

**SIDLEY & AUSTIN**  
A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

DALLAS  
LOS ANGELES  
NEW YORK  
SEATTLE  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

BANK ONE PLAZA  
10 S. DEARBORN STREET  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603  
TELEPHONE 312 853 7000  
FACSIMILE 312 853 7036

HONG KONG  
LONDON  
SHANGHAI  
SINGAPORE  
TOKYO

FOUNDED 1866

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER  
(312) 853-7080

WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS  
jwaldhof@sidley.com

October 3, 2000

**By Mail**

Daniel D. McDevitt  
Atty. for Unicom Energy  
Gardner, Carton & Douglas  
321 N. Clark St.  
Chicago, IL 60610-4795

Re: Commonwealth Edison Company's Response to Unicom Energy's First Data Request

Dear Mr. McDevitt:

Attached please find Commonwealth Edison Company's Responses to Unicom Energy's First Set of Data Requests. Note that the attached spreadsheet is part of the response to Data Request #3. Please contact me at the number listed above if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffery A. Waldhoff

JAW:jaw

Enclsoures

cc: Mark Kaminski  
Steven Revethis  
John Feeley  
Mike Munson  
Joseph Lakshmanan  
Eric Robertson

OFFICIAL FILE  
ILL. C. D. DOCKET NO. 00-0359/0357/0461  
Unicom Eno. 87  
Cross  
WITNESS  
10520  
aol

Cross  
UE 44 1

**Unicom Energy, Inc.'s  
First Set of Data Request to  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Docket No. 00-0259 (Cons.)**

**Data Request No. 1**

Describe precisely the manner, referred to by Messrs. Crumrine and Nichols in rebuttal testimony, in which the "cost of energy imbalance for each month" is passed through to PPO customers. In particular, please describe the way in which ComEd calculates the cost of energy imbalance on a monthly basis for PPO customers. If the imbalance cost is passed monthly through to all PPO customers, please describe the methodology that ComEd uses to allocate the imbalance cost to each PPO customer. If the cost is passed through to some, but not all, customers, please identify the methodology that ComEd uses to identify the customers who will be assessed imbalance costs and the manner in which the costs are allocated to each PPO customer.

**Response:**

This issue was discussed in greater detail in the surrebuttal testimony of Messrs. Crumrine and Nichols, page 4, line 8 to page 8, line 8. In particular, see page 6, line 19 through page 7, line 20. As explained therein, ComEd purchases from the control area operator Energy Imbalance Service that it obtains on behalf of the PPO customers. ComEd charges all PPO customers for all KWH's consumed, whether those KWH's are scheduled or procured (or credited) via imbalance service at the Market Value Energy Charges contained in Rider PPO in the customer's next monthly bill.

**Unicom Energy, Inc.'s  
First Set of Data Request to  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Docket No. 00-0259 (Cons.)**

**Data Request No. 2**

For each month listed below, please provide the following information:

For this Data Request 2, "Total Monthly Imbalance Cost for all PPO Customers" refers to the total cost for energy imbalance incurred by ComEd in each month. The "Total Monthly Imbalance Cost Passed Through to PPO Customers" is the total cost of energy imbalance cost that was passed through for each month to PPO customers. The "Number of Customers Taking PPO Service" is the number of customers taking service under ComEd's PPO tariff or rider for each given month. The "Number of Customers Assessed Imbalance Charges" refers to the number of PPO customers who received a charge on their monthly delivery services bills for energy imbalance.

**Unicom Energy, Inc.'s  
First Set of Data Request to  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Docket No. 00-0259 (Cons.)**

**Data Request No. 2**

Response:

Month	Total Monthly Imbalance Cost for all PPO Customers	Total Monthly Imbalance Cost Passed Through to PPO Customers	Number of Customers Taking PPO Service	Number of PPO Customers Assessed Imbalance Charges	KWh Sales to PPO Customers	KWhs Bought From/Sold to Control Area Operator for Imbalance Service
Oct. 1999	(\$137,000)	All	427	All	103,345,000	(7,155,000)
Nov. 1999	(\$421,000)	All	1,345	All	109,770,000	(24,826,000)
Dec. 1999	(\$561,000)	All	1,754	All	222,617,000	(32,826,000)
Jan. 2000	107,000	All	1,988	All	263,754,000	(4,749,000)
Feb. 2000	80,000	All	2,271	All	261,618,000	3,371,000
Mar. 2000	(\$547,000)	All	2,465	All	251,574,000	(20,583,000)
April 2000	(\$139,000)	All	2,604	All	309,588,000	(8,484,000)
May 2000	519,000	All	2,716	All	374,006,000	9,885,000
June 2000	(\$1,027,000)	All	2,904	All	468,521,000	(43,534,000)
July 2000	(\$838,000)	All	3,173	All	539,884,000	(33,912,000)
Aug. 2000	Not Avail.	All	3,265	All	598,224,000	Not Avail.
Sept. 2000						

As described in the joint rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony of Messrs. Crumrine and Nichols, ComEd charges all PPO customers for the costs related to Energy Imbalance Service.

- Notes: 1) Positive numbers in column 1 refer to revenues paid by ComEd to the control area under Energy Imbalance Service on behalf of PPO customers. Negative numbers (shown as credits) refer to revenues received from the control area.
- 2) Number of customers in column 3 are the number of customers on PPO service at the end of each month.
- 3) Energy values in column 5 are based on monthly billing cycles.
- 4) Positive numbers in column 6 refer to net kWh bought from the control area by ComEd under Energy Imbalance Service on behalf of PPO customers. Negative numbers (shown as credits) refer to kWh sold to the control area.

**Unicom Energy, Inc.'s  
First Set of Data Request to  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Docket No. 00-0259 (Cons.)**

**Data Request No. 3**

Describe the methodology, referred to by Messrs. Crumrine and Nichols in rebuttal testimony at pages 13-14, under which "ComEd credits the annual revenues (net of costs) that it receives (or pays) under energy imbalance service as part of the delivery services component of the transition charge calculation." For 1999, state the total amount of the credit attributable to energy imbalance that is referred to by Messrs. Crumrine and Nichols on page 14, lines 23-25 of their rebuttal testimony. If no credit was issued or calculated, please explain.

**Response:**

The attached spreadsheet depicts the method by which ComEd determined the Transmission Service and Ancillary Transmission Services Charge ("TSC") contained in Rate RCDS subsequent to the Commission's Order in Docket No. 99-0117. The total value of the TSC is used to determine the transmission service credit incorporated in the delivery services credit portion of the transition charge calculation. The TSC is a portion of the delivery services component that is incorporated in the transition charge calculation. This process is also described in the joint surrebuttal testimony of Messrs. Crumrine and Nichols (page 7, line 21 to page 8, line 8).

The value currently being used is based on the calendar year 1997 test year employed in ComEd's delivery service case, Docket No. 99-0117. As shown on page 2 of the worksheets, the 1997 test year amount equaled \$40,808.

**Unicom Energy, Inc.'s  
First Set of Data Request to  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Docket No. 00-0259 (Cons.)**

**Data Request No. 4**

Provide what "test year value" was included in the "line item" referred to by Messrs. Crumrine and Nichols in surrebuttal testimony at page 7, lines 6-7. In addition, please explain in full what is meant by the reference in those lines regarding "net additional revenues" and explain what components of the imbalance charges were included. Please provide examples of its derivation. Is the cost of providing the imbalance electricity included in the test year value? If the cost is not included, what would the test year value have been if the cost of electricity had been included? Is the line item for transmission service and ancillary transmission services adjusted on an annual basis or on another basis to reflect more recent information? If not adjusted on an annual basis, when will it be adjusted or updated?

**Response:**

See the response to Item 3 of this data request for the test year value for "net additional revenues". The term "net additional revenues" means the revenues related to additional charges that ComEd as the control area operator is entitled to collect for energy imbalance amounts falling outside the deadband.

An example of the derivation is as follows:

ComEd faces a separate 100 MW deadband for its PPO schedule. As long as the difference between ComEd's schedule and the actual usage of the PPO customers is less than 100 MW, ComEd pays the control area operator 100% of the energy imbalance cost (EIC) for amounts that are under-scheduled and receives 100% of the EIC for amounts that are over-scheduled. Should the difference be greater than the deadband, ComEd pays 110% of EIC for under-scheduled amounts outside the deadband and only receives 90% of EIC for over-scheduled amounts outside the deadband.

