

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ENBRIDGE PIPELINES (Illinois))
L.L.C.)
) DOCKET NO.
) 07-0446
Application pursuant to Sections)
8-503, 8-509 and 15-401 of the)
Public Utilities Act - the Common)
Carrier by Pipeline Law to)
construct and operate a petroleum)
pipeline and, when necessary, to)
take private property as provided)
by the Law of Eminent Domain.)

Tuesday, July 22, 2008

Springfield, Illinois

Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. GERALD A. AMBROSE
MR. G. DARRYL REED (Present in person)
MR. JOHN A. HELLER
SIDLEY & AUSTIN
One South Dearborn
Chicago, Illinois 60603

(Appearing on behalf of the
Applicant via teleconference)

SULLIVAN REPORTING CO., by
Carla Boehl, Reporter
CSR #084-002710

1 APPEARANCES: (CONT'D.)

2 MR. JOEL KANVIK
3 Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.
4 1100 Louisiana
5 Houston, Texas 77002

6 (Appearing on behalf of the
7 Applicant via teleconference)

8 MR. SCOTT HELMHOLZ
9 BROWN, HAY & STEPHENS
10 205 South Fifth Street
11 Suite 700
12 Springfield, Illinois 62701

13 (Appearing on behalf of Shelby
14 Intervenors via teleconference)

15 MR. PETER BRANDT
16 MS. BARBARA TAFT
17 MR. THOMAS PLIURA
18 LIVINGSTON, BARGER, BRANDT & SCHROEDER
19 115 West Jefferson Street
20 Suite 400
21 Bloomington, Illinois 61701

22 (Appearing on behalf of Pliura
Intervenors via teleconference)

MR. MERCER TURNER
LAW OFFICES OF MERCER TURNER, P.C.
202 North Prospect
Suite 202
Bloomington, Illinois 61701

(Appearing on behalf of Turner
Intervenors via teleconference)

21
22

1 APPEARANCES: (CONT'D.)

2 MS. JANIS VON QUALEN
3 MR. JAMES OLIVERO
4 Office of General Counsel
5 527 East Capitol Avenue
6 Springfield, Illinois 62701

7 (Appearing on behalf of Staff
8 witnesses of the Illinois
9 Commerce Commission)

10 MR. JON ROBINSON
11 BOLEN, ROBINSON & ELLIS, LLP
12 202 South Franklin Street, 2nd Floor
13 Decatur, Illinois 62523

14 (Appearing on behalf of
15 Intervenors via teleconference)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

INDEX

WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
JEFFERY D. STELLE				
By Mr. Brandt	958			
By Mr. Ambrose		959		

EXHIBITS

	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
None.		

1 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, Mr. Ambrose. ICC
2 Staff?

3 MR. OLIVERO: Appearing on behalf of the Staff
4 witnesses of the Illinois Commerce Commission, Janis
5 Von Qualen and Jim Olivero, and our address and phone
6 number are already of record.

7 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Intervenors, starting
8 with Pliura Intervenors?

9 MR. BRANDT: Thank you, Your Honor. Peter
10 Brandt, Thomas Pliura, Barbara Taft on behalf of
11 Pliura Intervenors.

12 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Other Intervenors?

13 MR. HELMHOLZ: This is Scott Helmholtz, Judge
14 Jones, appearing on behalf of the Shelby Intervenors.

15 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other
16 appearances to be entered at this time?

17 MR. TURNER: Your Honor, Mercer Turner on
18 behalf of several Intervenors. My address is in the
19 record.

20 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, Mr. Turner. Are there
21 other appearances to be entered?

22 MR. ROBINSON: Jon Robinson on behalf of

1 Intervenors, of record already.

2 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there other
3 appearances to be entered this afternoon? Let the
4 record show there are not, at least at this time.

5 I believe the plan is to go forward
6 with the cross examination of Pliura Intervenors
7 witness Mr. Stelle. He has been previously sworn and
8 will be subject to cross examination today.

