

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

of

Cheri L. Harden

Rate Analyst
Rates Department
Financial Analysis Division
Illinois Commerce Commission

Central Illinois Light Company, d/b/a AmerenCILCO,
Central Illinois Public Service Company, d/b/a AmerenCIPS

and

Illinois Power Company, d/b/a AmerenIP

Proposed General Increase in Electric Rates
and
Proposed General Increase in Gas Rates

Docket Nos. 09-0306 – 09-0311 (Cons.)

November 20, 2009

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Cheri L. Harden. My business address is 527 East Capitol Avenue,
3 Springfield, Illinois 62701.

4
5 **Q. Are you the same Cheri Harden who filed direct testimony in this case?**

6 A. Yes, I am. I provided direct testimony in this case as ICC Staff Ex. 9.0, filed on
7 e-Docket on September 28, 2009.

8
9 **Q. Please state the purpose of your rebuttal testimony.**

10 A. I respond to the rebuttal testimony presented by Peter J. Millburg (Ameren Ex.
11 17.0G (Revised)) for the Ameren Illinois Utilities (“Ameren” or “Companies”). I
12 also am introducing my proposed rates that recover Staff’s proposed revenue
13 requirement from ICC Staff Ex. 15.0, Schedules 15.01 CILCO-G, 15.01 CIPS-G
14 and 15.01 IP-G.

15
16 **Q. Please list the schedules and attachments that are part of your rebuttal
17 testimony.**

18 A. I am sponsoring Schedules 22.01 CILCO-G, 22.01 CIPS-G and 22.01 IP-G
19 representing Central Illinois Light Company, d/b/a AmerenCILCO
20 (“AmerenCILCO”), Central Illinois Public Service Company, d/b/a AmerenCIPS
21 (“AmerenCIPS”), and Illinois Power Company, d/b/a AmerenIP (“AmerenIP”)
22 (referred to separately as the “Utility”) respectively, which reflect my proposed
23 rates for the Utility labeled in the Schedule title. I am also sponsoring

24 Attachment A which consists of 2 pages. Attachment A is Ameren's responses
25 to Staff Data Request ("DR") CLH 2.01 and 2.02.

26

27 Response to Companies' Witness Millburg

28 **Q. Please explain the issue that Companies' witness Millburg introduces in**
29 **his rebuttal testimony.**

30 A. Mr. Millburg states that I erred in stating that Ameren intended to offer a
31 provision for customers of AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP who use more than
32 2,000,000 therms of gas annually. He states that Ameren only proposed to
33 eliminate AmerenCILCO's GDS-6 as a stand-alone tariff and to incorporate
34 these large customers into the GDS-4 classification. (Ameren Ex. 48.0 Revised,
35 p. 7)

36

37 **Q. Did you recommend approval of the elimination of GDS-6 as a stand-alone**
38 **tariff for AmerenCILCO?**

39 A. Yes. By moving GDS-6 customers under the GDS-4 tariff, the service will still be
40 available to AmerenCILCO large customers with annual usage that exceeds
41 2,000,000 annual therms but the rate will no longer be on a stand-alone basis.
42 (ICC Staff Ex. 9.0, pp. 36 - 37)

43

44 **Q. Did you state that a provision for customers using more than 2,000,000**

45 **therms of gas annually would be provided by AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP?**

46 A. Yes. I stated in my direct testimony that “Ameren proposes to implement this
47 large customer rate for potential AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP customers under
48 GDS-4 as well.” (ICC Staff Ex. 9.0, p. 37)

49
50 **Q. Did the Companies make this proposal for customer’s using more than**
51 **2,000,000 therms of gas annually to be provided by AmerenCIPS and**
52 **AmerenIP?**

53 A. No. My understanding of the Companies’ filing was incorrect. The Company’s
54 proposal to eliminate AmerenCILCO’s GDS-6 as a stand-alone tariff and to
55 incorporate these large customers into the GDS-4 classification only applies to
56 AmerenCILCO.

57
58 However, I believe that Ameren should offer this provision for both AmerenCIPS
59 and AmerenIP customers. In this docket, a major focal point has been that
60 Ameren has proposed a more common and uniform rate structure for all three
61 gas utilities as directed in the Final Order, Docket Nos. 07-0585 - 07-0590
62 (Cons.). Offering the same provision for any customers using more than
63 2,000,000 therms of gas annually conforms to the goals that Ameren has set in
64 this case. (Ameren Exhibit 16.0G, pp. 6 and 19)

65

66 **Q. What is the basis of your understanding of AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP's**
67 **tariff proposals for large customers using more than 2,000,000 therms**
68 **annually?**

69 A. I relied upon Ameren's responses to Staff DRs CLH 2.01 and 2.02 requesting
70 further explanation of the tariffs that would affect this issue. I have attached
71 Ameren's responses to Staff DRs CLH 2.01 and 2.02 as Attachment A to my
72 testimony.

73

74 **Q. How did Ameren respond to Staff DR CLH 2.01?**

75 A. Ameren's response to Staff DR CLH 2.01 explained that AmerenCIPS and
76 AmerenIP customers with an annual usage of more than 2,000,000 therms are
77 already served under GDS-4 tariffs. Ameren states the following:

78 ...the only difference in the structure of the proposed GDS-4 tariffs
79 among the three companies is a distinction within the
80 AmerenCILCO GDS-4 tariff for customers with annual usage
81 exceeding 2,000,000 therms. AmerenCILCO customers at this
82 usage level would have a different Customer Charge, and different
83 Demand and MDCQ Overrun charges if they take service under
84 Rider T, than customer with less than 2,000,000 therms of annual
85 usage.

86

87 **Q. How did Ameren respond to Staff DR CLH 2.02?**

88 A. Ameren explained that it has not considered offering this rate to AmerenCIPS
89 and AmerenIP customers. Neither Utility has an existing tariff with distinct pricing
90 provisions for customers whose annual usage exceeds 2,000,000 therms. At

91 this time, Ameren has not assembled the relevant data to evaluate a similar rate
92 design for AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP.

93

94 **Q. How do you propose to resolve this issue?**

95 A. As I stated above, I believe that Ameren should offer this provision for both
96 AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP customers due to the focal point of uniformity in this
97 docket. However, after reviewing the Companies' responses to Staff DRs CLH
98 2.01 and CLH 2.02 and in light of the fact that AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP have
99 not assembled the necessary data to implement this change, I recommend that
100 the Companies should be ordered to assemble the relevant data for their next
101 rate case. The data should enable Ameren to evaluate a similar rate design for
102 large customers with usage of more than 2,000,000 therms annually.

