado IPP, LLC a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Electric Generation
Black Hills Corporation Plan	Black Hills Corporation Retirement Savings Plan
Black Hills Energy	The name used to conduct the business of Black Hills Utility Holdings, Inc.
Black Hills Electric Generation	Black Hills Electric Generation, LLC, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Non-regulated Holdings
Black Hills Non-regulated Holdings	Black Hills Non-regulated Holdings, LLC, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Corporation
Black Hills Power	Black Hills Power, Inc., a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Corporation
Black Hills Utility Holdings	Black Hills Utility Holdings, Inc., a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Corporation formed to acquire and own the utility properties acquired in the Aquila Transaction, all which are now doing business as Black Hills Energy
Black Hills Wyoming	Black Hills Wyoming, LLC, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Electric Generation
Btu	British thermal unit
CAIR	Clean Air Interstate Rule
CAMR	Clean Air Mercury Rule
CFTC	Commodity Futures Trading Commission
Cheyenne Light	Cheyenne Light, Fuel and Power Company, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Corporation
Cheyenne Light Pension Plan	The Cheyenne Light, Fuel and Power Company Pension Plan
Cheyenne Light Plan	Cheyenne Light, Fuel and Power Company Retirement Savings Plan
CO_2	Carbon Dioxide
Colorado Electric	Black Hills Colorado Electric Utility Company, LP, (doing business as Black Hills Energy), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Utility Holdings
Colorado Gas	Black Hills Colorado Gas Utility Company, LP, (doing business as Black Hills Energy), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Utility Holdings
CPUC	Colorado Public Utilities Commission
CT	Combustion turbine

Dth	Dekatherms
EBITDA	Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization
Enserco	Enserco Energy Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Non-regulated Holdings
Enserco Facility	The $300 million committed stand alone credit facility that supports Enserco's marketing and trading operations, which currently expires May 7, 2010
EPA	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERISA	Employee Retirement Income Security Act
EWG	Exempt Wholesale Generator
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Fitch	Fitch Ratings
GAAP	Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America
GCA	Gas Cost Adjustment
GHG	Greenhouse gases
Great Plains	Great Plains Energy Incorporated
GSRS	Gas System Reliability Surcharge
Happy Jack	Happy Jack Wind Farm, LLC, owned by Duke Energy Generation Services
Hastings	Hastings Fund Management Ltd
ICE	Intercontinental Exchange
IGCC	Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle
IIF	IIF BH Investment LLC, a subsidiary of an investment entity advised by JPMorgan Asset Management
Indeck	Indeck Capital, Inc.
Iowa Gas	Black Hills Iowa Gas Utility Company, LLC, (doing business as Black Hills Energy), a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Utility Holdings
IPP	Independent power production
IPP Transaction	The July 11, 2008 sale of seven of our IPP plants to affiliates of Hastings and IIF
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
IUB	Iowa Utilities Board
Kansas Gas	Black Hills Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC, (doing business as Black Hills Energy), a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Utility Holdings
KCC	Kansas Corporation Commission
KW	Kilowatt
KWh	Kilowatt-hour
LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
LOE	Lease Operating Expense
Las Vegas II	Las Vegas II gas-fired power plant
MAPP	Mid-Continent Area Power Pool
Mbbl	Thousand barrels of oil
Mcf	Thousand cubic feet
Mcfe	Thousand cubic feet equivalent
MDU	Montana Dakota Utilities Co., a public utility division of MDU Resources Group, Inc.
MEAN	Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska
MMBtu	Million British thermal units
MMcf	Million cubic feet
MMcfe	Million cubic feet equivalent
Moody's	Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
MTPSC	Montana Public Service Commission

MW	Megawatts
MWh	Megawatt-hours
NCREIF	National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries
Nebraska Gas	Black Hills Nebraska Gas Utility Company, LLC (doing business as Black Hills Energy), a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Utility Holdings
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NOx	Nitrogen Oxide
NOL	Net operating loss
NPA	Nebraska Power Association
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPSC	Nebraska Public Service Commission
NQDC	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan
NYMEX	New York Mercantile Exchange
PCA	Power Cost Adjustment
PGA	Purchase Gas Adjustment
PPA	Purchase Power Agreement
PSCo	Public Service Company of Colorado
PUD	Proved undeveloped reserves
PUHCA 2005	Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005
PURPA	Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978
QF	Qualifying Facility
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RMSA	Retiree Medical Savings Account
RTO	Regional Transmission Organization
SDPUC	South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
SEC	U. S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Silver Sage	Silver Sage Windpower, LLC, owned by Duke Energy Generation Services
SO_2	Sulfur Dioxide
S&P	Standard & Poor's, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
Valencia	Valencia Power, LLC, a former subsidiary of Black Hills Non-regulated Holdings that was sold as part of our IPP Transaction
VEBA	Voluntary Employee Benefit Association
VIE	Variable Interest Entity
WDEQ	Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council
WPSC	Wyoming Public Service Commission
WRDC	Wyodak Resources Development Corporation, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Black Hills Non-regulated Holdings

ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

ASC	Accounting Standards Codification
ASC 105	ASC 105, "FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - a replacement of FASB Standard No. 162"
ASC 260	ASC 260, "Earnings Per Share"
ASC 715	ASC 715, "Compensation – Retirement Benefits"
ASC 805	ASC 805, "Business Combinations"
ASC 810	ASC 810, "Consolidations"
ASC 810-10-15	ASC 810-10-15, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities"
ASC 815	ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging"
ASC 820	ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures"
ASC 825	ASC 825, "Financial Instruments"
ASC 855	ASC 855, "Subsequent Events"
ASC 932-10-S99	ASC 932-10-S99, "Extractive Activities – Oil and Gas, SEC Materials"

Website Access to Reports

The reports we file with the SEC are available free of charge at our website www.blackhillscorp.com as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed. In addition, the charters of our Audit, Governance and Compensation Committees are located on our website along with our Code of Business Conduct, Code of Ethics for our Chief Executive Officer and Senior Finance Officers, Corporate Governance Guidelines of the Board of Directors and Policy for Director Independence. The information contained on our website is not part of this document.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have filed with the SEC, as exhibits to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, the certifications required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act regarding the quality of our public disclosure. Our Chief Executive Officer certified to the New York Stock Exchange following our 2009 annual shareholder meeting that he was not aware of violations by us of the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance listing standards.

Each of the foregoing documents is available in print to any of our shareholders upon request by writing to Black Hills Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 625 Ninth Street, Rapid City, South Dakota 57701.

Forward-Looking Information

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes "forward-looking statements" as defined by the SEC. We make these forward-looking statements in reliance on the safe harbor protections provided under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-K that address activities, events or developments that we expect, believe or anticipate will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that we believe are reasonable based on current expectations and projections about future events and industry conditions and trends affecting our business. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and certain important factors can cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "may," "will," "could," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "projects," "predicts," "potentials," or "continue" or the negative of these terms or other similar terminology. There are various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements; accordingly, there can be no assurances that such indicated results will be realized. Whether actual results and developments will conform to our expectations and predictions is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that, among other things, could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements, including without limitation, the Risk Factors set forth in Item 1A of this Form 10-K and the following:

- Our ability to successfully integrate and profitably operate any recent and future acquisitions;
- Macro- and micro-economic changes in the economy and energy industry, including the impact of (i) consolidations and changes in competition, (ii) changing conditions in the capital and credit markets, which affect our ability to raise capital on favorable terms, and (iii) general economic and political conditions, including tax rates or policies and inflation rates;
- Our ability to successfully maintain our corporate credit rating;
- Our ability to access revolving credit capacity and comply with loan covenants;
- Capital market conditions and market uncertainties related to interest rates, which may affect our ability to raise capital on favorable terms;
- The amount and timing of capital deployment in new investment opportunities or for the repurchase of debt or stock;
- Our ability to obtain permanent financing for capital expenditures on reasonable terms either through long-term debt or issuance of equity;

- The outcome of any ongoing or future litigation or similar disputes and the impact of any such outcome or related settlements on our financial condition or results of operations;
- Price risk due to marketable securities held as investments in employee benefit plans;
- The effect of accounting policies issued periodically by accounting standard-setting bodies;
- The accounting treatment and earnings impact associated with interest rate swaps;
- Our ability to comply, or to make expenditures required to comply, with changes in laws and regulations, particularly those relating to energy markets, taxation, safety and protection of the environment, and our ability to recover those expenditures in customer rates, where applicable;
- Federal and state laws concerning climate change and air emissions, including emission reduction mandates, carbon emissions and renewable energy portfolio standards, may materially increase our generation and production costs and could render some of our generating units uneconomical to operate and maintain;
- Changes in business, regulatory compliance and financial reporting practices arising from the enactment of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and subsequent rules and regulations promulgated thereunder;
- Additional liabilities for environmental conditions, including remediation and reclamation obligations, under environmental laws;
- Our ability to minimize losses related to defaults on amounts due from customers and counterparties, including counterparties to trading and other commercial transactions;
- The timing, volatility and extent of changes in energy and commodity prices, supply or volume, the cost and availability of transportation of commodities, changes in interest or foreign exchange rates, and the demand for our services, any of which can affect our earnings, our financial liquidity and the underlying value of our assets;
- The cost and effects on our business, including insurance, resulting from terrorist actions or responses to such actions or events;
- Our ability to obtain adequate cost recovery for our utility operations through regulatory proceedings and receive favorable rulings in periodic applications to recover costs for fuel, transportation, transmission and purchased power in our regulated utilities;
- Our ability to receive regulatory approval in rate base for new power generation facilities;
- Our ability to recover our borrowing costs, including debt service costs, in our customer rates;
- The timing and extent of scheduled and unscheduled outages of power generation facilities;
- The possibility that we may be required to take impairment charges to reduce the carrying value of some of our long-lived assets when indicators of impairment emerge;
- Our ability to complete the permitting, construction, start-up and operation of power generating facilities in a cost-effective and timely manner;
- Our ability to successfully complete labor negotiations with four of the six unions currently or soon to be in contract renewal negotiations;

- Our ability to accurately estimate demand from our customers for natural gas;
- Weather and other natural phenomena;
- Changes in state laws or regulations that could cause us to curtail our independent power production or exploration and production activities;
- Our ability to meet production targets for our oil and gas properties, which may be dependent upon issuance by federal, state and tribal governments, or agencies thereof, of drilling, environmental and other permits, and the availability of specialized contractors, work force and equipment, or the possibility of reductions in our drilling program resulting from the current economic climate and commodity prices, which also may prevent us from maintaining production rates and replacing reserves for our oil and gas properties;
- The amount of collateral required to be posted from time to time in our transactions;
- Our ability to effectively use derivative financial instruments to hedge commodity, currency exchange rate and interest rate risks;
- The possibility that we may be required to take impairment charges under the SEC's full cost ceiling test for the accumulated costs of our natural gas and oil reserves;
- The extent of our success in connecting natural gas supplies to gathering, processing and pipeline systems;
- Our ability to provide accurate estimates of proved oil and gas reserves, coal reserves and future production rates and associated costs; and
- The cost and effect on our business, including insurance, resulting from terrorist actions or responses to such actions or events.

New factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all such factors, or the extent to which any such factor or combination of factors may cause actual results to differ from those contained in any forward-looking statement. We assume no obligation to update publicly any such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

PART I

ITEMS 1 AND 2. BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

History and Organization

Black Hills Corporation, a South Dakota corporation (the "Company," "we," "us," "our"), is a diversified energy company headquartered in Rapid City, South Dakota. Our predecessor company, Black Hills Power and Light Company, was incorporated and began providing electric utility service in 1941. It was formed through the purchase and combination of several existing electric utilities and related assets, some of which had served customers in the Black Hills region since 1883. In 1956, the Company began producing, selling and marketing various forms of energy through its non-regulated business.

We operate principally in the United States with two major business groups: Utilities and Non-regulated Energy. Our Utilities Group is comprised of our regulated Electric Utilities and regulated Gas Utilities segments, and our Non-regulated Energy Group is comprised of our Oil and Gas, Power Generation, Coal Mining, and Energy Marketing segments, as shown below. At December 31, 2009, we had 2,171 employees, 749 of which were represented by union locals.

Business Group	Financial Segment
Utilities	Electric Utilities Gas Utilities
Non-regulated Energy	Oil and Gas Power Generation Coal Mining Energy Marketing

Our regulated Electric Utilities segment generates, transmits and distributes electricity to approximately 201,100 customers in South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado and Montana and includes the operations of Cheyenne Light, a combination electric and gas utility, and its approximately 33,900 gas utility customers in Wyoming. Our regulated Gas Utilities segment serves approximately 528,300 natural gas utility customers in Colorado, Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas. Our regulated Electric Utilities own 630 MWs of generation and 8,182 miles of electric transmission and distribution lines, and our regulated Gas Utilities own 626 miles of intrastate gas transmission pipelines and 19,638 miles of gas distribution mains and service lines. Our regulated Electric and regulated Gas Utilities generated earnings from continuing operations of $57.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2009 and had total assets of $2.3 billion at December 31, 2009.

Our Oil and Gas segment engages in the exploration, development and production of crude oil and natural gas, primarily in the Rocky Mountain region. Our Coal Mining segment produces coal at our coal mine near Gillette, Wyoming, and our Energy Marketing segment markets natural gas, crude oil and related services, primarily in the Unites States and Canada. Our Power Generation segment produces electric power from our generating plants and sells the electric capacity and energy primarily under long-term contracts. In 2008, we sold seven IPP plants previously reported in our Power Generation segment, which resulted in the operations of these plants being reported as discontinued operations. Our Non-regulated Energy Group generated earnings from continuing operations of $0.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2009 and had total assets of $1.0 billion at December 31, 2009.

Segment Financial Information

We discuss our business strategy and other prospective information in Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Financial information regarding our business segments is incorporated herein by reference to Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, particularly Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Business Group Overview

Utilities Group

We conduct regulated electric utility operations and combination electric and gas utility operations through three subsidiaries: Black Hills Power (South Dakota, Wyoming and Montana), Cheyenne Light (Wyoming), and Colorado Electric (Colorado). Our regulated Electric Utilities generate, transmit and distribute electricity to approximately 201,100 customers in South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado and Montana. Additionally, they also distribute natural gas to approximately 33,900 natural gas utility customers served by Cheyenne Light in Wyoming. Our electric generating facilities and purchased power contracts supply electricity principally to our own distribution systems. Additionally, we sell excess power to other utilities and marketing companies, including affiliates.

We conduct natural gas utility operations on a state-by-state basis through our Colorado Gas, Iowa Gas, Kansas Gas and Nebraska Gas subsidiaries. Our regulated Gas Utilities distribute and transport natural gas to our customers through our distribution network to approximately 528,300 customers in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas and Nebraska. We also provide related services that include appliance repairs, gas technical services and the sale of temporarily-available, contractual pipeline capacity from our suppliers.

Since our three regulated electric utilities and our four regulated natural gas utilities have similar economic characteristics, we aggregate our electric utility operations into the regulated Electric Utilities segment and our gas utility operations into the regulated Gas Utilities segment .

Electric Utilities Segment

Capacity and Demand

Uninterrupted system peak demands for the regulated Electric Utilities for each of the last three years are listed below:

By Entity

	System Peak Demand (in MW)					
	2009		2008		2007	
	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter
Black Hills Power	387	392	409	407	430	361
Cheyenne Light	169	171	166	168	163	152
Colorado Electric	365	296	306 [(a)]	298 [(a)]	-	-
Total Electric Utilities	921	859	881	873	593	513

(a) For the period July 14, 2008 to December 31, 2008.