9 MR. BRANDT: Peter Brandt, just a housekeeping
10 matter.

11 JUDGE JONES: Yes, sir.

12 MR. BRANDT: I don't recall whether we covered
13 the two documents, the affidavit of Mr. Stelle and
14 the supplemental direct testimony of Mr. Stelle, when
15 we were last in Springfield. We would mark those
16 respectively as Pliura Exhibit 8.0 and Pliura Exhibit
17 8.1. I would like to spend a minute with Mr. Stelle
18 to just verify that this is his testimony and I will
19 tender him for cross examination, if that's
20 acceptable to the Court.

21 JUDGE JONES: Go ahead with that. Mr. Stelle,
22 you are still under oath.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

JEFFERY D. STELLE

recalled as a witness on behalf of Pliura
Intervenors, having been previously duly sworn, was
examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. BRANDT:

Q. Mr. Stelle, I am going to hand you what we
have marked as Pliura Exhibit Number 8 which is an
affidavit dated January 7, 2008, and I am going to
hand you also Pliura Exhibit 8.1 which is the
supplemental direct testimony of Jeff Stelle that is
a 12-page document.

My question is, do these two documents
contain your thoughts, opinions and conclusions?

A. Yes, they do.

Q. All right. Is it your testimony under
oath?

A. Yes.

Q. If asked these same questions, the
questions listed in these two documents, Pliura 8.0
and 8.1 today, would your answers be the same?

A. Yes.

1 MR. BRANDT: We would move the admission of
2 Pliura Exhibit 8.0 and 8.1, and with that we will
3 tender the witness for cross examination.

4 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any
5 objections to the admission of this witness's
6 testimonies?

7 MR. AMBROSE: Gerald Ambrose on behalf of
8 Enbridge, Your Honor. We want to cross-examine him,
9 of course, and subject to that may have objections.

10 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you. Who will
11 be conducting the cross examination of the witness?

12 MR. AMBROSE: I will do so, Gerald Ambrose.

13 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Just so the record is
14 clear if it is not already, the witness who is
15 testifying at this particular time is Mr. Jeffery
16 Stelle, and he is the same witness who was on the
17 stand on a prior hearing date, that being July 10.

18 All right, Mr. Ambrose.

19 MR. AMBROSE: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 CROSS EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. AMBROSE:

22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Stelle. Can you hear

1 me?

2 A. Yeah.

3 Q. Mr. Stelle, where do you live?

4 A. I live at 12 Smokey Court, Bloomington,
5 Illinois.

6 Q. What is your business address?

7 A. 3001 1/2 Hill Street, Bloomington,
8 Illinois.

9 Q. Is that the address of Stelle Construction
10 General Contractors?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Is Stelle Construction General Contractors
13 your company?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. That's your principal business, Mr. Stelle?

16 A. One of them.

17 Q. Stelle Construction General Contractors is
18 a building contractor, is that correct?

19 A. That is correct.

20 Q. Are you the president or chief executive
21 officer of that company?

22 A. Yes.

1 Q. Do you own that company or are there other
2 stockholders in that company?

3 A. No, I own it.

4 Q. One hundred percent, I take it?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. What other business or profession do you
7 have, Mr. Stelle?

8 A. I have a residential construction company
9 that operates under the name of Stelle Homes, and I
10 also have a real estate brokerage company that
11 operates under the name JD Stelle and Company.

12 Q. Is that company located in Springfield, the
13 JD Stelle and Company Real Estate Brokerage?

14 A. No, all of them are at the same address as
15 Stelle Construction on Hill Street.

16 Q. Okay, thank you. Now, Mr. Stelle, your
17 testimony in this case is now marked as Exhibit 8 and
18 Exhibit 8.1. 8.1 is the supplemental direct
19 testimony, correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Does that testimony subsume the affidavit
22 that you previously submitted?

1 A. What is that?

2 Q. Does that testimony subsume the affidavit
3 you previously submitted?

4 A. Does that mean like follow-up to it or --

5 Q. Does it encompass it, expand on it,
6 incorporate it?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Mr. Stelle, are you being paid to appear as
9 a witness in this proceeding?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. By whom?