103

104 Rates

105 **Q. Did you recommend approval of Ameren's proposed overall rate design for**
106 **GDS-1 through GDS-7 in your direct testimony?**

107 A. Yes. Ameren took the directive from the Order in its last rate case and proposed
108 a rate design that moves the Companies toward uniformity. (ICC Staff Ex. 9.0, p.
109 37)

110

111 **Q. Are you proposing to adjust the rates that were proposed by Ameren**

112 **witness Paul M. Normand in Ameren Ex. 16.12G?**

113 A. Yes. I have adjusted the Companies' proposed rates based on Staff witness
114 Ebrey's proposed revenue requirement in ICC Staff Exhibit 15.0, Schedules
115 15.01 CILCO-G, 15.01 CIPS-G and 15.01 IP-G.

116

117 **Q. Have you developed a set of rates that you are recommending be adopted**
118 **by the Commission if it adopts Staff's revenue requirement?**

119 A. Yes, I have developed the rates presented in Schedules 22.01 IP-G, 22.01
120 CILCO-G and 22.01 CIPS-G, column E, attached to my testimony. The three
121 schedules similarly show my proposed rates and I will collectively refer to the
122 three schedules as Schedule 22.01.

123

124 **Q. Please describe Schedule 22.01.**

125 A. The rates that I propose on Schedule 22.01 reflect Staff's recommended
126 revenue requirement. As shown on the last page of Schedule 22.01, I calculated
127 the percentage difference between the Company's total revenue requirement,
128 shown in column (D), and Staff's recommended revenue requirement shown in
129 column (F). This percentage ("the Ratio"), shown on the last line of the
130 schedule, was then applied equally to Ameren's proposed charges as shown on
131 Schedule 22.01, column (C), producing my proposed charges for each customer
132 class as shown on Schedule 22.01, column (E).

133
134 **Q. Please summarize the basis for your proposed rates that appear on**
135 **Schedule 22.01.**

136 A. I agree with the Companies' proposed rate design. Therefore, I have taken the
137 Ratio and applied it to the Companies' proposed rates as an across-the-board
138 modification to each rate within each service classification. By applying the Ratio
139 across-the-board, each rate is equally affected by Staff's lower recommendation
140 for the revenue requirement.

141
142 **Q. Are there any exceptions to the Ratio being applied across-the-board?**

143 A. Yes. I did not apply the Ratio to the Meter Data Access Fee for Rider T ("Fee").
144 This Fee is different than the other base revenues that I have modified and at
145 the current time, there is no revenue associated with the Fee. I also did not
146 apply the Ratio to GDS-7 as this is a service that is based on private contracts
147 and Ameren has not proposed a change to this Service Classification.

148
149 **Q. Please further explain why you are not proposing a change to GDS-7.**

150 A. As a contract service, there is no change proposed from present to proposed
151 rates as the charges are determined by the contract signed by both parties.
152 However, these revenues need to be included in the total revenue calculation for
153 the Utility's revenue requirement. I have added GDS-7 revenue in columns D

154 and F on Schedule 22.01, but I do not have a Staff proposed rate in column E.

155

156 **Q. Please further explain Schedule 22.01.**

157 A. Schedule 22.01 is a replica of the Companies' Schedule E-5 that was filed as
158 Part 285 in Ameren's initial filing on June 5, 2009. Schedule 22.01 reflects my
159 proposed rates based on Staff's proposed rebuttal revenue requirement.
160 Column A lists the Service Classifications. Column B shows the annual billing
161 units for each Service Classification. The Utility's proposed rates are shown in
162 column C. Column D shows the resulting annual revenue from the Utility's
163 proposed rates. My proposed rates are shown in column E. Column F shows
164 the resulting annual revenue from my proposed rates. Column G shows the
165 dollar change between my proposed rates and the Utility's proposed rates.
166 Finally, column H shows the percent change between my proposed rates and the
167 Utility's proposed rates.

168

169 **Q. Can Schedule 22.01 be modified to set final rates after the Final Order is**
170 **issued in this docket?**

171 A. Yes. Schedule 22.01 can be modified to show the rates that result from the
172 revenue requirement adopted in the Final Order. The revenue requirement
173 determined in the Final Order of this case should be input in column F, in place
174 of Staff's Proposed Revenue Requirement, of Schedule 22.01. The spreadsheet

175 will automatically calculate the resulting final rates in column E. The resulting
176 revenues will be shown in column F for each service classification as well as the
177 changes in columns G and H.

178

179 **Q. If the Commission approves a revenue requirement that differs from Staff's,**
180 **please describe how any adjustments to the recommended revenue**
181 **requirement would be incorporated into your rate design.**

182 A. If the Commission approves a different revenue amount, I recommend that the
183 rates all be prorated in the same percentage as the change in revenue
184 requirements. The approved revenue requirement may be input into Schedule
185 22.01 as described above.

186

187 **Q. Have you considered bill impacts in your proposed design of rates?**

188 A. Yes. My proposed rates simply lower the impact on the customers that I
189 discussed throughout my direct testimony. My direct testimony stated that any
190 high increases are balanced out over the year due to the varied usage of
191 customers. (ICC Staff Ex. 9.0, p. 13) The bill impacts generally result in
192 comparable increases for the majority of the Ameren customers. In my direct
193 testimony, I gave special attention to the effects of the proposed rate class
194 reclassification and I still believe that the rate class changes and steps toward
195 uniformity are appropriate. (ICC Staff Ex. 9.0, p. 42)

196

197 **Q. What do you conclude?**

198 A. I find that my proposed rates will not produce undue impacts for ratepayers.

199

200 **Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony in these proceedings?**

201 A. Yes.

202

**The Ameren Illinois Utilities'
 Response to ICC Staff Data Requests
 Docket Nos. 09-0306 thru 09-0311 (cons.)
 Proposed general increase in electric and gas delivery service rates
 Response Date: 11/09/2009**

CLH 2.01

On what rate would an AmerenCIPS or AmerenIP customer be placed if it were a large user with annual usage greater than 2,000,000 therms? Please explain how AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP's rate is different than the proposed change for AmerenCILCO customers from the current GDS-6 to GDS-4.

RESPONSE

Prepared By: Peter J. Millburg
Title: Managing Supervisor – Regulatory Compliance
Phone Number: 217.535.5054

Under the tariffs proposed in the current rate filing, an AmerenCIPS or AmerenIP customer with annual usage of 2,000,000 therms or more would be served under the utilities' respective GDS-4 tariffs.

Aside from the charges contained within the billing components, the only difference in the structure of the proposed GDS-4 tariffs among the three companies is a distinction within the AmerenCILCO GDS-4 tariff for customers with annual usage exceeding 2,000,000 therms. AmerenCILCO customers at this usage level would have a different Customer Charge, and different Demand and MDCQ Overrun charges if they take service under Rider T, than customers with less than 2,000,000 therms of annual usage.

**The Ameren Illinois Utilities'
 Response to ICC Staff Data Requests
 Docket Nos. 09-0306 thru 09-0311 (cons.)
 Proposed general increase in electric and gas delivery service rates
 Response Date: 11/09/2009**

CLH 2.02

Have the Ameren Illinois Utilities considered implementing a rate for customers that use 2,000,000 therms of gas annually for AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP similar to what has been proposed in this docket for AmerenCILCO? Please list and fully explain any situations that would prohibit the offering of this rate in the AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP tariffs.

