Table of Contents

The range of potential adjustment, using both recent market comparable purchase and sale transactions for similar investments in the same region and discounted cash flow models, was an approximate write-up of $500 million to an approximate write-down of $1.1 billion. The range of potential adjustment based only on recent market comparable transactions was an approximate write-down of $300 million to $800 million. Until independent third-party valuations are received on each of the underlying investments, Exelon and PSEG have determined the mid-point of the range of recent market comparable transactions to be a reasonable fair value for purposes of these pro forma financial statements, unless Exelon and PSEG management concluded that the discounted cash flow models were a more appropriate indicator of fair value. Of the total adjustment of ($347) million, a ($564) million write-down was recorded to property, plant and equipment, net (related to property, plant and equipment within these entities that has historically been consolidated by PSEG), a $280 million write-up was recorded to power sale contracts related to consolidated property, plant and equipment, net and a net ($63) million write-down was recorded to investments (related to equity investments in the PSEG Global entities).

These adjustments could be materially affected by changes in the economies and political and regulatory structures of the countries in which these investments reside, as well as by changes in interest rates, commodity prices and third-party appraisals.

(6) *Investments in Leveraged Leases* - Represents the pro forma adjustments required to record PSEG's leveraged lease portfolio at estimated fair market value. Accordingly, $1,463 million of these adjustments was recorded to reduce PSEG's investment in leveraged leases and $1,606 million was recorded to write off the deferred tax liability related to the leveraged leases. These adjustments were derived using a fair valuation methodology that considered the present value of the estimated future after-tax cash flows of these investments from January 1, 2005 onward as a reasonable proxy for the estimated future after-tax cash flows from April 1, 2005 onward. The present value of the cash flows was determined using interest rates ranging from 5% to 9% based on the credit quality, lease structure and expected return on individual leases.

These adjustments could be materially affected by changes in interest rates and actual future cash flows generated by these leases. The fair valuation of the lease portfolio does not reflect the potential exposure related to the uncertainty of the tax deductibility of rents paid under the lease agreements. See related discussion in "The Proposed Merger — Risk Factors - Risks relating to the business of the combined company — The Internal Revenue Service might successfully challenge certain leveraged lease transactions entered into by PSEG, which could have a material adverse impact on the combined company's operating results."

(7) *Debt* - Represents the pro forma adjustment totaling $842 million required to record PSEG's third-party debt, including transitional trust notes, at estimated fair market value. Based on the nature of the underlying debt instruments, $270 million of this adjustment (related to debt at PSE&G, including securitized debt) was offset by an increase to regulatory assets and $572 million of this adjustment (related to non-PSE&G debt) was recorded as an increase to goodwill. The increase in the fair value of the debt and the associated adjustment to the regulatory asset at PSE&G will be amortized over the same period and in the same amounts through interest expense with no impact to the income statement at PSE&G. Additionally, in connection with a detachable stock purchase contract embedded within PSEG's Participating Units, an adjustment of $182 million was recorded as additional purchase price consideration with a corresponding reduction to the book value of the trust preferred securities within the Participating Units in the form of a discount. The discount will be amortized as additional interest expense through the maturity date of the trust preferred securities. The final fair value determination will be based on prevailing market interest rates at the completion of the Merger and the necessary adjustment will be amortized as a reduction (in the case of a premium to book value) or an increase (in the case of a discount to book value) to interest expense over the remaining life of the individual debt issues. Additionally, this adjustment could be materially affected by the rate structure of the PSE&G utility upon completion of the Merger.

(8) *Asset Retirement Obligations* - Represents the pro forma adjustment required to record PSEG's asset retirement obligations related to its nuclear generating facilities at estimated fair market value. The adjustment was determined based on differences between the discount rate and other assumptions used by Exelon and PSEG. This adjustment could be materially affected by changes in interest rates, updated nuclear decommissioning cost studies, the timing and amount of actual cash flows, and changes in management's assumptions related to nuclear decommissioning.

(9) *Pension and Postretirement Benefit Obligations* - Represents the pro forma adjustments of $1,022 million, $738 million and $12 million required to record at fair value PSEG's pension obligation, postretirement benefit

Table of Contents

obligation and intangible asset, respectively, to reflect the elimination of previously deferred gains and losses, prior service cost, transition obligations and intangible assets; and further adjusted for changes to PSEG's discount rate, attribution period for certain employee benefits, removal of a cap on retiree medical subsidies and other assumptions.

The final fair value determination of the pension and postretirement benefit obligations may differ materially, largely due to potential changes in discount rates, return on plan assets up to the date of completion of the Merger and the conforming of certain Exelon and PSEG assumptions surrounding the determination of these obligations. Additionally, this adjustment could be materially affected by the rate structure of the PSE&G utility upon completion of the Merger.

(10) *Power Supply and Fuel Contracts* - Represents the pro forma adjustments required to record at estimated fair market value PSEG Power LLC's (PSEG Power) power supply and fuel contracts, and PSE&G's power purchase contracts which are accounted for as "normal purchase, normal sale" transactions under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," or other contractual commitments. These adjustments were recorded as an increase to goodwill and regulatory liabilities at PSEG Power and PSE&G, respectively.

These adjustments will be accreted to earnings based on the remaining lives of the underlying contracts.

These adjustments were determined based on market information, if available, as well as Exelon's and PSEG's managements' view of the forward market curves for energy prices. This adjustment could be materially affected by changes in market prices of power, the forward price curves for the underlying commodities and changes in contract terms.

(11) *Deferred Income Taxes* - The current deferred tax asset represents the estimated impact on the allocation of purchase price to current liabilities. The non-current deferred tax liability represents the estimated impact on the allocation of purchase price to non-current assets. These estimates are based on the estimated prospective statutory tax rate of 40% for the combined company and could change based on changes in the applicable tax rates and finalization of the combined company's tax position.

(12) *Intercompany Transactions* - Represents the pro forma adjustment required to eliminate cash advances, accounts receivable and payable, inventories and other current and non-current assets and liabilities between Exelon and PSEG recorded at March 31, 2005 in each company's historical financial statements. These amounts relate primarily to purchases and sales of energy between the companies, as well as billings for services provided by one company to the other in connection with the operation of jointly-owned nuclear generating facilities.

(13) *Shareholders' Equity / Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss* - Represents pro forma adjustments to eliminate the historical shareholders' equity of PSEG and the issuance of additional Exelon equity in connection with the Merger.