12 A. Pliura Law Firm.

13 Q. And how much are you being paid?

14 A. My fee is \$100 per hour.

15 Q. When were you engaged to appear as a paid
16 witness in this proceeding?

17 A. It would have been in January.

18 Q. January of 2008?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. How many hours have you expended on this
21 project to date, Mr. Stelle?

22 A. I would have to check my records, but

1 probably somewhere around 23, 24 hours.

2 Q. Have you been paid for that time so far?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Have you rendered an invoice for it?

5 A. No.

6 Q. So at the moment you have unpaid fees from
7 Mr. Pliura of 23, 2400 dollars, is that correct?

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. When do you expect to issue a bill?

10 A. I am sure when it's going to be done.

11 Q. Now, Mr. Stelle, have you ever been an
12 expert witness before in any other case?

13 A. I am not regularly called upon for that.

14 Q. Well, can you answer my question yes or no?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Yes, you have been a witness before or,
17 yes, you can answer my question?

18 A. Yes, I can answer your question.

19 Q. And what is the answer to my question, the
20 question being have you previously been an expert
21 witness, a paid expert witness, before in any other
22 case?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Okay, thank you. Mr. Stelle, you be sure
3 and tell me if you can't hear me at any time and if
4 counsel or anybody else has a problem, please let me
5 know.

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. Thank you, all.

8 Mr. Stelle, you hold a bachelor's
9 degree from Illinois State, is that correct?

10 A. That is correct.

11 Q. When you did you receive that?

12 A. 1974.

13 Q. What was that degree in?

14 A. Bachelors of Science.

15 Q. Bachelor of Science. What was your major
16 in college?

17 A. I graduated in sociology.

18 Q. Mr. Stelle, do you have any post-graduate
19 degrees?

20 A. No post-graduate degrees. I am a licensed
21 real estate broker in the state of Illinois and have
22 been since 1975. And I am registered as a contractor

1 in the state of Illinois.

2 Q. What's your real estate broker's license
3 number?

4 A. I don't have that on me.

5 Q. What type of real estate license do you
6 have? Is it an A1 or A2 category?

7 A. I didn't know that there was a difference.
8 I am a broker.

9 Q. What is your principal business,
10 Mr. Stelle? Is it Stelle Construction or -- is it
11 Stelle Construction or being a real estate broker?

12 A. I would say that they both intertwine.

13 Q. Well, tell me how much time about per hour
14 you spent percentage-wise on the Stelle Construction
15 business in the last year?

16 A. Oh, probably 35 to 40 percent.

17 Q. And how much time do you spend on the
18 brokerage business?

19 A. It is part of handling the development
20 side, so I am involved in it on a regular basis,
21 representing buyers and sellers both on a commercial
22 basis as well as residentially.

1 Q. As a broker then, Mr. Stelle, your job is
2 to procure purchases -- purchasers or sellers of
3 land, right?

4 A. And evaluate value of property for
5 development purposes, both residentially and
6 commercially.

7 Q. As a broker you act as an agent for someone
8 else, right, either the seller or the buyer?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. That's what a broker does, right?

11 A. That is correct.

12 Q. You are not a licensed real estate
13 appraiser in the state of Illinois?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Okay. You don't have a law degree, do you,
16 Mr. Stelle?

17 A. No, I do not.

18 Q. Never taken the Illinois bar exam?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Do you have any expertise as an
21 environmental lawyer of any type?

22 A. No.

1 Q. Do you have any license from the State of
2 Illinois to be an investigator of any type?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Do you have any kind of license or
5 certificate from the State of Illinois as an expert
6 in good faith negotiations?

7 MR. BRANDT: Let me object. I don't know that
8 this even exists.

9 MR. AMBROSE: Well, my question is does he have
10 such a thing. He can tell me yes or no or it doesn't
11 exist.

12 A. I don't have anything like that, no.

13 Q. Now, you said before you were a certified
14 building contractor, correct?

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. Certified by whom? By what?