RESPONSE

**Prepared By: Peter J. Millburg
 Title: Managing Supervisor – Regulatory Compliance
 Phone Number: 217.535.5054**

No. The AIUs have not considered incorporating a 2,000,000 therm step into the AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP GDS-4 tariffs because neither utility have existing tariffs with distinct pricing provisions for customers whose annual usage exceeds 2,000,000 therms and thus have not assembled the relevant data to evaluate a similar rate design. Whereas AmerenCILCO has the data necessary to reflect GDS-6 cost of service and usage parameters in the design of the proposed AmerenCILCO GDS-4. No studies have been performed to identify bill impacts to customers within the AmerenIP and AmerenCIPS GDS-4 rate classes if a similar proposal were made. The incorporation of similar provisions within the AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP GDS-4 tariffs would also introduce an unneeded level of billing complexity into the tariffs.

To be clear, the only reason the proposed AmerenCILCO GDS-4 tariff has a separate category for customers at or above 2,000,000 therms annually is the desire to eliminate the existing AmerenCILCO GDS-6 tariff. Neither AmerenIP nor AmerenCIPS have a GDS-6 tariff offering today. So rather than perpetuate only one of the AIUs having a GDS-6 tariff, the drive for tariff uniformity as supported by the Commission in its prior AIUs’ rate order, the AIUs are proposing to incorporate the AmerenCILCO GDS-6 provisions within the AmerenCILCO GDS-4 proposed tariff.

AmerenCILCO-G

Line	Class (A)	Company			Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
		Billing Units (B)	Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
1	GDS-1 Residential Gas Delivery Service							
2								
3	Customer Charge							
4	Standard Service	2,362,456	\$ 19.10	\$ 45,122,910	\$ 15.53	\$ 36,678,894	\$ (8,444,016)	81.29%
5								
6	Subtotal	2,362,456		\$ 45,122,910		\$ 36,678,894	\$ (8,444,016)	81.29%
7								
8	Delivery Charge							
9								
10	first 90 therms	114,560,921	\$ 0.06910	\$ 7,916,160	\$ 0.05617	\$ 6,434,780	\$ (1,481,380)	81.29%
11	remaining therms	51,529,635	\$ 0.06910	\$ 3,560,698	\$ 0.05617	\$ 2,894,371	\$ (666,326)	81.29%
12								
13	Subtotal	166,090,555		\$ 11,476,857		\$ 9,329,151	\$ (2,147,706)	81.29%
14								
15	GDS-1 Base Rate Total			\$ 56,599,767		\$ 46,008,045	\$ (10,591,722)	81.29%

AmerenCILCO-G

Line	Class (A)	Company			Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop.	% Change from Co. Prop.
		Billing Units (B)	Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
16								
17	GDS-2 Small General Gas Service							
18	Customer Charge							
19	Standard	156,597						
20	Non-Standard	1,667						
21	Reclassified to GDS-3 Low Pressure	(23)						
22	Reclassified to GDS-3 High Pressure	(13)						
23	Reclassified from GDS-3	45,067						
24	Reclassified from GDS-4	20						
25	Customers <= 600 therms per year	51,635	\$ 35.00	\$ 1,807,225	\$ 28.45	\$ 1,469,032	\$ (338,193)	81.29%
26	Customers > 600 therms per year	151,680	\$ 58.90	\$ 8,933,952	\$ 47.88	\$ 7,262,109	\$ (1,671,843)	81.29%
27	Subtotal			\$ 10,741,177		\$ 8,731,141	\$ (2,010,036)	81.29%
28								
29	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			
30								
31	GDS-2 Delivery Charge							
32	Rider S	49,375,920	\$ 0.05510	\$ 2,720,613	\$ 0.04479	\$ 2,211,495	\$ (509,118)	81.29%
33	Rider T	604,026	\$ 0.05000	\$ 30,201	\$ 0.04064	\$ 24,550	\$ (5,652)	81.29%
34	Reclassified to GDS-3 - Rider S	(37,049)						
35	Reclassified from GDS-3 Rider S	31,943,066						
36	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider T	599,703						
37	Reclassified from GDS-4 - Rider S	18,955						
38	Reclassified from GDS-4 - Rider T	4,323						
39	Subtotal	82,508,944		\$ 2,750,815		\$ 2,236,044	\$ (514,770)	81.29%
40								
41	GDS-2 Base Rate Total			\$ 13,491,992		\$ 10,967,186	\$ (2,524,806)	81.29%

AmerenCILCO-G

Line	Class (A)	Company			Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop.	% Change from Co. Prop.
		Billing Units (B)	Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
42								
43	GDS-3 Intermediate General Gas Service							
44	Customer Charge							
45	Standard Service Rider S & T	51,291						
46	Service for Customers Qualified for GDS-4/ 6	136						
47	Reclassified from GDS-2 Low Pressure	23						
48	Reclassified from GDS-2 High Pressure	13						
49	Reclassified from GDS-4	48						
50	Reclassified to GDS-4 Riders S & T	(775)						
51	Reclassified to GDS-2 Riders S & T	(45,067)						
52	Subtotal	5,669	\$ 115.00	\$ 651,935	\$ 93.48	\$ 529,936	\$ (121,999)	81.29%
53								
54	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			
55								
56	GDS-3 Delivery Charge							
57	All therms - Rider S	60,737,951						
58	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	16,995,579	\$ 0.10890	\$ 1,850,819	\$ 0.08852	\$ 1,504,468	\$ (346,350)	81.29%
59	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	2,878,045	\$ 0.10890	\$ 313,419	\$ 0.08852	\$ 254,768	\$ (58,651)	81.29%
60	Reclassified from GDS-2 - Rider S	37,049						
61	Reclassified to GDS-2 - Rider S	(31,943,066)						
62	Reclassified from GDS-4 - Rider S	467,154						
63	Reclassified to GDS-4 - Rider S	(9,425,464)						
64	All therms - Rider T	8,737,899						
65	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	2,136,942	\$ 0.09750	\$ 208,352	\$ 0.07925	\$ 169,362	\$ (38,990)	81.29%
66	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	843,042	\$ 0.09750	\$ 82,197	\$ 0.07925	\$ 66,815	\$ (15,382)	81.29%
67	Reclassified to GDS-2 - Rider T	(599,703)						
68	Reclassified to GDS-4 - Rider T	(5,999,609)						
69	Reclassified from GDS-4 - Rider T	841,398						
70	Large Use Adder for Cust Qual For GDS-4/6	1,234,386						
71	Subtotal			\$ 2,454,786		\$ 1,995,413	\$ (459,373)	81.29%
72	Demand Charge - Rider S							
73	Reclassified from GDS-4 <= 60 psig	16,120						
74	Demand Charge - Rider T							
75	Reclassified from GDS-4 <= 60 psig	127,170						
76								
77	GDS-3 Base Rate Total			\$ 3,106,721		\$ 2,525,349	\$ (581,372)	81.29%