Regulated Power Plants

As of December 31, 2009, our regulated Electric Utilities' ownership interests in electric generation plants were as follows:

Unit	Fuel Type	Location	Ownership Interest %	Gross Capacity (MW)	Year Installed
Black Hills Power [1] :					
Neil Simpson II	Coal	Gillette, WY	100	90.0	1995
Wyodak [2]	Coal	Gillette, WY	20	72.4	1978
Osage	Coal	Osage, WY	100	34.5	1948-1952
Ben French	Coal	Rapid City, SD	100	25.0	1960
Neil Simpson I	Coal	Gillette, WY	100	21.8	1969
Neil Simpson CT	Gas	Gillette, WY	100	40.0	2000
Lange CT	Gas	Rapid City, SD	100	40.0	2002
Ben French Diesel #1-5	Oil	Rapid City, SD	100	10.0	1965
Ben French CTs #1-4	Gas/Oil	Rapid City, SD	100	100.0	1977-1979
Cheyenne Light:					
Wygen II	Coal	Gillette, WY	100	95.0	2008
Colorado Electric [3] :					
W.N. Clark #1-2	Coal	Canon City, CO	100	42.0	1955, 1959
Pueblo #6	Gas	Pueblo, CO	100	20.0	1949
Pueblo #5	Gas	Pueblo, CO	100	9.0	1941, 2001
AIP Diesel	Oil	Pueblo, CO	100	10.0	2001
Diesel #1-5	Oil	Pueblo, CO	100	10.0	1964
Diesel #1-5	Oil	Rocky Ford, CO	100	10.0	1964

(1) During 2008, we mobilized for the construction of Wygen III, a 110 MW mine-mouth coal-fired power plant. The plant is scheduled to be completed in April 2010. Black Hills Power will operate the plant and owns a 75% interest in the facility and MDU owns the remaining 25%. Our WRDC coal mine will furnish all of the coal fuel supply for the plant.

(2) Wyodak is a 362 MW mine-mouth coal-fired plant owned 80% by PacifiCorp and 20% (or 72.4 MW) by Black Hills Power. The baseload plant is operated by PacifiCorp and our WRDC coal mine furnishes all of the coal fuel supply for the plant.

(3) During 2009, we began the preparation to construct two 90 MW gas-fired power generation facilities to support the customers of Colorado Electric. These facilities are expected to be completed by December 31, 2011.

The following table shows the regulated Electric Utilities' annual average cost of fuel utilized to generate electricity and the average price paid for purchased power (excluding contracted capacity) per MWh during the last three years (dollars per MWh):

Fuel Source

	2009	2008 (1)	2007 (2)
Coal	$ 13.99	$ 11.41	$ 8.94
Gas and Oil	$ 85.52	$ 88.60	$ 68.04
Total Average Fuel Cost	$ 15.22	$ 13.18	$ 11.84
Purchased Power (3)	$ 28.93	$ 38.06	$ 29.87

(1) 2008 includes Colorado Electric from July 14, 2008 through December 31, 2008.
(2) Excludes Colorado Electric, which we did not acquire until July 14, 2008.
(3) Includes Colorado Electric acquired on July 14, 2008, Happy Jack commencing in October 2008, and Silver Sage commencing in October 2009.

Power Supply

The following table shows the power supply, by resource as a percent of the total power supply, for our regulated Electric Utilities:

	2009	2008	2007
Coal-fired	39%	44%	42%
Gas and Oil	1	1	2
Total Generated	40%	45%	44%
Purchased	60	55	56
Total	100%	100%	100%

Purchased Power. Various agreements have been executed to support our regulated Electric Utilities' capacity and energy needs beyond our regulated power plants' generation. Key contracts include:

- Black Hills Power's PPA with PacifiCorp expiring in 2023, which provides for the purchase of 50 MW of coal-fired baseload power;
- Black Hills Power's reserve capacity integration agreement with PacifiCorp expiring in 2012, which makes available 100 MW of reserve capacity in connection with the utilization of the Ben French CT units;
- Colorado Electric's PPA with PSCo expiring at the end of 2011, whereby Colorado Electric purchases a majority of its power. The contract provides for 290 MW of capacity and energy in 2010, increasing to 300 MW in 2011;
- Black Hills Wyoming provides Cheyenne Light with 40 MW of energy and capacity from their Gillette CT and 60 MW of unit-contingent capacity and energy from their Wygen I facility under purchase power agreements. The 10-year PPA for the Gillette CT expires in August 2011. The PPA for the 60 MW of unit-contingent capacity and energy from the Wygen I facility had an extension approved by FERC in September 2009 and expires December 31, 2022. The Wygen I PPA includes an option for Cheyenne Light to purchase Black Hills Wyoming's ownership interest in the Wygen I facility during years one through seven during the term of the agreement. The purchase price related to the option is $2.55 million per MW which is equivalent of the estimated initial per MW price of new construction of the Wygen III facility. This price is reduced annually by an amount of annual depreciation assuming a facility life of 35 years;
- Cheyenne Light's 20-year PPA with Duke Energy, expiring in 2028, provides up to 29.4 MW of renewable energy from the Happy Jack Wind Farm to Cheyenne Light. Under separate intercompany agreements, Cheyenne Light sells 50% of the facility's output to Black Hills Power;
- Cheyenne Light and Black Hills Power's Generation Dispatch Agreement requires Black Hills Power to purchase all of Cheyenne Light's excess energy;
- Cheyenne Light's 20-year PPA with Duke Energy's Silver Sage wind farm, expiring in 2029, provides 30 MW of wind energy. Silver Sage commenced commercial operation in October 2009. Under separate intercompany agreements, Cheyenne Light sells 20 MW of energy from Silver Sage to Black Hills Power; and
- Colorado Electric's 20-year PPA with Black Hills Colorado IPP, expiring in 2031, will provide 200 MW of power to Colorado Electric from Black Hills Colorado IPP's combined-cycle turbines beginning on January 1, 2012

Power Sales Agreements. Our regulated Electric Utilities have various long-term power sales agreements. Key agreements include:

- Black Hills Power's agreement to supply up to 74 MW of capacity and energy to MDU for the Sheridan, Wyoming electric service territory through 2016. The sales to MDU have been integrated into Black Hills Power's control area and are considered part of our firm native load. This agreement permitted MDU the option to participate in the ownership of the Wygen III plant that is currently being constructed. In April 2009, MDU exercised this option and purchased a 25% ownership interest in Wygen III. In conjunction with the ownership interest transaction, the agreement to supply capacity and energy through 2016 was modified. The agreement now provides that once in commercial operation, the first 25 MW of the required 74 MW will be supplied from MDU's ownership interest in Wygen III. During periods of reduced production at Wygen III, or during periods when Wygen III is offline, MDU will be provided with its 25 MW from our other generation facilities or from system purchases;

- Black Hills Power's agreement with the City of Gillette, Wyoming, to provide the City its first 23 MW of capacity and energy annually. The sales to the City of Gillette have been integrated into Black Hills Power's control area and are considered part of our firm native load. The agreement renews automatically and requires a seven year notice of termination. As of December 31, 2009, neither party to the agreement had given a notice of termination;

- Black Hills Power's agreement to supply 20 MW of energy and capacity to MEAN under a contract that expires in 2023. This contract is unit-contingent based on the availability of our Neil Simpson II and Wygen III plants, with capacity purchase decreasing to 15 MW in 2018, 12 MW in 2020 and 10 MW in 2022. The unit-contingent capacity amounts from Wygen III and Neil Simpson II are as follows:

2010-2017	20 MW - 10 MW contingent on Wygen III and 10 MW contingent on Neil Simpson II
2018-2019	15 MW - 10 MW contingent on Wygen III and 5 MW contingent on Neil Simpson II
2020-2021	12 MW - 6 MW contingent on Wygen III and 6 MW contingent on Neil Simpson II
2022-2023	10 MW - 5 MW contingent on Wygen III and 5 MW contingent on Neil Simpson II; and

- Black Hills Power's five-year PPA with MEAN executed in July 2009, which commences the month following the onset of commercial operations of Wygen III. Under this contract, MEAN will purchase 5 MW of unit-contingent capacity from Neil Simpson II and 5 MW of unit-contingent capacity from Wygen III.

- We have a purchase agreement with Basin Electric for the supply of 80 MW of capacity and energy through 2012 and a separate agreement to receive 80 MW of capacity and energy through 2012. The agreements were entered into with Basin Electric to accommodate delivery of electricity to Cheyenne Light's service territory.

Transmission and Distribution. Through our regulated electric utilities, we own electric transmission systems composed of high voltage transmission lines (greater than 69 KV) and low voltage lines (69 or fewer KV). We also jointly own high voltage lines with Basin Electric and Powder River Energy Corporation.

At December 31, 2009, our regulated Electric Utilities owned or leased the electric transmission and distribution lines shown below:

Utility	State	Transmission (in Line Miles)	Distribution (in Line Miles)
Black Hills Power	SD, WY	1,007	2,403
Black Hills Power - Jointly Owned	SD, WY	47	-
Cheyenne Light	SD, WY	25	1,172
Colorado Electric	CO	509	3,019

Through Black Hills Power, we own 35% of a transmission tie that interconnects the Western and Eastern transmission grids, which are independently-operated transmission grids serving the western United States and eastern United States, respectively. This transmission tie, which is 65% owned by Basin Electric, provides transmission access to both the WECC region in the West and the MAPP region in the East. Black Hills Power's electric system is located in the WECC region, and the total transfer capacity of the tie is 400 MW - 200 MW from West to East, and 200 MW from East to West. This transmission tie allows us to buy and sell energy in the Eastern grid without having to isolate and physically reconnect load or generation between the two transmission grids, thus enhancing the reliability of our system. It accommodates scheduling transactions in both directions simultaneously, provides additional opportunities to sell excess generation or to make economic purchases to serve our native load and contract obligations, and enables us to take advantage of the power price differentials between the two grids. Additionally, Black Hills Power's system is capable of directly interconnecting up to 80 MW of generation or load to the Eastern transmission grid.

Black Hills Power has firm point-to-point transmission access to deliver up to 50 MW of power on PacifiCorp's transmission system to wholesale customers in the Western region through 2023.

Black Hills Power also has firm network transmission access to deliver power on PacifiCorp's system to Sheridan, Wyoming to serve our power sales contract with MDU through 2016, with the right to renew pursuant to the terms of PacifiCorp's transmission tariff.

Operating Statistics

The following tables summarize regulated sales revenues, sales quantities and customers for our regulated Electric Utilities segment. 2008 reported amounts include Colorado Electric from its July 14, 2008 acquisition date through December 31, 2008, whereas 2007 amounts do not include Colorado Electric:

Sales Revenues (in thousands)

	2009	2008	2007
Residential:			
Black Hills Power	$ 48,586	$ 46,854	$ 45,657
Cheyenne Light	29,198	31,394	24,060
Colorado Electric	66,548	32,620	-
Total Residential	144,332	110,868	69,717
Commercial:			
Black Hills Power	59,897	58,289	55,991
Cheyenne Light	51,280	51,609	38,871
Colorado Electric	56,002	28,531	-
Total Commercial	167,179	138,429	94,862
Industrial:			
Black Hills Power	20,014	21,432	21,974
Cheyenne Light	11,121	9,716	7,306
Colorado Electric	31,067	16,280	-
Total Industrial	62,202	47,428	29,280
Municipal:			
Black Hills Power	2,735	2,734	2,697
Cheyenne Light	932	973	797
Colorado Electric	4,408	2,289	-
Total Municipal	8,075	5,996	3,494
Contract Wholesale:			
Black Hills Power	25,358	26,643	25,240
Off-system Wholesale:			
Black Hills Power	32,212	63,770	35,210
Cheyenne Light	8,565	6,105	-
Colorado Electric	14,008	11,194	-
Total Off-system Wholesale	54,785	81,069	35,210
Other Sales Revenue:			
Black Hills Power	18,277	12,950	12,932
Cheyenne Light	718	394	208
Colorado Electric	4,226	1,346	-
Total Other Sales Revenue	23,221	14,690	13,140
Total Sales Revenues	$ 485,152	$ 425,123	$ 270,943

Quantities Generated and Purchased (MWh)

	2009	2008	2007
Generated -			
Coal-fired:			
Black Hills Power	1,721,074	1,731,838	1,758,280
Cheyenne Light [1]	766,943	740,051	-
Colorado Electric	252,603	138,424	-
Total Coal	2,740,620	2,610,313	1,758,280
Gas and Oil-fired:			
Black Hills Power	46,723	61,801	90,618
Cheyenne Light	-	-	-
Colorado Electric	2,705	306	-
Total Gas and Oil	49,428	62,107	90,618
Total Generated:			
Black Hills Power	1,767,797	1,793,639	1,848,898
Cheyenne Light	766,943	740,051	-
Colorado Electric	255,308	138,730	-
Total Generated	2,790,048	2,672,420	1,848,898
Purchased:			
Black Hills Power	1,686,455	1,703,088	1,279,005
Cheyenne Light	651,201	590,622	1,047,782
Colorado Electric	1,991,058	1,028,029	-
Total Purchased	4,328,714	3,321,739	2,326,787
Total Generated and Purchased	7,118,762	5,994,159	4,175,685

(1) Represents the Wygen II plant that began providing electricity to Cheyenne Light customers on January 1, 2008.

Quantity Sold (MWh)

	2009	2008	2007
Residential:			
Black Hills Power	529,825	524,413	518,148
Cheyenne Light	255,134	255,345	251,313
Colorado Electric	589,526	284,294	-
Total Residential	1,374,485	1,064,052	769,461
Commercial:			
Black Hills Power	723,360	699,734	690,702
Cheyenne Light	583,986	586,151	561,963
Colorado Electric	666,563	330,870	-
Total Commercial	1,973,909	1,616,755	1,252,665
Industrial:			
Black Hills Power	353,041	414,421	434,627
Cheyenne Light	174,792	144,179	141,353
Colorado Electric	452,584	235,218	-
Total Industrial	980,417	793,818	575,980
Municipal:			
Black Hills Power	33,948	34,368	34,661
Cheyenne Light	3,456	3,669	3,658
Colorado Electric	37,244	19,740	-
Total Municipal	74,648	57,777	38,319
Contract Wholesale:			
Black Hills Power	645,297	665,795	652,931
Off-system Wholesale:			
Black Hills Power	1,009,574	1,074,398	678,581
Cheyenne Light	309,122	246,542	-
Colorado Electric	373,495	230,333	-
Total Off-system Wholesale	1,692,191	1,551,273	678,581
Total Quantity Sold:			
Black Hills Power	3,295,045	3,413,129	3,009,650
Cheyenne Light	1,326,490	1,235,886	958,287
Colorado Electric	2,119,412	1,100,455	-
Total Quantity Sold	6,740,947	5,749,470	3,967,937
Losses and Company Use:			
Black Hills Power	159,207	83,598	118,253
Cheyenne Light	91,654	94,787	89,495
Colorado Electric	126,954	66,304	-
Total Losses and Company Use	377,815	244,689	207,748
Total Energy	7,118,762	5,994,159	4,175,685

Degree Days

	2009		2008		2007	
Heating Degree Days:	Actual	Variance from 30-Year Average	Actual	Variance from 30-Year Average	Actual	Variance from 30-Year Average
Actual -						
Black Hills Power	7,753	8%	7,676	6%	6,627	(7)%
Cheyenne Light	7,411	-	7,435	1%	6,964	(6)%
Colorado Electric	5,546	(1)%	2,204	(5)%	-	-
Cooling Degree Days:						
Actual -						
Black Hills Power	354	(41)%	482	(19)%	1,033	74%
Cheyenne Light	203	(26)%	372	36%	536	96%
Colorado Electric	804	(13)%	500	(12)%	-	-

Electric Customers at Year-End

	2009	2008	2007
Residential:			
Black Hills Power	54,470	53,765	53,057
Cheyenne Light	35,943	35,205	35,175
Colorado Electric	81,622	81,561	-
Total Residential	172,035	170,531	88,232
Commercial:			
Black Hills Power	12,261	12,213	12,073
Cheyenne Light	4,932	4,563	4,381
Colorado Electric	11,101	11,155	-
Total Commercial	28,294	27,931	16,454
Industrial:			
Black Hills Power	38	40	41
Cheyenne Light	2	2	2
Colorado Electric	90	93	-
Total Industrial	130	135	43
Contract Wholesale:			
Black Hills Power	3	3	3
Other Electric Customers:			
Black Hills Power	143	3,010	3,012
Cheyenne Light	13	6	6
Colorado Electric	499	480	-
Total Other Electric Customers	655	3,496	3,018
Total Customers:			
Black Hills Power	66,915	69,031	68,186
Cheyenne Light	40,890	39,776	39,564
Colorado Electric	93,312	93,289	-
Total Customers	201,117	202,096	107,750

Cheyenne Light Natural Gas Distribution

Cheyenne Light's natural gas distribution system serves approximately 33,900 natural gas customers in Cheyenne and other portions of Laramie County, Wyoming. Our peak capacity was approximately 38,700 Dth during the year ending December 31, 2009. The following table summarizes certain operating information:

	2009	2008	2007
Sales Revenues (in thousands):			
Residential	$ 21,495	$ 28,059	$ 18,985
Commercial	9,821	13,751	9,437
Industrial	3,537	5,668	3,340
Other Sales Revenues	760	818	706
Total Sales Revenues	$ 35,613	$ 48,296	$ 32,468
Sales Margins (in thousands):			
Residential	$ 10,219	$ 10,083	$ 6,408
Commercial	3,266	3,177	2,268
Industrial	509	483	436
Other Sales Margins	760	818	707
Total Sales Margins	$ 14,754	$ 14,561	$ 9,819
Volumes Sold (Dth):			
Residential	2,516,699	2,582,248	2,380,945
Commercial	1,502,002	1,501,025	1,382,150
Industrial	722,776	689,945	664,807
Total Volumes Sold	4,741,477	4,773,218	4,427,902

Gas Utilities Segment

At December 31, 2009, our Gas Utilities owned gas transmission and distribution lines by state shown below (in line miles):

	Intrastate Gas Transmission Pipelines	Gas Distribution Mains	Gas Distribution Service Lines
Colorado	122	2,967	871
Nebraska	51	3,406	3,462
Iowa	170	2,753	2,313
Kansas	283	2,578	1,288
Total	626	11,704	7,934

The following table summarizes the regulated Gas Utilities' sales revenues for December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

Sales Revenues	2009	2008 (1)
Residential:		
Colorado	$ 62,732	$ 27,928
Nebraska	127,120	60,624
Iowa	113,781	47,338
Kansas	70,848	31,456
Total Residential	374,481	167,346
Commercial:		
Colorado	13,357	6,356
Nebraska	43,472	20,705
Iowa	54,587	26,003
Kansas	22,629	10,092
Total Commercial	134,045	63,156
Industrial:		
Colorado	1,348	1,495
Nebraska	3,425	1,640
Iowa	2,191	1,581
Kansas	11,057	14,667
Total Industrial	18,021	19,383
Transportation:		
Colorado	732	278
Nebraska	10,569	4,703
Iowa	3,876	1,609
Kansas	5,389	2,409
Total Transportation	20,566	8,999
Other Sales Revenue:		
Colorado	100	39
Nebraska	2,077	907
Iowa	1,073	457
Kansas	3,213	1,600
Total Other Sales Revenue	6,463	3,003
Total Regulated:		
Colorado	78,269	36,096
Nebraska	186,663	88,579
Iowa	175,508	76,988
Kansas	113,136	60,224
Total Regulated	553,576	261,887
Non-regulated Services	26,736	15,189
Total Sales Revenues	$ 580,312	$ 277,076

(1) 2008 reported amounts include the regulated Gas Utilities for the period July 14, 2008 to December 31, 2008.

The following table summarizes the regulated Gas Utilities' sales margins for December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

Sales Margins	2009	2008 (1)
Residential:		
Colorado	$ 17,443	$ 5,984
Nebraska	44,638	19,460
Iowa	42,734	16,335
Kansas	28,999	12,436
Total Residential	133,814	54,215
Commercial:		
Colorado	3,176	1,131
Nebraska	11,785	4,952
Iowa	12,749	5,210
Kansas	6,484	2,693
Total Commercial	34,194	13,986
Industrial:		
Colorado	375	232
Nebraska	431	173
Iowa	244	105
Kansas	1,766	1,041
Total Industrial	2,816	1,551
Transportation:		
Colorado	732	278
Nebraska	10,569	4,703
Iowa	3,876	1,609
Kansas	5,389	2,409
Total Transportation	20,566	8,999
Other Sales Margins:		
Colorado	101	39
Nebraska	2,077	907
Iowa	1,073	457
Kansas	2,312	1,177
Total Other Sales Margins	5,563	2,580
Total Regulated:		
Colorado	21,827	7,664
Nebraska	69,500	30,195
Iowa	60,676	23,716
Kansas	44,950	19,756
Total Regulated	196,953	81,331
Non-regulated Services	11,643	3,895
Total Sales Margins	$ 208,596	$ 85,226

(1) 2008 reported amounts include the regulated Gas Utilities for the period July 14, 2008 to December 31, 2008.

The following table summarizes the regulated Gas Utilities' volumes for December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in Dth):

Volumes	2009	2008 (1)
Residential:		
Colorado	6,355,275	2,344,549
Nebraska	12,619,682	5,115,805
Iowa	10,976,268	4,126,150
Kansas	6,878,243	2,682,850
Total Residential	36,829,468	14,269,354
Commercial:		
Colorado	1,444,360	563,169
Nebraska	5,189,630	2,133,433
Iowa	6,597,035	2,749,234
Kansas	2,696,870	1,063,356
Total Commercial	15,927,895	6,509,192
Industrial:		
Colorado	263,134	164,112
Nebraska	581,892	248,256
Iowa	333,324	196,841
Kansas	2,524,126	1,586,306
Total Industrial	3,702,476	2,195,515
Transportation:		
Colorado	807,999	347,822
Nebraska	25,311,501	12,930,165
Iowa	14,915,602	6,312,050
Kansas	14,069,182	7,215,038
Total Transportation	55,104,284	26,805,075
Other Volumes:		
Colorado	-	-
Nebraska	1,400	320
Iowa	68,290	18,301
Kansas	141,909	60,917
Total Other Volumes	211,599	79,538
Total Volumes:		
Colorado	8,870,768	3,419,652
Nebraska	43,704,105	20,427,979
Iowa	32,890,519	13,402,576
Kansas	26,310,330	12,608,467
Total Volumes	111,775,722	49,858,674

(1) 2008 reported amounts include the regulated Gas Utilities for the period July 14, 2008 to December 31, 2008.

Degree Days

Heating Degree Days:	2009 Actual	2009 Variance From 30-Year Average	2008 Actual	2008 Variance From 30-Year Average
Colorado	6,299	2%	2,376	(7)%
Nebraska	6,238	5%	2,458	-
Iowa	7,279	6%	2,909	3%
Kansas	4,989	-	1,897	(3)%

The following table summarizes the quantities of natural gas in storage at our regulated Gas Utilities at December 31, (in MMBtu):

	2009	2008
Natural gas in storage	6,866,550	7,317,931

The following table summarizes the regulated Gas Utilities' customers as of December 31, 2009 and 2008:

Customers	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Residential:		
Colorado	65,586	64,601
Nebraska	179,873	177,432
Iowa	133,712	133,442
Kansas	97,446	96,593
Total Residential	476,617	472,068
Commercial:		
Colorado	3,590	3,579
Nebraska	15,218	15,034
Iowa	15,403	15,467
Kansas	9,510	9,463
Total Commercial	43,721	43,543
Industrial:		
Colorado	207	208
Nebraska	149	149
Iowa	90	84
Kansas	1,351	1,267
Total Industrial	1,797	1,708
Transportation:		
Colorado	22	21
Nebraska	4,579	4,758
Iowa	389	397
Kansas	1,077	1,174
Total Transportation	6,067	6,350
Other:		
Colorado	-	-
Nebraska	2	2
Iowa	71	69
Kansas	8	8
Total Other	81	79
Total Customers		
Colorado	69,405	68,409
Nebraska	199,821	197,375
Iowa	149,665	149,459
Kansas	109,392	108,505
Total Customers	528,283	523,748

Business Characteristics

Seasonal Variations of Business

Our regulated Electric Utilities and regulated Gas Utilities are seasonal businesses and weather patterns may impact their operating performance. Demand for electricity and natural gas is sensitive to seasonal cooling, heating and industrial load requirements, as well as market price. In particular, demand is often greater in the summer and winter months for cooling and heating, respectively. Because our Electric Utilities have a diverse customer and revenue base and we have historically optimized the utilization of our electric power supply resources, the impact on our operations may not be as significant when weather conditions are warmer in the winter and cooler in the summer in comparison to other investor-owned utilities. Conversely, natural gas is used primarily for residential and commercial heating, so the demand for this product depends heavily upon weather patterns throughout our service territories, and as a result, a significant amount of natural gas revenues are normally recognized in the heating season consisting of the first and fourth quarters.

Competition

We generally have limited competition for the retail distribution of electricity and natural gas in our service areas. In the past, various restructuring and competitive initiatives have been discussed in several of the states in which our utilities operate, but they were only implemented in Montana. Although we face competition from independent marketers for the sale of natural gas to our industrial and commercial customers, in instances where independent marketers displace us as the seller of natural gas, we still collect a distribution charge for transporting the gas through our distribution network. In Colorado, our electric utility is subject to rules which require competitive bidding for generation supply. Accordingly, we face competition from other utilities and IPP companies for the right to provide baseload generation for Colorado Electric.

Regulation and Rates

State Regulation

Our utilities are subject to the jurisdiction of the public utilities commissions in the states where they operate. The commissions oversee services and facilities, rates and charges, accounting, valuation of property, depreciation rates and various other matters. Certain commissions also have jurisdiction over the issuance of debt or securities, and the creation of liens on property located in their state to secure bonds or other securities.

We distribute natural gas in five states. All of our regulated Gas Utilities, including Cheyenne Light, have gas cost adjustments that allow us to pass the prudently-incurred cost of gas through to the customer. In Kansas and Nebraska, we are also allowed to recover the portion of uncollectible accounts related to gas costs through the gas cost adjustments. In Kansas, we have a weather normalization tariff that provides a pass-through mechanism for weather margin variability that occurs from the level used to establish base rates to be paid by the customer. In Kansas, we also have tariffs that provide for more timely recovery for certain capital expenditures and fluctuations in property taxes. In Nebraska, legislation was passed in 2009 to authorize the NPSC to provide for more timely recovery from our customers for certain capital expenditures between rate cases.

We produce and distribute power in four states. The regulatory provisions for recovering the costs to produce electricity vary by state. In South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado and Montana, we have cost adjustment mechanisms for our regulated Electric Utilities that serve a purpose similar to the cost adjustment mechanisms in our regulated Gas Utilities. At Cheyenne Light, our pass-through mechanism relating to transmission, fuel and purchased power costs is subject to a $1.0 million threshold: we collect or refund 95% of the increase or decrease that exceeds the $1.0 million threshold, and we absorb the increase or retain the savings for changes above or below the threshold.

In South Dakota, we have three adjustment mechanisms: transmission, steam plant fuel (coal) and conditional energy cost adjustment. The transmission and steam plant fuel adjustment clauses requires an annual adjustment to rates for actual costs, therefore any savings or increased costs are passed on to the South Dakota customers. The conditional energy cost adjustment relates to purchased power and natural gas used to generate electricity. These costs are subject to calendar year $2.0 million and $1.0 million thresholds where Black Hills Power absorbs the first $2.0 million of increased costs or retains the first $1.0 million in savings. Beyond these thresholds, costs or savings are passed on to South Dakota customers through annual calendar-year filings.

In Colorado, we have a cost adjustment for increases or decreases in purchased power and fuel costs and a transmission cost adjustment. The cost adjustment clause provides for the direct recovery of increased purchased power and fuel costs or the issuance of credits for decreases in purchased power and fuel costs. The transmission cost adjustment is a rider to the customer's bill which allows the utility to earn an authorized return on new transmission investment and recovery of operations and maintenance costs related to transmission.

The above mechanisms allow the utilities to collect, or refund, the difference between the costs of commodities imbedded in our base rates and the actual costs of the commodities without filing a general rate case. In some instances, such as the transmission cost adjustment in Colorado, the utility has the opportunity to earn its authorized return on new capital investment.

Certain states where we conduct electric utility operations have adopted renewable energy portfolio standards that require or encourage our regulated Electric Utilities to source, by a certain future date, a minimum percentage of the electricity delivered to customers from renewable energy generation facilities. At December 31, 2009, we were subject to the following renewable energy portfolio standards or objectives:

- South Dakota . South Dakota has adopted a renewable portfolio objective that encourages utilities to generate, or cause to be generated, at least 10% of their retail electricity supply from renewable energy sources by 2015. Absent a specific renewable energy mandate in South Dakota, our current strategy is to prudently incorporate renewable energy into our resource supply, seeking to minimize associated rate increases for our utility customers.

- Montana . Montana established a renewable portfolio standard that requires Black Hills Power to obtain a percentage of its retail electric sales in Montana from eligible renewable resources according to the following schedule: (i) 5% for compliance years 2008-2009; (ii) 10% for compliance years 2010-2014; and (iii) 15% for compliance year 2015 and thereafter. Utilities can meet this standard by entering into long-term purchase contracts for electricity bundled with renewable-energy credits, by purchasing the renewable-energy credits separately, or by a combination of both. The law includes cost caps that limit the additional cost utilities must pay for renewable energy and allows cost recovery from ratepayers for contracts pre-approved by the MTPSC. We are currently in compliance with applicable standards.

- Colorado . The Colorado legislature adopted a renewable energy standard that requires our Colorado Electric subsidiary to generate, or cause to be generated, electricity from renewable energy sources equaling: (i) at least 10% of its retail sales by 2010; (ii) 15% of retail sales by 2015; and (iii) 20% of retail sales by 2020. Of these amounts, 4% must be generated from solar renewable resources with one-half of the solar resources being located at customer facilities. The law limits the net annual incremental retail rate impact from these renewable resource acquisitions (as compared to non-renewable resources) to 2% and encourages the CPUC to consider earlier and timely cost recovery for utility investment in renewable resources, including the use of a forward rider mechanism. We currently expect to be in compliance with the 2010 standards.

Wyoming is also exploring the implementation of renewable energy portfolio standards. Mandatory portfolio standards have increased, and may continue to increase the power supply costs of our electric operations. Although we will seek to recover these higher costs in rates, we can provide no assurance that we will be able to secure full recovery of the costs we pay to be in compliance with standards or objectives.

In connection with the Aquila Transaction, the CPUC, NPSC, IUB and KCC approved orders or settlement agreements providing that, among other things, (i) our utilities in those jurisdictions cannot pay dividends if they have issued debt to third parties and the payment of a dividend would reduce their equity ratio to below 40% of their total capitalization; and (ii) neither Black Hills Utility Holdings nor its utility subsidiaries can extend credit to the Company except in the ordinary course of business and upon reasonable terms consistent with market terms. In addition to the restrictions described above, each state in which we conduct utility operations imposes restrictions on affiliate transactions, including inter-company loans.

The public utility commissions determine the rates our utilities are allowed to charge for their services. Rate decisions are influenced by many factors, including the cost of providing service, capital expenditures, the prudence of our costs, views concerning appropriate rates of return, the rates of other utilities, general economic conditions and the political environment.

Federal Regulation

Energy Policy Act. Black Hills Corporation is a holding company whose assets consist primarily of investments in our subsidiaries, including subsidiaries that are public utilities and holding companies regulated by FERC under the Federal Power Act and PUHCA 2005.

Federal Power Act . The Federal Power Act gives FERC exclusive ratemaking jurisdiction over wholesale sales of electricity and the transmission of electricity in interstate commerce. Pursuant to the Federal Power Act, all public utilities subject to FERC's jurisdiction must maintain tariffs and rate schedules on file with FERC that govern the rates, terms, and conditions for the provision of FERC-jurisdictional wholesale power and transmission services. Public utilities are also subject to accounting, record-keeping, and reporting requirements administered by FERC. FERC also places certain limitations on transactions between public utilities and their affiliates. In that regard, our public utility subsidiaries provide FERC-jurisdictional services subject to FERC's oversight.

Our regulated Electric Utilities and our non-regulated subsidiary, Black Hills Wyoming, are authorized by FERC to make wholesale sales of electric capacity and energy at market-based rates under tariffs on file with FERC. As a condition of their market-based rate authority, each files Electric Quarterly Reports with FERC. Black Hills Power owns and operates FERC-jurisdictional interstate transmission facilities and provides open access transmission service under tariffs on file with FERC. Our regulated Electric Utilities are subject to routine audit by FERC with respect to their compliance with FERC's regulations.

The Federal Power Act gave FERC authority to certify and oversee a national electric reliability organization with authority to promulgate and enforce mandatory reliability standards applicable to all users, owners, and operators of the bulk-power system. FERC has certified NERC as the electric reliability organization. NERC has promulgated mandatory reliability standards, and NERC, in conjunction with regional reliability organizations that operate under FERC's and NERC's authority and oversight, enforce those mandatory reliability standards.

PUHCA 2005 . PUHCA 2005 gives FERC authority with respect to the books and records of holding company systems. As a holding company with centralized service company subsidiaries, Black Hills Service Company and Black Hills Utility Holdings, we are subject to FERC's authority under PUHCA 2005.