(14) *Long-term Debt* - For PSEG, includes $1.9 billion (including $148 million due within one year) owed to a financing subsidiary of PSE&G which has issued transition notes and bonds to securitize a portion of PSE&G's stranded cost recovery and $1.4 billion of non-recourse debt issued by a subsidiary of PSEG. For Exelon, includes $4 billion (including $622 million due within one year) owed to financing trusts of ComEd and PECO which have issued transition notes and bonds to securitize portions of ComEd's and PECO's respective stranded cost recovery.

Exelon and PSEG Pro Forma Capitalization

Table of Contents

The following table shows our actual short-term debt and capitalization on a consolidated basis and on a consolidated basis as adjusted to reflect this offering and the use of the proceeds from this offering as set forth under "Use of Proceeds" below, in each instance after giving effect to:

- discontinued operations;
- the Merger using the purchase method of accounting with Exelon treated as the acquirer; and
- our 2004 disposition of Boston Generating.

	As of March 31, 2005 ($ in millions)	
	Actual	Pro Forma As Adjusted[1]
Short-term debt[2]	$ 4,260	$ 2,575
Capitalization:		
Long-term debt:[3]		
Long-term debt to ComEd Transitional Funding Trust, PECO Energy Transition Trust and debt issued by PSE&G Transition Funding LLC[4]	$ 6,031	$ 6,031
Long-term debt to other financing trusts[5]	1,589	1,589
Other long-term debt[6]	16,787	18,487
Preferred securities of subsidiaries	167	167
Shareholders' equity	22,545	22,545
Total capitalization	$47,119	$ 48,819

(1) Reflects payment of $1,685 million of short-term indebtedness from the proceeds of this offering.

(2) Includes current maturities of long-term debt of $1,564 million, of which $775 million is long-term debt due to transition trusts.

(3) Includes unamortized debt discounts and premiums. Excludes current maturities.

(4) Represents amounts owed to financing trusts of ComEd and PECO and a financing subsidiary of PSE&G which have issued transition notes and bonds to securitize portions of ComEd's, PECO's and PSE&G's respective stranded cost recovery.

(5) Represents amounts owed to financing trusts of ComEd, PECO and PSEG which have issued company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities and which hold solely subordinated debt securities of ComEd, PECO and PSEG, respectively.

(6) Includes $1,424 million of non-recourse debt issued by a PSEG subsidiary.

Table of Contents

Risk Factors

Risks relating to the Proposed Merger

The Merger may not be completed.

The completion of the Merger depends upon the satisfaction of a number of contingencies, including, but not limited to, approval by shareholders of both companies, antitrust clearance and a number of regulatory approvals or reviews by federal and state energy authorities. The parties also have certain rights to terminate the Merger Agreement prior to completion that they may choose to exercise if the conditions for the exercise of such rights are satisfied. There can be no assurance that the proposed Merger will be completed.

The anticipated benefits of combining PSEG and Exelon may not be realized.

We and PSEG entered into the Merger Agreement with the expectation that the Merger would result in various benefits, including, among other things, synergies, cost savings and operating efficiencies. Although we expect to achieve the anticipated benefits of the Merger, achieving them, including the synergies, is subject to a number of uncertainties, including:

- whether the FERC, the NJBPU and the other regulatory authorities whose approval is required to complete the Merger impose conditions on the Merger that may have a material adverse effect on the combined company;
- the extent to which the NJBPU, the PUC and other state regulatory authorities whose approval is required to complete the Merger require the combined company to share a portion of the expected synergies of the Merger with customers; and
- general competitive factors in the market place.

Although the companies' plans for integration and operation of the combined company and its subsidiaries and divisions are focused on minimizing uncertainties in order to achieve these anticipated benefits, no assurance can be given that these benefits will be achieved. Failure to achieve these anticipated benefits could result in increased costs, decreases in the amount of expected revenues and diversion of management's time and energy and could have an adverse effect on the combined company's business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

We and PSEG may be unable to successfully integrate our operations.

The Merger involves the integration of two companies that previously operated independently. The difficulties of combining each company's operations include:

- the necessity of coordinating geographically separated organizations, systems and facilities; and
- integrating personnel with diverse business backgrounds.

In addition, the integration of some of our and PSEG's operations will require regulatory approval.

We and PSEG recognize that the process of integrating operations could cause an interruption of, or loss of momentum in, the activities of one or more of the combined company's businesses, and we intend to address these issues. The diversion of management's attention and any delays or difficulties encountered in connection with the Merger and the integration of the two companies' operations could have an adverse effect on the business, financial condition, operating results and prospects of the combined company after the Merger, and could impair the combined company's ability to realize the anticipated benefits of the Merger.

Table of Contents

The combined company may be unable to obtain permission from the NJBPU to recover Public Service Electric and Gas Company's pension and other post-retirement benefit expenses, which could have an adverse effect on its cash flow and results of operation.

PSE&G is permitted by its current NJBPU rate order to recover through its rates the amortized portion of its pension expenses and other post-retirement benefit expenses associated with its pension and post-retirement obligations. As a result of the application of the purchase method of accounting to these costs, the recognition of certain unrecognized pension and other post-employment benefits (OPEB) expenses will be accelerated and, as a result, will no longer be reflected in the calculation of pension and OPEB expenses that PSE&G's current rate order permits it to recover. PSE&G estimates that it could have as much as $1.2 billion in unrecognized pension and OPEB expenses that PSE&G may be unable to recover following completion of the Merger unless it obtains approval from the NJBPU to permit continued recovery of those expenses in the manner the current rate order permits. We and PSEG have made it a condition to completion of the Merger that PSE&G receive an order from the NJBPU permitting PSE&G to continue to recover the pension expenses as it did prior to completion of the Merger. The NJBPU has issued similar orders in other merger proceedings and such an order would not be expected to increase rates above current levels; however, we cannot assure you that PSE&G will receive such an order from the NJBPU or that if it does receive such an order, it will be permitted in future rate proceedings to continue to recover these expenses. Failure to obtain or maintain the right to recover the pension and OPEB expenses would have an adverse effect on the combined company's cash flow and results of operations.

We and PSEG will incur significant transaction and merger-related integration costs in connection with the Merger.