AmerenCILCO-G

Line	Class (A)	Company			Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
		Billing Units (B)	Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
78								
79	GDS-4 Large General Gas Service							
80	Customer Charge							
81	Standard Service	510						
82	Rider S	768	\$ 900.00	\$ 691,200	\$ 731.58	\$ 561,853	\$ (129,347)	81.29%
83	Rider T Avg Daily Use ≥ 1,000 but ≤ 10,000 therms	446	\$ 900.00	\$ 401,400	\$ 731.58	\$ 326,285	\$ (75,115)	81.29%
84	Rider T Avg Daily Use > 10,000 therms	3	\$ 900.00	\$ 2,700	\$ 731.58	\$ 2,195	\$ (505)	81.29%
85	Reclassified to GDS-2	(20)						
86	Reclassified to GDS-3	(48)						
87	Reclassified from GDS-3	775						
88	Subtotal			\$ 1,095,300		\$ 890,332	\$ (204,968)	81.29%
89								
90	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ -		\$ -			
91								
92	Delivery Charge							
93	Rider S	17,048,621	\$ 0.03400	\$ 579,653	\$ 0.02764	\$ 471,181	\$ (108,473)	81.29%
94	Rider T	21,366,960	\$ 0.00900	\$ 192,303	\$ 0.00732	\$ 156,316	\$ (35,986)	81.29%
95	Reclassified to GDS-2 - Rider S	(18,955)						
96	Reclassified to GDS-2 - Rider T	(4,323)						
97	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider S	9,425,464						
98	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider T	5,999,609						
99	Reclassified to GDS-3 - Rider S	(467,154)						
100	Reclassified to GDS-3 - Rider T	(841,398)						
101	Subtotal			\$ 771,956		\$ 627,497	\$ (144,459)	81.29%
102								
103	Demand Charge - Rider S							
104	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig	400,909	\$ 0.5500	\$ 220,500	\$ 0.44708	\$ 179,237	\$ (41,263)	81.29%
105	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	62,720	\$ 0.4500	\$ 28,224	\$ 0.36579	\$ 22,942	\$ (5,282)	81.29%
106	Reclassified from GDS-3							
107	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig	970,309	\$ 0.5500	\$ 533,670	\$ 0.44708	\$ 433,802	\$ (99,868)	81.29%
108	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	325,799	\$ 0.4500	\$ 146,610	\$ 0.36579	\$ 119,174	\$ (27,436)	81.29%
109	Reclassified to GDS-3 ≤ 60 psig	(16,120)						
110	Reclassified to GDS-3 > 60 psig							
111	Subtotal			\$ 929,004		\$ 755,156	\$ (173,848)	81.29%

AmerenCILCO-G

Line	Class (A)	Company			Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
		Billing Units (B)	Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
112	GDS-4 Large General Gas Service							
113	Overrun Demand Charge - Rider S							
114	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	5,020	\$ 1.1000	\$ 5,522	\$ 0.89415	\$ 4,489	\$ (1,033)	81.29%
115	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig		\$ 0.9000		\$ 0.73158			81.29%
116	Reclassified from GDS-3 <= 60 psig							
117	Reclassified from GDS-3 > 60 psig							
118	Subtotal	5,020		\$ 5,522		\$ 4,489	\$ (1,033)	81.29%
119								
120	Demand Charge - Rider T							
121	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	912,333	\$ 0.4850	\$ 442,482	\$ 0.39424	\$ 359,678	\$ (82,803)	81.29%
122	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	212,354	\$ 0.2200	\$ 46,718	\$ 0.17883	\$ 37,975	\$ (8,742)	81.29%
123	Reclassified from GDS-3							
124	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	993,657	\$ 0.4850	\$ 481,924	\$ 0.39424	\$ 391,740	\$ (90,184)	81.29%
125	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	1,008,583	\$ 0.2200	\$ 221,888	\$ 0.17883	\$ 180,366	\$ (41,523)	81.29%
126	Reclassified to GDS-3 <= 60 psig	(127,170)						
127	Reclassified fto GDS-3 > 60 psig							
128	Subtotal			\$ 1,193,011		\$ 969,759	\$ (223,253)	81.29%
129								
130	Overrun Demand Charge - Rider T							
131	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	3,450	\$ 0.9700	\$ 3,347	\$ 0.78848	\$ 2,720	\$ (626)	81.29%
132	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig		\$ 0.4400		\$ 0.35766			81.29%
133	Reclassified from GDS-3 <= 60 psig							
134	Reclassified from GDS-3 > 60 psig							
135	Subtotal	3,450		\$ 3,347		\$ 2,720	\$ (626)	81.29%
136								
137	GDS-4 Base Rate Total			\$ 3,998,139		\$ 3,249,953	\$ (748,186)	81.29%

AmerenCILCO-G

Line	Class (A)	Company			Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop.	% Change from Co. Prop.
		Billing Units (B)	Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
138								
139	GDS-5 - Seasonal Gas Service							
140	Customer Charge							
141	Standard	547		\$ -		\$ -		
142	Total Customers < 3,250 therms	410	\$ 150.00	\$ 61,500	\$ 121.93	\$ 49,991	\$ (11,509)	81.29%
143	Total Customers ≥ 3,250 therms	137	\$ 450.00	\$ 61,650	\$ 365.79	\$ 50,113	\$ (11,537)	81.29%
144	Customers Qualified for GDS-4 or 6	40	\$ 450.00	\$ 18,000	\$ 365.79	\$ 14,632	\$ (3,368)	81.29%
145	Subtotal			\$ 141,150		\$ 114,736	\$ (26,414)	81.29%
146	Delivery Charge							
147	Therms - Rider S	424,187	\$ 0.06500	\$ 27,572	\$ 0.05284	\$ 22,412	\$ (5,160)	81.29%
148	Therms - Rider T	6,306,874	\$ 0.04750	\$ 299,577	\$ 0.03861	\$ 243,516	\$ (56,061)	81.29%
149	Therms- Large Use Adder	288,280						
150	Subtotal			\$ 327,149		\$ 265,928	\$ (61,221)	81.29%
151								
152	Demand Charge - Rider S							
153	≤ 60 PSIG (per therm of adj winter demand)		\$ 0.55000		\$ 0.44708			81.29%
154	> 60 PSIG (per therm of adj winter demand)		\$ 0.45000		\$ 0.36579			81.29%
155	Demand Charge - Rider T							
156	≤ 60 PSIG (per therm of adj winter demand)		\$ 0.48500		\$ 0.39424			81.29%
157	> 60 PSIG (per therm of adj winter demand)		\$ 0.22000		\$ 0.17883			81.29%
158	Subtotal							
159								
160	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			
161								
162	GDS-5 Base Rate Total			\$ 468,299		\$ 380,664	\$ (87,634)	81.29%

