

State	Statewide "Standards"	Law	Rule	Tariffs	Size (> 2MW?)	Renewables Only?	Tied to Net Metering?	Procedures in Rule or Tariff?	Contracts in Rule or Tariff?	Insurance	Exhibition or State	Visible Disconnect Switch?
AL	Under Consideration in Docket 30066	No	No	Existing Rate PAE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
AK	Rejected under Order R-06-05; no statewide standards	No	3 AAC 50.760		No		Yes 3 AAC 50.760	Tariff, 3 AAC 50.790; See also AC Sec. 42.05.320.	Tariff	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified
AZ	Consideration Complete in Docket E-00000A99-0431; part of an "Interconnection Document"; adopts PURPA	No	Not yet; possibly under consideration in Docket E-00000A-99-0431 (see proposed standard)	No	Proposed 10MW or less	No	No	Proposal: Rule	N/A	Proposal: \$1M policy recommended not required	Proposed and rejected	Proposal: utility can require it
AR	Docket 06-105-U; Net-metering rule adopted with interconnection requirements	Arkansas Code § 23-18-603 et seq.	Yes (http://www.apservices.info/rules/net_metering_rules.pdf)	Net Metering Tariffs	No	Yes	Yes	Some	Rule	N/A	Mutual indemnity provision in standard contract	Yes
CA	Utilities must have a compelling reason for filing a Tariff that deviates from the Model Rule 21 Language	PUC sec. 2811-2816; PUC sec. 2821-2829	21 (http://www.energy.ca.gov/distgen/interconnection/RULE_21_MODEL_RULE_02-2006.PDF)	Standard (see rule 21)	Up to 1MW for net-metering customers; otherwise unspecified	Yes	No	Yes	Both: Model "Rule 21" contract to be filed with tariff	N/A	N/A	Yes
CO	Follows FERC Order 2006; deferred consideration of the EPA standards	40-9.5-302	Section 3665 (http://www.dsireusa.org/documents/Incentives/CO28Rc.pdf , page 111)		Up to 10MW	Yes	No	Yes	Rule specifies certain Terms for standard contract in tariff	Yes, but Utility Co. may waive it	Customer must insure utility company	Yes

State	Statewide "Standards"	Law	Rule	Tariffs	Size (> 2MW?)	Renewables Only?	Tied to Net Metering?	Procedures in Rule or Tariff?	Contracts in Rule or Tariff?	Insurance	Exhibition	On-site Disconnection	Visible Disconnect Switch?
CT	Adopted the standards in docket 03-01-15RE01	Section 38 of Public Act 07-242	"guidelines" in docket 03-01-15RE01		100 kW for net-metered systems; 20 MW for all other DG systems	Yes	No	yes	Standard contract in guidelines	Yes		Customer must indemnify utility	Yes
DE	Adopted the IREC model rules; companies adopt their own standards to be approved; considering statewide standards	S.B. 8, Amend §1014(d), Title 26	No		1 MW (Delmarva); No limit for DEC	For net metering, but not interconnection generally	No	N/A	N/A	DEC Requires it		N/A	Required for systems between 25 kW and 1 MW
FL	Amended Rule 25-6.065		Rule 25-6.065	Must file standard agreement	NO	Yes	Yes	N/A	Tariff, but rule requires specific terms	For Tiers 2 and 3		Mutual	Utility may require it
GA	Docket 24505; considered and decided that GA Power's existing policies were sufficient	O.C.G.A. § 46-3-50 (2007)	N/A		No	N/A	Yes	No	No	No		Not specified	Not specified
HI	Under consideration in Dockets 2006-0497 and 2006-0498	N/A	N/A		N/A	Yes	No	N/A	Tariff	Yes		N/A	Yes

