

Summary of Positions Concerning Rate Design and Revenue Allocations Issues

Issue	Position of Party				
	Staff	IIEC	Kroger	Cities or GFAI	AIUs
1 Revenue Allocation	Constrain to 1.50 times the overall average, including Distribution Tax.	Average plus 25 percentage points for a class or "subclass".	NA	NA	Constrain to 1.25 times the overall average, excluding DS5 Lighting and Distribution Tax.
2 DS/BGS-1 (Residential) and DS/BGS-2 (Small General Service) rate design	Supportive of AIU proposal, recommends non-summer residential variable charges allowed to increase by 13% rather than 10%	NA	NA	NA	In agreement with Staff. Non-summer residential variable charge increase of 13% rather than 10% appears to be reasonable.
3 DS-3 and DS-4 rate design	Set prices to recover recommended revenue allocation targets for class.	Set prices to recover recommended revenue allocation targets for class.	Reduce difference between DS-3 and DS-4 Distribution Delivery Charges by 50% in this proceeding, and continue to bring closer together in next proceeding.	GFAI: Advocates for a seasonal difference in distribution delivery prices. Study and provide results in next case.	Set prices to recover recommended revenue allocation targets for class.
4 DS-5 Lighting	Adjust AIUs proposed charges to recover recommended revenue allocation targets for class, not in favor of uniform Fixture Charges.	NA	NA	Cities: Advocates uniform Fixture Charges, supports AIUs efforts in this proceeding.	Move toward uniform Fixture Charges, methodology moves toward equalized return of all three AIUs' DS-5 classes combined.

Summary of Positions Concerning Rate Design and Revenue Allocations Issues

Issue	Position of Party						
	Staff	IIEC	NA	Kroger	Cities or GFAL	AIUs	
5 Adjusting Prices to conform to final revenue requirement	First adjust uniform rates on a combined AIU basis, then adjust remaining components on an across-the-board amount to achieve revenue target.	NA	NA		NA	DS-1 and DS-2: Keep proposed Customer and Meter Charges as proposed, reduce variable delivery charges. DS-3: Reduce Distribution Delivery Charges. DS-4: Reduce kWh charge first (to 1/2 distribution tax) then \$/kW Delivery Charge, if necessary. DS-5: For AmerenIP, reduce Fixture Charges. For AmerenCIPS, reduce Delivery Charge. For AmerenCILCO, reduce Delivery and Fixture Charge equally.	
6 Rate Limiter	Agrees with AIU to set Limiter rates to achieve same amount of revenue reduction as occurs under present rates.	NA	NA		Cities: NA GFAL: Hold increase to only the average increase granted for the class.	Set Limiter rates to achieve same amount of revenue reduction as occurs under present rates.	
7 Distribution Tax	Allocate expense based on kWh, assess as separate kWh charge within DS-3 and DS-4, fold into kWh charges for DS-1, DS-2, and DS-5.	Allocate based on distribution plant. Alternative proposed to allocate amount equivalent to '97 payment based on plant, remainder based on kWh.	NA		Cities: NA Supports kWh-based recovery of distribution tax expense.	GFAL: Allocate expense based on kWh, assess as separate kWh charge within DS3 and DS4, fold into kWh charges for DS1, DS2, and DS5.	