The following summarizes our recent state and federal rate case and surcharge activity (dollars in millions):

	Type of Service	Date Requested	Date Effective	Amount Requested	Amount Approved	Return on Equity	Approved Capital Structure	
							Equity	Debt
Nebraska Gas (1)	Gas	11/2006	9/2007	$ 16.3	$ 9.2	10.4%	51.0%	49.0%
Nebraska Gas (2)	Gas	12/2009	Pending	$ 12.1	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending
Iowa Gas (3)	Gas	6/2008	7/2009	$ 13.6	$ 10.8	10.1%	51.4%	48.6%
Colorado Gas (4)	Gas	6/2008	4/2009	$ 2.7	$ 1.4	10.3%	50.5%	49.5%
Kansas Gas (5)	Gas	5/2009	10/2009	$ 0.5	$ 0.5	10.2%	50.7%	49.3%
Black Hills Power (6)	Electric	9/2008	1/2009	$ 4.5	$ 3.8	10.8%	57.0%	43.0%
Black Hills Power (7)	Electric	9/2009	Pending	$ 32.0	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending
Black Hills Power (8)	Electric	10/2009	Pending	$ 3.8	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending
Colorado Electric (9)	Electric	1/2010	Pending	$ 22.9	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending

(1) In November 2006, Nebraska Gas filed for a $16.3 million rate increase. Interim rates were implemented in February 2007 and, in July 2007, the NPSC granted a $9.2 million increase in annual revenues based on an equity return of 10.4% on a capital structure of 51% equity and 49% debt. Nebraska Gas appealed the decision, and the district court affirmed the NPSC order in February 2008. Because Nebraska Gas collected interim rates subject to refund, it was required to refund to customers the difference between the higher interim rates and the final rates plus interest (approximately $5.6 million). The NPA appealed one aspect of our refund plan worth approximately $0.8 million. On April 15, 2009, the District Court affirmed the NPSC refund plan order, and thereby rejected NPA's appeal.

(2) On December 1, 2009, Nebraska Gas filed with the NPSC for a $12.1 million rate increase. The increase is to recover the cost of capital investments made and increased operating costs since the prior rate case in 2006. The proposed increase in revenue is about 6.5% and Nebraska Gas anticipates that interim rates subject to refund will be effective March 1, 2010. The proposed increase is subject to approval of the NPSC.

(3) On June 3, 2009, Iowa Gas received approval from the IUB to implement new natural gas service rates for its Iowa residential, commercial and industrial customers. The rates went into effect on July 27, 2009. The approved rates allow Iowa Gas to recover capital investments made in its natural gas distribution system and offset increasing operating costs due to inflation since the last rate increase in March 2006. The new rates represent approximately $10.8 million in additional revenue. The increase is based on a return on equity of 10.1%, with a capital structure of 51.4% equity and 48.6% debt.

(4) In June 2008, Colorado Gas filed for a $2.7 million rate increase. The increase was based on a proposed equity return of 11.5% on a capital structure of 50% equity and 50% debt. Interim rates were not available for collection in Colorado. On September 19, 2008, Colorado Gas filed the second phase of its rate request. On January 29, 2009, a settlement agreement was filed with the CPUC and a settlement was approved with new rates effective on April 1, 2009. The new rates included an increase in annual revenues of $1.4 million, based on a 10.25% return on equity with a capital structure of 50.48% equity and 49.52% debt.

(5) Kansas Gas has requested a GSRS in the amount of $0.5 million annually. The KCC issued an order on September 14, 2009, approving the request for $0.5 million and allowing Kansas Gas to continue collecting the $0.3 million previously authorized. The new rates had an effective date of October 1, 2009.

(6) On February 10, 2009, FERC approved a formulaic approach to the method used to determine the revenue component of Black Hills Power's open access transmission tariff, and increased the utility's annual transmission revenue requirement by approximately $3.8 million. The revenue requirement is based on an equity return of 10.8%, and a capital structure consisting of 57% equity and 43% debt. The new rates had an effective date of January 1, 2009.

(7) On September 30, 2009, Black Hills Power filed a rate case with the SDPUC requesting an electric revenue increase to recover costs associated with Wygen III and other generation, transmission and distribution assets and increased operating expenses incurred during the past four years. Black Hills Power is seeking a $32.0 million, or approximately 26.6%, increase in annual utility revenues and anticipates that the new rates will be effective for our South Dakota customers on or around April 1, 2010. In the event a final order is not received by April 1, 2010, we have the ability to implement interim rates. The proposed rate increase is subject to approval by the SDPUC.

(8) On October 19, 2009, Black Hills Power filed a rate case with the WPSC requesting an electric revenue increase to recover costs associated with Wygen III and other generation, transmission and distribution assets and increased operating expenses incurred since 1995. Black Hills Power is seeking a $3.8 million, or approximately 38.95%, increase in annual utility revenues and anticipates that the new rates will be effective for our Wyoming customers on or around July 1, 2010, although recovery could be delayed until August 2010 as part of the regulatory process. The proposed rate increase is subject to approval by the WPSC.

(9) On January 6, 2010, Colorado Electric filed a rate case with CPUC requesting an electric revenue increase to recover increased operating expenses associated with electricity supply contracts, investment in equipment and electricity distribution facilities necessary to maintain and strengthen the reliability of the electric delivery system in Colorado. Colorado Electric is seeking a $22.9 million, or approximately 12.8%, increase in annual revenues with an anticipated effective date of mid-2010. The proposed increase is subject to CPUC approval.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment and the safety and health of personnel and the public. These laws and regulations affect a broad range of our utility activities, and generally regulate (i) the protection of air and water quality; (ii) the identification, generation, storage, handling, transportation, disposal, record-keeping, labeling, reporting of, and emergency response in connection with hazardous and toxic materials and wastes, including asbestos; (iii) the protection of plant and animal species and minimization of noise emissions; and, (iv) safety and health standards, practices and procedures that apply to the workplace and to the operation of our facilities.

Based on current regulations, technology and plans, the following table contains our current estimates of capital expenditures expected to be incurred over the next three years to comply with current environmental laws and regulations as described below, including regulations that cover water, air, soil and other pollutants. The ultimate cost could be significantly different from the amounts estimated.

Environmental Expenditures	Total (in millions)
2010	$ 15.4
2011	10.8
2012	2.5
Total	$ 28.7

Water Issues

Our facilities are subject to a variety of state and federal regulations governing existing and potential water/wastewater discharges and protection of surface waters from oil pollution. Generally, such regulations are promulgated under the Clean Water Act and govern overall water/wastewater discharges through NPDES permits. All of our facilities that are required to have NPDES permits have those permits in place and are in compliance with discharge limitations. We are not aware of any proposed regulations that will have a significant impact on our operations. Additionally, the EPA regulates surface water oil pollution through its oil pollution prevention regulations. All of our facilities under this program have their required plans in place.

Air Emissions

Our generation facilities are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of air quality. These laws and regulations cover, among other pollutants, carbon monoxide, SO_2, NOx, mercury and particulate matter. In addition, CO_2 is included as a potential emission that may be subject to regulation in the future. Power generating facilities burning fossil fuels emit each of the foregoing pollutants and, therefore, are subject to substantial regulation and enforcement oversight by various governmental agencies.

Clean Air Act

Title IV of the Clean Air Act created an SO_2 allowance trading program as part of the federal acid rain program. Each allowance gives the owner the right to emit one ton of SO_2, and certain facilities are allocated allowances based on their historical operating data. At the end of each year, each emitting unit must have enough allowances to cover its emissions for that year. Allowances may be traded so affected units that expect to emit more SO_2 than their allocated allowances may purchase allowances in the open market.

Title IV applies to several of our generation facilities, including the Neil Simpson II, Neil Simpson CT, Lange CT, Wygen II and Wyodak plants. Without purchasing additional allowances, we currently hold sufficient allowances to satisfy Title IV at all such plants through 2039. For future plants, we plan to secure the requisite number of allowances by reducing SO_2 emissions through the use of low sulfur fuels, installation of "back end" control technology, use of banked allowances, and if necessary, the purchase of allowances on the open market. We expect to integrate the cost of obtaining the required number of allowances needed for future projects into our overall financial analysis of such new projects.

Title V of the Clean Air Act requires that all our generating stations obtain operating permits. All of our existing facilities have received Title V permits, with the exception of Wygen II. As a new plant, this facility is allowed to operate under its construction permit until the Title V permit is issued by the state. The Title V application was submitted in 2008, with the permit expected in early 2010.

Multi-pollutant regulations

Approximately 60% of our electric generating capacity is coal-fired. In 2005, the EPA issued CAMR regulations with respect to SO_2, NOx, and mercury emissions from certain power plants that burn fossil fuels. These rules implemented emission limits, monitoring and cap and trade requirements.

In February 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit overturned the CAMR regulations; however, under this ruling, the EPA must either properly remove mercury from regulation under the hazardous air pollutant provisions of the Clean Air Act or develop standards requiring maximum achievable control technology for mercury emissions. Moreover, although this ruling impacts federal CAMR requirements, it does not necessarily impact state mercury legislation and rules. The effects of any new rules regarding mercury reduction cannot be determined at this time and may require us to make significant investments at our power generating facilities. The state air permit for Wygen II provides mercury emission limits and monitoring requirements with which we are in compliance. Wygen II has been utilized for study and review of mercury emission control technology and has mercury monitors in place. In 2009, we added mercury monitors to our Neil Simpson II plant.

Federal multi-pollutant legislation is also being considered that would require reductions similar to the EPA rules and may add requirements for the reduction of GHG emissions.

Global Climate Change

We utilize a diversified energy portfolio of assets that includes wind sources and a fuel mix of coal and natural gas. Of these fuels, coal-fired power plants are the most significant sources of CO_2 emissions. We believe it is possible that greenhouse gases may be regulated in the near future. Although we cannot predict specifically how greenhouse gases will be regulated, any federally mandated GHG reductions or limits on CO_2 emissions could have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations. In addition to federal legislative activity, climate regulations have been proposed in various states and climate change issues are the subject of a number of lawsuits, the outcome of which could impact the utility industry. We will continue to review GHG impacts as legislation or regulation develops and litigation is resolved.

In connection with climate change initiatives, many states have enacted, and others are considering, renewable energy portfolio standards that require electric utilities to meet certain thresholds for the production or use of renewable energy. Colorado Electric is subject to renewable energy portfolio standards in Colorado. Black Hills Power is subject to mandatory renewable energy portfolio standards in Montana and voluntary standards in South Dakota. In the near future, we expect similar (if not more challenging) renewable energy portfolio standards to be mandated at the federal level or in other state jurisdictions in which we operate. Federal legislation for renewable energy portfolio standards is also under consideration. We anticipate significant additional costs to comply with any federally or state mandated renewable energy standards, which we would expect to pass on to our customers. However, we cannot at this time reasonably forecast the potential costs associated with any new renewable energy standards that have been proposed at the federal or state level.

Solid Waste Disposal

Various materials used at our facilities are subject to disposal regulations. Under appropriate state permits, we dispose of all solid wastes collected as a result of burning coal at our power plants in approved solid waste disposal sites. Ash and waste from flue gas and sulfur removal from the Wyodak, Neil Simpson I, Ben French, Neil Simpson II and Wygen II plants are deposited in mined areas at the WRDC coal mine. These disposal areas are located below some shallow water aquifers in the mine. In 2009, the State of Wyoming confirmed their past approval of this practice but may re-evaluate and limit ash disposal to mined areas that are above future groundwater aquifers. This change would increase disposal costs, which cannot be quantified until the exact requirements are known. None of the solid waste from the burning of coal is currently classified as hazardous material, but the waste does contain minute traces of metals that could be perceived as polluting if such metals leached into underground water. Investigations concluded that the wastes are relatively insoluble and will not measurably affect the post-mining ground water quality. The Osage power plant has an on-site ash impoundment that is near capacity and will be gradually transferring future ash disposal to the Wyodak coal mine. Our W.N. Clark plant sends coal ash to a permitted, privately-owned landfill. While we do not believe that any substances from our solid waste disposal activities will pollute underground water, we can provide no assurance that pollution will not occur over time. In this event, we could incur material costs to mitigate any resulting damages. Agreements are in place that require PacifiCorp to be responsible for any such costs related to the solid waste from its 80% ownership interest in the Wyodak plant.

Additional unexpected material costs could also result in the future if any regulator determines that solid waste from the burning of coal contains a hazardous material that requires special treatment, including previously disposed solid waste. In that event, the regulatory authority could hold entities that disposed of such waste responsible for remedial treatment. The EPA is currently developing ash disposal regulations, with a draft document expected in early 2010. Multiple regulatory options are being considered, one of which is regulating ash as a hazardous waste. If this option should become part of the final rule, implementation requirements could have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Past Operations

Some federal and state laws authorize the EPA and other agencies to issue orders compelling potentially responsible parties to clean up sites that are determined to present an actual or potential threat to human health or the environment.

As a result of the Aquila Transaction, we acquired whole and partial liabilities for several former manufactured gas processing (MGP) sites. From our review of data provided by Aquila and subsequent discussions with contractors, we estimate that investigative and remedial action costs will be in the range of $1.4 million to $3.7 million. The acquisition also provided for a $1.0 million insurance recovery, which will be used to help offset the remediation costs of these sites. The remediation cost estimate could change materially due to results of further investigations, actions of environmental agencies or financial viability of other responsible parties.

We have received rate orders that enable us to recover environmental cleanup costs in certain jurisdictions. In other jurisdictions, there is regulatory precedent for recovery of these costs. We are also pursuing recovery or agreements with other potentially responsible parties when and where permitted.

Non-regulated Energy Group

Our Non-regulated Energy Group, which operates through various subsidiaries, produces natural gas and crude oil primarily in the Rocky Mountain region; produces and sells electric capacity and energy through ownership of a diversified portfolio of generating plants; produces coal and markets and stores natural gas and crude oil. The Non-regulated Energy Group consists of four business segments for reporting purposes:

- Oil and Gas;
- Power Generation;
- Coal Mining; and
- Energy Marketing.

Oil and Gas Segment

Our Oil and Gas segment, which conducts business through BHEP and its subsidiaries, acquires, explores for, develops and produces natural gas and crude oil for sale into commodity markets. As of December 31, 2009, the principal assets of our Oil and Gas segment included (i) operating interests in oil and natural gas properties, including 628 gross and 580 net wells in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico and Colorado (including significant holdings within the tribal lands of the Jicarilla Apache and Southern Ute Nations), the Powder River and Big Horn Basins of Wyoming, the Piceance Basin of Colorado, and the Nebraska section of the Denver Julesburg Basin; (ii) non-operated interests in oil and natural gas properties including 686 gross and 90 net wells located in California, Colorado, Louisiana, Montana, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Texas and Wyoming; and (iii) a 44.7% ownership interest in the Newcastle gas processing plant and associated gathering system located in Weston County, Wyoming. The plant, operated by Western Gas Partners, LP, is adjacent to our producing properties in that area, and BHEP's production accounts for the majority of the facility's throughput. We also own natural gas gathering, compression and treating facilities serving the operated San Juan and Piceance Basin properties and working interests in similar facilities serving our non-operated Montana and Wyoming properties.

At December 31, 2009, we had total reserves of approximately 119 Bcfe, of which natural gas comprised 73% and oil comprised 27% of total reserves. The majority of our reserves are located in select oil and natural gas producing basins in the Rocky Mountain region. Approximately 33% of our reserves are located in the San Juan Basin of northwestern New Mexico, primarily in the East Blanco Field of Rio Arriba County, 31% are located in the Powder River Basin of Wyoming, primarily in the Finn-Shurley Field of Weston and Niobrara counties and 16% are located in the Piceance Basin of western Colorado.

Summary Oil and Gas Reserve Data

The following tables set forth summary information concerning our estimated proved developed and undeveloped oil and gas reserves and the 10% discounted present value of estimated future net revenues as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. The information presented is based on reports prepared by Cawley, Gillespie & Associates, Inc., an independent consulting and engineering firm located in Fort Worth, Texas. Reserves in 2009 were determined consistent with revised SEC requirements using a 12-month average price calculated using the first-day-of-the-month price for each of the 12 months in the reporting period held constant for the life of the properties. Reserves in 2008 were determined consistent with SEC requirements in place at the time which utilized year-end product prices, held constant for the life of the properties. Estimates of economically recoverable reserves and future net revenues are based on a number of variables, which may differ from actual results. Additional information on our oil and gas reserves, related financial data and the revised SEC requirements can be found in Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Proved Developed Reserves:	December 31, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Oil (Mbbl)	Natural Gas (MMcf)	Total (MMcfe) *	Oil (Mbbl)	Natural Gas (MMcf)	Total (MMcfe) *
Wyoming	4,071	15,944	40,370	4,167	14,486	39,488
New Mexico	7	35,976	36,018	13	43,799	43,877
Colorado	1	17,547	17,553	1	22,563	22,569
Montana	12	1,575	1,647	26	2,231	2,387
Oklahoma	4	2,681	2,705	5	4,080	4,110
North Dakota	176	237	1,293	216	298	1,594
Other states	3	951	969	1	1,244	1,250
Total Proved Developed Reserves	4,274	74,911	100,555	4,429	88,701	115,275

*Oil Bbls are multiplied by six to convert to Mcfe.