We and PSEG expect to incur costs associated with consummating the Merger and integrating the operations of the two companies, as well as approximately $41 million in transaction fees in the case of Exelon and $29 million in the case of PSEG. The estimated $41 million of transaction costs incurred by us will be included as a component of the purchase price for purposes of purchase accounting. The amount of transaction fees expected to be incurred is a preliminary estimate and subject to change. We currently estimate integration costs associated with the Merger to be approximately $700 million over a period of four years, with approximately $450 million being incurred in the first full year of operations following completion of the Merger. We are in the early stages of assessing the magnitude of these costs and, therefore, these estimates may change, and additional unanticipated costs may be incurred in the integration of the businesses of Exelon and PSEG. Although we and PSEG believe that the elimination of duplicative costs, as well as the realization of other efficiencies related to the integration of the businesses, will offset incremental transaction and merger-related costs over time, we cannot assure you that this net benefit will be achieved in the near term, or at all.

We and PSEG will be subject to business uncertainties and contractual restrictions while the Merger is pending which could adversely affect our businesses.

Uncertainty about the effect of the Merger on employees and customers may have an adverse effect on us and PSEG and, consequently, on the combined company. Although we and PSEG intend to take steps to reduce any adverse effects, these uncertainties may impair our and PSEG's ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel until the Merger is completed and for a period of time thereafter, and could cause customers, suppliers and others that deal with us and PSEG to seek to change existing business relationships with us and PSEG. Employee retention may be particularly challenging during the pendency of the Merger, as employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with the combined company. If, despite our and PSEG's retention efforts, key employees depart because of issues relating to the uncertainty and difficulty of integration or a desire not to remain with the combined company, the combined company's business could be seriously harmed. In addition, the Merger Agreement restricts us and PSEG from making certain acquisitions and taking other specified actions until the Merger occurs or the Merger Agreement terminates. These restrictions may prevent us and PSEG from pursuing otherwise attractive business opportunities and making other changes to our businesses that may arise prior to completion of the Merger or termination of the Merger Agreement.

Table of Contents

The combined company may be subject to adverse regulatory conditions following completion of the Merger.

Before the Merger may be completed, various approvals or consents must be obtained from FERC, the SEC, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and various utility regulatory, antitrust and other authorities in the United States and in foreign jurisdictions. The governmental authorities from which these approvals are required may impose conditions on completion of the Merger or require changes to the terms of the Merger. These conditions or changes could have the effect of delaying completion of the Merger or imposing additional costs on or limiting the revenues of the combined company following the Merger, any of which might have a material adverse effect on the combined company following completion of the Merger.

We and PSEG have filed or will file applications for the required statutory approvals with the governmental authorities described above, including a market concentration mitigation plan with FERC. The market concentration mitigation plan included with the companies' original filing with FERC contemplated (1) the divestiture of fossil fuel generating facilities with 2,900 MW of generating capacity and (2) the transfer of control of 2,600 MW of baseload nuclear capacity through either long-term firm baseload energy sales contracts or an annual auction. In response to certain objections and concerns raised by intervenors, we and PSEG filed a supplement to the market concentration mitigation plan, which proposed the divestiture of at least 1,100 MW of additional fossil fuel generating capacity, for a total of 6,600 MW of capacity proposed for mitigation, if FERC approves the Merger without an evidentiary hearing. We and PSEG have not offered to divest any nuclear generating facilities and do not anticipate doing so. FERC could, however, condition its approval of the Merger on the parties agreeing to divest or transfer control of a greater amount of capacity and/or to divest additional generation assets. If that were to occur, it could have the effects described in the previous paragraph.

Risks relating to the business of the combined company

Following the Merger, the combined company will continue to be subject to the operational, regulatory and other risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, see "Business Outlook and the Challenges in Managing the Business" beginning on page 101 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, following the Merger, the combined company will be subject to the additional risks described below:

Our and PSEG's businesses are, and the combined company's business will be, subject to extensive regulation that will affect their operations and costs.

PSE&G's New Jersey base rates for electric and gas distribution are subject to regulation by the NJBPU and are effective until a new base rate case is filed and concluded. In addition, limited categories of costs are recovered through adjustment charges that are periodically reset to reflect current costs. Inability to recover material costs not included in base rates or adjustment clauses could have an adverse effect on cash flow and financial position of the combined company.

PSEG Global's electric and gas distribution facilities located in various foreign jurisdictions are rate-regulated enterprises. Governmental authorities establish rates charged to customers. While these rates are designed to cover all operating costs and provide a return, considerable fiscal and cash uncertainties in certain countries due to local regulation or economic, political and social crisis could have an adverse impact. In addition, future rates may not be adequate to provide cash flow to pay principal and interest on the debt of PSEG Global's subsidiaries and affiliates or to enable its subsidiaries and affiliates to comply with the terms of debt agreements.

The combined company's energy delivery business will be obligated as the provider-of-last-resort to provide energy to all retail customers in its service territories, which makes it difficult to predict and plan for load requirements.

ComEd, PECO and PSE&G serve as the provider-of-last-resort, referred to in this prospectus supplement as a "POLR," for energy for all retail customers in their respective electric and gas service territories in Illinois, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey. As POLR suppliers, ComEd, PECO and PSE&G could be required to secure load requirements sufficient to serve all customers in their respective service territories in the event that customers do not choose alternate suppliers or a third party supplier is unable to satisfy its obligations. As a result, planning has a higher

Table of Contents

level of uncertainty than that traditionally experienced due to weather and the economy, and it is more difficult to predict and plan for the number of customers and their associated energy demand.

The Internal Revenue Service might successfully challenge certain leveraged lease transactions entered into by PSEG, which could have a material adverse impact on the combined company's operating results.

In 1996 through 2002, PSEG, through its subsidiary PSEG Resources, L.L.C. (PSEG Resources), entered into a number of leveraged leasing transactions in the ordinary course of PSEG Resources' business. The IRS is likely to argue that certain of those transactions are of a type that it has announced its intention to challenge, and PSEG and Exelon understand that similar transactions entered into by other companies have been the subject of review and challenge by the IRS. As of March 31, 2005, the total gross investment by PSEG Resources in such transactions was approximately $1.4 billion.

The IRS is presently reviewing the tax returns of PSEG and its subsidiaries for tax years 1997 through 2000, years when PSEG Resources entered into these transactions. The IRS is aware of these lease transactions and has requested information and documents associated with them. To date, the IRS has not proposed to disallow any deductions claimed relative to these transactions, but may propose such disallowances in the future. If the tax benefits associated with the lease transactions were successfully challenged by the IRS, PSEG or, following completion of the Merger, the combined company would be assessed interest and possibly penalties in addition to any underpayments of tax. During the time period of 1997 through 2000, these transactions reduced current tax liabilities of PSEG by approximately $240 million and during the subsequent time period of 2001 though 2004, these and similar transactions reduced the current tax liabilities of PSEG by approximately $345 million. Interest that would be assessed on these potential deficiencies, if associated deductions were disallowed, would be approximately $140 million through March 31, 2005.

