

August 25, 2005

Ms. Elizabeth Rolando
Chief Clerk
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, IL 62701

Re: Commonwealth Edison Company ICC Docket No. 05-0159
Implementation of Proposed Competitive Procurement Process

Dear Ms. Rolando:

On July 6, 2005, Commonwealth Edison Company filed with the Illinois Commerce Commission (the "Commission") the rebuttal testimony of Andrew Parece (ComEd Exhibits 12.0, 12.1 through 12.5) among others, in support of its proposed competitive supply procurement process.

ComEd submits the following changes to ComEd Exhibits 12.0, 12.3 – 12.4 the rebuttal testimony of Andrew Parece:

Page: Line	Old Language	Corrected Language
7: footnote 9	December 10, 2001.	December 11, 2001.
15: footnote 16	December 10, 2001.	December 11, 2001.
22: 448-449	(LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.0, Section 5)	(LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.0, Section 6.B, p. 100)
23: footnote 19	December 10, 2001.	December 11, 2001.
26: 543	(LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.0, Section 5)	(LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.0, Section 6.A, p. 79 and ComEd Ex. 11.4, pp. 42, 86-88)
33: 702	(LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.0, Section 5)	(LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.0, Section 6.B, p.100)
Ex. 12.3 [B]	Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse, July 6, 2005, Ex. 11.3.	Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse, July 6, 2005, ComEd Ex. 11.4, pp. 4-8 and Ex. 11.5.
Ex. 12.3 [C]	Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse, July 6, 2005, Ex. 11.0, Section 3.	Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse, July 6, 2005, Ex. 11.3, pp. 39-41 and Section 6.A, pp. 79-80.
Ex. 12.3 [D]	Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse, July 6, 2005, Ex. 11.0, Section 5.	Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse, July 6, 2005, Ex. 11.0, Section 6.A, pp. 86-88 and Ex. 11.4, pp. 41-42.
Ex. 12.3 [F]	Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse, July 6, 2005, Ex. 11.0, Section 6.	Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse, July 6, 2005, Ex. 11.0, Section 6.B, p. 100.
Ex. 12.4, Table 1, VI. Supplier	Ex. 12.4, Table 1, VI. Supplier Agreements Juracek Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 9.0, 41	Ex. 12.4, Table 1, V.I. Supplier Agreements Juracek Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 9.0, p. 41 and Ex.

Agreements		9.4 FD 105
Ex. 12.4, Table 2, row 18	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4, p. 40
Ex. 12.4, Table 2, row 21	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.0, 69-70	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.0, pp. 86-88; Ex. 11.4, pp. 41-42 ; Ex. 11.4, Appendix B ; and Ex. 11.7
Ex. 12.4, Table 2, row 24	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4, p. 44
Ex. 12.4, Table 2, row 26	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4, p. 56
Ex. 12.4, Table 2, row 29	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4, p. 64
Ex. 12.4, Table 2, row 31	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4, p. 33
Ex. 12.4, Table 2, row 33	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4	LaCasse Rebuttal, ComEd Ex. 11.4, Appendix A

On August 19, 2005, Commonwealth Edison Company filed with the Illinois Commerce Commission (the "Commission") the surrebuttal testimony of Chantele LaCasse (ComEd Exhibit 19.0), among others, in support of its proposed competitive supply procurement process.

ComEd submits the following changes to ComEd Exhibit 19.0, the surrebuttal testimony of Dr. Chantale LaCasse:

- p. 3, middle of line 34. "find they suffer" should read "find that they suffer".
- p. 5, middle of line 74. "rebuttal testimony" should read "surrebuttal testimony".
- p. 6, beginning of line 105. "New customers will be granted an exemption from the 12-month minimum stay rule, and will be permitted to switch to either RES service or CPP-H service during the first 12-months in which they take CPP-A service" should read "New customers will be granted an exemption from the requirement to elect CPP-A during the enrollment window and will be permitted to elect CPP-A service as long as they remain for the full term of the annual price set".
- p. 6, middle of line 109. Strike "-- with the exception of new customers, as noted above --".