Proved Undeveloped Reserves:	December 31, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Oil (Mbbl)	Natural Gas (MMcf)	Total (MMcfe)	Oil (Mbbl)	Natural Gas (MMcf)	Total (MMcfe)
Wyoming	484	2,304	5,208	444	5,327	7,991
New Mexico	-	3,030	3,030	-	13,352	13,352
Colorado	-	5,054	5,054	-	39,466	39,466
Montana	-	1,593	1,593	-	4,474	4,474
Oklahoma	-	-	-	9	2,604	2,658
North Dakota	516	768	3,864	303	508	2,326
Total Proved Undeveloped Reserves	1,000	12,749	18,749	756	65,731	70,267

Total Proved Reserves:	December 31, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Oil (Mbbl)	Natural Gas (MMcf)	Total (MMcfe)	Oil (Mbbl)	Natural Gas (MMcf)	Total (MMcfe)
Wyoming	4,555	18,248	45,578	4,611	19,813	47,479
New Mexico	7	39,006	39,048	13	57,151	57,229
Colorado	1	22,601	22,607	1	62,029	62,035
Montana	12	3,168	3,240	26	6,705	6,861
Oklahoma	4	2,681	2,705	14	6,684	6,768
North Dakota	692	1,005	5,157	519	806	3,920
Other states	3	951	969	1	1,244	1,250
Total Proved Reserves	5,274	87,660	119,304	5,185	154,432	185,542

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Proved developed reserves as a percentage of total proved reserves on an MMcfe basis	84%	62%
Proved undeveloped reserves as a percentage of total proved reserves on an MMcfe basis	16%	38%
Present value of estimated future net revenues, before tax (in thousands)	$ 134,322	$ 195,960

The following table reflects average wellhead pricing used in the determination of the reserves and the present value of estimated future net revenues, before tax:

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Gas per Mcf	$ 2.52	$ 4.44
Oil per Bbl	$ 53.59	$ 32.74

Drilling Activity

The following tables reflect the wells completed through our drilling activities for the last three years. In 2009, we participated in drilling 45 gross (10.76 net) development and exploratory wells, with a net well success rate of approximately 82%. A development well is a well drilled within a proved area of a reservoir known to be productive. An exploratory well is a well drilled to find and/or produce oil or gas in an unproved area, to find a new reservoir in a previously productive field or to extend a known reservoir. Gross wells represent the total wells we participated in, regardless of our ownership interest, while net wells represent our fractional ownership interests within those wells.

Year ended December 31,	2009		2008		2007	
Net Development wells	Productive	Dry	Productive	Dry	Productive	Dry
Wyoming	0.02	-	3.88	-	3.67	-
New Mexico	3.00	-	6.70	1.00	17.30	-
Montana	4.35	1.04	5.82	-	8.98	0.45
North Dakota	0.04	-	0.31	0.14	-	2.00
Other states	-	-	7.84	2.18	2.35	-
Total net developed wells	7.41	1.04	24.55	3.32	32.30	2.45

Year ended December 31,	2009		2008		2007	
Net Exploratory wells	Productive	Dry	Productive	Dry	Productive	Dry
Wyoming	-	0.50	0.75	-	0.61	-
New Mexico	-	-	2.00	-	1.60	-
Montana	0.50	0.37	-	-	0.27	0.25
North Dakota	0.03	-	0.76	-	0.37	-
Other states	0.91	-	-	-	-	-
Total net exploratory wells	1.44	0.87	3.51	-	2.85	0.25

As of December 31, 2009, we were participating in the drilling of 2 gross (0.3 net) wells, which had been commenced but not yet completed.

Recompletion Activity

Recompletion activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were not material to the overall operations of this segment.

Productive Wells

The following table summarizes our gross and net productive wells at December 31, 2009:

	Gross Wells			Net Wells		
	Oil	Natural Gas	Total	Oil	Natural Gas	Total
Wyoming	416	194	610	309.40	9.25	318.65
New Mexico	2	211	213	1.91	204.97	206.88
Colorado	1	95	96	-	71.16	71.16
Montana	3	240	243	0.48	49.96	50.44
North Dakota	29	-	29	2.51	-	2.51
Oklahoma	1	81	82	0.03	11.87	11.90
Other states	2	39	41	0.14	7.97	8.11
Total	454	860	1,314	314.47	355.18	669.65

Acreage

The following table summarizes our undeveloped, developed and total acreage by state as of December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Undeveloped		Developed		Total	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Wyoming	51,439	38,210	26,827	17,413	78,266	55,623
New Mexico	37,988	37,811	25,751	23,598	63,739	61,409
Colorado	45,813	34,945	38,627	32,731	84,440	67,676
Montana	658,486	115,531	105,716	19,658	764,202	135,189
Oklahoma	11,579	2,171	21,821	3,583	33,400	5,754
North Dakota	29,561	3,940	6,803	1,031	36,364	4,971
Other states	36,083	28,069	60,924	47,514	97,007	75,583
Total	870,949	260,677	286,469	145,528	1,157,418	406,205

Competition. The oil and gas industry is highly competitive. We compete with a substantial number of companies ranging from those that have greater financial resources, personnel, facilities and in some cases technical expertise, to the multitude of smaller, aggressive new start-up companies. Many of these companies explore for, produce and market oil and natural gas. The primary areas in which we encounter considerable competition are in recruiting and maintaining high quality staff, locating and acquiring leasehold acreage for drilling and development activity, locating and acquiring producing oil and gas properties, locating and obtaining sufficient drilling rig and contractor services and securing purchasers and transportation for the oil and natural gas we produce.

Seasonality of Business . Weather conditions affect the demand for, and prices of, natural gas and can also temporarily inhibit production and delay drilling activities, which in turn impacts our overall business plan. The demand for natural gas is typically higher in the fourth and first quarters of our fiscal year, which sometimes results in higher natural gas prices. Due to these seasonal fluctuations, results of operations on a quarterly basis may not reflect results which may be realized on an annual basis.

Regulation. Crude oil and natural gas development and production activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing a wide variety of matters. Regulations often require multiple permits and bonds to drill or operate wells, and establish rules regarding the location of wells, the method of drilling and casing wells, the surface use and restoration of properties on which wells are drilled, the timing of when drilling and construction activities can be conducted relative to various wildlife stipulations and the plugging and abandoning of wells. We are also subject to various mineral conservation laws and regulations, including the regulation of the size of drilling and spacing/proration units, the density of wells that may be drilled in a given field and the unitization or pooling of oil and natural gas properties. Some states allow the forced pooling or integration of tracts to facilitate exploration, when voluntary pooling of lands and leases cannot be accomplished. The effect of these regulations may limit the number of wells or the locations where we can drill.

Various federal agencies within the United States Department of the Interior, particularly the Bureau of Land Management, the Minerals Management Service and the Bureau of Indian Affairs, along with each Native American tribe, promulgate and enforce regulations pertaining to oil and natural gas operations on tribal lands. These regulations include such matters as lease provisions, drilling and production requirements, environmental standards and royalty considerations. Each Native American tribe is a sovereign nation possessing the power to enforce laws and regulations independent from federal, state and local statutes and regulations. These tribal laws and regulations include various taxes, fees and other conditions that apply to lessees, operators and contractors conducting operations on tribal lands. One or more of these factors may increase our cost of doing business on tribal lands and impact the expansion and viability of our gas, oil and gathering operations on such lands.

In addition to being subject to federal and tribal regulations, we must also comply with state and county regulations, which have been going through significant change over the last several years. For example, in 2008 new state regulations were implemented in New Mexico which increased the regulatory requirements associated with drilling pits. Colorado legislation in 2007 changed the structure of the oil and gas commission, which has subsequently developed and approved significant changes to oil and gas regulations which were implemented in 2009. Changes such as these have increased costs and added uncertainty with respect to the timing and receipt of permits. Additional changes of this nature are reasonably expected to occur in the future.

Environmental. Our operations are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the discharge of materials into, and the protection of the environment. We must account for the cost of complying with environmental regulations in planning, designing, drilling, operating and abandoning wells. In most instances, the regulatory requirements relate to the handling and disposal of drilling and production waste products, water and air pollution control procedures (such as spill prevention, control and countermeasure plans, storm water pollution prevention plans, state air quality permits and underground injection control disposal permits), chemical storage and use and the remediation of petroleum-product contamination. Certain states, such as Colorado, impose storm water requirements more stringent than EPA's and are actively implementing and enforcing these requirements. We take a proactive role in working with these agencies to ensure compliance.

Under state, federal and tribal laws, we could also be required to remove or remediate previously disposed waste, including waste disposed of or released by us, or prior owners or operators, in accordance with current laws, or to otherwise suspend or cease operations in contaminated areas, or to perform remedial well plugging operations or clean up to prevent future contamination. We generate waste that is already subject to the RCRA and comparable state statutes. The EPA and various state agencies limit the disposal options for those wastes. It is possible that certain oil and gas wastes which are currently exempt from treatment as RCRA wastes may in the future be designated as wastes under RCRA or other applicable statutes.

Global Climate Change. The Oil and Gas segment is impacted by regulation in the state of New Mexico where legislation was passed requiring the tracking and reporting of GHG emissions, beginning with calendar year 2008. We anticipate other states may implement such programs in the future.

Power Generation Segment

Our Power Generation segment, which operates through Black Hills Electric Generation and its subsidiaries, acquires, develops and operates our non-regulated power plants. We hold varying interests in independent power plants operating in Wyoming and Idaho with a total net ownership of 120 MW as of December 31, 2009. We also hold investment interests in power-related funds with a net ownership interest of 3 MW.

During 2008, we sold seven IPP plants with 974 MW of capacity to affiliates of Hastings and IIF for a purchase price of $840 million, subject to customary adjustments. We completed the sale in July 2008 and received net cash proceeds of $756 million, including the effects of estimated working capital adjustments and other costs and net of the required payoff of $67.5 million of project debt. See Notes 1 and 22 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Portfolio Management

We sell capacity and energy under a combination of mid- to long-term contracts, which mitigates the impact of a potential downturn in future power prices. We currently sell approximately 93% of our non-regulated generating capacity under contracts having terms greater than one year. We sell additional power into the wholesale power markets from our generating capacity when it is available and economical.

As of December 31, 2009, the power plant ownership interests held by our Power Generation segment included:

Power Plants [1]	Fuel Type	Location	Ownership Interest	Owned Capacity (MW)	Start Date
Gillette CT	Gas	Gillette, Wyoming	100.0%	40.0	2001
Wygen I [2]	Coal	Gillette, Wyoming	76.5%	68.9	2003
Glenns Ferry Cogeneration	Gas	Glenns Ferry, Idaho	50.0%	5.5	1996
Rupert Cogeneration	Gas	Rupert, Idaho	50.0%	5.5	1996

(1) During 2009, we began planning the construction of two 100 MW combined-cycle gas-fired power generation facilities. These facilities are expected to be completed by December 31, 2011.
(2) In January 2009, a 23.5% ownership interest in this plant was sold to MEAN.

Gillette CT. The Gillette CT is a simple-cycle, gas-fired combustion turbine located at our Gillette energy complex. The facility's energy and capacity is sold to Cheyenne Light under a 10-year power purchase agreement that expires in August 2011.

Wygen I. The Wygen I generation facility is a mine-mouth, coal-fired power plant with a total nameplate capacity of 90 MW located at our Gillette, Wyoming energy complex. We own 76.5% of the plant. We sell 60 MW of unit contingent capacity and energy from this plant to Cheyenne Light under a PPA that expires on December 31, 2022.

In January 2009, we completed the sale of a 23.5% undivided ownership interest in Wygen I to MEAN for a price of $51.0 million. The sales price was based on the current replacement cost for the coal-fired plant. In connection with this sale transaction, we entered into agreements with MEAN under which it will make payments for costs associated with administrative services, plant operations and coal supply provided by our Coal Mining subsidiary during the life of the facility. We also terminated a 10-year power purchase agreement under which MEAN was obligated to purchase 20 MW of power annually from Wygen I. We retain responsibility for plant operations following the transaction.

Idaho Cogeneration Facilities. Through partnership investments, we own a 50% interest in two QFs in Rupert and Glenns Ferry, Idaho. Rupert and Glenns Ferry are both 11 MW combined-cycle, gas-fired power plants. We account for our investment in the partnerships under the equity method of accounting. Electrical output from the facilities is sold to the Idaho Power Company under 20-year Firm Energy Agreements, which expire in 2016. Steam production is sold to Idaho Fresh-Pak, Inc. under agreements that expire in late 2016. The Rupert facility operated normally through 2009 with no adverse conditions. The steam host at Glenns Ferry suspended operations in late 2007. The Glenns Ferry plant had limited operations in 2009 and did not operate in 2008. The facility maintained revenues through the sale of the contracted gas supplies. The steam host suspension prevented the facility from meeting its QF commitment for 2008 and 2009. An application for a waiver of QF qualifying standards was approved by FERC for both years. Absent a contract with a new steam host, the continued suspension of the current steam host could have an adverse effect on the facility's operation, including its ability to meet QF requirements and the performance requirements under the related energy sales agreement in 2010. The Glenns Ferry facility may not be able to secure a waiver of QF qualifying standards, if needed, at the end of 2010. The Idaho partnerships have reserved their contractual rights with the steam host, as the steam host is jointly and severally liable under the Firm Energy Agreements with Idaho Power.

Black Hills Colorado IPP . During 2009, we began planning and purchasing equipment for the construction of two 100 MW combined-cycle gas-fired power generation facilities to fulfill a 20-year PPA signed with Colorado Electric. These facilities are expected to be completed by December 31, 2011.

Competition. The independent power industry is replete with strong and capable competitors, some of which may have more extensive operating experience, larger staffs or greater financial resources than we possess.

With respect to the merchant power sector, FERC has taken steps to increase access to the national transmission grid by utility and non-utility purchasers and sellers of electricity, and foster competition within the wholesale electricity markets. In addition, the deregulation efforts that caused some vertically integrated utilities to separate their generation, transmission, and distribution businesses have slowed considerably since the merchant energy crisis in 2001. Our Power Generation business could face greater competition if utilities are permitted to robustly invest in power generation assets. However, regulatory pressures for utilities to competitively bid generation resources may provide upside opportunity for independent power producers in some regions.

Regulation. Many of the environmental laws and regulations applicable to our regulated Electric Utilities also apply to our Power Generation operations. See the discussion under the "Environmental" and "Regulation" captions for the Utilities Group for additional information on certain laws and regulations described below.

PURPA. The enactment of PURPA in 1978 provided incentives for the development of qualifying cogeneration facilities and small power production facilities that utilized certain alternative or renewable fuels. Prior to the enactment of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, FERC's regulations under PURPA required that electric utilities (i) purchase power generated by QFs at a price based on the purchasing utility's full avoided cost of producing power, (ii) sell back-up, interruptible, maintenance and supplemental power to the QF on a non-discriminatory basis, and (iii) interconnect with any QF in its service territory, and, if required, transmit power if they do not purchase it. Our Glenns Ferry and Rupert facilities are QFs. The enactment of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 did not affect the existing contracts for these facilities because they operate under contracts governed by laws in effect prior to the Energy Policy Act of 2005. In order to secure the benefits of contracts entered into pursuant to PURPA, our QFs must comply with certain operating requirements established by FERC, or secure a waiver of these requirements. If we fail to do so, we could incur contractual liability to the electric utility that purchases power generated by the QF.

The Energy Policy Act of 1992. The passage of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 encouraged independent power production by providing certain exemptions from regulation for EWGs. EWGs are exclusively in the business of owning or operating, or both owning and operating, eligible power facilities and selling electric energy at wholesale. EWGs are subject to FERC regulation, including rate regulation. We own two EWGs, including Wygen I and Gillette CT. All of our EWGs have been granted market-based rate authority, which allows FERC to waive certain accounting, record-keeping and reporting requirements imposed on public utilities with cost-based rates.