It is presently unclear the extent to which the IRS will seek to disallow deductions associated with lease transactions, if at all, and, if it were to do so, the extent to which any such challenge would be successful. If deductions associated with these transactions entered into by PSEG were successfully challenged by the IRS, it could have a material adverse impact on the combined company's operating results and could impact future returns on these transactions.

The FASB is currently considering a modification to GAAP for leveraged leases. Under present GAAP, a tax settlement with the IRS that results merely in a change in the timing of tax liabilities would not require an accounting repricing of the lease investment. As such, income from the lease would continue to accrue at the original economic yield computed for the lease and there would be no writedown of the lease investment.

A modification currently being considered by the FASB could require a lease to be repriced if a change in the timing of tax liabilities has a significant impact on the economic yield of the lease and to be retested to determine if it qualifies for leveraged lease accounting. If this or a similar modification were to be adopted by the FASB, a successful challenge by the IRS to the tax treatment of the leases referred to above (or a settlement with the IRS), could trigger a lease repricing. If a repricing were to occur, there could be a material adverse impact on the combined company's operating results. Further, such a successful challenge or settlement may cause the lease to fail to qualify for leveraged lease accounting. Failure to so qualify would require that the non-recourse debt associated with the lease be brought onto the balance sheet of the combined company, which also could have a material adverse impact on the combined company's operating results. It is presently unclear what modifications, if any, will be adopted by the FASB, the timing of any such modification and the effect of any such modification on the operating results of PSEG or the combined company.

Because a portion of the combined company's business will be conducted outside the United States, adverse international developments could negatively impact its business.

Following completion of the Merger and prior to implementing the combined company's anticipated strategy of divesting assets that do not meet the strategic objectives of the combined company, it is expected that approximately 5% of its assets will be outside the United States and 3% of its revenue will be generated from sources outside the United States, most of which will be held by and generated from PSEG Global.

Table of Contents

The economic and political conditions in certain countries where PSEG Global has interests present risks that may be different from, or more extensive than, those found in the United States including:

- foreign currency fluctuations;
- risks of war;
- expropriation;
- nationalization;
- renegotiation or nullification of existing contracts; and
- changes in law or tax policy.

Changes in the legal environment in foreign countries in which PSEG Global has investments could make it more difficult to obtain non-recourse project refinancing on suitable terms and could impair PSEG Global's ability to enforce its rights under agreements relating to such projects. In addition, such changes could make it more difficult for the combined company to pursue an accelerated strategy of selling certain of PSEG Global's investments that no longer meet strategic objectives of the combined company.

Operations in foreign countries also present risks associated with currency exchange and convertibility, inflation and repatriation of earnings. In countries in which PSEG Global operates in the future, economic and monetary conditions and other factors could affect PSEG Global's ability to convert its cash distributions to United States dollars or other freely convertible currencies, or to move funds offshore from these countries. Furthermore, the central bank of any of these countries may have the authority to suspend, restrict or otherwise impose conditions on foreign exchange transactions or to approve distributions to foreign investors. Although PSEG Global generally seeks to structure power purchase contracts and other project revenue agreements to provide for payments to be made in, or indexed to, United States dollars or a currency freely convertible into United States dollars, its ability to do so in all cases may be limited.

The combined company's results of operations and cash flows may be affected by its ability to divest unprofitable or under-performing businesses.

The combined company will pursue opportunities to sell businesses and assets that either do not meet the strategic objectives of the combined company or are unprofitable. The combined company may incur significant expenses in divesting these businesses. The combined company also may be unable to implement successfully this strategy for a number of reasons, including an inability to locate appropriate buyers or to negotiate acceptable terms for the transactions. In addition, the amounts that the combined company may realize from a divestiture are subject to fluctuating market conditions that may contribute to pricing and other terms that are materially different than expected and could result in a loss on the sale. Timing of any divestitures may positively or negatively affect the combined company's results of operations and cash flows.

Regulatory Matters Relating to the Proposed Merger

During the first quarter of 2005, we filed petitions or applications for approval of the Merger with the FERC under the Federal Power Act, the United States Department of Justice under the Hart Scott Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, the PUC, the NJBPU, the NRC, the New York Public Service Commission, the Connecticut Siting Council, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and with the SEC under the Public Utility Holding Company Act.

Approximately 50 intervenors, including governmental, consumer, industry and policy groups, have intervened to file objections in the proceedings before the FERC, and several of those parties have requested that the FERC hold hearings on the proposed Merger. In addition, various governmental, consumer and other parties have intervened, or are expected to intervene, in the proceedings before the NJBPU, the PUC and the other regulatory bodies. Approval of the Connecticut Siting Council was received on March 16, 2005. ComEd filed a notice of the Merger with the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC), and the ICC's general counsel confirmed that its formal approval of the Merger is not required.

Table of Contents

Other state and federal agencies will have a role in reviewing various aspects of the transaction. We expect to make these remaining filings in 2005. The closing of the Merger is dependent upon the receipt of all required approvals, including approval of the shareholders of both companies.

Post-Merger Directors and Officers

Board of Directors

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement and Exelon's Amended and Restated By-laws to be adopted upon completion of the Merger, we will increase the number of directors on our board of directors to eighteen and will appoint six former PSEG directors designated by the Chief Executive Officer of PSEG to fill six directors seats, subject to applicable laws and regulations. Such former PSEG directors will be allocated evenly among Classes I, II and III of the Exelon board of directors.

Chairman of the Board; Chief Executive Officer; President

Pursuant to Exelon's Amended and Restated By-laws to be adopted upon completion of the Merger, E. James Ferland, PSEG's Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President, will become the non-executive Chairman of the Exelon board of directors. Mr. Ferland will serve as non-executive Chairman of the Exelon board of directors until the earlier of (1) March 31, 2007, his announced date of retirement, and (2) the date on which Mr. Ferland no longer serves as a member of the Exelon board of directors. At such time, the Chief Executive Officer of Exelon will be appointed as Chairman of the Exelon board of directors and continue in such role for the duration of the transition period and thereafter as determined by the Exelon board of directors. As the non-executive Chairman, Mr. Ferland will preside at all meetings of the Exelon board of directors and will, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of Exelon, establish the agenda for meetings of the Exelon board of directors. Mr. Ferland will have such other duties as non-executive Chairman of the Exelon board of directors as may from time to time be requested by the Chief Executive Officer of Exelon.

