

ICC Docket No. 09-0263

Commonwealth Edison Company's Response to
MC Squared Energy Services and Constellation New Energy ("MC-CNE") Data Request
MC-CNE 1.01 – 1.13
Dated: July 2, 2009

REQUEST NO. MC-CNE 1.07:

Reference: Direct Panel Testimony of Jensen/Eber, lines 198-221.

Reference that six rate designs and four technologies were considered.

- a. How did ComEd determine that six rate designs were necessary to consider? Did ComEd consider a lesser number of rate designs? If yes, please estimate the reduction in pilot cost of a lesser number of rate designs. If no, please explain why not.
- b. Did ComEd consider utilizing only one IHD technology (as opposed to two) in designing the Customer Applications Plan? If yes, please estimate the reduction in pilot cost of a lesser number of technology tests. If no, please explain why not.

RESPONSE:

- a. ComEd did not have a set number of rate designs established when entering into the AMI workshop process, and used the feedback in developing the six rate designs (See ComEd's response to AARP 1.10). The detailed cost workpapers for the AMI Customer Applications were provided in ComEd's response to IIEC 1.02, specifically IIEC 1.02_Attach 7. ComEd has not produced an analysis to review the effects on performing the customer applications with a lesser number of rate designs.
- b. No. These new technologies each provide varying amounts of data to customers and may provide varying outcomes as a result.

OFFICIAL FILE

I.C.C. DOCKET NO. 09-0263
ICEX Exhibit No. 2
Witness _____
Date 8/19/09 Reporter CC