Clean Air Act . The Clean Air Act impacts our Power Generation business in a manner similar to the impact disclosed for our regulated Electric Utilities. Our Gillette CT and Wygen I facilities are subject to Titles IV and V of the Clean Air Act and have the required permits in place. As a result of SO_2 allowances credited to us from the installation of sulfur removal equipment at our jointly owned Wyodak plant, we hold sufficient allowances for our Gillette CT and Wygen plants through 2039, without purchasing additional allowances.

Clean Water Act . The Clean Water Act impacts our Power Generation business in a manner similar to the impact described above for our regulated Electric Utilities. Each of our facilities required to have NPDES permits have those permits and are in compliance with discharge limitations. Also, as the EPA regulates surface water oil pollution prevention through its oil pollution prevention regulations, each of our facilities regulated under this program have the requisite plans in place.

Solid Waste Disposal . We dispose of all Wygen I coal ash and scrubber wastes in mined areas at our WRDC coal mine under the terms and conditions of a state permit. The factors discussed under this caption for the Utilities Group also impact our Power Generation segment in a similar manner.

Global Climate Change . The factors discussed under this caption for the Utilities Group also apply to our Power Generation segment.

Coal Mining Segment

Our Coal Mining segment operates through our WRDC subsidiary. We mine, process and sell low-sulfur coal at our coal mine near Gillette, Wyoming. The WRDC coal mine, which we acquired in 1956 from Homestake Gold Mining Company, is located in the Powder River Basin. The Powder River Basin contains one of the largest coal reserves in the United States. We produced approximately 6.0 million tons of coal in 2009. In a basin characterized by thick coal seams, our overburden ratio, a comparison of the cubic yards of dirt removed to a ton of coal uncovered, has historically approximated a 1:1 ratio. In recent years this has trended towards a ratio of approximately 2:1, where it is expected to remain for the next several years.

Mining rights to the coal are based on four federal leases and one state lease. We pay royalties of 12.5% and 9.0%, respectively, of the selling price on all federal and state coal. As of December 31, 2009, we had coal reserves of approximately 268 million tons, based on internal engineering studies. The reserve life is equal to approximately 41 years at expected production levels.

Substantially all of our coal production is currently sold under mid- and long-term contracts to:

- Our regulated electric utilities, Black Hills Power and Cheyenne Light;
- The 362 MW Wyodak power plant owned 80% by PacifiCorp and 20% by Black Hills Power;
- PacifiCorp for the Dave Johnston power plant located near Casper, Wyoming and served by rail;
- Our non-regulated mine-mouth power plant, Wygen I owned 76.5% by Black Hills Wyoming and 23.5% by MEAN; and
- Certain regional industrial customers served by truck.

Our Coal Mining segment sells coal to Black Hills Power and Cheyenne Light for all of their requirements under agreements that limit earnings from these affiliate coal sales to a specified return on our coal mine's cost-depreciated investment base. The return is 4% (400 basis points) above A-rated utility bonds, to be applied to our coal mining investment base as determined each year. Black Hills Power made a commitment to the SDPUC, the WPSC and the City of Gillette, Wyoming that coal for Black Hills Power's operating plants would be furnished and priced as provided by that agreement for the life of the Neil Simpson II plant, which was placed into service in 1995. The agreement with Cheyenne Light provides coal for the life of the Wygen II plant, which was placed into service January 1, 2008.

The price for unprocessed coal sold to PacifiCorp for its 80% interest in the Wyodak plant is determined by a coal supply agreement which terminates in 2022. The price for coal sold to PacifiCorp for its Dave Johnston plant is determined by a coal supply agreement which terminates in 2011.

We expect to increase our coal production to supply additional mine-mouth power generating capacity related to the 110 MW Wygen III plant, which is currently being constructed and is expected to utilize approximately 0.6 million tons of coal per year. The plant is expected to begin commercial operations in April 2010. In April 2009, a coal supply agreement was entered into between WRDC, Black Hills Power and MDU for coal supply to Wygen III through June 1, 2060.

Competition. Our primary strategy is to sell the majority of our coal production to on-site, mine-mouth generation facilities under long-term supply contracts. Historically our off-site sales have been to consumers within a close proximity to our mine. Due to the economic limitations of transporting our lower-heat content coal, we do not actively promote the sale of our coal to distant markets.

Environmental Regulation. The construction and operation of coal mines are subject to extensive environmental protection and land use regulation in the United States. These laws and regulations often require a lengthy and complex process of obtaining licenses, permits and approvals from federal, state and local agencies.

Mine Reclamation. Under applicable law, we must submit applications to, and receive approval from, the WDEQ for any mining and reclamation plan that provides for orderly mining, reclamation, and restoration of our WRDC coal mine. We have approved mining permits and are in compliance with other permitting programs administered by various regulatory agencies. Based on extensive reclamation studies, we have accrued approximately $15.3 million for reclamation costs as of December 31, 2009. If additional requirements or changes to current requirements are imposed in the future, we may experience a material increase in reclamation costs. Ash from our South Dakota and Wyoming power plants, as well as PacifiCorp's Wyodak Power Plant, is disposed of in the mine and is utilized for backfill to meet mining permit final contour requirements. The EPA is currently developing ash disposal regulations, with a draft document expected in early 2010. Multiple regulatory options are being considered, one of which is regulating ash as a hazardous waste. If this option should become part of the final rule, implementation requirements could have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

Energy Marketing Segment

Through our subsidiary, Enserco, we market natural gas and crude oil in specific regions of the United States and Canada. Our marketing operations are headquartered in Denver, Colorado, with a satellite sales office in Calgary, Alberta, Canada. Our gas and oil marketing efforts are concentrated in the Rocky Mountain, Western and Mid-continent regions of the United States and in Canada. The customers of our Energy Marketing segment include natural gas distribution companies, electric utilities, industrial users, oil and gas producers, other energy marketers and retail gas users.

Our average daily marketing physical volumes for the year ended December 31, 2009 were approximately 2.0 million MMBtu of gas and approximately 12,400 Bbls of oil.

Our Energy Marketing operations focus primarily on producer services and wholesale natural gas marketing. The business scope is comprised of the purchase, sale, storage and transportation of natural gas and crude oil, as well as a variety of services including asset optimization, price risk management and customized offerings to producer and end-use clients.

Our marketing business uses the following strategies:

- Producer Services
  - Natural gas
  - Crude oil
- Wholesale Trading
  - Transportation
  - Storage
  - Proprietary

Our total gross margin recognized for each of the following years was derived from our marketing strategies according to the following (in millions):

	2009		
	Realized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Gain (Loss)
Wholesale trading (storage)	$ 2.2	$ (1.7)	$ 0.5
Wholesale trading (transportation)	10.9	5.5	16.4
Producer services (natural gas)	4.3	0.4	4.7
Producer services (crude oil)	11.3	(8.2)	3.1
Subtotal	28.7	(4.0)	24.7
Wholesale trading (proprietary and other)	12.7	(24.0)	(11.3)
Total gross margin	$ 41.4	$ (28.0)	$ 13.4

	2008		
	Realized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Gain (Loss)
Wholesale trading (storage)	$ 6.6	$ 4.0	$ 10.6
Wholesale trading (transportation)	13.7	4.1	17.8
Producer services (natural gas)	6.0	(0.2)	5.8
Producer services (crude oil)	1.0	6.6	7.6
Subtotal	27.3	14.5	41.8
Wholesale trading (proprietary and other)	(7.7)	25.2	17.5
Total gross margin	$ 19.6	$ 39.7	$ 59.3

	2007		
	Realized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Gain (Loss)
Wholesale trading (storage)	$ 27.0	$ (1.0)	$ 26.0
Wholesale trading (transportation)	23.0	4.2	27.2
Producer services (natural gas)	5.0	(0.4)	4.6
Producer services (crude oil)	5.0	5.9	10.9
Subtotal	60.0	8.7	68.7
Wholesale trading (proprietary and other)	28.9	(3.8)	25.1
Total gross margin	$ 88.9	$ 4.9	$ 93.8

We have various long-term natural gas transportation and storage positions in our marketing portfolio that enhance our potential for long-term earnings growth by providing strong upside potential and definable downside risk. Of these contractual positions, 74% include a right-of-first-refusal provision that provides us the opportunity to extend or renew favorable positions as their terms expire.

The total volumes of transportation capacity rights we held by region at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Term Until Expiration			
Region	Less than 2 Years (2010 and 2011)	2 to 4 Years (2012 – 2015)	Greater than 4 Years (2016 and beyond)	Total Volume
	(Bcf of natural gas)			
Rockies	63.5	53.4	25.0	141.9
West	23.4	13.0	10.9	47.3
MidContinent	72.5	1.0	-	73.5
Total Capacity	159.4	67.4	35.9	262.7

The firm storage capacity rights we held by region at December 31, 2009 included:

Region	Volume (Bcf)	Term
MidContinent/Upper Midwest	1.0	01/10 – 03/12
MidContinent/Upper Midwest	1.0	01/10 – 03/17
MidContinent/Upper Midwest	1.0	01/10 – 06/10
MidContinent/Upper Midwest	0.5	01/10 – 03/11
MidContinent/Upper Midwest	1.0	01/10 – 03/12*
MidContinent/Upper Midwest	1.0	01/10 – 03/13*
West/Northwest	1.0	01/10 – 03/11
West/Northwest	0.3	01/10 – 03/13*
West/Northwest	0.5	01/10 – 03/10

* Indicates right-of-first-refusal to extend the capacity right following the expiration of the current term.

The following table summarizes the gas and oil inventory in storage at our Energy Marketing segment at December 31. These commodities are being held in inventory to capture the price differential between the time purchased and a subsequent sales date in the future. Much of the inventory has been sold forward or hedged forward to lock in a margin upon future withdrawal.

	2009	2008
Gas inventory volumes (MMBtu)	12,177,802	3,559,397
Crude inventory volumes (Bbl)	69,045	54,053

Competition. The energy marketing industry is characterized by numerous large competitors, some of which may have more extensive operating experience, larger staffs or greater financial resources than we possess.

Seasonality. Weather conditions affect the demand for natural gas and can create volatility in natural gas prices. The impact of these typically occur in the fourth and first quarters of our fiscal year, resulting in higher margin opportunities. Due to these seasonal fluctuations in demand and prices, results of operations on a quarterly basis may not reflect results which may be realized on an annual basis.

Working Capital Practices. The natural gas storage component of the business requires significant working capital investment in the form of inventory. Those investment levels are typically highest in the second and third quarters of our fiscal year.

Regulation. Various aspects of our marketing activities (including storage and transportation) are regulated by FERC. During 2007, following an internal review of natural gas marketing activities conducted within the Energy Marketing operations, we identified possible instances of noncompliance with regulatory requirements applicable to those activities. We notified the enforcement staff of FERC of our findings and shared information with the purpose of resolving any potential enforcement concerns. On August 24, 2009, FERC entered its Order approving a stipulation and consent agreement between FERC's Office of Enforcement and Enserco Energy Inc., which settled all matters presented to FERC in the 2007 self-report. Pursuant to the Agreement and Order, we agreed to pay a civil penalty of $1.4 million and submit semi-annual monitoring reports to FERC's Office of Enforcement for one year. No further enforcement action was taken or is expected relative to the matters presented to the Office of Enforcement. The settlement of this matter, including the payment of a civil penalty, did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Other Properties

We own an eight-story, 67,000 square foot office building in Rapid City, South Dakota, where our corporate headquarters is located. Also in Rapid City, we own an office building consisting of approximately 36,000 square feet, and a warehouse building and shop with approximately 30,410 square feet. Our Gas Utilities own various office, service center and warehouse space totaling over 140,000 square feet throughout their service territories in Nebraska, Iowa, Colorado and Kansas. In Cheyenne, Wyoming, we own a business office with approximately 13,400 square feet, and a service center and garage with an aggregate of approximately 28,300 square feet. We also own other offices and warehouses located within our service area.

In addition to our owned properties, we lease the following properties:

Utilities Group:

- Approximately 8,800 square feet for an operations and customer call center in Rapid City, South Dakota;
- Approximately 62,160 square feet of office space in Omaha, Nebraska;
- Approximately 37,600 square feet for a customer call center in Lincoln, Nebraska;
- Other offices and warehouse facilities located within our service areas.

Non-regulated Energy Group:

- Approximately 47,430 square feet of office space in Denver, Colorado.

Substantially all of the tangible utility properties of Black Hills Power and Cheyenne Light are subject to liens securing first mortgage bonds issued by Black Hills Power and Cheyenne Light, respectively.

Employees

At December 31, 2009, we had 2,171 full-time employees. Approximately 35% of the Company's employees are represented by a collective bargaining agreement. Out of a total of six collective bargaining agreements, four of these agreements are either currently in negotiations or planned for renewal negotiations during the first quarter of 2010. We have experienced no labor stoppages in recent years. The following table sets forth the number of employees by business group:

	Number of Employees
Corporate	335
Utilities	1,588
Non-regulated Energy	248
Total	2,171

At December 31, 2009, 749, or 35% of our employees (all within the Utilities Group), were covered by the following collective bargaining agreements:

Subsidiary	Number of Employees	Union Affiliation	Expiration Date of Collective Bargaining Agreement
Black Hills Power	187	IBEW Local 1250	March 31, 2010
Cheyenne Light	58	IBEW Local 111	June 30, 2011
Colorado Electric	159	IBEW Local 667	April 17, 2010
Iowa Gas	140	IBEW Local 204	April 27, 2010
Kansas Gas	24	Communications Workers of America, AFL-CIO Local 6407	December 31, 2011
Nebraska Gas	181	IBEW Local 244	December 31, 2009
Total	749		

At December 31, 2009, approximately 24% of our Utilities Group employees were eligible for regular or early retirement.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The nature of our business subjects us to a number of uncertainties and risks. The following risk factors and other risk factors that we discuss in our periodic reports filed with the SEC should be considered for a better understanding of our Company. These important factors and other matters discussed herein could cause our actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those discussed in our forward-looking statements.

The recent global financial crisis made the credit markets less accessible and created a shortage of available credit. Should a similar financial crisis occur in the future, we may be unable to obtain the financing needed to refinance debt, fund planned capital expenditures or otherwise execute our operating strategy.

Our ability to execute our operating strategy is highly dependent upon our access to capital. Historically, we have addressed our liquidity needs (including funds required to make scheduled principal and interest payments, refinance debt and fund working capital and planned capital expenditures) with operating cash flow, borrowings under credit facilities, proceeds of debt and equity offerings and proceeds from asset sales. Our ability to access the capital markets and the costs and terms of available financing depend on many factors, including changes in our credit ratings, changes in the Federal or state regulatory environment affecting energy companies, volatility in commodity or electricity prices and general economic and market conditions.

In addition, given that we are a holding company and that our utility assets are owned by our subsidiaries, if we are unable to adequately access the credit markets, we could be required to take additional measures designed to ensure that our utility subsidiaries are adequately capitalized to provide safe and reliable service. Possible additional measures would be evaluated in the context of market conditions then-prevailing, prudent financial management and any applicable regulatory requirements.

The global financial crisis has affected our counterparty credit risk.

As a consequence of the global financial crisis, the creditworthiness of many of our contractual counterparties (particularly financial institutions) has deteriorated.

We have established guidelines, controls and limits to manage and mitigate credit risk. For our energy marketing, production and generation activities, we seek to mitigate our credit risk by conducting a majority of our business with investment grade companies, setting tenor and credit limits commensurate with counterparty financial strength, obtaining netting agreements and securing our credit exposure with less creditworthy counterparties through parent company guarantees, prepayments, letters of credit and other security agreements. Although we aggressively monitor and evaluate changes in our counterparties' credit quality and adjust the credit limits based upon such changes, our credit guidelines, controls and limits may not fully protect us from increasing counterparty credit risk. To the extent the financial crisis causes our credit exposure to contractual counterparties to increase materially, such increased exposure could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

National and regional economic conditions may cause increased late payments and uncollectible accounts, which would reduce earnings and cash flows.

The prolonged recession may lead to an increase in late payments from retail and commercial utility customers, as well as our non-utility customers (including marketing counterparties). If late payments and uncollectible accounts increase, earnings and cash flows from our continuing operations may be reduced.