For at least the transition period, John W. Rowe will continue to serve as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Exelon in charge of general supervision over the business and operations of Exelon.

Senior Officers

The Merger Agreement provides that the officers of Exelon following the Merger will continue as the officers of Exelon with such substitutions, additions and deletions as shall be determined by Mr. Rowe in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of PSEG and approved by the Exelon board of directors.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF THE SENIOR NOTES

We will issue the notes in three series under the Indenture. The notes constitute senior debt securities, as described in the accompanying prospectus, and will contain all of the terms described in the accompanying prospectus under the heading "Description of Debt Securities."

General

The Indenture provides for issuance from time to time of debentures, notes (including the notes issued in this offering) and other evidences of our indebtedness in an unlimited amount. We may issue additional securities under the Indenture from time to time.

The notes will be our senior unsecured obligations and will rank equally with all of our other senior unsecured indebtedness and other obligations. As we are a holding company with no material assets other than our ownership interests in our subsidiaries, we are dependent upon our subsidiaries' earnings and cash flows to meet our obligations, including obligations with respect to the notes. In addition, the notes will be effectively subordinated to all existing and future debt, trade creditors, and other liabilities of our subsidiaries.

Interest on the notes will accrue at the rate of 4.45% per year with respect to the 4.45% Senior Notes due 2010, 4.90% per year with respect to the 4.90% Senior Notes due 2015, and 5.625% per year with respect to the 5.625% Senior Notes due 2035. Interest will accrue from June 9, 2005 or from the most recent interest payment date to which interest has been paid or provided for. Interest is payable twice a year to holders of record at the close of business on the June 1 or December 1 immediately preceding the interest payment date. Interest payment dates will be June 15 and December 15 of each year beginning on December 15, 2005.

The 4.45% Senior Notes due 2010 will mature on June 15, 2010. The 4.90% Senior Notes due 2015 will mature on June 15, 2015. The 5.625% Senior Notes due 2035 will mature on June 15, 2035.

We will issue the notes only in registered form in denominations of $1,000 and multiples thereof.

Form

The notes will be book-entry only and registered in the name of a nominee of DTC.

Redemption at Our Option

We may redeem any series of the notes in whole or in part, at our option at any time, at a redemption price equal to the greater of:

(1) 100% of the principal amount of the notes of the series being redeemed; or

(2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest thereon (exclusive of interest accrued to the date of redemption) discounted to the redemption date on a semiannual basis (assuming a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months) at the Treasury Rate (as defined below) plus, as applicable, 15 basis points (in the case of the 4.45% Senior Notes due 2010), 20 basis points (in the case of the 4.90% Senior Notes due 2015) and 25 basis points (in the case of the 5.625% Senior Notes due 2035),

plus accrued interest on the principal amount being redeemed to the redemption date.

Redemption of the notes of one series may be made without the redemption of the notes of any other series.

Table of Contents

"Comparable Treasury Issue" means the United States Treasury security or securities selected by an Independent Investment Banker (as defined below) as having an actual or interpolated maturity comparable to the remaining term of the notes of the series being redeemed that would be utilized, at the time of selection and in accordance with customary financial practice, in pricing new issues of corporate debt securities of comparable maturity to the remaining term of such notes.

"Comparable Treasury Price" means, with respect to any redemption date, (1) the average of the Reference Treasury Dealer Quotations (as defined below) for such redemption date, after excluding the highest and lowest such Reference Treasury Dealer Quotations, or (2) if the trustee obtains fewer than four such Reference Treasury Dealer Quotations, the average of all such quotations.

"Independent Investment Banker" means one of the Reference Treasury Dealers (as defined below) appointed by the trustee after consultation with us.

"Reference Treasury Dealer" means each of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, their respective successors, and two other primary U.S. Government securities dealers in The City of New York (Primary Treasury Dealer) selected by us. If any Reference Treasury Dealer shall cease to be a Primary Treasury Dealer, we will substitute another Primary Treasury Dealer for that dealer.

"Reference Treasury Dealer Quotations" means, with respect to each Reference Treasury Dealer and any redemption date, the average, as determined by the trustee, of the bid and asked prices for the Comparable Treasury Issue (expressed in each case as a percentage of its principal amount) quoted in writing to the trustee by such Reference Treasury Dealer at 3:30 p.m. New York City time on the third business day preceding such redemption date.

"Treasury Rate" means, with respect to any redemption date, the rate per annum equal to the semiannual equivalent yield to maturity or interpolated (on a day count basis) of the Comparable Treasury Issue, assuming a price for the Comparable Treasury Issue (expressed as a percentage of its principal amount) equal to the Comparable Treasury Price for such redemption date.

We will mail notice of any redemption at least 30 days but not more than 60 days before the redemption date to each holder of notes to be redeemed.

Unless we default in payment of the redemption price, on and after the redemption date interest will cease to accrue on the notes or portions thereof called for redemption.

Additional Notes

The notes of each series initially will be limited to the aggregate principal amount for that series set forth above. We may from time to time without notice to, or the consent of, the holders of the notes of a series, create and issue additional notes of that series, equal in rank to the notes of that series in all respects (or in all respects except for the payment of interest accruing prior to the issue date of the new notes or except for the first payment of interest following the issue date of the new notes) so that the new notes may be consolidated and form a single series with such notes and have the same terms as to status, redemption or otherwise as such notes. In the event that we issue additional notes, we will prepare a new offering memorandum or prospectus.

Covenants

Limitation upon Liens on Stock of Certain Subsidiaries

For so long as any notes remain outstanding, we will not create or incur or allow any of our subsidiaries to create or incur any pledge or security interest on (1) any of the capital stock of, or other equity interests in, PECO, ComEd or Generation and (2) any of the capital stock of, or other equity interests in, our subsidiaries which directly hold the capital stock of or other equity interests in PECO, ComEd or Generation, in each case held by us or one of our subsidiaries on the issue date of the notes.

Table of Contents

Limitation upon Mergers, Consolidations, and Sales of Assets

The Indenture provides that we will not consolidate with or merge into, or transfer all or substantially all of our assets to, another company, unless:

- that company is organized under the laws of the United States or a state or is organized under the laws of a foreign jurisdiction and consents to the jurisdiction of the courts of the United States or a state;
- that company assumes by supplemental indenture all of our obligations under the Indenture and the notes; and
- immediately prior to and after giving effect to the transaction, no default exists under the Indenture.