If, in a particular hour, ComEd under-scheduled the PPO load by 105 MW in an hour, it would owe the control area operator 100% of EIC for 100 MW and 110% of EIC for the extra 5 MW. The "net additional revenues" refers only to the extra 10% paid on the 5 MW that fell outside the deadband.

The cost of providing the imbalance electricity is not included in the test year value for "net additional revenues". Instead, the cost of providing energy imbalance is credited in the market value credit of the transition charge calculation. The total revenue received by ComEd as the control area operator for energy imbalance service in calendar year 1997 was a credit amount of \$89,000. This means that ComEd paid more in compensation for over-scheduled energy than it received for supplying under-scheduled energy.

The transmission service and ancillary transmission services charge will be updated in ComEd's next delivery services rate case based on the annual revenues reflected for the appropriate test year utilized in that case.

**Unicom Energy, Inc.'s  
First Set of Data Request to  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Docket No. 00-0259 (Cons.)**

**Data Request No. 5**

Identify and describe the "control area operator" referred to by Mssrs. Crummine and Nichols in surrebuttal testimony at page 6, line 16.

Response:

The control area operator referred to is currently the Transmission Operations group of ComEd.

CH02/22084857.1

Commonwealth Edison Company  
 Determination of Transmission Services and Ancillary Transmission Services Charges  
 Applicable to Retail Nonresidential Delivery Services Customers  
 Taking Service under Rider PFC - Power Purchase Option or Rider TS - Transmission Service  
 (Unless otherwise indicated, all units on this page are in megawatts.)

Step 1. Determine the ComEd System Twelve Month Moving Average Load Ratio Shares

Price of ComEd	ComEd	Rock Falls	Genoa	Chiles of Rockelle	Waukega	WEP (F)	WEP (G)	WEP (H)	ComEd Transmission System Peak (I)	ComEd System Load Ratio Share (K) = (A) / (J)	Twelve Month Moving Average Share (L)
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I) - Sum of (A) to (H)	(J)	(K)	(L)
June 28, 1996	16,994	16	41	21	6	50	150	17,378	97.79%	97.79%	97.79%
July 18, 1996	16,197	18	40	21	6	50	150	16,582	97.68%	97.68%	97.68%
August 7, 1996	18,916	19	48	21	6	50	150	19,310	97.96%	97.96%	97.96%
September 5, 1996	15,732	14	40	21	6	50	150	16,113	97.64%	97.64%	97.64%
October 31, 1996	11,740	10	21	21	6	50	150	12,098	97.04%	97.04%	97.04%
November 26, 1996	12,735	12	31	21	6	50	150	13,105	97.18%	97.18%	97.18%
December 19, 1996	14,015	13	35	21	6	50	150	14,288	97.41%	97.41%	97.41%
January 16, 1997	13,890	12	35	21	6	75	150	14,287	97.22%	97.22%	97.22%
February 11, 1997	12,492	11	30	21	6	75	150	12,885	96.95%	96.95%	96.95%
March 6, 1997	12,162	11	29	21	6	75	150	12,559	96.88%	96.88%	96.88%
April 6, 1997	11,809	11	29	21	6	75	150	12,504	96.76%	96.76%	96.76%
May 8, 1997	11,331	10	28	21	6	75	150	11,521	96.61%	96.61%	97.26%
June 24, 1997	17,954	19	51	21	7	75	150	18,377	97.70%	97.70%	97.25%
July 14, 1997	18,497	19	49	21	11	75	150	18,920	97.76%	97.76%	97.26%
August 27, 1997	15,298	13	42	21	12	75	150	15,711	97.37%	97.37%	97.21%
September 16, 1997	14,495	13	43	21	13	75	150	14,909	97.23%	97.23%	97.18%
October 2, 1997	13,756	12	38	21	12	75	150	14,164	97.12%	97.12%	97.18%
November 19, 1997	12,598	12	32	21	11	75	150	12,999	96.92%	96.92%	97.16%
December 8, 1997	13,153	13	34	21	13	75	150	13,559	97.01%	97.01%	97.13%
January 13, 1998	13,573	13	35	21	12	75	150	13,979	97.10%	97.10%	97.12%
February 4, 1998	12,349	12	31	20	12	75	150	12,750	96.85%	96.85%	97.11%
March 11, 1998	12,607	12	31	20	12	75	150	13,007	96.92%	96.92%	97.11%
April 1, 1998	11,316	11	30	18	12	75	100	11,712	96.62%	96.62%	97.10%

