Interclass Revenue Allocation Based on CUB's Proposed Revenue Allocation Methodology

(Underlying Data uses CUB's Proposed A&P Allocator and Ameren's Proposed Revenue Requirement and Rate of Return)*

AmerenCILCO

	Present Rates	Proposed Rates	Difference	%	Residential % of Average System Increase	Step 1	%	Step 2			Total Proposed Increase	Increase	Ratio of Average System Increase
DS - 1 (Residential)	$ 53,743	$ 73,870	$ 20,127	37.4%	115.896%	$ 69,372.38	29.1%	Proposed		DS - 1	$ 15,629	29.1%	90.00%
DS - 2 (Small General)	$ 23,020	$ 26,033	$ 3,013	13.1%		$ 27,446.66	19.2%	32,216.47		DS - 2	$ 9,196	39.9%	123.62%
DS - 3 (General)	$ 8,501	$ 16,145	$ 7,644	89.9%		$ 16,666.65	96.1%	11,896.84		DS - 3	$ 3,396	39.9%	123.62%
DS - 4 (Large General)	$ 7,248	$ 5,927	$ (1,322)	-18.2%		$ 9,004.70	24.2%	9,004.70		DS - 4	$ 1,757	24.2%	75.00%
DS - 5 (Lighting)	$ 2,605	$ 3,878	$ 1,274	48.9%		$ 3,362.21	29.1%	3,362.21		DS - 5	$ 757	29.1%	90.00%
Total	$ 95,117	$ 125,853	$ 30,735	32.3%		$ 125,852.61	32.3%			Total	$ 30,735	32.3%	
						DS -2 and DS -3 Equalized increase	39.9%						

AmerenCIPS

	Present Rates	Proposed Rates	Difference	%	Residential % of Average System Increase	Step 1		Step 2			Total Proposed Increase	Increase	Ratio of Average System Increase
DS - 1 (Residential)	$ 107,682	$ 107,005	$ (678)	-0.6%	-20.442%	$ 110,168.38	2.3%	Proposed		DS - 1	$ 2,486	2.3%	75.00%
DS - 2 (Small General)	$ 41,947	$ 41,838	$ (109)	-0.3%		$ 42,915.38	2.3%	$ 42,915.38		DS - 2	$ 968	2.3%	75.00%
DS - 3 (General)	$ 22,198	$ 22,689	$ 491	2.2%		$ 22,710.25	2.3%	$ 22,710.25		DS - 3	$ 512	2.3%	75.00%
DS - 4 (Large General)	$ 21,178	$ 26,845	$ 5,667	26.8%		$ 26,844.99	26.8%	$ 23,176.77		DS - 4	$ 1,999	9.4%	306.57%
DS - 5 (Lighting)	$ 7,906	$ 8,720	$ 814	10.3%		$ 8,125.49	2.8%	$ 8,125.49		DS - 5	$ 219	2.8%	90.00%
Total	$ 200,912	$ 207,096	$ 6,184	3.1%		$ 207,096.27	3.1%			Total	$ 6,184	3.1%	

AmerenIP

	Present Rates	Proposed Rates	Difference	%	Residential % of Average System Increase	Step 1		Step 2			Total Proposed Increase	Increase	Ratio of Average System Increase
DS - 1	$ 144,083	$ 214,643	$ 70,561	49.0%	88.695%	NA		NA		DS - 1	$ 70,561	49.0%	88.69%
DS - 2	$ 46,053	$ 73,420	$ 27,367	59.4%						DS - 2	$ 27,367	59.4%	107.62%
DS - 3	$ 20,714	$ 37,023	$ 16,309	78.7%						DS - 3	$ 16,309	78.7%	142.59%
DS - 4	$ 12,131	$ 26,700	$ 14,569	120.1%						DS - 4	$ 14,569	120.1%	217.52%
DS - 5	$ 17,724	$ 21,823	$ 4,098	23.1%						DS - 5	$ 4,098	23.1%	41.88%
Total	$ 240,705	$ 373,608	$ 132,903	55.2%						Total	$ 132,903	55.2%	

NOTES

* CUB's Use of these figures is for demonstration and comparison purposes only and does not constitute support af any aspect of Ameren's case

Step 1
Limit DS-1 and DS-5 increases to no more than 90% of system average.
Ensure that every class sees at least 3/4 of system average increase.

Step 2
Equalize DS-2 and DS-3 Increases for CILCO, and decrease DS-4 revenue allocation based on the outcome of step 1