State	Statewide "Standards"	Law	Rule	Tariffs	Size (> 2MW?)	Renewables Only?	Tied to Net Metering?	Procedures in Rule or Tariff?	Contracts in Rule or Tariff?	Insurance	Exhibition	On-site Disconnection	Visible Disconnect Switch?
ID	Rejected statewide standards; utility companies are all complying with the federal standards, so the commission saw no need to create standards	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
IL	Proposed rules adopted IEEE 1457	S.B. 680			10MW	No	No	Rule	Rule	For systems >1MW	Mutual	Yes	
IN	Adopts 3-level standards		170 IAC 4-4.2, 170 IAC 4-4.3		Not specified	N/A	No	Tariff, but rule specifies some procedures	Tariff	Only for reasonable amounts	Mutual	Yes	
IA	Under consideration (docket NOI-06-02)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
KS	Previously adopted, rejected adopting more detailed standards	K.S.A. 66-1238		Yes	N/A	N/a	No	Tariff	Tariff	N/A	N/A	N/A	
KY	Rejected statewide standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not specified	Solar only	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
LA	Rejected interconnection standards; old net-metering rule still in place	N/A	LA PSC Order, Docket No. R-27558		No	Yes	Yes	Tariff	Both: must get approval to amend contract in rule	N/A	Mutual	Yes	

State	Statewide "Standards"	Law	Rule	Tariffs	Size (> 2MW?)	Renewables Only?	Tied to Net Metering?	Procedures in Rule or Tariff?	Contracts in Rule or Tariff?	Insurance	Exhibition	On-site Disconnection	Visible Disconnect Switch?
ME	Rejected statewide interconnection standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Tariff	Tariff	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MD	Proposed rule	N/A	Proposed		10 MW	No	No	Rule	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
MA	Distributed Generation, D.T.E. 02-38-C (Model Tariff)	No	No	Model	N/A	N/A	No	In Model Tariff	In Model Tariff	Yes	Mutual		Yes
MI	Revisions proposed		R 460.481		Yes	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
MN	Using standards from 2004		DOCKET NO. E 999/CI-01-1023		Yes	No	No	Rule	Rule	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MS	Rejected standards in Docket 2006-AD-0362		N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MO		SB 54 of 2007	4 CSR 240-20.065		No	No	Yes	Some in Rule	Rule	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes
MT	New standards under consideration	SB 409, MCA 69-8604	38.5.1902		10 MW	Yes	No	N/A	Tariff (for net metering)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NE	Adopted IEEE 1457; under consideration				20 MW	N/A	N/A	Tariff	Tariff (NE has public power)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
NV	Rejected statewide standards	N/A	N/A		20 MW	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	No	No	No
NH	New standards under consideration		PUC 900		No	Yes	Yes	Some in rule	Tariff	Not req'd	Mutual, but can be mutually waived		Yes, for >10kW

State	Statewide "Standards"	Law	Rule	Tariffs	Size (> 2MW?)	Renewables Only?	Tied to Net Metering?	Procedures in Rule or Tariff?	Contracts in Rule or Tariff?	Insurance	Exhibition	Statewide Disconnection	Visible Disconnect Switch?
NJ	Rejected new standards, sticking with old ones until 5/2008	N/A	N.J.A.C. 14:4-9		No	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	No	N/A		Not req'd for Level 1 or Level 2
NM	New standards under consideration		17 NMAC 10.571		N/A	N/A	No	a few in rule	Rule	"urged"	Mutual		Yes
NY	http://www.dps.state.ny.us/SIR_Require_11_04.pdf 13 See also CASE 94-E-0952	N/A	N/A		No	No	No	Rule	Rule	No	N/A		Yes
NC	NCUC Orders (3/22/2005 and 7/6/2005), Docket No. E-100, Sub 101				No	No	No	N/A	Tariff	No	mutual		Yes
ND	Statewide standard rejected in Case No. PU-06-290		69-09-07-04		80 MW (100KW for net metering)	No, but fuel must be mostly renewable	No	Not specified	Tariff, for 1MW or less	N/A	N/A		N/A
OH			Chapter 4901:1-22		20 MW	No	No	Tariff	Rule	No	N/A		Yes
OK	Apparently under consideration, but unknown docket		OAC 165:40-5		80 MW	No	No	N/A	Tariff, for 100KW or less	N/A	N/A		Yes
OR	New standards approved in docket AR-521		Or. Admin. R. 860-039		No	(for net metering)	No	Rule	Tariff	Not for 20KW or less	N/A		Yes (some systems are exempt)