AmerenCILCO-G

Line	Class (A)	Company			Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
		Billing Units (B)	Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
163	GDS-6 - Large Volume Gas Service (reclassified under GDS-4 rates in proposed tariff)							
164	Customer Charge							
165	Standard Service	84	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 168,000	\$ 1,625.73	\$ 136,562	\$ (31,438)	81.29%
166	Subtotal			\$ 168,000		\$ 136,562	\$ (31,438)	81.29%
167	Delivery Charge							
168	Therms - Rider S		\$ 0.03400		\$ 0.02764			81.29%
169	Therms - Rider T							
170	≤ 60 PSIG	24,136,074	\$ 0.00900	\$ 217,225	\$ 0.00732	\$ 176,575	\$ (40,650)	81.29%
171	> 60 PSIG	61,431,624	\$ 0.00900	\$ 552,885	\$ 0.00732	\$ 449,421	\$ (103,463)	81.29%
172	Subtotal	85,567,698		\$ 770,109		\$ 625,996		81.29%
173	Demand Charge - Rider S							
174	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig		\$ 0.5500		\$ 0.44708			81.29%
175	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig		\$ 0.4500		\$ 0.36579			81.29%
176								
177	Overrun Demand Charge - Rider S							
178	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig		\$ 1.1000		\$ 0.89415			81.29%
179	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig		\$ 0.9000		\$ 0.73158			81.29%
180								
181								
182	Demand Charge - Rider T							
183	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig	1,784,040	\$ 0.2190	\$ 390,705	\$ 0.17802	\$ 317,591	\$ (73,114)	81.29%
184	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	3,258,287	\$ 0.1910	\$ 622,333	\$ 0.15526	\$ 505,873	\$ (116,459)	81.29%
185	Subtotal	5,042,327		\$ 1,013,038		\$ 823,464		81.29%
186								
187	Overrun Demand Charge - Rider T							
188	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig		\$ 0.3820		\$ 0.31051			81.29%
189								
190	GDS-6 Base Rate Total			\$ 1,951,147		\$ 1,586,022		

AmerenCILCO-G

Line	Class (A)	Company			Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
		Billing Units (B)	Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
191								
192	GDS-7 - Contract Service							
193	Therms	169,494,690						
194	MDQ	1,860,000						
195	GDS-7 Base Revenues			\$ 2,120,622		\$ 2,120,622		
196								
197								
198	Total Base Rate Revenue			\$ 81,736,686		\$ 66,837,840	\$ (14,898,846)	81.29%
199	Staff Proposed Revenue Requirement					\$ 66,441,000		
200	Percent Difference in Revenue Requirement					81.29%		

AmerenCIPS-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 CIPS-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
1	<u>GDS-1 Residential Gas Delivery Service</u>							
2	Customer Charge							
3	Standard Service	1,991,633	\$ 22.40	\$ 44,612,579	\$ 19.50	\$ 38,830,505	\$ (5,782,074)	87.04%
4								
5	Subtotal	<u>1,991,633</u>		<u>\$ 44,612,579</u>		<u>\$ 38,830,505</u>	<u>\$ (5,782,074)</u>	87.04%
6								
7	Delivery Charge							
8	all therms	126,025,441	\$ 0.08950	\$ 11,279,277	\$ 0.07790	\$ 9,817,411	\$ (1,461,866)	87.04%
9								
10	Subtotal			<u>\$ 11,279,277</u>		<u>\$ 9,817,411</u>	<u>\$ (1,461,866)</u>	87.04%
11								
12								
13	GDS-1 Base Rate Total			\$ 55,891,856		\$ 48,647,917	\$ (7,243,940)	87.04%

AmerenCIPS-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
14	<u>GDS-2 Small General Gas Service</u>							
15	Customer Charge ≤600 therms per year	58,340	\$ 37.10	\$ 2,164,414	\$ 32.29	\$ 1,883,892	\$ (280,522)	87.04%
16	Customer Charge >600 therms per year	153,716	\$ 62.50	\$ 9,607,250	\$ 54.40	\$ 8,362,089	\$ (1,245,161)	87.04%
17	Standard Service	178,389						
18	Reclassified to GDS-3 (ME)	(24)						
19	Reclassified to GDS-3 (CIPS)	(29)						
20	Reclassified to GDS-4 (CIPS)	(32)						
21	Reclassified from GDS-3 Rider S (CIPS)	29,550						
22	Reclassified from GDS-3 Rider T (CIPS)	1,025						
23	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider S (CIPS)	368						
24	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider T (CIPS)	232						
25	Reclassified from GDS-3 Rider S (ME)	2,558						
26	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider S (ME)	19						
27	Subtotal			\$ 11,771,664		\$ 10,245,982	\$ (1,525,682)	87.04%
31	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			
32	<u>GDS-2 Delivery Charge</u>							
33	Rider S in therms	41,412,401	\$ 0.07600	\$ 3,147,342	\$ 0.06615	\$ 2,739,427	\$ (407,916)	87.04%
34	Rider T in therms	1,931,842	\$ 0.06000	\$ 115,911	\$ 0.05222	\$ 100,888	\$ (15,023)	87.04%
35	Reclassified to GDS-3 -Rider S (ME) in therms	(44,042)						
36	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider S (ME) in therms	1,888,849						
37	Reclassified to GDS-3 - Rider S (CIPS) in therms	(44,801)						
38	Reclassified to GDS-4 - Rider S (CIPS) in therms	(69,919)						
39	Reclassified from GDS-4 - Rider S (ME) in therms	20,535						
40	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider S (CIPS) in therms	19,150,203						
41	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider T (CIPS) in therms	1,443,243						
42	Reclassified from GDS-4 - Rider S (CIPS) in therms	545,653						
43	Reclassified from GDS-4 - Rider T (CIPS) in therms	458,166						
44	Subtotal			\$ 3,263,253		\$ 2,840,315	\$ (422,938)	87.04%
45								
46	GDS-2 Base Rate Total			\$ 15,034,917		\$ 13,086,296	\$ (1,948,621)	87.04%

AmerenCIPS-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
47	<u>GDS-3 Intermediate General Gas Service</u>							
48	Customer Charge							
49	Standard Service (CIPS)	33,484						
50	Standard Service (ME)	2,894						
51	Reclassified from GDS-2 Rider S (ME)	24						
52	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider S (ME)	(2,558)						
53	Reclassified from GDS-2 Rider S (CIPS)	29						
54	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider S (CIPS)	(29,550)						
55	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider T (CIPS)	(1,025)						
56	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider S Inadequate (CIPS)	12						
57	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider S (CIPS)	518						
58	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider T (CIPS)	847						
59	Reclassified to GDS-4 Rider T (CIPS)	(48)						
60	Reclassified to GDS-4 Rider S (CIPS)	(286)						
61	Reclassified to GDS-4 Rider S (ME)	(24)						
62	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider S (ME)	12						
63	Subtotal	<u>4,329</u>	\$ 125.00	\$ 541,125	\$ 108.80	\$ 470,992	\$ (70,133)	87.04%
64								
65	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			