We may not be able to effectively integrate the utility operations acquired from Aquila into our existing businesses and operations, or achieve the anticipated results from the Aquila Transaction.

We expect the Aquila Transaction to produce various benefits. Achieving the anticipated benefits of the acquisition is subject to a number of uncertainties, such as pending and future rate cases, and operational and financial synergies. As a condition of the Aquila Transaction, we agreed to continue employee compensation and benefit levels for all former Aquila employees through December 31, 2009. We began implementing several changes to compensation and benefit programs for all employees in late 2009 to become effective January 1, 2010 as part of unification initiatives deemed necessary to fully integrate these operations. For employees represented by a collective bargaining agreement, these benefit and compensation changes are being implemented in a manner consistent with terms of these agreements. We cannot provide assurance that the businesses we acquired from Aquila will be integrated in an efficient and effective manner or that they will be sufficiently profitable after our integration efforts have been completed.

Our credit ratings could be lowered below investment grade in the future. If this were to occur, it could impact our access to capital, our cost of capital and our other operating costs.

Our issuer credit rating is "Baa3" (stable outlook) by Moody's; "BBB-" (stable outlook) by S&P; and "BBB" (stable outlook) by Fitch. Although we believe the IPP Transaction and the Aquila Transaction have strengthened our financial profile and creditworthiness, we cannot assure that our credit ratings will not be lowered. Reduction of our credit ratings could impair our ability to refinance or repay our existing debt and to complete new financings on acceptable terms, or at all. A downgrade could also result in counterparties requiring us to post additional collateral under existing or new contracts or trades. In addition, a ratings downgrade would increase our interest expense under some of our existing debt obligations, including borrowings under our credit facilities.

Regulatory commissions may refuse to approve some or all of the utility rate increases we have requested or may request in the future, or may determine that amounts passed through to customers were not prudently incurred and are, therefore, not recoverable.

Our regulated electric and gas utility operations are subject to cost-of-service regulation and earnings oversight from federal and state utility commissions. This regulatory treatment does not provide any assurance as to achievement of desired earnings levels. Our rates are regulated on a state-by-state basis by the relevant state regulatory authorities based on an analysis of our costs, as reviewed and approved in a regulatory proceeding. The rates that we are allowed to charge may or may not match our related costs and allowed return on invested capital at any given time. While rate regulation is premised on the full recovery of prudently incurred costs and a reasonable rate of return on invested capital, there can be no assurance that the state public utility commissions will judge all of our costs, including our borrowing and debt service costs, to have been prudently incurred or that the regulatory process in which rates are determined will always result in rates that produce a full recovery of our costs and the return on invested capital allowed by the applicable state public utility commission.

To some degree, each of our regulated gas and regulated electric utilities in South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado, Montana, Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas are permitted to recover certain costs (such as increased fuel and purchased power costs, as applicable) without having to file a rate case. To the extent we are able to pass through such costs to our customers and a state public utility commission subsequently determines that such costs should not have been paid by the customers, we may be required to refund such costs. Any such costs not recovered through rates, or any such refund, could negatively affect our revenues, cash flows and results of operations.

We have deferred a substantial amount of income tax related to various tax planning strategies including the deferral of a gain associated with the assets sold in the IPP Transaction. If the Internal Revenue Service successfully challenges these tax positions, our results of operations, financial position or liquidity could be adversely affected .

We have deferred a substantial amount of tax payments through various tax planning strategies including the deferral of approximately $185 million in taxes associated with the IPP Transaction and the Aquila Transaction. We cannot be certain that the IRS will accept our tax positions. If the IRS successfully sought to assert contrary tax positions, we could be required to pay a significant amount of these deferred taxes earlier than currently forecasted. In certain circumstances, the IRS may assess penalties when challenging our tax positions. If we were unsuccessful in defending against these penalties, it may have a material impact on our results of operations.

We could incur additional and substantial write-downs of the carrying value of our natural gas and oil properties, which would adversely impact our earnings.

We review the carrying value of our natural gas and oil properties under the full cost accounting rules of the SEC on a quarterly basis. This quarterly review is referred to as a ceiling test. Under the ceiling test, capitalized costs, less accumulated amortization and related deferred income taxes, may not exceed an amount equal to the sum of the present value of estimated future net revenues (adjusted for cash flow hedges) less estimated future expenditures to be incurred in developing and producing the proved reserves, less any related income tax effects. In calculating future net revenues, SEC-defined commodity prices and recent costs are utilized. Such prices and costs are utilized except when different prices and costs are fixed and determinable from applicable contracts for the remaining term of those contracts. Two primary factors in the ceiling test are natural gas and oil reserve levels and SEC-defined oil and gas prices, both of which impact the present value of estimated future net revenues. Revisions to estimates of natural gas and oil reserves, or an increase or decrease in prices, can have a material impact on the present value of estimated future net revenues. Any excess of the net book value, less deferred income taxes, is generally written off as an expense.

We recorded non-cash impairment charges in the first quarter of 2009 and fourth quarter of 2008 due to the full cost ceiling limitations in amounts of $27.8 million and $59.0 million after-tax, respectively. We may have to record additional non-cash impairment charges in the future if commodity prices drive the SEC-defined prices below levels that precipitated the 2009 and 2008 impairments. See Note 12 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The SEC adopted new reporting and accounting requirements for oil and gas companies that changed the way we test for potential ceiling test impairments (i.e. testing will be based on 12-month average of first day of the month commodity prices rather than a single date spot price as of the test date). The new requirements are effective for periods ending December 31, 2009 and apply to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Estimates of the quantity and value of our proved oil and gas reserves may change materially due to numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating oil and natural gas reserves.

There are many uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of proved reserves and their values. The process of estimating oil and natural gas reserves requires interpretation of available technical data and various assumptions, including assumptions relating to economic factors. Significant inaccuracies in interpretations or assumptions could materially affect the estimated quantities and present value of our reserves. The accuracy of reserve estimates is a function of the quality of available data, engineering and geological interpretations and judgment, and the assumptions used regarding quantities of recoverable oil and gas reserves, future capital expenditures and prices for oil and natural gas. Actual prices, production, development expenditures, operating expenses and quantities of recoverable oil and natural gas reserves may vary from those assumed in our estimates. These variances may be significant. Any significant variance from the assumptions used could cause the actual quantity of our reserves, and future net cash flow, to be materially different from our estimates. In addition, results of drilling, testing and production, changes in future capital expenditures and fluctuations in oil and natural gas prices after the date of the estimate may result in substantial upward or downward revisions. The SEC has implemented revised reporting guidelines for reserves that apply to this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2009. Key revisions include changes to the oil and gas pricing used to estimate reserves, the use of new technology for determining reserves and authorization for optional disclosure of probable and possible reserves.

Estimates of the quality and quantity of our coal reserves may change materially due to numerous uncertainties inherent in three dimensional structural modeling.

There are many uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of coal reserves. The process of coal volume estimation requires interpretations of drill hole log data and subsequent computer modeling of the intersected deposit. Significant inaccuracies in interpretation or modeling could materially affect the quantity and quality of our reserve estimates. The accuracy of reserve estimates is a function of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment of known data, assumptions used regarding structural limits and mining extents, conditions encountered during actual reserve recovery and undetected deposit anomalies. Variance from the assumptions used and drill hole modeling density could result in additions or deletions from our volume estimates. In addition, future environmental, economic or geologic changes may occur or become known that require reserve revisions either upward or downward from prior reserve estimates.

Our current or future development, expansion and acquisition activities may not be successful, which could impair our ability to execute our growth strategy.

Execution of our future growth plan is dependent on successful ongoing and future, development expansion and acquisition activities. We can provide no assurance that we will be able to complete development projects or acquisitions we undertake or continue to develop attractive opportunities for growth. Factors that could cause our activities to be unsuccessful include:

- Our inability to obtain required governmental permits and approvals;
- Our inability to obtain financing on acceptable terms, or at all;
- The possibility that one or more rating agencies would downgrade our issuer credit rating to below investment grade, thus increasing our cost of doing business;
- Our inability to successfully integrate any businesses we acquire;
- Our inability to retain management or other key personnel;
- Our inability to negotiate acceptable acquisition, construction, fuel supply, power sales or other material agreements;
- The trend of utilities building their own generation or looking for developers to develop and build projects for sale to utilities under turnkey arrangements;
- Lower than anticipated increases in the demand for utility services in our target markets;
- Changes in federal, state, local or tribal laws and regulations, particularly those which would make it more difficult or costly to fully develop our coal reserves and our coal-fired generation capacity;
- Fuel prices or fuel supply constraints;
- Pipeline capacity and transmission constraints; and
- Competition.

We can provide no assurance that results from any acquisition will conform to our expectations. There may be additional risks associated with the operation of any newly acquired assets.

Successful acquisitions are subject to a number of uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. Factors which may cause our actual results to differ materially from expected results include:

- Delay in, and restrictions imposed as part of, any required governmental or regulatory approvals;
- The loss of management or other key personnel;
- The diversion of our management's attention from other business segments; and
- Integration and operational issues.

Construction, expansion, refurbishment and operation of power generating and transmission and resource extraction facilities involve significant risks which could reduce revenues or increase expenses.

The construction, expansion, refurbishment and operation of power generating and transmission and resource extraction facilities involve many risks, including:

- The inability to obtain required governmental permits and approvals;
- Contractual restrictions upon the timing of scheduled outages;
- Cost of supplying or securing replacement power during scheduled and unscheduled outages;
- The unavailability or increased cost of equipment;
- The inability and cost of recruiting and retaining skilled labor;
- Supply interruptions, work stoppages and labor disputes;
- Capital and operating costs to comply with increasingly stringent environmental laws and regulations;
- Opposition by members of public or special-interest groups;
- Weather interferences;
- Unexpected engineering, environmental and geological problems; and
- Unanticipated cost overruns.

The ongoing operation of our facilities involves many of the risks described above, in addition to risks relating to the breakdown or failure of equipment or processes and performance below expected levels of output or efficiency. New plants may employ recently developed and technologically complex equipment, including newer environmental emission control technology. Any of these risks could cause us to operate below expected capacity levels, which in turn could reduce revenues, increase expenses or cause us to incur higher maintenance costs and penalties. While we maintain insurance, obtain warranties from vendors and obligate contractors to meet certain performance levels, the proceeds of such insurance and our rights under warranties or performance guarantees may not be timely or adequate to cover lost revenues, increased expenses or liquidated damage payments.

Our operating results can be adversely affected by weather variations from normal.

Our utility businesses are seasonal businesses and weather patterns can have a material impact on our operating performance. Demand for electricity is typically greater in the summer and winter months associated with cooling and heating. Because natural gas is primarily used for residential and commercial heating, the demand for this product depends heavily upon winter weather patterns throughout our service territory and a significant amount of natural gas revenues are recognized in the first and fourth quarters related to the heating seasons. Accordingly, our utility operations have historically generated lower revenues and income when weather conditions are cooler than normal in the summer and warmer than normal in the winter. Unusually mild summers and winters therefore could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Because prices for some of our products and services and operating costs for our business are volatile, our revenues and expenses may fluctuate.

A substantial portion of our net income in recent years was attributable to sales of contract and off-system wholesale electricity and natural gas into a robust market. Energy prices are influenced by many factors outside our control, including, among other things, fuel prices, transmission constraints, supply and demand, weather, general economic conditions, and the rules, regulations and actions of system operators in those markets. Moreover, unlike most other commodities, electricity cannot be stored and therefore must be produced concurrently with its use. As a result, wholesale power markets are subject to significant, unpredictable price fluctuations over relatively short periods of time.

The success of our oil and gas operations is affected by the prevailing market prices of oil and natural gas. Oil and natural gas prices and markets historically have also been, and are likely to continue to be, volatile. A decrease in oil or natural gas prices would not only reduce revenues and profits, but would also reduce the quantities of reserves that are commercially recoverable, and may result in charges to earnings for impairment of the net capitalized cost of these assets. Oil and natural gas prices are subject to wide fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for oil and natural gas, market uncertainty, and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. A decline in oil and natural gas price volatility could also affect our revenues and returns from Energy Marketing, which historically tend to increase when markets are volatile.

Our mining operation requires a reliable supply of replacement parts, explosives, fuel, tires and steel-related products. If the cost of any of these increase significantly, or if a source of these supplies or mining equipment was unavailable to meet our replacement demands, our productivity and profitability could be lower than our current expectations. In recent years, industry-wide demand growth exceeded supply growth for certain surface mining equipment and off-the-road tires. As a result, lead times for some items generally increased to several months and prices for these items increased significantly.

Our hedging activities that are designed to protect against commodity price and financial market risks may cause fluctuations in reported financial results.

We use various financial contracts and derivatives, including futures, forwards, options and swaps, to manage commodity price and financial market risks. The timing of the recognition of gains or losses on these economic hedges in accordance with GAAP does not always match up with the gains or losses on the commodities or assets being hedged. The difference in accounting can result in volatility in reported results, even though the expected profit margin may be essentially unchanged from the dates the transactions were consummated.

Our use of derivative financial instruments could result in material financial losses.

From time to time, we have sought to limit a portion of the adverse effects resulting from changes in natural gas and crude oil commodity prices, and interest and foreign exchange rates by using derivative financial instruments and other hedging mechanisms and by the activities we conduct in our trading operations. To the extent that we hedge our commodity price and interest rate exposures, we forego the benefits we would otherwise experience if commodity prices or interest rates were to change in our favor. In addition, even though they are closely monitored by management, our hedging and trading activities can result in losses. Such losses could occur under various circumstances, including if a counterparty does not perform its obligations under the hedge arrangement, the hedge is economically imperfect, commodity prices or interest rates move unfavorably related to our physical or financial positions, or hedging policies and procedures are not followed.

Our Energy Marketing and Utility operations rely on storage and transportation assets owned by third parties to satisfy their obligations.

Our energy marketing operations involve contracts to buy and sell natural gas, crude oil and other commodities, many of which are settled by physical delivery. We depend on pipelines and other storage and transportation facilities owned by third parties to satisfy our delivery obligations under these contracts. Our regulated Gas Utilities also rely on pipeline companies and other owners of gas storage facilities to deliver natural gas to ratepayers and to hedge commodity costs. If storage capacity is inadequate or transportation is disrupted, our ability to satisfy our obligations may be hindered. As a result, we may be responsible for damages incurred by our counterparties, such as the additional cost of acquiring alternative supply at then-current market rates, or for penalties imposed by state regulatory authorities.

Our business is subject to substantial governmental regulation and permitting requirements as well as environmental liabilities, including those we assumed in connection with certain acquisitions. We may be adversely affected if we fail to achieve or maintain compliance with existing or future regulations or requirements, or by the potentially high cost of complying with such requirements or addressing environmental liabilities.

Our business is subject to extensive energy, environmental and other laws and regulations of federal, state, tribal and local authorities. We generally must obtain and comply with a variety of regulations, licenses, permits and other approvals in order to operate, which can require significant capital expenditure and operating costs. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could be subject to civil or criminal liability and the imposition of penalties, liens or fines, claims for property damage or personal injury, or environmental clean-up costs. In addition, existing regulations may be revised or reinterpreted, and new laws and regulations may be adopted or become applicable to us or our facilities, which could require additional unexpected expenditures and have a detrimental effect on our business.

In connection with certain acquisitions, we assumed liabilities associated with the environmental condition of certain properties, regardless of when such liabilities arose, whether known or unknown, and in some cases agreed to indemnify the former owners of those properties for environmental liabilities. Future steps to bring our facilities into compliance or to address contamination from legacy operations, if necessary, could be expensive and could adversely affect our results of operation and financial condition. We expect our environmental compliance expenditures to be substantial in the future due to the continuing trends toward stricter standards, greater regulation, more extensive permitting requirements and an increase in the number of assets we operate.

Our energy marketing segment may be subject to increased regulations.

In January 2010, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission proposed regulations aimed at establishing speculative position limits on energy commodities. The proposed regulations would apply to all CFTC-regulated exchanges and would cap the number of contracts a market participant can hold at the NYMEX or ICE. The position limit would restrict the amount of contracts a market participant can hold at any one time. This proposal is intended to curb excessive speculation in the energy markets and is part of a wider push to overhaul the financial markets. Due to uncertainty as to the final outcome of any legislation, we cannot definitively estimate the effect of regulation on our results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Our financial performance depends on the successful operations of our facilities.

