

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company
Gas Cost Related Account 904 Costs Derived From ICC Staff Exhibit 24.0, Schedule 24.1P Corrected

Line No.	Service Classification	Therm Level	Staff Witness Harden Proposed Rates			Test Year Retail Sales	Derived Gas Cost Related Acct. 904 Costs	ICC Staff Proposed Gas Cost Related Acct. 904 Costs (1)	Staff Witness Harden Acct. 904 Over (Under) Cost	Staff Witness Harden Account 904 Rate Class Allocation	ECOSS Account 904 Allocation (2)
			Distribution Charge								
			Sales \$/therm	Transportation \$/therm	Difference \$/therm						
[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	[I]	[J]		
						[D] X [E]		[F] - [G]	[F]/([F] (line 8))		
	S.C. No. 1										
1		1st 50	\$ 0.34206	\$ 0.31471	\$ 0.02734	268,684,481	\$ 7,346,473				
2		Over 50	\$ 0.13653	\$ 0.10919	\$ 0.02734	385,728,199	10,546,727				
3		Total				<u>654,412,680</u>	<u>\$ 17,893,201</u>	\$ 14,549,688	\$ 3,343,513	94.427%	86.633%
	S.C. No. 2										
4		1st 100 Therms	\$ 0.26647	\$ 0.26327	\$ 0.00319	51,191,714	\$ 163,471				
5		Next 4900	\$ 0.09798	\$ 0.09478	\$ 0.00319	239,134,695	763,630				
6		Over 5000 Therms	\$ 0.07365	\$ 0.07045	\$ 0.00319	40,407,785	129,034				
7		Total				<u>330,734,194</u>	<u>\$ 1,056,135</u>	\$ 2,199,515	\$ (1,143,380)	5.573%	13.270%
8	Total						<u>\$ 18,949,336</u>	<u>\$ 16,749,203</u>	<u>\$ 2,200,133</u>		

Notes:

- (1) As reflected in Peoples Gas' response to RWB-3.02 (column E). Total Account 904 Costs in Peoples' Gas' response to RWB-3.02 (column A, line 6) ties to total Uncollectible Account Expense amount shown in ICC Staff Ex. 15.0, Schedule 15.1P Corrected (column i, line 6), except for rounding.
- (2) As reflected in Peoples Gas' response to RWB-3.02 (column F).

North Shore Gas Company
Gas Cost Related Account 904 Costs Derived From ICC Staff Exhibit 24.0, Schedule 24.1N Corrected

Line No.	Service Classification	Therm Level [A]	Staff Witness Harden Proposed Rates			Test Year Retail Sales Therms [E]	Derived Gas Cost Related Acct. 904 Costs [F] [D] X [E]	ICC Staff Proposed Gas Cost Related Acct. 904 Costs (1) [G]	Staff Witness Harden Acct. 904 Over (Under) Cost [H] [F] - [G]	Staff Witness Harden Account 904 Rate Class Allocation [I] [F]/([F] (line 8))	ECOSS Account 904 Allocation (2) [J]
			Distribution Charge								
			Sales \$/therm [B]	Transportation \$/therm [C]	Difference \$/therm [D] [B] - [C]						
	S.C. No. 1										
1		1st 50	\$ 0.27948	\$ 0.27223	\$ 0.00725	64,162,706	\$ 465,148				
2		Over 50	\$ 0.09030	\$ 0.08305	\$ 0.00725	113,276,236	821,196				
3		Total				<u>177,438,942</u>	<u>\$ 1,286,344</u>	\$ 937,660	\$ 348,684	72.682%	81.187%
	S.C. No. 2										
4		1st 100 Therms	\$ 0.14892	\$ 0.13776	\$ 0.01116	7,244,198	\$ 80,842				
5		Next 2900	\$ 0.08163	\$ 0.07047	\$ 0.01116	29,183,715	325,675				
6		Over 3000 Therms	\$ 0.06756	\$ 0.05640	\$ 0.01116	6,896,986	76,967				
7		Total				<u>43,324,899</u>	<u>\$ 483,484</u>	\$ 203,857	\$ 279,627	27.318%	18.813%
8	Total						<u>\$ 1,769,828</u>	<u>\$ 1,141,517</u>	<u>\$ 628,311</u>		

Notes:

- (1) As reflected in North Shore's response to RWB-11.05 (column E). Total Account 904 Costs in North Shore's response to RWB-11.05 (column A, line 6) ties to total Uncollectible Account Expense amount shown in ICC Staff Ex. 15.0, Schedule 15.1N Corrected (column i, line 6), except for rounding.
- (2) As reflected in North Shore's response to RWB-11.05 (column F).