The successor shall be substituted for us as if it had been an original party to the Indenture and the notes. Thereafter, the successor may exercise our rights and powers under the Indenture, the notes, and all of our obligations under those documents will terminate.

Events of Default

In addition to the events of default described in the Indenture, an event of default under the notes will include our failure to pay principal at maturity or acceleration following a default in an aggregate amount of $50 million or more with respect to any Indebtedness (as defined below) of Exelon Corporation (not including Indebtedness of our subsidiaries), or the acceleration of any of our Indebtedness aggregating $50 million or more which default is not cured, waived or postponed pursuant to an agreement with the holders of the Indebtedness within 30 days after written notice as provided in the Indenture, or the acceleration is not rescinded or annulled within 30 days after written notice as provided in the Indenture.

As used in the immediately preceding paragraph, "Indebtedness" means the following of our obligations:

- all obligations for borrowed money;
- all obligations evidenced by bonds, debentures, notes or similar instruments, or upon which interest payments are customarily made;
- all obligations under conditional sale or other title retention agreements relating to property purchased by us to the extent of the value of the property (other than customary reservations or retentions of title under agreement with suppliers entered into in the ordinary course of business); and
- all obligations issued or assumed as the deferred purchase price of property or services purchased by us which would appear as liabilities on our balance sheet.

Ratings

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Standard and Poor's Ratings Services, and Fitch Ratings currently rate our long-term debt Baa2, BBB+ and BBB+, respectively.

A rating reflects only the views of a rating agency and is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold the notes. Any rating can be revised upward or downward or withdrawn at any time by a rating agency if it decides the circumstances warrant that change. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Table of Contents

UNDERWRITING

Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Banc of America Securities LLC, Barclays Capital Inc., Credit Suisse First Boston LLC and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. are acting as joint book-running managers and as representatives of the underwriters named below for certain of the series of notes. Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated are acting as joint book-running managers for all series of notes. Banc of America Securities LLC is acting as a joint book-running manager for the 2035 notes only; Barclays Capital Inc. and Credit Suisse First Boston LLC are acting as joint book-runner managers for the 2015 notes only and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc is acting as joint book-running manager for the 2010 notes only.

Subject to the terms and conditions stated in the underwriting agreement dated the date of this prospectus supplement, each underwriter named below has agreed to purchase, and we have agreed to sell to the underwriter, the principal amount of notes set forth opposite that underwriter's name.

Underwriter	Principal Amount of 4.45% Senior Notes due 2010	Principal Amount of 4.90% Senior Notes due 2015	Principal Amount of 5.625% Senior Notes due 2035
Citigroup Global Markets Inc.	$ 100,000,000	$ 158,000,000	$ 110,000,000
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated	68,000,000	132,000,000	82,500,000
Banc of America Securities LLC	–	–	110,000,000
Barclays Capital Inc.	–	158,000,000	–
Credit Suisse First Boston LLC	–	158,000,000	–
Deutsche Bank Securities Inc.	100,000,000	–	–
UBS Securities LLC	–	–	62,500,000
Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC	–	–	62,500,000
ABN AMRO Incorporated	–	36,800,000	15,000,000
BNP Paribas Securities Corp.	–	36,800,000	10,000,000
BNY Capital Markets, Inc.	32,000,000	–	–
Capital Management Group Securities LLC	9,000,000	–	–
Dresdner Kleinwort Wasserstein Securities LLC	–	36,800,000	15,000,000
KeyBanc Capital Markets	–	36,800,000	10,000,000
Loop Capital Markets, LLC	9,000,000	10,000,000	–
Piper Jaffray & Co.	32,000,000	–	–
Samuel A. Ramirez & Company, Inc.	9,000,000	–	–
Scotia Capital (USA) Inc.	–	36,800,000	10,000,000
The Williams Capital Group, L.P.	9,000,000	–	12,500,000
Wells Fargo Securities, LLC	32,000,000	–	–
Total	$ 400,000,000	$ 800,000,000	$ 500,000,000

The underwriting agreement provides that the obligations of the underwriters to purchase the notes included in this offering are subject to the approval of legal matters by counsel and to other conditions. The underwriters are obligated to purchase all of the notes if they purchase any of the notes.

The underwriters propose to offer some of the notes directly to the public at the public offering price set forth on the cover page of this prospectus supplement and some of the notes to dealers at the public offering price less a concession not to exceed the amounts listed in the table below. The underwriters may allow, and dealers may reallow, a concession not to exceed the amounts listed in the table below on sales to other dealers. After the initial offering of the notes to the public, the representatives may change the public offering price and concessions.

Table of Contents

	4.45% Senior Notes due 2010	4.90% Senior Notes due 2015	5.625% Senior Notes due 2035
Selling Concession	0.350%	0.400%	0.500%
Dealer Reallowance	0.250%	0.250%	0.250%

The following table shows the underwriting discounts and commissions that we are to pay to the underwriters in connection with this offering (expressed as a percentage of the principal amount of the notes).

	Paid by Exelon
Per 4.45% Senior Note due 2010	0.600%
Per 4.90% Senior Note due 2015	0.650%
Per 5.625% Senior Note due 2035	0.875%

In connection with the offering, the representatives may purchase and sell notes in the open market. These transactions may include over-allotment, syndicate covering transactions and stabilizing transactions. Over-allotment involves syndicate sales of notes in excess of the principal amount of notes to be purchased by the underwriters in the offering, which creates a syndicate short position. Syndicate covering transactions involve purchases of the notes in the open market after the distribution has been completed in order to cover syndicate short positions. Stabilizing transactions consist of certain bids or purchases of notes made for the purpose of preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of the notes while the offering is in progress.

The underwriters also may impose a penalty bid. Penalty bids permit the underwriters to reclaim a selling concession from a syndicate member when the representatives, in covering syndicate short positions or making stabilizing purchases, repurchase notes originally sold by that syndicate member.

Any of these activities may have the effect of preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of the notes. They may also cause the price of the notes to be higher than the price that otherwise would exist in the open market in the absence of these transactions. The underwriters may conduct these transactions in the over-the-counter market or otherwise. If the underwriters commence any of these transactions, they may discontinue them at any time.

We estimate that our total expenses for this offering (excluding underwriting commissions and discounts) will be $850,000.