AmerenCIPS-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
66								
67	<u>GDS-3 Delivery Charge</u>							
68	All therms - Rider S (CIPS)	8,834,697	\$ 0.17500	\$ 1,546,072	\$ 0.15232	\$ 1,345,691	\$ (200,381)	87.04%
69	All therms - Rider T (CIPS)	8,792,973	\$ 0.11200	\$ 984,813	\$ 0.09748	\$ 857,175	\$ (127,638)	87.04%
70	All therms - Rider S (ME)	3,380,350						
71	All therms - Rider T (ME)	-						
72	Reclassified from GDS-2 Rider S (ME) in therms	44,042						
73	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider S (ME) in therms	(1,888,849)						
74	Reclassified from GDS-2 Rider S (CIPS) in therms	44,801						
75	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider S (CIPS) in therms	(19,150,203)						
76	Reclassified to GDS-4 Rider S (CIPS) in therms	(2,499,172)						
77	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider T (CIPS) in therms	(1,443,243)						
78	Reclassified to GDS-4 Rider T (CIPS) in therms	(842,718)						
79	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider S Inadequate (CIPS)	21,983						
80	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider S (CIPS) in therms	2,752,806						
81	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider T (CIPS) in therms	6,951,154						
82	Reclassified to GDS-4 Rider S (ME) in therms	(511,587)						
83	Reclassified from GDS-4 Rider S (ME) in therms	79,568						
84	Subtotal			\$ 2,530,885		\$ 2,202,866	\$ (328,019)	87.04%
85								
86	GDS-3 Base Rate Total			\$ 3,072,010		\$ 2,673,858	\$ (398,152)	87.04%

AmerenCIPS-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 CIPS-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
87								
88	<u>GDS-4 Large General Gas Service</u>							
89	Customer Charge							
90	Served under Rider S (CIPS)	1,233						
91	Served under Rider T (CIPS)	1,878						
92	Served under Rider S (ME)	67						
93	Served under Rider T (ME)	48						
94	Rider S	713	\$ 350.00	\$ 249,550	\$ 304.64	\$ 217,207	\$ (32,343)	87.04%
95	Rider T Avg Daily Use ≥ 1,000 but < 10,000 therms	862	\$ 350.00	\$ 301,700	\$ 304.64	\$ 262,598	\$ (39,102)	87.04%
96	Rider T Avg Daily Use ≥ 10,000 therms	33	\$ 450.00	\$ 14,850	\$ 391.68	\$ 12,925	\$ (1,925)	87.04%
97	Reclassified from GDS-2 Rider S (CIPS)	32						
98	Reclassified from GDS-3 Rider S (CIPS)	286						
99	Reclassified from GDS-3 Rider T (CIPS)	48						
100	Reclassified to GDS-3 Rider S Inadequate (CIPS)	(12)						
101	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider S (CIPS)	(368)						
102	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider T (CIPS)	(232)						
103	Reclassified to GDS-3 Rider S (CIPS)	(518)						
104	Reclassified to GDS-3 Rider T (CIPS)	(847)						
105	Reclassified from GDS-3 Rider S ME)	24						
106	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider S (ME)	(19)						
107	Reclassified to GDS-3 Rider S (ME)	(12)						
108	Subtotal			\$ 566,100		\$ 492,730	\$ (73,370)	87.04%
109								
110	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ -		\$ -			

AmerenCIPS-G

Line	Class	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
111								
112	GDS - 4 Delivery Charge							
113	Served under Rider S (CIPS) in therms	8,987,155						
114	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	4,108,559	\$ 0.13250	\$ 544,384	\$ 0.11533	\$ 473,828	\$ (70,556)	87.04%
115	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	6,237,481	\$ 0.12350	\$ 770,329	\$ 0.10749	\$ 670,489	\$ (99,840)	87.04%
116	Served under Rider T (CIPS) in therms	62,990,033						
117	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	18,192,758	\$ 0.09350	\$ 1,701,023	\$ 0.08138	\$ 1,480,560	\$ (220,463)	87.04%
118	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	40,031,219	\$ 0.08350	\$ 3,342,607	\$ 0.07268	\$ 2,909,384	\$ (433,223)	87.04%
119	Served under Rider S (ME) in therms	873,383						
120	Served under Rider T (ME) in therms	1,800,546						
121	Inadequate Rider S (CIPS) in therms	432,443						
122	Inadequate Rider T (CIPS) in therms	-						
123	Inadequate Rider S (ME) in therms	392,926						
124	Inadequate Rider T (ME) in therms	-						
125	Reclassified to GDS-2 - Rider S (ME) in therms	(20,535)						
126	Reclassified to GDS-3 - Rider S (ME) in therms	(79,568)						
127	Reclassified from GDS-2 - Rider S (CIPS) in therms	69,919						
128	Reclassified to GDS-2 - Rider T (CIPS) in therms	(458,166)						
129	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider S (CIPS) in therms	2,499,172						
130	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider T (CIPS) in therms	842,718						
131	Reclassified to GDS-3 - Rider S Inadequate (CIPS)	(21,983)						
132	Reclassified to GDS-3 Rider S (CIPS) in therms	(2,752,806)						
133	Reclassified to GDS-3 Rider T (CIPS) in therms	(6,951,154)						
134	Reclassified to GDS-2 Rider S (CIPS) in therms	(545,653)						
135	Reclassified from GDS-3 - Rider S (ME) in therms	511,587						
136	Subtotal	137,140,034		\$ 6,358,343		\$ 5,534,261	\$ (824,082)	87.04%
137								
138	GDS-4 Base Rate Total			\$ 6,924,443		\$ 6,026,991	\$ (897,452)	87.04%

AmerenCIPS-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
139								
140	<u>GDS-5 - Seasonal Gas Service</u>							
141	Customer Charge							
142	Served under Rider S	23						
143	Served under Rider T	168						
144	≤60 psig - < 3,250 therms	143	\$ 350.00	\$ 50,050	\$ 304.64	\$ 43,563	\$ (6,487)	87.04%
145	≤ 60 psig - ≥ 3,250 therms	48	\$ 450.00	\$ 21,600	\$ 391.68	\$ 18,801	\$ (2,799)	87.04%
146	Subtotal			\$ 71,650		\$ 62,364	\$ (9,286)	87.04%
147	Delivery Charge							
148	Therms - Rider S	82,990	\$ 0.06500	\$ 5,394	\$ 0.05658	\$ 4,695	\$ (699)	87.04%
149	Therms - Rider T	2,238,189	\$ 0.02700	\$ 60,431	\$ 0.02350	\$ 52,599	\$ (7,832)	87.04%
150	Subtotal			\$ 65,825		\$ 57,294	\$ (8,531)	87.04%
151								
152	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			
153								
154	Demand Charge - Rider S		\$ 0.55000		\$ 0.47872			87.04%
155	≤ 60 PSIG (per therm of adj winter demand)		\$ 0.45000		\$ 0.39168			87.04%
156	> 60 PSIG (per therm of adj winter demand)							
157	Demand Charge - Rider T		\$ 0.48500		\$ 0.42214			87.04%
158	≤ 60 PSIG (per therm of adj winter demand)		\$ 0.22000		\$ 0.19149			87.04%
159	> 60 PSIG (per therm of adj winter demand)							
160								
161	GDS-5 Base Rate Total			\$ 137,475		\$ 119,658	\$ (17,818)	87.04%