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company
Comparison of Revenue Adjustments - Rider VBA vs. AG/CUB Witness Effron
Test Year 2010

<u>Line</u>	<u>Revenue Adjustments</u> <u>[A]</u>	<u>SC 1 Sales</u> <u>[B]</u>	<u>SC 1 Trans</u> <u>[C]</u>	<u>SC 2 Sales</u> <u>[D]</u>	<u>SC 2 Trans</u> <u>[E]</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>[F]</u> [F] = [B]+[C]+[D]+[E]
1	Rider VBA Baseline Distribution Margin - Test Year 2006 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 138,126,594.37	\$ 3,008,887.07	\$ 58,121,084.34	\$ 46,119,477.80	\$ 245,376,043.58
2a	2010 Test Year Distribution Margin at Present Rates ⁽²⁾	\$ 131,104,150.09	11,378,008	\$ 54,971,825.43	\$ 47,937,815.78	\$ 245,391,799.63
2b	AG/CUB/City Witness Effron Adjustment ⁽³⁾	<u>\$ 2,861,133.87</u>	<u>\$ 298,318.21</u>	<u>\$ 541,116.80</u>	<u>\$ 740,803.84</u>	<u>\$ 4,441,372.72</u>
2c	AM = 2010 Test Year including Effron Adjustment (line 2a + line 2b)	<u>\$ 133,965,283.96</u>	<u>\$ 11,676,326.53</u>	<u>\$ 55,512,942.23</u>	<u>\$ 48,678,619.62</u>	<u>\$ 249,833,172.35</u>
3	AC = 2010 Test Year Customers ⁽²⁾	708,993	61,090	66,899	17,007	
4	RCC = Rider VBA Baseline Customers - Test Year 2006 ⁽¹⁾	739,539	16,231	68,117	16,022	
5	AM / AC x RCC (line 2c / line 3 x line 4)	\$ 139,736,996.18	\$ 3,102,282.80	\$ 56,523,641.40	\$ 45,859,284.04	\$ 245,222,204.41
6	PFC (Percentage of Fixed Costs) ⁽¹⁾	95%	95%	95%	95%	
7	Revised Rider VBA (Line 1 - Line 5) x Line 6 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ (1,529,881.72)	\$ (88,725.94)	\$ 1,517,570.80	\$ 247,184.08	\$ 146,147.21
8	Company's Original Rider VBA revenue at present rates ⁽²⁾	\$ 2,867,373.00	\$ 31,844.00	\$ 2,307,364.00	\$ (153,358.00)	\$ 5,053,223.00
9	Company's Original Rider VBA Miscellaneous Revenue Amount ⁽⁵⁾	<u>\$ (1,749,612.22)</u>	<u>\$ (56,631.48)</u>	<u>\$ (230,438.38)</u>	<u>\$ 1,081,604.22</u>	<u>\$ (955,077.86)</u>
10	Company's Original Rider VBA Net effect at present rates (line 8 + line 9)	\$ 1,117,760.78	\$ (24,787.48)	\$ 2,076,925.62	\$ 928,246.22	\$ 4,098,145.14
11	Company change to Rider VBA estimate at present rates after Effron Adjustment (line 7 - line 10)	\$ (2,647,642.50)	\$ (63,938.46)	\$ (559,354.82)	\$ (681,062.14)	\$ (3,951,997.93)
12	AG/CUB/City Witness Effron Adjustment (line 2b)	<u>\$ 2,861,133.87</u>	<u>\$ 298,318.21</u>	<u>\$ 541,116.80</u>	<u>\$ 740,803.84</u>	<u>\$ 4,441,372.72</u>
13	Final adjustment to Present Rate Revenue (line 11 + line 12)	\$ 213,491.37	\$ 234,379.75	\$ (18,238.02)	\$ 59,741.70	\$ 489,374.79

(1) As approved in Final Order of Docket Nos. 07-0241/07-0242 (cons.)