Notes:

(1) The monthly ComEd Transmission System Peak consists of ComEd System Peak and the coincident peak of transmission customers such as coincident firm point-to-point reservation, coincident network loads, and coincident qualifying pre-OATT bundled sales.

(2) The Wisconsin Power and Light (WP&L) long term transmission services began in January 1997 and the Wisconsin Electric Power (WEP) long term transmission service began in October 1997. The transmission service loads for these two customers are included in the earlier months so their loads are fully reflected in the average load ratio shares calculated for the ComEd System for the twelve month period ended April 30, 1998.

Wisconsin Energy  
 Cross Contract  
 10/5/2000 10:36 AM

Commonwealth Edison Company  
 Determination of Transmission Services and Ancillary Transmission Services Charges  
 Applicable to Retail Nonresidential Delivery Services Customers  
 Taking Service under Rider PPO - Power Purchase Option or Rider TS - Transition Service

Step 2. Determine the Total Annual Revenue Requirement for Transmission Services and Charges for Ancillary Transmission Services for ComEd Transmission System Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) to be filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) (1)

Annual Revenue Requirements Network Integrated Transmission Services	\$190,438,663
Charges for Ancillary Transmission Services:	
Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service	\$16,138,519
Reactive Supply and Voltage Control from Generation Sources Services	\$10,227,259
Regulation and Frequency Response Service (2)	\$9,594,000
Energy Imbalance Service (3)	\$40,808
Operating Reserve - Spinning Reserve Service (2)	\$18,144,000
Operating Reserve - Supplemental Reserve Service (2)	\$5,125,680
Total Annual Revenue Requirement for Transmission Services and Charges for Ancillary Transmission Services	\$249,708,929

Notes:

- (1) The revenue requirement for transmission services and charges for ancillary transmission services of ComEd's OATT to be filed with the FERC by September 17, 1999.
- (2) Monthly charges in applicable OATT multiplied by ComEd Transmission System Obligation and multiplied by 12 to reflect annual charges. ComEd Transmission System Obligation is based on Mid-American Interconnected Network, Inc. (MAIN), Guide 5A, Appendix A.
- (3) Energy imbalance revenue for 1997 pursuant to the ICC's Order in Docket No. 99-0117 at page 99.

Commonwealth Edison Company  
 Determination of Transmission Services and Ancillary Transmission Services Charges  
 Applicable to Retail Nonresidential Delivery Services Customers  
 Taking Service under Rider PPO - Power Purchase Option or Rider TS - Transition Service

Step 3. Determine the annual revenue requirement for transmission services and charges for ancillary transmission services for ComEd System (ComEd System Share of Charges)

ComEd System Share of Charges (2)	(B)	(A)	Date of ComEd System Peak
Share of Charges (2)	(B)	Moving Average (1)	Twelve Month
\$20,238,831	97.26%	97.26%	May 8, 1997
\$20,237,234	97.25%	97.25%	June 24, 1997
\$20,238,727	97.26%	97.26%	July 14, 1997
\$20,228,524	97.21%	97.21%	August 27, 1997
\$20,221,375	97.18%	97.18%	September 16, 1997
\$20,222,738	97.18%	97.18%	October 7, 1997
\$20,218,203	97.16%	97.16%	November 19, 1997
\$20,211,234	97.13%	97.13%	December 8, 1997
\$20,209,056	97.12%	97.12%	January 13, 1998
\$20,207,408	97.11%	97.11%	February 4, 1998
\$20,208,227	97.11%	97.11%	March 11, 1998
\$20,205,722	97.10%	97.10%	April 1, 1998
\$242,647,280			Total

Notes:

- (1) From Column (L) on Page 1 of this attachment (Step 1)
- (2) The monthly charge is determined by multiplying the percentages in Column (A) by \$249,708,929 (the Total Annual Revenue Requirement for Transmission Services and Charges for Ancillary Transmission Services from Step 2 on Page 2 of this attachment) and then dividing that result by 12 to reflect monthly charges.

