

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

2 MS. JESSICA L. CARDONI
3 Office of General Counsel
4 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
5 Chicago, Illinois 60601
6 Ph. (312) 793-3305

7 (Appearing via teleconference on
8 behalf of Staff of the Illinois
9 Commerce Commission)

10 MS. SUSAN L. SATTER
11 Assistant Attorney General
12 100 West Randolph Street
13 Chicago, Illinois 60601
14 Ph. (312) 814-1104

15 (Appearing via teleconference on
16 behalf of the People of the
17 State of Illinois)

18

19

20

21

22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
17
18
19
20
21
22

I N D E X

WITNESS

DIRECT

CROSS

REDIRECT

RECROSS

(None)

EXHIBITS

MARKED

ADMITTED

(None)

1 MS. SATTER: Susan L. Satter appearing on
2 behalf of the People of the State of Illinois.

3 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other
4 appearances at this time?

5 (No response.)

6 Let the record show there are not.

7 This matter was previously called for
8 hearing on an earlier date at which time some
9 scheduling was put into place calling for some
10 filings to be made. A status hearing is set for
11 today's date.

12 Do the parties have any further
13 agreed-to scheduling to be proposed at this time?

14 MS. OTT: Yes, Your Honor. This is Joni Ott.
15 And I would like to propose, and I think the parties
16 are agreeable, that the Company file its rebuttal on
17 February 18, 2011, and that we have another status
18 hearing the following week. I would suggest February
19 24, if that works, to kind of see where we are then.

20 MS. CARDONI: And Staff is fine with those
21 dates, Judge.

22 MS. SATTER: If I could just have a second.

1 That will be fine. It's fine for the People as well.

2 JUDGE JONES: Okay, thank you. The 24th of
3 February is a Springfield bench session day. So if
4 we use that date, then we should probably do it
5 sometime other than 10:30. If it is just a status,
6 we could probably make 10:00 a.m. work for that. So
7 was 10:00 a.m. the time of preference?

8 MS. OTT: That works for the Company.

9 MS. CARDONI: That's fine with Staff.

10 MS. SATTER: Sure.

11 MS. OTT: And would you like me to circulate a
12 call-in number?

13 JUDGE JONES: Sure.

14 MS. OTT: Okay.

15 JUDGE JONES: All right. So I believe the
16 proposal is a Company rebuttal filing on February 18,
17 followed by a status hearing to be held on February
18 24 at 10:00 a.m. with participation by telephone to
19 be permitted. Are there any points of clarification
20 with respect to that scheduling?

21 (No response.)

22 All right. Let the record show there

1 are not. Let the record also show that that
2 scheduling is hereby put into effect in this
3 proceeding.

4 Do the parties have anything else for
5 purposes of today's status hearing before we conclude
6 it?

7 MS. OTT: Nothing from the Company.

8 JUDGE JONES: Let the record show there is
9 nothing further to take up today. In accordance with
10 the above, this matter is continued to a status
11 hearing date of February 24, 2011, at the hour of
12 10:00 a.m.

13 (Whereupon the hearing in this
14 matter was continued until
15 February 24, 2011, at 10:00 a.m.
16 in Springfield, Illinois.)

17

18

19

20

21

22