AmerenCIPS-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 CIPS-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
162								
163	<u>GDS-7 - Contract Service</u>							
164	Therms	67,231,280						
165	GDS-7 Revenues Total			\$ 801,281		\$ 801,281		
166								
170								
171	Total Base Rate Revenue			\$ 81,861,982		\$ 71,356,000	\$ (10,505,982)	87.04%
172	Staff Proposed Revenue Requirement					\$ 71,356,000		
173	Percent Difference in Revenue Requirement							87.04%

AmerenIP-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 IP-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)	
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)			
1	<u>GDS-1 Residential Gas Delivery Service</u>								
2									
3	Customer Charge								
4	Standard Service	4,612,517							
5	Non-Standard Service	<u>4,115</u>							
6									
7		Subtotal	4,616,632	\$ 23.60	\$ 108,952,515	\$ 19.93	\$ 92,024,923.39	\$ (16,927,591.81)	84.46%
8	Delivery Charge								
9	All therms	297,547,460	\$ 0.09150	\$ 27,225,593	\$ 0.07728	\$ 22,995,642.32	\$ (4,229,950.24)	84.46%	
10									
11		GDS-1 Base Rate Total			\$ 136,178,108		\$ 115,020,565.71	\$ (21,157,542.05)	84.46%

AmerenIP-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 IP-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
12								
13	<u>GDS-2 Small General Gas Service</u>							
14	Customer Charge							
15	Standard Service - Rider S	391,195						
16	Standard Service - Rider T	156						
17	Standard Service - Rider T	1,056						
18	Non-Standard Service - Rider S	14,346						
19	Non-Standard Service - Rider T	65						
20	Non-Standard Service - Rider T	504						
21	Subtotal	<u>407,322</u>	\$ 67.50	\$ 27,494,235	\$ 57.01	\$ 23,222,546.67	\$ (4,271,688.33)	84.46%
22								
23	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			
24								
25	Delivery Charge							
26	All therms - Rider S	92,340,128	\$ 0.07600	\$ 7,017,850	\$ 0.06419	\$ 5,927,509.66	\$ (1,090,340.10)	84.46%
27	All therms - Rider T	3,260,513	\$ 0.06000	\$ 195,631	\$ 0.05068	\$ 165,236.27	\$ (30,394.51)	84.46%
28	Subtotal	<u>95,600,641</u>		<u>\$ 7,213,481</u>		<u>\$ 6,092,746</u>	<u>\$ (1,120,734.60)</u>	84.46%
29								
30	Demand Charge - Rider T							
31	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig (Rider T)	455,137						
32	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig (Rider T)	57,356						
33								
34	MDCQ Overrun Demand Charge - Rider T							
35	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig (Rider T)	3,335						
36	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig (Rider T)	13,666						
37								
38								
39								
40	GDA-2 Base Rate Total			\$ 34,707,716		\$ 29,315,293	\$ (5,392,422.93)	84.46%

AmerenIP-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 IP-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
41								
42	<u>GDS-3 Intermediate General Gas Service</u>							
43	Customer Charge							
44	Rider S	7,045	\$ 273.00	\$ 1,923,285	\$ 230.58	\$ 1,624,470.57	\$ (298,814.43)	84.46%
45	Rider T (OT)	362	\$ 273.00	\$ 98,826	\$ 230.58	\$ 83,471.73	\$ (15,354.27)	84.46%
46	Rider T	1,686	\$ 273.00	\$ 460,278	\$ 230.58	\$ 388,766.13	\$ (71,511.87)	84.46%
47		Subtotal		\$ 2,482,389		\$ 2,096,708	\$ (385,680.57)	84.46%
48								
49	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			
50								
51	Delivery Charge							
52	All therms - Rider S	31,339,638						
53	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	27,446,324	\$ 0.15000	\$ 4,116,949	\$ 0.12669	\$ 3,477,311.94	\$ (639,636.69)	84.46%
54	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	3,893,314	\$ 0.15000	\$ 583,997	\$ 0.12669	\$ 493,263.37	\$ (90,733.69)	84.46%
55	All therms - Rider T	11,098,157						
56	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig	10,158,366	\$ 0.11000	\$ 1,117,420	\$ 0.09291	\$ 943,810.35	\$ (173,609.88)	84.46%
57	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	939,792	\$ 0.11000	\$ 103,377	\$ 0.09291	\$ 87,315.73	\$ (16,061.36)	84.46%
58		Subtotal		\$ 5,921,743		\$ 5,001,701	\$ (920,041.62)	84.46%
59								
60	Demand Charge - Rider T							
61	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig Rider T	981,642						
62	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig Rider T	71,940						
63		Subtotal		1,053,582				
64								
65	MDCQ Overrun Demand Charge - Rider T							
66	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig Rider T	30,999						
67	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig Rider T	6,860						
68		Subtotal		37,859				
69								
70	GDS-3 Base Rate Total			\$ 8,404,132		\$ 7,098,410	\$ (1,305,722.18)	84.46%