17 A. Within the state and locally at the city
18 level.

19 Q. Well, do you have some kind of
20 certification from the State of Illinois?

21 A. It is a registration.

22 Q. You are registered as a building

1 contractor?

2 A. That is correct.

3 Q. But you don't have a license from the State
4 of Illinois or you haven't passed any kind of test
5 with the State of Illinois, have you?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Your business is Stelle Construction. You
8 build medical facilities, churches, schools, office
9 buildings, right?

10 A. That is correct, as part of that company.

11 Q. Have you ever constructed a crude oil
12 pipeline?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Mr. Stelle, are you a landowner along the
15 route of the Enbridge Illinois pipeline?

16 A. No.

17 Q. I am sorry, I didn't hear your answer.
18 Please repeat it.

19 A. No.

20 Q. Do you have any relations who are
21 landowners along the route of the pipeline proposed
22 in this proceeding?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Now, Mr. Stelle, to prepare your testimony
3 for this case you did not meet with any
4 representative of Enbridge Pipeline, correct?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Did you review the application Enbridge
7 filed in this case?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Did you review the exhibits to that
10 application?

11 A. As best as I could.

12 Q. Well, which ones did you review?

13 A. I reviewed a number of documents that
14 pertained to this case. Obviously, I have not
15 reviewed all of them. They come in boxes and rings,
16 so.

17 Q. Where did you get those documents,
18 Mr. Stelle?

19 A. Through representatives of the landowners.

20 Q. You mean Mr. Pliura?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Anybody else?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Can you tell me specifically under oath
3 that you have reviewed Exhibit J to the application
4 in this proceeding?

5 A. I don't know what Exhibit J is.

6 Q. I take it the answer to my question is no,
7 correct?

8 MR. BRANDT: I guess his problem is that --

9 JUDGE JONES: You need to identify yourself
10 before you speak if it is a different person.

11 MR. BRANDT: I am sorry, this is Peter Brandt.
12 I don't know that he has identified or he hasn't
13 identified what Exhibit J is. I think that's the
14 problem the witness is having. He may have reviewed
15 it. He just can't verify it unless you let him know
16 what Exhibit J is.

17 MR. AMBROSE: Well, we will move on.

18 Q. Mr. Stelle, in connection with your
19 testimony for this case, have you performed any
20 statistical study of the valuation of pipeline and
21 press property in the state of Illinois?

22 A. Statistical study?

1 Q. Yes.

2 A. No.

3 Q. Do you know how many pipelines, interstate
4 pipelines, exist in the state of Illinois?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Have you done any study of the development
7 of properties along pipeline routes, say, in rural
8 county Illinois, Mr. Stelle?

9 A. Not specifically.

10 Q. Have you ever listed a pipeline
11 right-of-way, Mr. Stelle?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Have you ever been hired or engaged to
14 remove a pipeline from underground?

15 A. Well, we have removed a lot of fuel oil
16 tanks and other things at gas stations. There is a
17 lot of that kind of work increasing structured
18 property.

19 Q. But my question was specifically directed
20 to a pipeline like the one we are dealing with here.

21 A. Oh, like this one?

22 Q. Yeah.

1 A. None.

2 Q. Thank you.

3 Now, was it Mr. Pliura who asked you
4 to participate in this proceeding?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And did he ask you to give various
7 opinions?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Did Mr. Pliura provide you with the
10 information that you refer to in giving your
11 opinions?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Now, Mr. Stelle, do you know why Mr. Pliura
14 asked you to perform this role?

15 A. Not specifically.

16 Q. How long have you known Mr. Pliura?

17 A. I am not really sure, several years.

18 Q. Well, is several years five, ten, 15, 20?
19 What is it?

20 A. Probably ten years.

21 Q. And how do you know him? Socially?
22 Professionally?

1 A. Both.

2 Q. Has he acted as your lawyer in some manner
3 or the other?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Has he acted as your medical doctor?