The underwriters have performed investment banking and advisory services for us and our affiliates from time to time for which they have received customary fees and expenses. The underwriters may, from time to time, engage in transactions with and perform services for us and our affiliates in the ordinary course of their business. Affiliates of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. serve as the administrative agent and lead arranger and sole book runner under our $2.0 billion term loan agreement. In addition, affiliates of Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Banc of America Securities LLC, Barclays Capital Inc., Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., ABN AMRO Incorporated, BNP Paribas Securities Corp., BNY Capital Markets, Inc., KeyBanc Capital Markets, Scotia Capital (USA) Inc., Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC are among the lenders thereunder. We will use the proceeds from this offering to repay approximately $1.5 billion in remaining principal outstanding under this term loan. See "Use of Proceeds" above.

We have agreed to indemnify the underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, or to contribute to payments the underwriters may be required to make because of any of those liabilities.

Table of Contents

LEGAL MATTERS

Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll, LLP, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, will provide an opinion as to legal matters in connection with the notes. Winston & Strawn LLP, Chicago, Illinois, will pass on certain legal matters for the underwriters. Winston & Strawn LLP provides legal services to Exelon and certain of its subsidiaries from time to time.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of Exelon Corporation and management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting (which is included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting) incorporated in this prospectus supplement by reference to the Exelon Corporation Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Exelon Corporation on May 13, 2005 have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

Table of Contents

$1,700,000,000

(EXELON LOGO)

Exelon Corporation

$400,000,000 4.45% Senior Notes due 2010
$800,000,000 4.90% Senior Notes due 2015
$500,000,000 5.625% Senior Notes due 2035

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

June 6, 2005

Joint Book-Running Managers

Citigroup
Merrill Lynch & Co.

Banc of America Securities LLC
Barclays Capital
Credit Suisse First Boston
Deutsche Bank Securities

UBS Investment Bank
Wachovia Securities

ABN AMRO Incorporated
BNP Paribas
BNY Capital Markets, Inc.
Capital Management Securities LLC

Dresdner Kleinwort Wasserstein
KeyBanc Capital Markets
Loop Capital Markets, LLC
Piper Jaffray
Ramirez & Co., Inc.
Scotia Capital
The Williams Capital Group, L.P.
Wells Fargo Securities

424B5 1 c95754b5e424b5.htm PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

EXHIBIT 23.1

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in this Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Exelon Corporation of our report dated February 22, 2005, except for Note 22 and Note 26, as to which the date is May 11, 2005, relating to the financial statements, financial statement schedule, management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in the Current Report on Form 8-K of Exelon Corporation dated May 13, 2005. We also consent to the reference to us under the heading "Experts" in such Registration Statement.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Chicago, IL
May 13, 2005

EXELON(SM)
C/O EQUISERVE TRUST COMPANY N.A.
P.O. BOX 8694
EDISON, NJ 08818-8694

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. PLEASE VOTE IMMEDIATELY.

VOTE-BY-INTERNET	OR	VOTE-BY-TELEPHONE
1. Log on to the Internet and go to http://www.eproxyvote.com/exc		1. Call toll-free 1-877-PRX-VOTE (1-877-779-8683)

IF YOU VOTE OVER THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE, PLEASE DO NOT MAIL YOUR CARD.

DETACH HERE IF YOU ARE RETURNING YOUR PROXY CARD BY MAIL

/X/ PLEASE MARK VOTES AS IN THIS EXAMPLE.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR proposals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

EXELON

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
1. Approval of Share Issuance	/ /	/ /	/ /

2. Election of Directors

(01) E. A. Brennan
(02) B. DeMars
(03) N. A. Diaz
(04) J.W. Rowe
(05) R. Rubin

FOR	WITHHELD
/ /	/ /

/ / For all nominees except as noted above

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
3. Approval of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation	/ /	/ /	/ /
4. Ratification of Independent Accountants	/ /	/ /	/ /
5. Approval of Exelon Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan	/ /	/ /	/ /
6. Approval of Exelon Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan for Unincorporated Subsidiaries	/ /	/ /	/ /
7. Approval to Adjourn or Postpone Annual Meeting	/ /	/ /	/ /

Change of Address/Comments on Reverse Side

Please sign this proxy exactly as name appears hereon. When shares are held by joint tenants, both should sign. When signing as attorney, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such.

Signature: ______________________________ Date: ____________

Signature: ______________________________ Date: ____________

<Page>

ADMISSION TICKET

PLEASE DETACH AND BRING THIS TICKET ALONG WITH
A PHOTO I.D. FOR ADMISSION TO THE

EXELON CORPORATION
ANNUAL SHAREHOLDERS MEETING

[-], 2005
[-]

THIS TICKET IS NOT TRANSFERABLE

DETACH HERE

2005 COMMON STOCK PROXY
EXELON CORPORATION

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON
[-], [-], 2005 at [-] at [-]

RANDALL E. MEHRBERG and KATHERINE K. COMBS, or either of them with power of substitution, are hereby appointed proxies to vote as specified all shares of Common Stock which the shareholder(s) named on the reverse side is entitled to vote at the above annual meeting or at any adjournment thereof, and in their discretion to vote upon all other matters as may properly be brought before the meeting.

EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., as Custodian under the Dividend Reinvestment and Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and Exelon Corporation, as Custodian for the 401(k) Employee Savings Plan, are hereby authorized to execute a proxy with identical instructions for any shares of common stock held for the benefit of shareholder(s) named on the reverse side.

Nominees for election to the board of directors for class II terms expiring in 2008 are:

01. Edward A. Brennan, 02. Bruce DeMars., 03. Nelson A. Diaz, 04. John W. Rowe and 05. Ronald Rubin.

PLEASE SIGN AND DATE ON THE REVERSE SIDE AND MAIL PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE PAID ENVELOPE OR OTHERWISE TO P.O. BOX 8647,

EDISON, NEW JERSEY, 08818-8647.

HAS YOUR ADDRESS CHANGED?

DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS?

</TEXT>
</DOCUMENT>

Exhibit 99.3

CONSENT
OF
J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES INC.

We hereby consent to (i) the use of our opinion letter dated December 20, 2004 to the Board of Directors of Exelon Corporation (the "Company") included in Annex B to the Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus which forms a part of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 relating to the proposed merger of the Company and Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated, and (ii) the references to such opinion in such Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus. In giving such consent, we do not admit that we come within the category of persons whose consent is required under Section 7 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission thereunder, nor do we hereby admit that we are experts with respect to any part of such Registration Statement within the meaning of the term "experts" as used in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission thereunder.