Commonwealth Edison Company  
 Determination of Transmission Services and Ancillary Transmission Services Charges  
 Applicable to Retail Nonresidential Delivery Services Customers  
 Taking Service under Rider PPO - Power Purchase Option or Rider TS - Transition Service

Step 4. Determine the annual revenue requirement for transmission services and charges for ancillary transmission services for retail and full requirement wholesale customers in ComEd System

Electricity usage not related to delivery services and distribution losses associated with the usage in 1997	11,696,619	(A)
Energy Furnished Without Charge in kilowatt-hours (2)	338,404,000	
Total Electricity usage not related to delivery services in kilowatt-hour	350,100,619	
Total Electricity usage not related to delivery services plus distribution losses in kilowatt-hours (3)	372,086,938	(A)
ComEd System electric usage by retail and full requirement wholesale customers plus distribution losses associated with the usage in 1997 (4)	85,529,651,568	(B)
As a percent of (A) plus (B)	99.57%	(C) = (B) / ((A) + (B))
Annual revenue requirement for transmission services and charges for ancillary transmission services for ComEd System (5)	\$242,647,280	(D)
Annual revenue requirement for transmission services and charges for ancillary transmission services for ComEd's retail and full requirement wholesale customers	\$241,596,243	(E) - (C) * (D)

- Notes:
- (1) Estimated electricity usage in kilowatt-hours for the Company owned business facilities not related to delivery service functions.
  - (2) Commonwealth Edison Company 1997 FERC Form 1, Page 401, Line 25.
  - (3) Assume an additional 6.28% energy is required to supply distribution losses associated with the usage. The 6.28% is the average distribution losses for the retail customers of ComEd from ComEd's Real Power Losses Study.
  - (4) From ComEd's Real Power Losses Study.
  - (5) From Column (B) Total on Page 3 of this attachment (Step 3)

Commonwealth Edison Company  
 Determination of Transmission Services and Ancillary Transmission Services Charges  
 Applicable to Retail Nonresidential Delivery Services Customers  
 Taking Service under Rider PFC - Power Purchase Option or Rider TS - Transition Service

(Unless otherwise indicated, all units on this page are in megawatts.)

Step 5. Determine the cents per kilowatt-hour transmission services and ancillary transmission services charges for the nonresidential delivery service customer classes

Rate of ComEd System Peak	With Only Warm-hour Only Meters	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
25-100 kW	0-25 kW	1,275	1,657	1,657	1,949	1,389	434	429	1,694	397
100-400 kW	25-100 kW	1,508	1,657	1,657	1,949	1,389	434	429	1,694	397
400-800 kW	100-400 kW	1,508	1,657	1,657	1,949	1,389	434	429	1,694	397
800-1000 kW	400-800 kW	1,191	1,657	1,657	1,949	1,389	434	429	1,694	397
1,000-3,000 kW	800-1000 kW	320	1,657	1,657	1,949	1,389	434	429	1,694	397
3,000-6,000 kW	1,000-3,000 kW	1,586	1,657	1,657	1,949	1,389	434	429	1,694	397
6,000-10,000 kW	3,000-6,000 kW	300	1,657	1,657	1,949	1,389	434	429	1,694	397

Twelve-month Average of CTEs	Twelve-month Average of CTEs as a Percentage of the Sum of all Customer Class CTEs (1)	Customer class revenue requirements and charges (2)	Customer class total kilowatt-hour sales (3)	Per Kilowatt-hour Charge in Cents per Kilowatt-hour (4)
87	0.72%	\$4,733,743	600,286,729	0.289
99	4.07%	\$9,842,299	2,862,271,553	0.344
121	9.58%	\$23,153,840	6,742,730,611	0.343
121	11.15%	\$26,946,969	8,433,976,215	0.320
121	8.75%	\$21,129,367	7,173,938,424	0.295
121	2.60%	\$6,282,989	2,148,492,045	0.292
121	10.92%	\$26,382,554	9,683,583,510	0.272
121	5.95%	\$14,365,992	5,382,559,635	0.267
121	3.00%	\$7,253,226	2,789,525,777	0.260

Notes: (1) The twelve-month average of the Customer Class Demand Coincident with ComEd System Peak (CP) divided by the twelve-month average of the sum of the CTEs for all customer classes shown in Column (Q) on Page 6 of this attachment.