6 A. No.

7 Q. So this is purely a social acquaintance, is
8 that correct?

9 A. No, we have -- I have closed with other
10 properties that he's been involved with and given an
11 opinion as far as value, and he took up the
12 development.

13 Q. Are you engaged in some joint venture with
14 Mr. Pliura for the development of some property or
15 have you ever done that with him?

16 A. I have reviewed property that he has
17 purchased to determine value.

18 Q. Have any of those properties involved
19 places for possible medical clinics, for example?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Stelle, you are not a
22 licensed engineer in the State of Illinois, is that

1 correct?

2 A. That is correct.

3 Q. In preparation for your testimony did you
4 review any letters written by Mr. Pliura to any
5 landowners along the right-of-way?

6 A. No.

7 MR. AMBROSE: Okay. Your Honor, may I have a
8 moment?

9 JUDGE JONES: Yes.

10 MR. AMBROSE: Thank you. I have no further
11 questions for this witness, Your Honor. Thank you.

12 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, Mr. Ambrose.

13 Mr. Brandt, do you have redirect for
14 Mr. Stelle?

15 MR. BRANDT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

16 JUDGE JONES: That concludes the examination of
17 Mr. Stelle. Thank you, sir.

18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

19 (Witness excused.)

20 JUDGE JONES: All right. The cross examination
21 of Mr. Stelle who is sponsoring Pliura Intervenor
22 Exhibits 8.0 and 8.1 is completed. The exhibits have

1 been offered into the evidentiary record. Are there
2 any objection to the admission of those two exhibits?

3 MR. AMBROSE: Yes, Your Honor, this is Gerald
4 Ambrose again on behalf of the Applicant.

5 We object to both of those documents
6 and move that they be stricken. The basis for my
7 objection I will be happy to state now, and then we
8 can address them later in connection with the other
9 pending such matters, if that's acceptable.

10 JUDGE JONES: Yeah, I think that's how we did
11 it before, partially in the interest of time. But I
12 think what you suggest would be consistent with how
13 we have handled these. So, yes, go ahead and state
14 the bases, and then we will do some scheduling to get
15 them addressed in some manner.

16 MR. AMBROSE: Right, thank you.

17 Well, my first objection on behalf of
18 the Applicant is that this witness is not qualified
19 as an expert to give the opinions he is offering
20 here. These are not proper opinions under Supreme
21 Court Rule 213(f).

22 Further objection, there are no

1 foundations for these opinions, no data, no research
2 referred to.

3 In addition, we object to the
4 testimony as involving legal conclusions that he is
5 not qualified to give. We object to this testimony
6 as virtually entirely hearsay, and I can give
7 examples but I guess I will just save that for later
8 on when we do this in writing.

9 So those are our objections. Well, I
10 should also note that we think this testimony is
11 irrelevant. His opinion has no relevance on the
12 issue in this case.

13 And that concludes my objections.
14 Thank you.

15 MR. BRANDT: Your Honor, Peter Brandt. We will
16 respond in writing to whatever written objections are
17 filed.

18 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you,
19 Mr. Brandt.

20 MR. BRANDT: Thank you.

21 JUDGE JONES: And that opportunity will be made
22 available with respect to this Motion to Strike and

1 the other Motions to Strike to the extent that they
2 remain open and unresolved at that point.

3 MR. BRANDT: I think that's all the testimony
4 we have to offer today, Your Honor. This is Peter
5 Brandt.

6 JUDGE JONES: Okay, thank you.

7 Just one point of clarification.
8 Mr. Stelle's supplemental direct, that has not as yet
9 been filed on e-Docket, is that still the case?

10 MR. PLIURA: Well, Your Honor, this is Tom
11 Pliura. I believe it actually has been filed and we
12 have a receipt from it. We don't really know where
13 it is on e-Docket. We have a receipt showing that
14 the date that we filed it is on January 25. It
15 doesn't show up on e-Docket.

16 JUDGE JONES: Well, I tell you what, it is
17 really more of a question of being able to track it
18 back to the e-Docket filings somewhere in the
19 document category just so everyone can access it if
20 they wish to and reference it if they wish to for
21 whatever the reason.

