

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
II.	<u>BACKGROUND TO THE INVESTIGATION</u>	4
A.	FCC ORDERS AND RULES	4
B.	THE ICC’S DETERMINATIONS ON WIRE CENTER IMPAIRMENT IN THE TRO/TRRO ARBITRATION	7
C.	DATA SHARING	12
III.	<u>WIRE CENTERS MEETING THE NON-IMPAIRMENT THRESHOLDS</u> ..	14
IV.	<u>METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES</u>	22
A.	DEFINITION OF “BUSINESS LINES”	24
1.	<i>What Year’s ARMIS 43-08 Data Did/Should AT&T Illinois Use In Making Its Business Line Counts – 2003 Or 2004?</i>	28
2.	<i>What Adjustments, If Any, Has AT&T Illinois Made (Or Should It Make) To ARMIS 43-08 Data For Purposes Of Its Business Line Counts?</i>	29
3.	<i>Did/Should AT&T Illinois Exclude Unused Capacity On High Capacity UNE-L Lines (Including Those Used In Combination With UNE Transport) For Purposes Of Its Business Line Counts?</i>	31
4.	<i>Has AT&T Illinois included (or should it include) lines that are served by VoIP in its business line counts?</i>	34
B.	DEFINITION OF “FIBER-BASED COLLOCATOR”	35
1.	<i>What facilities qualify as “comparable transmission facilities” under the definition of “FBC” in 47 CFR §51.5?</i>	39
2.	<i>How should the phrase “terminates at a collocation arrangement within the wire center” (47 CFR §51.5) be construed and implemented? In particular, must a carrier counted as a FBC have fiber facilities that enter and exit its collocations? Under what circumstances, if any, should carriers cross-connected with another carrier be counted?</i>	39
3.	<i>In determining whether dark fiber obtained from an ILEC qualifies as CLEC fiber for purposes of applying the FBC criterion, what constitutes an “indefeasible right of use” under 47 CFR §51.5 and what</i>	

information should be used to identify an IRU? What criteria has AT&T Illinois applied in identifying IRUs?43

V. CLEC ACCESS TO DATA45

1. Should the ICC establish rules and procedures whereby CLECs can obtain access to the data AT&T Illinois relies on to make its wire center designations, so that the CLEC can review this data (subject to reasonable confidentiality restrictions) before deciding to make a self-certification?47

2. Should the data made available to CLECs per Issue 1, above include the identities of the carriers in the wire center that AT&T Illinois has counted as FBCs?47

2. Should the data underlying AT&T Illinois' wire center determinations be filed with the ICC and/or provided to Staff?49

4. Should AT&T Illinois be required to notify and obtain confirmation from each carrier that AT&T Illinois has counted as a FBC in a wire center?51

VI. CONCLUSION52

SCHEDULES

- SCHEDULE CAC-1**
- SCHEDULE CAC-2**
- SCHEDULE CAC-3**
- SCHEDULE CAC-4**
- SCHEDULE CAC-5**
- SCHEDULE CAC-6**
- SCHEDULE CAC-7**
- SCHEDULE CAC-8**