(2) Source: Schedule E-5

(3) Source: AG-CUB Exhibit 4.2

(4) This amount represents the Rider VBA adjustment amount that would be calculated pursuant to the Rider VBA tariff based on the data and calculations in lines 1-6.

(5) Source: Embedded Cost of Service Study at Present Rates, Account 495 - VBA related revenue. See Peoples Gas' response to AG-6.06 for a description of this amount.

North Shore Gas Company
Comparison of Revenue Adjustments - Rider VBA vs. AG/CUB Witness Effron
Test Year 2010

<u>Line</u>	<u>Revenue Adjustments</u> <u>[A]</u>	<u>SC 1 Sales</u> <u>[B]</u>	<u>SC 1 Trans</u> <u>[C]</u>	<u>SC 2 Sales</u> <u>[D]</u>	<u>SC 2 Trans</u> <u>[E]</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>[F]</u> <u>[F] = [B]+[C]+[D]+[E]</u>
1	Rider VBA Baseline Distribution Margin - Test Year 2006 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 23,004,465.83	\$ 521,846.37	\$ 4,184,233.25	\$ 3,738,073.51	\$ 31,448,618.96
2a	2010 Test Year Distribution Margin at Present Rates ⁽²⁾	\$ 22,472,486.42	1,144,984	\$ 4,061,403.70	\$ 3,993,819.97	\$ 31,672,693.83
2b	AG/CUB/City Witness Effron Adjustment ⁽³⁾	<u>\$ 345,493.24</u>	<u>\$ 17,363.38</u>	<u>\$ 56,438.81</u>	<u>\$ 130,265.05</u>	<u>\$ 549,560.48</u>
2c	AM = 2010 Test Year including Effron Adjustment (line 2a + line 2b)	\$ 22,817,979.66	\$ 1,162,347.12	\$ 4,117,842.51	\$ 4,124,085.02	\$ 32,222,254.31
3	AC = 2010 Test Year Customers ⁽²⁾	142,148	7,584	10,973	2,496	
4	RCC = Rider VBA Baseline Customers - Test Year 2006 ⁽¹⁾	141,660	3,297	10,722	2,370	
5	AM / AC x RCC (line 2c / line 3 x line 4)	\$ 22,739,644.59	\$ 505,308.34	\$ 4,023,649.63	\$ 3,915,898.04	\$ 31,184,500.59
6	PFC (Percentage of Fixed Costs) ⁽¹⁾	99%	99%	99%	99%	
7	Revised Rider VBA (Line 1 - Line 5) x Line 6 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 262,173.03	\$ 16,372.65	\$ 158,977.78	\$ (176,046.28)	\$ 261,477.18
8	Company's Original Rider VBA revenue at present rates ⁽²⁾	\$ 697,649.00	\$ 30,621.00	\$ 211,933.00	\$ (170,865.00)	\$ 769,338.00
9	Company's Original Rider VBA Miscellaneous Revenue Amount ⁽⁵⁾	<u>\$ (99,517.00)</u>	<u>\$ (7,138.00)</u>	<u>\$ (148.00)</u>	<u>\$ 120,277.00</u>	<u>\$ 13,474.00</u>
10	Company's Original Rider VBA Net effect at present rates (line 8 + line 9)	\$ 598,132.00	\$ 23,483.00	\$ 211,785.00	\$ (50,588.00)	\$ 782,812.00
11	Company change to Rider VBA estimate at present rates after Effron Adjustment (line 7 - line 10)	\$ (335,958.97)	\$ (7,110.35)	\$ (52,807.22)	\$ (125,458.28)	\$ (521,334.82)
12	AG/CUB/City Witness Effron Adjustment (line 2b)	<u>\$ 345,493.24</u>	<u>\$ 17,363.38</u>	<u>\$ 56,438.81</u>	<u>\$ 130,265.05</u>	<u>\$ 549,560.48</u>
13	Final adjustment to Present Rate Revenue (line 11 + line 12)	\$ 9,534.27	\$ 10,253.03	\$ 3,631.59	\$ 4,806.77	\$ 28,225.66

(1) As approved in Final Order of Docket Nos. 07-0241/07-0242 (cons.)