22 MR. PLIURA: This is Tom Pliura. We would be

1 happy to refile it.

2 JUDGE JONES: I think that might be the
3 simplest thing here if there is no objection to that
4 from a procedural standpoint. I realize there are
5 substantive objections to the testimony itself. At
6 least that gets it onto e-Docket under the document
7 category where people can see it.

8 Does anybody have any objection to it
9 being filed on e-Docket for that purpose?

10 MR. AMBROSE: Your Honor, Gerald Ambrose. We
11 have no objection subject to our ability to review it
12 and make sure it is the same as whatever was filed
13 before.

14 JUDGE JONES: Fair enough. So we will give
15 Pliura Intervenors leave to make that filing on
16 e-Docket. Is seven days sufficient for that purpose,
17 Dr. Pliura?

18 DR. PLIURA: Yes, it is.

19 JUDGE JONES: And it will look just like the
20 one that was distributed to the parties by e-mail, is
21 that the plan?

22 DR. PLIURA: Yes, it will be the same document.

1 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you.

2 All right. Was there anything else
3 with reference to the testimony of Mr. Stelle?

4 MR. BRANDT: None from Pliura Intervenors, Your
5 Honor. This is Peter Brandt.

6 MR. AMBROSE: Nothing further from the
7 Applicant. This is Jerry Ambrose, of course.

8 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, Mr. Ambrose. One
9 moment.

10 (Pause.)

11 By prior arrangement and ruling the
12 testimony of Mr. Cook will occur tomorrow at 1:00
13 p.m. Do the parties have anything they need to
14 discuss today with respect -- would like to discuss
15 today with respect to the testimony of that witness?

16 MR. BRANDT: None from Pliura Intervenors.

17 JUDGE JONES: All right. Anybody else?

18 MR. AMBROSE: Nothing from us, Your Honor.

19 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Other parties? All
20 right. Let the record show no response.

21 As noted, that will be at the hearing
22 which will commence at 1:00 p.m. tomorrow. Do the

1 parties have anything else for today's hearing before
2 we conclude it and put the matter over until
3 tomorrow?

4 MR. AMBROSE: Nothing from Enbridge, Your
5 Honor. Thank you very much.

6 MR. BRANDT: Thanks for conducting this by
7 phone for the accommodation of the parties. Pliura
8 Intervenors have nothing else at this point.

9 JUDGE JONES: You are welcome. Thank you.

10 One quick question before we wrap it
11 up today. I think there are several parties with
12 cross examination tomorrow. One thing we haven't
13 really discussed is whether there would be or someone
14 would like there to be some sort of phone hook-up so
15 those who are not directly participating in the
16 examination of that witness would have the
17 opportunity to listen in at that time. So I will
18 kind of put that out before you to see if there is
19 interest in that. If that does happen, then somebody
20 would have to agree to set up and circulate a call-in
21 number for that purpose.

22 So any comments on that?

1 MR. BRANDT: None.

2 MR. AMBROSE: Nothing.

3 JUDGE JONES: I am sorry, who said that?

4 MR. AMBROSE: That was me, Jerry. Nothing.

5 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you.

6 Do any of the other parties have any
7 comments with respect to that question about a
8 call-in number for tomorrow?

9 MR. BRANDT: No, thank you, Your Honor. This
10 is Peter Brandt for Pliura Intervenors.

11 JUDGE JONES: Okay, thank you.

12 It looks like that's it for today's
13 purposes then. Let me make sure. Anything further?
14 All right. Let the record show no response. There
15 is not. At this time then let the record show that
16 this matter is concluded for hearing purposes for
17 today and is hereby continued to the hearing date of
18 tomorrow, July 23, with the hearing to commence at
19 1:00 p.m.

20 All right. Thank you, all. Have a
21 good afternoon.

22 (Whereupon the hearing in this

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

matter was continued until July
23, 2008, at 1:00 p.m. in
Springfield, Illinois.)