AmerenIP-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 IP-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
71								
72	<u>GDS-4 Large General Gas Service</u>							
73	Customer Charge							
74	Rider S	313	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 344,300	\$ 929.10	\$ 290,807.25	\$ (53,492.75)	84.46%
75	Rider T (Rider OT)	712	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 783,200	\$ 929.10	\$ 661,516.81	\$ (121,683.19)	84.46%
76	Rider T Avg Daily Use ≤ 10,000 therms	694	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 763,400	\$ 929.10	\$ 644,793.07	\$ (118,606.93)	84.46%
77	Rider T Avg Daily Use > 10,000 therms	188	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 376,000	\$ 1,689.27	\$ 317,582.12	\$ (58,417.88)	84.46%
78	Subtotal	1,907		\$ 2,266,900		\$ 1,914,699	\$ (352,200.75)	84.46%
79								
80	Meter Data Access Fee		\$ -		\$ -			
81								
82	Delivery Charge							
83	All therms - Rider S							
84	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig	6,650,790						
85	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	4,022,490	\$ 0.02500	\$ 100,562	\$ 0.02112	\$ 84,938.23	\$ (15,624.02)	84.46%
86	All therms - Rider T (Rider OT)	2,628,300	\$ 0.02500	\$ 65,708	\$ 0.02112	\$ 55,498.74	\$ (10,208.76)	84.46%
87	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig	176,377,649						
88	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	65,337,217						
89	Subtotal	111,040,432		\$ 166,270		\$ 140,437	\$ (25,832.78)	84.46%
90		183,028,439						
91	Demand Charge - Rider S							
92	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig	365,196	\$ 1.0000	\$ 365,196	\$ 0.84463	\$ 308,456.71	\$ (56,739.29)	84.46%
93	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	245,440	\$ 0.6000	\$ 147,264	\$ 0.50678	\$ 124,384.08	\$ (22,879.92)	84.46%
94	Subtotal	610,636		\$ 512,460		\$ 432,841	\$ (79,619.21)	84.46%
95								
96	MDCQ Overrun Demand Charge - Rider S							
97	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig	29,940	\$ 2.0000	\$ 59,880	\$ 1.68927	\$ 50,576.64	\$ (9,303.36)	84.46%
98	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig	5,720	\$ 1.2000	\$ 6,864	\$ 1.01356	\$ 5,797.56	\$ (1,066.44)	84.46%
99	Subtotal	35,660		\$ 66,744		\$ 56,374	\$ (10,369.79)	84.46%
100								
101	Demand Charge - Rider T							
102	Gas Main MAOP ≤ 60 psig (Rider T)	4,212,835	\$ 0.6000	\$ 2,527,701	\$ 0.50678	\$ 2,134,980.46	\$ (392,720.54)	84.46%
103	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig (Rider T)	17,215,704	\$ 0.1900	\$ 3,270,984	\$ 0.16048	\$ 2,762,781.84	\$ (508,201.92)	84.46%
104	Subtotal	21,428,539		\$ 5,798,685		\$ 4,897,762	\$ (900,922.47)	84.46%

AmerenIP-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 IP-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
105	<u>GDS-4 Large General Gas Service</u>							
106	MDCQ Overrun Demand Charge - Rider T							
107	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig (Rider T)	117,269	\$ 1.2000	\$ 140,723	\$ 1.01356	\$ 118,859.16	\$ (21,863.64)	84.46%
108	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig (Rider T)	191,630	\$ 0.3800	\$ 72,819	\$ 0.32096	\$ 61,505.69	\$ (11,313.71)	84.46%
109	Subtotal	308,899		\$ 213,542		\$ 180,365	\$ (33,177.35)	84.46%
110								
111	GDS-4 Base Rate Total			\$ 9,024,601		\$ 7,622,478	\$ (1,402,122.35)	84.46%

AmerenIP-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 IP-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
112								
113	<u>GDS-5 - Seasonal Gas Service</u>							
114	Customer Charge							
115	< 3,250 therms Rider S	147	\$ 350.00	\$ 51,450	\$ 295.62	\$ 43,456.38	\$ (7,993.62)	84.46%
116	≥ 3,250 but < 7,000 therms Rider S	90	\$ 700.00	\$ 62,650	\$ 591.24	\$ 52,916.28	\$ (9,733.72)	84.46%
117	≥ 7000 therms Rider S	20	\$ 700.00	\$ 14,000	\$ 591.24	\$ 11,824.87	\$ (2,175.13)	84.46%
118	< 3250 therms (OT) Rider T	104	\$ 350.00	\$ 36,225	\$ 295.62	\$ 30,596.84	\$ (5,628.16)	84.46%
119	≥ 3,250 but < 7,000 therms (OT) Rider T	241	\$ 700.00	\$ 168,700	\$ 591.24	\$ 142,489.64	\$ (26,210.36)	84.46%
120	≥ 7000 therms (OT) Rider T	60	\$ 700.00	\$ 42,000	\$ 591.24	\$ 35,474.60	\$ (6,525.40)	84.46%
121		Subtotal		\$ 375,025		\$ 316,759	\$ (58,266.39)	84.46%
122	Delivery Charge							
123	Therms - Rider S	3,108,080						
124	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig Rider S	792,910	\$ 0.08750	\$ 69,380	\$ 0.07391	\$ 58,600.34	\$ (10,779.28)	84.46%
125	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig Rider S	2,315,170	\$ 0.08750	\$ 202,577	\$ 0.07391	\$ 171,103.60	\$ (31,473.78)	84.46%
126	Therms - Rider T (OT)	6,526,579						
127	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig (OT) Rider T	1,788,959	\$ 0.07850	\$ 140,433	\$ 0.06630	\$ 118,614.63	\$ (21,818.65)	84.46%
128	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig (OT) Rider T	4,737,620	\$ 0.07850	\$ 371,903	\$ 0.06630	\$ 314,121.80	\$ (57,781.37)	84.46%
129		Subtotal		\$ 784,293		\$ 662,440	\$ (121,853.08)	84.46%
130								
131	Demand Charge (Adjusted Winter Demand)							
132	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig Rider S		\$ 1.0000		\$ 0.84463			84.46%
133	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig Rider S	3,760	\$ 0.6000	\$ 2,256	\$ 0.50678	\$ 1,905.49	\$ (350.51)	84.46%
134	Gas Main MAOP <= 60 psig (OT) Rider T		\$ 0.6000		\$ 0.50678			84.46%
135	Gas Main MAOP > 60 psig (OT) Rider T	7,160	\$ 0.1900	\$ 1,360	\$ 0.16048	\$ 1,149.04	\$ (211.36)	84.46%
136		Subtotal		\$ 3,616		\$ 3,055	\$ (561.87)	84.46%
137								
138	Meter Data Access Fee - Rider T		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.00			
139								
140	GDS-5 Base Rate Total			\$ 1,162,935		\$ 982,254	\$ (180,681.34)	84.46%

AmerenIP-G

Docket Nos. 09-0306 - 09-0311 (Cons.)
 ICC Staff Ex. 22.0
 Schedule 22.01 IP-G

Line	Class (A)	Billing Units (B)	Company		Staff		\$ Change from Co. Prop. (G)	% Change from Co. Prop. (H)
			Proposed Charge (C)	Proposed Revenue (D)	Proposed Charge (E)	Proposed Revenue (F)		
141								
142	<u>GDS-7 - Contract Service</u>							
143	Delivery Capacity Reservation Charges	12	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 780,000	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 780,000		
144	Delivery Charges	53,397,712	\$ 0.0130	\$ 694,170	\$ 0.0130	\$ 694,170		
145								
146	TOTAL GDS-7			\$ 1,474,170		\$ 1,474,170		
147								
148								
149	Total Base Rate Revenue			\$ 189,477,491		\$ 160,039,000.00	\$ 29,438,491	84.46%
150	Staff Proposed Revenue Requirement					\$ 160,039,000.00		
151	Percent Difference in Revenue Requirement					84.46%		