- p. 7, beginning of line 135. “witness” should read “witnesses”.
- p. 8, beginning of line 149. “product” should read “products”.
- p. 12, middle of line 231. “a Illinois” should read “an Illinois”.
- p. 12, middle of line 242. “rational” should read “rationale”.
- p. 12, middle of line 243. “Bollinger” should read “Bollinger’s”.
- p. 16, end of line 322. “the disclosure” should read “the unauthorized disclosure”.
- p. 17, beginning of line 361. “Illnois” should read “Illinois”.
- p. 18, end of line 374. “the hourly-priced products of ComEd” should read “the hourly-priced product of ComEd”.
- p. 18, end of line 375. “the hourly-priced products of Ameren” should read “the hourly-priced product of Ameren”.
- p. 22, end of line 452. “uniform auctions” should read “uniform price auctions”.
- p. 25, beginning of line 510. “gets” should read “get”.
- p. 30, middle of line 631. “be come” should read “become”.
- p. 31, middle of line 661. “rebuttal bidders” should read “rebuttal of bidders”.
- p. 32, beginning of line 670. “would bid” should read “would be”.
- p. 33, beginning of line 690. “auction” should read “auctions”.
- p. 33, middle of line 698. “bidders who” should read “bidder(s)”.
- p. 34, middle of line 713. “provided bidders a” should read “provided a”.
- p. 36, middle of line 761. “see that interest” should read “see the interest”.
- p. 36, middle of line 771. “auction – for” should read “auction greater – for”.
- p. 38, middle of line 820. “provided to privately” should read “provided privately”.

- p. 39, end of line 829. “only once” should read “only once, once requirements have been met”.
- p. 42, middle of line 899. “items are in” should read “items that are in”.
- p. 43, beginning of line 920. “line” should read “lines”.
- p. 43, end of line 923. “Dr. Salant” should read “Dr. Salant’s”.
- p. 45, end of line 958. “later in the testimony” should read “earlier in this testimony”.
- p. 46, beginning of line 989. “different” should read “difference”.
- p. 47, end of line 1010. “Dr. Salant” should read “Dr. Salant’s”.
- p. 49, end of line 1051. “variable” should read “variables”.
- p. 51, middle of line 1087. “As” should read “as”.
- p. 51, middle of line 1106. Strike “on which”.
- p. 53, middle of line 1141. “prices slowly” should read “prices ticking slowly”.
- p. 54, middle of line 1154. “It” should read “it”.
- p. 55, beginning of line 1178. Strike “of Dr. Salant”.
- p. 55, middle of line 1181. Strike “continue to”.
- p. 56, middle of line 1201. “New Jersey Auction Manager” should read “New Jersey BGS Auction Manager”.
- p. 58, beginning of line 1257. “are a” should read “are for a”.
- p. 59, beginning of line 1273. “include” should read “includes”.
- p. 60, middle of line 1306. “(26-28 and 29)” should read “26-28 and 29”.
- p. 61, beginning of line 1312. “bidder in” should read “bidder makes in”.
- p. 62, end of line 1341. “to be at” should read “to be withdrawing at”.
- p. 65, end of line 1404. The reference should read “ComEd Exhibit 11.0, pages 33-39, lines 796-925”.


- p. 72, beginning of line 1579. “ICC Staff” should read “ComEd”.
- p. 73, beginning of line 1608. “issue” should read “issues”.
- p. 75, beginning of line 1642. “AM” should read “Auction Manager”.
- p. 76, end of line 1677. “AM” should read “Auction Manager”.
- p. 77, end of line 1678. “AM” should read “Auction Manager”.
- p. 80, middle of line 1733. “including the exchange” should read “including through the exchange”.
- p. 83, end of line 1807. “markets is supported” should read “markets are supported”.
- p. 85, end of line 1862. “that will lead to selection” should read “that likely will lead to the selection”.
- p. 89, beginning of line 1952. “page” should read “pages”.
- p. 91, end of line 1999. “in” should read “on”.
- p. 92, end of line 2023. “where it is buying all its load” should read “where it is buying for all of its load”.
- p. 93, middle of line 2052. “ratings agencies” should read “rating agencies”.
- p. 95, end of line 2086. “scope gaming” should read “scope for gaming”.
- p. 96, beginning of line 2112. “while a serial auction” should read “while serial auctions”.
- p. 98, middle of line 2178. The reference should read “See Ameren Exhibit Ex. 7.2. in ICC Dockets 05-0160, 05-0161, 05-0162”.
- p. 99, middle of line 2189. The reference should read “in ICC Dockets 05-0160, 05-0161, 05-0162”.
- p. 100, end of line 2202. “and” should read “or”.
- p. 104, beginning of line 2295. “suppliers costs” should read “suppliers’ costs”.
- p. 104, end of line 2297. “cost” should read “costs”.

Ms. Elizabeth Rolando
August 25, 2005
Page 6

- p. 105, line 2319. “would not be feasible” should read “would be feasible”.

Consistent with the above, Commonwealth Edison Company submits for filing corrected exhibits containing the changes described above.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Paul F. Hanzlik". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Paul F. Hanzlik
Attorney at Law