Operating electric generating facilities and electric and natural gas distribution systems involves risks, including:

- Operational limitations imposed by environmental and other regulatory requirements.
- Interruptions to supply of fuel and other commodities used in generation and distribution. The Gas Utilities purchase fuel from a number of suppliers. Our results of operations could be negatively impacted by disruptions in the delivery of fuel due to various factors, including but not limited to, transportation delays, labor relations, weather, and environmental regulations which could limit the Gas Utilities' ability to operate their facilities.
- Breakdown or failure of equipment or processes.
- Inability to recruit and retain skilled technical labor.
- Labor relations. Approximately 35% of our employees are represented by a total of six collective bargaining agreements. Four of these agreements are either currently in negotiations or planned for renewal negotiations in early 2010.
- Disrupted transmission and distribution. We depend on transmission and distribution facilities, including those operated by unaffiliated parties, to deliver the electricity and gas that we sell to our retail and wholesale customers. If transmission is interrupted, our ability to sell or deliver product and satisfy our contractual obligations may be hindered.

We may be vulnerable to cyber attacks and terrorism.

Man-made problems such as computer viruses, terrorism, theft and sabotage, may disrupt our operations and harm our operating results. We operate in a highly regulated industry that requires the continued operation of sophisticated information technology systems and network infrastructure. Our technology systems may be vulnerable to disability, failures or unauthorized access due to hacking, viruses, acts of war or terrorism and other causes. If our technology systems were to fail or be breached and we were unable to recover in a timely manner, we may be unable to fulfill critical business functions and sensitive, confidential and other data could be compromised, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. In addition, our generation plants, fuel storage facilities, transmission and distribution facilities may be targets of terrorist activities that could disrupt our ability to produce or distribute some portion of our energy products.

Federal and state laws concerning climate change and air emissions, including emission reduction mandates and renewable energy portfolio standards, may materially increase our generation and production costs and could render some of our generating units uneconomical to operate and maintain.

We own and operate regulated and non-regulated fossil-fuel generating plants in South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado and Idaho. We are near completion of another fossil-fuel generating plant in Wyoming and preparing to commence construction on others in Colorado. Air emissions of fossil-fuel generating plants are subject to federal, state and tribal regulation. Recent developments under federal and state laws and regulation governing air emissions from fossil-fuel generating plants will likely result in more stringent emission limitations.

On October 22, 2009, the EPA filed a consent decree with environmentalists in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, requiring the agency to propose a rule directed at coal and oil-fired power plants, setting maximum achievable control technology limits for air toxins, including mercury, by March 2011 and issue a final rule by November 2011. While we expect this rule will be applicable to certain of our coal-fired units, we are unable to ascertain the full impact until the provisions of the proposed rule are known.

On April 2, 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court issued a decision in the case of Massachusetts v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, holding that CO_2 and other GHG emissions are pollutants subject to regulation under the motor vehicle provisions of the Clean Air Act. The case was remanded to the EPA for further rulemaking to determine whether GHG emissions may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare, or alternatively, to explain why GHG emissions should not be regulated. On April 17, 2009, the EPA signed its proposed Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Finding for Greenhouse Gases under Section 202 of the Clean Air Act. Although this proposal does not specifically address stationary sources, such as power generation plants, the general endangerment finding relative to GHG's could support such a proposal by the EPA for stationary sources. On October 30, 2009, the EPA published final rules regarding a mandatory GHG reporting regimen, the purpose of which would be to collect data to inform future policy and regulatory decisions.

In addition, the EPA published in the October 27, 2009 Federal Register a proposed rule that would tailor the major source applicability thresholds for GHG emissions under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Title V programs of the Clean Air Act and set a PSD significance level for GHG emissions. EPA states this rule is necessary because they expect to soon promulgate regulations under the Clean Air Act to control GHG emissions and as a result, trigger PSD and Title V applicability requirements. This proposed rule would phase in the applicability thresholds for both the PSD and Title V programs for sources of GHG emissions. The first phase, which would last six years, would establish a temporary level for the PSD and Title V applicability thresholds at 25,000 tons per year on a carbon dioxide equivalent basis and would also establish temporary PSD significance levels. All our generating units would exceed this threshold and if the pending rule to control GHG emissions is published and finalized, we would be required upon Title V permit renewal, to evaluate options for reducing GHG emissions, to possibly include a Best Available Control Technology review that could result in more stringent emissions control practices and technologies. In the second phase of this proposed rule, EPA would within five years of the rule being final, review the first phase and promulgate revised applicability and significance level thresholds as appropriate.

Finally, federal legislation is currently under consideration in the U.S. Congress, including H.R. 2454, "the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009," which was approved by the U.S. House of Representatives on June 26, 2009. This legislation would affect electric generation and electric and natural gas distribution companies. H.R. 2454 also proposes a national renewable electricity standard, which would implement a phased process ultimately mandating that 20% of electricity sold by retail suppliers be met by energy efficiency improvements and renewable energy resources by 2020.

The climate bill under consideration in the U.S. Senate is S.1733, "the Clean Energy Jobs and American Power Act." S.1733 was passed by the Environment and Public Works Committee November 5, 2009, but is not expected to be brought to the Senate floor in its current form. Other committees with jurisdiction include Finance, Energy and Natural Resources, Commerce, Agriculture, and Foreign Relations. The Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee passed S.1462, "the American Clean Energy Leadership Act of 2009," on July 16, 2009, which would establish a 15% Renewable Electricity Standard by 2021. If the Senate were to act in 2010, it is likely the climate change and renewable electricity standard portions would be combined into one bill.

Due to uncertainty as to the final outcome of federal climate change legislation, or regulatory changes under the Clean Air Act, we cannot definitively estimate the effect of GHG regulation on our results of operations, cash flows or financial position. The impact of GHG legislation or regulation upon our company will depend upon many factors, including but not limited to the timing of implementation, the GHG sources that are regulated, the overall GHG emissions cap level, and the availability of technologies to control or reduce GHG emissions. If a "cap and trade" structure is implemented, the impact will also be affected by the degree to which offsets are allowed, the allocation of emission allowances to specific sources, and the effect of carbon regulation on natural gas and coal prices.

More stringent GHG emissions limitations or other energy efficiency requirements, however, could require us to incur significant additional costs relating to, among other things, the installation of additional emission control equipment, the acceleration of capital expenditures, the purchase of additional emissions allowances or offsets, the acquisition or development of additional energy supply from renewable resources, and the closure of certain generating facilities. To the extent our regulated fossil-fuel generating plants are included in rate base, we will attempt to recover costs associated with complying with emission standards or other requirements. We will also attempt to recover the emission compliance costs of our non-regulated fossil-fuel generating plants from utility and other purchasers of the power generated by our non-regulated power plants. Any unrecovered costs could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, future changes in environmental regulations governing air emissions could render some of our power generating units more expensive or uneconomical to operate and maintain.

We own regulated electric utilities that serve customers in South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado and Montana. To varying degrees, Colorado and Montana have each adopted mandatory renewable portfolio standards that require electric utilities to supply a minimum percentage of the power delivered to customers from renewable resources (e.g., wind, solar, biomass) by a certain date in the future. These renewable energy portfolio standards have increased the power supply costs of our electric operations. If these states increase their renewable energy portfolio standards, or if similar standards are imposed by the other states in which we operate electric utilities, our power supply costs will further increase. Although we will seek to recover these higher costs in rates, any unrecovered costs could have a material negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Governmental authorities may assess penalties on us if it is determined that we have not complied with environmental laws and regulations.

If we fail to comply with environmental laws and regulations, even if caused by factors beyond our control, that failure may result in the assessment of civil or criminal penalties and fines against us. Recent lawsuits by the EPA and various states filed against others within industries in which we operate, including enforcement actions under the EPA's New Source Review rule, highlight the environmental risks faced by generating facilities, in general, and coal-fired generating facilities in particular.

The characteristics of coal may make it difficult for coal users to comply with various environmental standards related to coal combustion or utilization. As a result, coal users may switch to other fuels, which could affect the volume of our sales and the price of our products.

Coal contains impurities, including but not limited to sulfur, mercury, chlorine, carbon and other elements or compounds, many of which are released into the air when coal is burned. Stricter environmental regulations of emissions from coal-fueled power plants could increase the costs of using coal thereby reducing demand for coal as a fuel source and the volume and price of our coal sales. Stricter regulations could make coal a less attractive fuel alternative in the planning and building of power plants in the future.

Proposed reductions in emissions of mercury, sulfur dioxides, nitrogen oxides, particulate matter or greenhouse gases may require the installation of costly emission control technology or the implementation of other measures, including trading of emission allowances and switching to other fuels. For example, in order to meet the federal Clean Air Act limits for sulfur dioxide emission from power plants, coal users may need to install scrubbers, use sulfur dioxide emission allowances (some of which they may purchase), blend high-sulfur coal with low-sulfur coal or switch to other fuels. Reductions in mercury emission required by certain states will likely require some power plants to install new equipment, at substantial cost, or discourage the use of certain coals containing higher levels of mercury. Recent and new proposals calling for reductions in emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases could significantly increase the cost of operating existing coal-fueled power plants and could inhibit construction of new coal-fired power plants. Existing or proposed legislation focusing on emissions enacted by the United States or individual states could make coal a less attractive fuel alternative for our customers and could impose a tax or fee on the producer of the coal. If our customers decrease the volume of coal they purchase from us or switch to alternative fuels as a result of existing or future environmental regulations aimed at reducing emissions, our operations and financial results could be adversely impacted.

Our energy production, transmission and distribution activities involve numerous risks that may result in accidents and other operating risks and costs.

Inherent in our natural gas distribution activities, as well as our production, transportation and storage of crude oil and natural gas and our coal mining operations, are a variety of hazards and operating risks, such as leaks, blow-outs, fires, releases of hazardous materials, explosions and mechanical problems that could cause substantial adverse financial impacts. These events could result in injury or loss of human life, significant damage to property or natural resources (including public parks), environmental pollution, impairment of our operations, and substantial losses to us. In accordance with customary industry practice, we maintain insurance against some, but not all, of these risks and losses. The occurrence of any of these events not fully covered by insurance could have a material adverse affect on our financial position and results of operations. Particularly for our distribution lines located near populated areas, including residential areas, commercial business centers, industrial sites and other public gathering areas, the damages resulting from any such events could be great.

Increased risks of regulatory penalties could negatively impact our business.

The Energy Policy Act of 2005 increased FERC's civil penalty authority for violation of FERC statutes, rules and orders. FERC can now impose penalties of $1.0 million per violation, per day, and other regulatory agencies that impose compliance requirements relative to our business also have civil penalty authority. Many rules that were historically subject to voluntary compliance are now mandatory and subject to potential civil penalties for violations. If a serious violation did occur, and penalties were imposed by FERC or another federal agency, this action could have a material adverse effect on our operations or our financial results.

Ongoing changes in the United States electric utility industry, including state and federal regulatory changes, a potential increase in the number or geographic scale of our competitors or the imposition of price limitations to address market volatility, could adversely affect our profitability.

The United States electric utility industry is experiencing increasing competitive pressures as a result of:

- Energy Policy Act of 2005 and the repeal of the PUHCA;
- Industry consolidation;
- Consumer demands;
- Transmission constraints;
- Renewable resource supply requirements;
- Resistance to the siting of utility infrastructure or to the granting of right-of-ways;
- Technological advances; and
- Greater availability of natural gas-fired power generation, and other factors.

FERC has implemented and continues to propose regulatory changes to increase access to the nationwide transmission grid by utility and non-utility purchasers and sellers of electricity. In addition, a limited number of states have implemented or are considering or currently implementing methods to introduce and promote retail competition. Industry deregulation in some states led to the disaggregation of vertically integrated utilities into separate generation, transmission and distribution businesses. Deregulation initiatives in a number of states may encourage further disaggregation. As a result, significant additional competitors could become active in the generation, transmission and distribution segments of our industry, which could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, the independent system operators who oversee many of the wholesale power markets have in the past imposed, and may in the future continue to impose, price limitations and other mechanisms to address some of the volatility in these markets. These price limitations and other mechanisms may adversely affect the profitability of generating facilities that sell energy into the wholesale power markets. Given the extreme volatility and lack of meaningful long-term price history in some of these markets, and the imposition of price limitations by independent system operators, we may not be able to operate profitably in all wholesale power markets.

We rely on cash distributions from our subsidiaries to make and maintain dividends and debt payments. Our subsidiaries may not be able or permitted to make dividend payments or loan funds to us.

We are a holding company. Our investments in our subsidiaries are our primary assets. Our operating cash flow and ability to service our indebtedness depend on the operating cash flow of our subsidiaries and the payment of funds by them to us in the form of dividends or advances. Our subsidiaries are separate legal entities that have no obligation to make any funds available for that purpose, whether by dividends or otherwise. In addition, each subsidiary's ability to pay dividends to us depends on any applicable contractual or regulatory restrictions that may include requirements to maintain minimum levels of cash, working capital or debt service funds.

Our utility operations are regulated by state utility commissions in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, Wyoming, South Dakota and Montana. In connection with the Aquila Transaction, the settlement agreements or acquisition orders approved by the CPUC, NPSC, IUB and KCC provide that, among other things, (i) our utilities in those jurisdictions cannot pay dividends if they have issued debt to third parties and the payment of a dividend would reduce their equity ratio to below 40% of their total capitalization; and (ii) neither Black Hills Utility Holdings nor any of its utility subsidiaries can extend credit to us except in the ordinary course of business and upon reasonable terms consistent with market terms. In addition to the restrictions described above, each state in which we conduct utility operations imposes restrictions on affiliate transactions, including intercompany loans. If our utility subsidiaries are unable to pay dividends or advance funds to us as a result of these conditions, or if the ability of our utility subsidiaries to make dividends or advance funds to us is further restricted, it could materially and adversely affect our ability to meet our financial obligations or pay dividends to our shareholders.

We expect to continue our policy of paying regular cash dividends. However, there is no assurance as to the amount of future dividends because they depend on our future earnings, capital requirements, and financial conditions, and are subject to declaration by the Board of Directors. Our operating subsidiaries have certain restrictions on their ability to transfer funds in the form of dividends or loans to us. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" within Management's Discussion and Analysis in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information regarding these restrictions and their impact on our liquidity.

Increasing costs associated with our defined benefit retirement plans may adversely affect our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

We have multiple defined benefit pension and non-pension postretirement plans that cover certain employees. Assumptions related to future costs, return on investments, interest rates and other actuarial assumptions have a significant impact on our funding requirements related to these plans. These estimates and assumptions may change based on actual return on plan assets, changes in interest rates and any changes in governmental regulations. In addition, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 changed the minimum funding requirements for defined benefit pension plans beginning in 2008.

Increasing costs associated with our health care plans may adversely affect our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

The costs of providing health care benefits to our employees and retirees have increased substantially in recent years. We believe that our employee benefit costs, including costs related to health care plans for our employees and former employees, will continue to rise. The increasing costs and funding requirements associated with our health care plans may adversely affect our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

An effective system of internal control may not be maintained, leading to material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires management to make an assessment of the design and effectiveness of internal controls. Our independent registered public accounting firm is required to attest to the effectiveness of these controls. During their assessment of these controls, management or our independent auditors may identify areas of weakness in control design or effectiveness, which may lead to the conclusion that a material weakness in internal control exists. Any control deficiencies we identify in the future could adversely affect our ability to report our financial results on a timely and accurate basis, which could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports or have a material adverse effect on our ability to operate our business or access sources of liquidity.

We have recorded a substantial amount of goodwill associated with the Aquila Transaction. Any significant impairment of our goodwill related to these utilities would cause a decrease in our assets and a reduction in our net income and shareholders' equity.

We had approximately $353.7 million of goodwill on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009. A substantial portion of the goodwill is related to the Aquila Transaction. If we make changes in our business strategy or if market or other conditions adversely affect operations in any of these businesses, we may be forced to record a non-cash impairment charge, which would reduce our reported assets and net income. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. If the testing performed indicates that impairment has occurred, we are required to record an impairment charge for the difference between the carrying value of the goodwill and the implied fair value of the goodwill in the period the determination is made. The testing of goodwill for impairment requires us to make significant estimates about our future performance and cash flows, as well as other assumptions. These estimates can be affected by numerous factors, including future business operating performance, changes in economic, regulatory, industry or market conditions, changes in business operations, changes in competition or changes in technologies. Any changes in key assumptions, or actual performance compared with key assumptions, about our business and its future prospects could affect the fair value of one or more business segments, which may result in an impairment charge.