State	Statewide "Standards"	Law	Rule	Tariffs	Size (> 2MW?)	Renewables Only?	Tied to Net Metering?	Procedures in Rule or Tariff?	Contracts in Rule or Tariff?	Insurance	Exhibition	On-site Disconnection	Visible Disconnect Switch?
PA	HB 1203 requires the PUC to reconsider interconnection standards in L-00050175 and M-00051865	HB 1203	Pa. Code Sect. 75.21 et seq.	Yes	5MW	No	Yes	Rule	Tariff	No	No	No	Yes (or lockbox access)
RI	Under consideration in Docket 3759	N/A	N/A		5MW	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SC	Adopted the Model Interconnection Standard (PSC Order, Docket No. 2005-387-E)				No	No	No	N/A	Tariff	No (but personal liability insurance for non-residential customers)	Mutual		Yes
SD	Adopted IEEE 1457 in Docket EL06-018 http://puc.sd.gov/commission/orders/electric/2007/el06-018dr.pdf				10MW	No	Yes	Tariff	Tariff	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TN	Statewide standard rejected				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TX	Standards exist, but HB3693 requires review and modification	HB 3693	25.211		10MW	No	Yes	Tariff	Standard contract form	No	Not specified	Not specified	Yes
UT	Decided to adopt the PURPA standard and will implement it in Docket 07-999-07	SB 84 (2008)	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Not specified	Not specified	Only for systems that do not use an inverter

State	Statewide "Standards"	Law	Rule	Tariffs	Size (> 2MW?)	Renewables Only?	Tied to Net Metering?	Procedures in Rule or Tariff?	Contracts in Rule or Tariff?	Insurance	Exhibition	On-site Disconnection	Visible Disconnect Switch?
VT	Separate standards for net-metered systems and non-netmetered systems	30 V.S.A. § 219a	CVR 30 000 048. 5.500 (non-net metered); CVR 30 000 048. 5.100 (net metered)		Yes (for non-net metered systems)	No	No	Rule	Tariff	No		Not specified	Yes
VA	Statewide standards rejected in PUE-2006-00064, but there are plans to open another docket to develop statewide standards (I think PUE-2008-00004)	Section 56-578	20VAC5-315-40		No	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	Not specified		Not specified	Yes
WA	Rejected PURPA standards and modified existing state standards		WAC 480-108-035		20MW	No	No	Tariff	Tariff	Utility can require it for non-net metered systems		Utility can require it for non-net metered systems	Yes
WV	Utilities must file a model tariff attached to a consensus agreement in Docket 06-0708-E-GI		CASE NO. 06-0708-E-GI		No	Yes	Yes	Tariff (as specified in the rule)	Tariff (as specified in the net metering rule)	No		No	Yes
WI	Rejected PURPA standards	Wis. Stat. § 196.496	Chapter PSC 119		15MW	No	No	Rule	Website/Rule	Yes		Mutual	Yes

State	Statewide "Standards"	Law	Rule	Tariffs	Size (> 2MW?)	Renewables Only?	Tied to Net Metering?	Procedures in Rule or Tariff?	Contracts in Rule or Tariff?	Insurance	Exhibition or State Registration	Visible Disconnect Switch?
WY	Rejected statewide standards	Wyo. Stat. § 37-16-101 et seq.			No	Yes	Yes	Tariff	Tariff	No	Not specified	Yes