(2) Source: Schedule E-5

(3) Source: AG-CUB Exhibit 4.1

(4) This amount represents the Rider VBA adjustment amount that would be calculated pursuant to the Rider VBA tariff based on the data and calculations in lines 1-6.

(5) Source: Embedded Cost of Service Study at Present Rates, Account 495 - VBA related revenue. See Peoples Gas' response to AG-6.06.

The same description for the amount shown applies for North Shore.

ICC Docket No. 09-0166/0167
**The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company's Response to
People of the State of Illinois Data Requests AG 2.00-2.53**
Dated: April 21, 2009

REQUEST NO. AG 2.17:

Please provide an electronic file showing for each and every Peoples Gas SC 1 customer the customer's consumption in each month from the January 2006 meter reading through the December 2008 meter reading, and whether in each such month the customer was a sales or transportation customer. Please provide the information without any customer-identifying information (such as name or address), but provide a unique identifier for each customer (such as a customer number). Please provide this data in native format capable of manipulation and in one of the following formats that most closely matches the original: dBASE, Microsoft Access, Microsoft Excel, SAS, SPSS, comma-delimited ASCII, fixed-width ASCII. Please provide this information on CD-ROM or DVD-ROM in a Windows-compatible format.

RESPONSE:

Peoples Gas objects to this data request that seeks at least 37 pieces of information for each of the approximately 770,000 Peoples Gas SC 1 customers as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Without waiving the foregoing objections and the general objections and based on discussions with the Attorney General's Office, Peoples Gas states:

The requested data is not readily available in the format and for the time period requested. However, Peoples Gas has provided the following data in SPSS format for the twelve-months-ended June 30, 2008.

- PGL_02-AG-17 Attachment 1 – Extract of SC 1 Sales customers.
- PGL_02-AG-17 Attachment 2 – Extract of SC 1 Transportation customers (i.e., Choices for You)

The files contain the following data fields:

1. ID
2. Account Number (Transportation customers only)
3. Year-end Service Classification ("P1" for Peoples Gas SC 1)
4. Year-end Sales Type ("S" for Sales customers, "T" for Transportation customers)
5. LIHEAP Indicator that a grant was received within the year ("Y" for yes, "N" for no)
6. Consumption in therms by month
7. Total annual therm consumption

ICC Docket No. 09-0166/0167
**The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company's Response to
People of the State of Illinois Data Requests AG 2.00-2.53**
Dated: April 21, 2009

The data represents all Peoples Gas SC 1 customers with a full twelve months of consumption. Customers with less than twelve months of consumption or more than twelve months of consumption within the period have been excluded.

Consumption is "per books", as opposed to "as billed". Customers' meters are read and billed throughout the month. As a result, customer bills in any month represent many different billing cycles. The Customer Information System converts the information into the appropriate Revenue Months (i.e., "per books") for accounting and reporting purposes. The difference between per books and as billed represents a temporary timing difference.

For SC 1 customers participating in the Company's Choices For You Transportation program, the Account Number field has been appended to facilitate linking to data previously provided in the response to Staff Data Request DAS 1.04 ("DAS 1.04"). However, several important differences exist between data provided in this response and data provided in the response to DAS 1.04. First, as discussed above, data provided in this response is per books, while data provided in the response to DAS 1.04 is as billed and therefore not tied to particular months. Second, the response to DAS 1.04 reflects subsequent corrections or adjustments in the period that the therms were consumed, rather than in the month booked. Finally, the response to DAS 1.04 represents all SC 1 Transportation customers, including those with consumption for a partial year.

The requested files are only available in SPSS format. They are being produced to the Attorney General as requested in SPSS format on CD-ROM. These confidential & proprietary files are not being produced to any other party at this time.