(2) Annual revenue requirement for transmission services and charges for ancillary transmission services for all ComEd customers from (B) on Page 4 (Step 4) multiplied by the twelve-month average of Customer Class CTEs percentage described in (1).

(3) Total kilowatt-hour sales for each customer class from Attachment G of ComEd Exhibit 9.0 in Docket No. 99-0117.

(4) Total revenue requirement and charges for each customer class in (2) divided by total kilowatt-hour sales in (3) and multiplied by 100 to convert from dollars per kilowatt-hour to cents per kilowatt-hour.

(Commonwealth Edison Company)  
 Determination of Transmission Services and Ancillary Transmission Services Charges  
 Applicable to Retail Nonresidential Delivery Services Customers  
 Taking Service under Rider PPO - Power Purchase Option or Rider TS - Transmission Service  
 (Units otherwise indicated, all units on this page are in megawatts.)

Step 5 (continued) - Determine the cents per kilowatt-hour transmission services and ancillary transmission services charges for the nonresidential delivery service customer classes (continued)

Date of ComEd System Peak	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q) - Sum of (A) to (P)
OVER 10,000 Fixtures Included								
Street Lighting - Street Lighting								
Street Lighting - All Other								
Block to Down								
Lighting								
R/W								
Customer Class Demand Coincident with ComEd System Peak (CP)								
All Other Customers								
Pumping								
Railroad								
Manufacturing								
Total Retail and								

Twelve-month Average of CPs	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
May 8, 1997	1.345	0	1	19	46	92	1.818	11.049
June 24, 1997	1.207	0	1	20	81	100	7.380	17.707
July 14, 1997	1.332	0	1	20	63	63	7.139	18.218
August 27, 1997	1.635	0	1	20	61	98	4.172	15.171
September 16, 1997	1.429	0	1	19	58	96	3.443	14.342
October 7, 1997	1.386	0	1	20	73	97	3.298	13.625
November 19, 1997	1.499	32	122	102	100	100	4.133	12.522
December 8, 1997	1.022	29	113	20	94	89	4.471	13.046
January 13, 1998	1.333	28	110	20	28	96	4.454	13.450
February 4, 1998	1.358	30	111	22	50	101	4.192	12.256
March 11, 1998	1,259	30	118	19	50	103	4,206	12,513
April 1, 1998	952	31	118	22	41	104	3,959	11,242
Twelve-month Average of CPs	1.313	15	58	20	62	95	4.389	13,762
Twelve-month Average of Customer Class CPs as a Percentage of the sum of all Customer Class CPs (1)	9.54%	0.11%	0.42%	0.15%	0.45%	0.69%	31.89%	100.00%
Customer class revenue requirements and charges (2)	\$23,053,730	\$265,025	\$1,022,445	\$354,805	\$1,094,570	\$1,667,192	\$77,047,495	\$241,596,243
Customer class total kilowatt-hour sales (3)	10,096,569,807	110,817,586	429,684,072	165,320,985	357,711,734	733,735,696		
Per Kilowatt-hour Charge in Cents per Kilowatt-hour (4)	0.228	0.239	0.238	0.215	0.306	0.227		

Notes: (1) The twelve-month average of the Customer Class Demand Coincident with ComEd System Peak (CP) divided by the twelve-month average of the sum of the CPs for all customer classes shown in Column (Q).

(2) Annual revenue requirement for transmission services and charges for ancillary transmission services for all ComEd customers from (E) on Page 4 (Step 4) multiplied by the twelve-month average of Customer Class CPs percentages described in (1).

(3) Total kilowatt-hour sales for each customer class from Attachment C of ComEd Exhibit 9.0 in Docket No. 99-0117.

(4) Total revenue requirement and charges for each customer class in (2) divided by total kilowatt-hour sales in (3) and multiplied by 100 to convert from dollars per kilowatt-hour to cents per kilowatt-hour.