/s/ J.P. Morgan Securities Inc.

J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES INC.

May 13, 2005

EXHIBIT 23.2

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in this Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement No. 333-122704 on Form S-4 of our reports dated February 28, 2005, relating to the consolidated financial statements and the consolidated financial statement schedule of Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated and its subsidiaries (the "Company"), and management's report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, appearing in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2004 (which reports (1) express an unqualified opinion on the consolidated financial statements and consolidated financial statement schedule, and include explanatory paragraphs relating to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" described in Note 2, (2) express an unqualified opinion on management's assessment regarding the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and (3) express an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting), and to the reference to us under the heading "Experts" in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus, which is part of such Registration Statement.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Parsippany, New Jersey
May 13, 2005

Exhibit 99.4

CONSENT
OF
LEHMAN BROTHERS

We hereby consent to the use of our opinion letter dated December 20, 2004 to the Board of Directors of Exelon Corporation (the "Company") attached as Annex C to the Company's Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus which forms a part of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (the "Prospectus") relating to the proposed merger of the Company and Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated, and to the references to such opinion in the Prospectus under the headings "Summary - Exelon's Financial Advisors Delivered their Opinions to the Exelon Board of Directors to the Effect that, as of December 20, 2004, the Exchange Ratio in the Merger Was Fair, from a Financial Point of View, to Exelon," "The Merger - Background of the Merger," "The Merger - Recommendation of Exelon Board; Exelon's Reasons for the Merger," "The Merger - Opinions of Financial Advisors," and "Opinion of Lehman Brothers Inc." In giving such consent, we do not admit that we come within the category of persons whose consent is required under Section 7 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission thereunder and we do not thereby admit that we are experts with respect to any part of the Registration Statement under the meaning of the term "expert" as used in the Securities Act.

LEHMAN BROTHERS INC.

By: /s/ James Metcalfe

James Metcalfe
Managing Director

New York, New York
May 13, 2005

EXHIBIT 99.5

Consent of Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated

We hereby consent to the use in the Registration Statement of Exelon Corporation on Form S-4 and in the Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus of Exelon Corporation and Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated, which is part of the Registration Statement, of our opinion dated December 20, 2004 appearing as Annex D to such Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus, and to the description of such opinion and to the references to our name contained therein under the headings "Summary--PSEG's Financial Advisor Delivered its Opinion to the PSEG Board of Directors to the Effect that, as of December 20, 2004, the Exchange Ratio Under the Merger Agreement Was Fair, from a Financial Point of View, to the Holders of PSEG Common Stock," "The Merger--Background," "The Merger--Recommendation of the PSEG Board; PSEG's Reasons for the Merger" and "The Merger--Opinion of Financial Advisors--Opinion of PSEG's Financial Advisor." In giving the foregoing consent, we do not admit that we come within the category of persons whose consent is required under Section 7 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), or the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, nor do we admit that we are experts with respect to any part of such Registration Statement within the meaning of the term "experts" as used in the Securities Act or the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INCORPORATED

By: /s/ James Perry Offutt, Jr.

New York, New York
May 13, 2005

Exhibit 5

May 27, 2005

Exelon Corporation
10 South Dearborn Street - 37th Floor
Chicago, IL 60680-5379

Re: Registration of 341,000,000 Shares of Common Stock, no par value

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We refer to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (the "Registration Statement") filed on February 11, 2005, by Exelon Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation (the "Company"), with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), relating to the registration of 341,000,000 shares of common stock, no par value (the "Registered Shares"), of the Company. The Registered Shares are to be registered pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 20, 2004 (the "Merger Agreement"), between the Company and Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated, a New Jersey corporation ("PSEG"), which provides for the merger (the "Merger") of PSEG with and into the Company, with the Company surviving. The Registered Shares consist of (i) shares to be issued upon the effective time of the Merger (the "Closing Shares") and (ii) shares issuable upon the settlement of the Assumed Stock-Based Awards and Substitute Options (each as defined in the Merger Agreement) (the "Award Shares").

We are familiar with the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company, the resolutions adopted by the Board of Directors of the Company relating to the Registration Statement and the Merger, the Merger Agreement and the Registration Statement. We have also examined originals, or copies of originals certified or otherwise identified to our satisfaction, of such records of the Company and other corporate documents, have examined such questions of law and have satisfied ourselves as to such matters of fact as we have considered relevant and necessary as a basis for the opinion set forth herein. We have assumed the authenticity of all documents submitted to us as originals, the genuineness of all signatures and the conformity with the original documents of any copies thereof submitted to us for our examination. We have also assumed that each natural person who signed or authorized the signing of any document or authorized the taking of any action with respect to any document had the legal capacity to do so (it being understood that this assumption does not otherwise limit our opinions in paragraphs 1 and 2). Based on the foregoing, we are of the opinion that:

1. Each Closing Share will be legally issued, fully paid and non-assessable when (i) the Registration Statement, as finally amended, shall have become effective under the Securities Act, (ii) the Merger shall have become effective under the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988, as amended, and the New Jersey Business Corporation Act and

PRACTICING IN AFFILIATION WITH OTHER SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD PARTNERSHIPS

<Page>

(iii) a certificate representing such Closing Share shall have been duly executed, countersigned, registered and delivered in accordance with the Merger Agreement.

2. Each Award Share will be legally issued, fully paid and non-assessable when (i) the Registration Statement, as finally amended, shall have become effective under the Securities Act, (ii) the Merger shall have become effective under the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988, as amended, and the New Jersey Business Corporation Act and (iii) a certificate representing such Award Share shall have been duly executed, countersigned, registered and delivered against receipt of the agreed consideration therefor in accordance with the terms of the related Substitute Option or Assumed Stock-Based Award and any applicable agreement or plan.

This opinion letter is limited to the substantive laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the federal laws of the United States of America. We assume no obligation to supplement this opinion letter if any applicable law changes after the date hereof or if we become aware of any fact that might change the foregoing opinions expressed herein after the date hereof.

We do not find it necessary for the purposes of the opinions expressed in this letter, and accordingly we do not purport to cover herein, the application of the securities or "Blue Sky" laws of the various jurisdictions (other than the federal laws of the United States of America) to the issuance of the Registered Shares.

We hereby consent to the filing of this opinion as Exhibit 5 to the Registration Statement and to all references to our firm under the heading "Legal Matters" in the Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus forming a part of the Registration Statement.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP